

## CORRESPONDENCE OPEN



# Cytomegalovirus (CMV)-specific cytotoxic T lymphocyte therapy resolve CMV diseases and refractory CMV infections in paediatric recipients of allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation

© The Author(s) 2021

*Bone Marrow Transplantation* (2022) 57:271–275; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-021-01499-0>

## To the Editor:

The use of CMV-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CMV-CTLs) has shown advantages in controlling refractory and late CMV infection when combined with conventional antiviral treatment in haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) recipients [1, 2]. However, the efficacy and safety of using CMV-CTLs in paediatric patients have not been fully evaluated.

A total of 307 paediatric patients received allogeneic HSCT at Shenzhen Children's Hospital (SZCH), China between May 2018 and September 2020. The rate of CMV-DNAemia for all patients was 40.06% (123 patients). However, only nine patients were diagnosed with CMV end-organ diseases based on published diagnostic criteria [3]. All patients with CMV diseases, together with another patient with refractory CMV infections, were given CMV-CTL therapy in addition to standard antiviral treatment. The analysis of data was conducted with approval from the Institutional Review Board of SZCH. Written consents were obtained from parents or guardians for the analysis and publication of patient data.

PepTivator CMV pp65 peptide stimulated donor-derived CMV-CTLs were generated according to a previously published protocol [4]. The quality of CMV-CTLs was assessed by examining the expressions of IFN- $\gamma$  and the activation marker NKG2D by CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells using flow cytometry. The percentage of IFN- $\gamma$ -producing T cells (CD3<sup>+</sup>IFN- $\gamma$ <sup>+</sup> cells) at each dose varied between individuals (ranging from 1.00% to 20.60% of total CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells). However, it was confirmed that these cells were mainly CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (90.60–99.00% of total CD3<sup>+</sup>IFN- $\gamma$ <sup>+</sup> cells) and most of them expressed NKG2D (88.90–98.90% of the total CD3<sup>+</sup>IFN- $\gamma$ <sup>+</sup> cells).

The clinical characteristics of the patients are summarised in Table 1. Five boys and five girls aged 1–7 years (median 5 years) participated in this study. Primary diseases included thalassaemia and severe aplastic anaemia in 8 and 2 patients, respectively. All except one patient were recipients of haploidentical donors. All patients received myeloablative regimens comprising cyclophosphamide/busulfan/fludarabine/thiotepa. Anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) was used as the in vivo T cell depletion strategy. Graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) prophylaxis consisted of tacrolimus or cyclosporine in combination with mycophenolate mofetil and low-dose methotrexate, with or without post-transplantation cyclophosphamide.

CMV serostatuses were D + /R + and D + /R – in 7 and 3 patients, respectively. CMV infection developed within the first 2 months after transplantation in all patients (median 39 days, range 27–63 days). Severe CMV pneumonia was observed in nine patients, one of whom also developed CMV retinitis. The remaining patient had persistent CMV DNAemia. All patients followed a standard anti-CMV treatment strategy consisting of intravenous ganciclovir with or without foscarnet [3]. Eight patients received CMV-specific intravenous immunoglobulin.

All except one patient received two transfusions of CMV-CTLs at an interval of 2 weeks. The first infusion was administered at a dose of  $0.5 \times 10^8$  cells/kg, and the second infusion was administered approximately twice of the previous dose. Patients received the first dose of CMV-CTLs within the first month after CMV infection (median 19 days, range 12–29 days). Only one patient (patient 1) received CMV-CTLs 6 months after the first episode of CMV infection due to worsening of refractory CMV diseases. One patient (patient 2) received a single infusion of CMV-CTLs because of insufficient production of CTLs. However, the patient did not appear to have a significantly delayed resolution of CMV DNAemia or diseases compared to other patients.

In all patients, CMV DNAemia decreased within ~4 weeks (median 23 days, range 15–33 days) after the last CMV-CTL transfusion. In patients with CMV pneumonia, chest computed tomography scans showed that in all patients the lung lesions had resolved within a maximum of 12 weeks. However, one patient (patient 1) experienced blindness caused by CMV retinitis. A plausible cause of the sequelae was that this patient was unable to receive intravitreal ganciclovir therapy in combination with systemic treatment during the active retinitis stage for which might potentially stabilise or restore the best-correlated vision [5]. This patient also received steroids to treat poor graft function 4–5 months after HSCT and may decrease the effectiveness of CMV-CTLs. However, the patient did not receive any steroids during CMV infection. Compared to other patients, patient 1 also had a lower percentage of CD3<sup>+</sup>IFN- $\gamma$ <sup>+</sup> effector T cells with each infusion. Nevertheless, whether effector T cells have a dose-dependent effect on the treatment results requires further investigation.

Reconstitution of immune cells provides an important guide for the treatment and prognosis of CMV infection in HSCT recipient [6–8]. We also monitored the reconstitution of immune cells by tracking the numbers and percentages of lymphocyte subsets, including CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, B cells, and NK cells in the peripheral blood of patients at selected time points (1, 2, 3, 6, and 12 months after HSCT). CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells have been extensively examined for their vital role in CMV infection [6, 7, 9]. There was a

Received: 17 June 2021 Revised: 29 September 2021 Accepted: 4 October 2021  
Published online: 20 October 2021

**Table 1.** Patient characteristics.

Patient No	Sex	Age (years)	Diagnosis	Donor type	CMV serostatus	Time to CMV reactivation after HSCT	CMV infection/disease	Peak CMV DNA titre (IU/mL)	Co-infections	aGVHD	cGVHD	T cell depletion strategy	GVHD prophylaxis	Chimerism	CMV antiviral treatment	Duration from CMV infection to CMV-CTLs therapy	Outcomes
1	M	7	TM	Haplo (father)	D + /R +	39 days	CMV pneumonia + retinitis	1.84 × 10 <sup>4</sup> (plasma)	HBV	-	Liver	ATG	PTCY + FK506 + MMF + LDMTX	40–99% for the first 4 months then >99% after 5 months.	GCV + FOS + CMV-IVIG	6 months	-Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 4 weeks. -Complete resolution of pneumonia in 2 weeks. -Blindness caused by CMV retinitis.
2	F	7	TM	Haplo (father)	D + /R -	63 days	CMV pneumonia	6.78 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (plasma)	PV19, EBV DNAemia	-	Skin and liver	ATG	PTCY + FK506 + MMF + LDMTX	>95%	GCV + FOS	29 days	-Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 4 weeks. -Complete resolution of pneumonia in 2 weeks.
3	M	7	TM	Haplo (sister)	D + /R -	79 days	CMV pneumonia	1.44 × 10 <sup>3</sup> (BAL fluid), negative plasma CMV DNA	-	-	-	ATG	PTCY + FK506 + MMF + LDMTX	>99%	GCV + FOS + CMV-IVIG	13 days	-Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 3 weeks. -Complete resolution of pneumonia in 12 weeks.
4	F	3	TM	MSD (brother)	D + /R +	28 days	CMV pneumonia	2.26 × 10 <sup>4</sup> (BAL fluid), 4.16 × 10 <sup>3</sup> (plasma)	RSV	-	-	ATG	CSA + MMF + LDMTX	>99%	GCV	12 days	-Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 3 weeks. -Complete resolution of pneumonia in 4 weeks.
5	M	4	TM	Haplo (father)	D + /R -	48 days	CMV pneumonia	7.53 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (plasma), 7.70 × 10 <sup>4</sup> (BAL fluid)	EBV DNAemia, bacterial enteritis	-	-	ATG	PTCY + FK506 + MMF + LDMTX	>99%	GCV + FOS + CMV-IVIG	19 days	-Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 3 weeks. -Complete resolution of pneumonia in 12 weeks.
6	M	1	SAA	Haplo (father)	D + /R +	29 days	CMV pneumonia	8.48 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (plasma), CMV DNA in BAL fluid confirmed by NGS	Fungal meningitis, bacterial enteritis	III	-	ATG	PTCY + FK506 + MMF + LDMTX	>99%	GCV + CMV-IVIG	15 days	-Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 4 weeks. -Complete resolution of pneumonia in 6 weeks.
7	F	5	TM	Haplo (mother)	D + /R +	45 days	CMV pneumonia	4.55 × 10 <sup>2</sup> (plasma), 9.35 × 10 <sup>4</sup> (BAL fluid)	EBV DNAemia, fungal pneumonia	II	-	ATG	PTCY + FK506 + MMF + LDMTX	60–80% for the first month then >99% after 2 months.	GCV + CMV-IVIG	20 days	-Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 3 weeks. -Complete resolution of pneumonia in 6 weeks.

Table 1 continued

Patient No	Sex	Age (years)	Diagnosis	Donor type	CMV serostatus	Time to CMV reactivation after HSCT	CMV infection/disease	Peak CMV DNA titre (IU/mL)	Co-infections	aGVHD	cGVHD	T cell depletion strategy	GVHD prophylaxis	Chimerism	CMV antiviral treatment	Duration from CMV infection to CMV-CTLs therapy	Outcomes
8	F	5	TM	Haplo (father)	D + /R +	40 days	CMV pneumonia	$7.14 \times 10^2$ (plasma), $2.48 \times 10^2$ (BAL fluid)	-	IV	-	ATG	PTCY + FK506 + MMF + LDMTX	>99%	GCV + CMV-IVIG	21 days	pneumonia in 6 weeks. -Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 3 weeks. -Complete resolution of pneumonia in 5 weeks.
9	F	4	TM	Haplo (sister)	D + /R +	33 days	Refractory CMV DNAemia	$5.78 \times 10^3$ (plasma)	EBV DNAemia	II	-	ATG	PTCY + CSA + MMF + LDMTX	<50% for the first months then >99% after 2 months.	GCV + CMV-IVIG	19 days	Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 2 weeks.
10	M	2	SAA	Haplo (father)	D + /R +	27 days	CMV pneumonia	$1.33 \times 10^3$ (plasma), $1.35 \times 10^2$ (BAL fluid)	Invasive fungal infection, adenoviral enteritis	-	-	ATG	PTCY + CSA + MMF + LDMTX	>99%	GCV + FOS + CMV-IVIG	23 days	-Resolution of CMV DNAemia in 4 weeks. -Complete resolution of pneumonia in 5 weeks.

ATG anti-thymocyte globulin, BAL fluid bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, CMV cytomegalovirus, CSA cyclosporine, CMV-CTLs cytomegalovirus specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes, D donor, F female, FK506 tacrolimus, FOS foscaimet, GCV ganciclovir, Haplo haploidentical, HBV hepatitis B virus, IVIG intravenous immunoglobulin, LDMTX low dose methotrexate, M male, MMF mycophenolate mofetile, MSD matched sibling donor, NGS next-generation sequencing, PTCY post-transplant cyclophosphamide, PVB19 parvovirus B19, R recipient, RSV respiratory syncytial virus, SAA severe aplastic anemia, TM thalassemia major.

significant increase in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in most patients after CTL transfusion except in patient 1. This can help to clear an active viral infection faster and shorten the duration of treatment, as observed in other studies. CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells are also important in the adaptive immune response against CMV. CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells is required to facilitate and maintain the classic cytotoxic response mediated by the CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell response after adoptive transfer of CMV CTLs in HSCT patients [10]. In children, impaired CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell immunity has been shown to be associated with delayed regression of CMV-related diseases. However, no correlation was found between CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell level and the severity of CMV diseases [11]. In this study, each CTL product contained 1–9.1% of CD3<sup>+</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. However, significant increases in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were only observed in two patients (patients 8 and 9) after CTL transfusion. Instead, a steady increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was observed over time in most patients. CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells have been suggested to be important for the detection of CMV during latency and can therefore offer protection against late CMV infection [11]. Another interesting finding from this study is that adoptive transfer of CMV-CTLs also facilitates the recovery of non-T cell populations, including B cells and NK cells, in some patients, suggesting that CTLs may offer universal benefits for immune reconstitution after HSCT. However, the exact mechanism requires further investigation.

A major concern with the use of CMV-CTLs is the potential to develop or extravate aGVHD due to alloreactivities caused by CTLs. In the current study, aGVHD was observed in four patients at the time of CMV infection, of which only two patients had grade III–IV aGVHD. To prevent the development or aggravate of aGVHD, patients received a lower dose of CMV-CTLs on the first infusion and a higher dose on the second infusion. In accordance with other published studies, we did not observe any increase in the severity of aGVHD after CMV-CTL transfusion [12]. However, an optimisation of the dose of CMV-CTLs for paediatric patients is still required in adequately larger, randomised and prospective studies.

In conclusion, our results demonstrated that adoptive transfusion of donor-derived CMV-specific CTLs is effective and safe for the treatment of CMV diseases and refractory CMV infection in paediatric HSCT recipients. Early intervention with CMV-CTLs combined with standard antiviral treatment can facilitate immune recovery, resolve CMV diseases, and prevent latent CMV infection.

Xiaodong Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Uet Yu<sup>1,2</sup>, Chunlan Yang<sup>1,2</sup>, Chunjing Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoling Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yue Li<sup>1</sup>, Changgang Li<sup>1</sup>, Feiqiu Wen<sup>1</sup> and Sixi Liu<sup>1</sup>✉

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology and Oncology, Shenzhen Children's Hospital, Shenzhen, China. <sup>2</sup>These authors contributed equally: Xiaodong Wang, Uet Yu, Chunlan Yang. ✉email: tiger647@126.com

## REFERENCES

1. Feuchtinger T, Opher K, Bethge WA, Topp MS, Schuster FR, Weissinger EM, et al. Adoptive transfer of pp65-specific T cells for the treatment of chemorefractory cytomegalovirus disease or reactivation after haploidentical and matched unrelated stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2010;116:4360–7. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-01-262089>. e-pub ahead of print 2010/07/14.
2. Zhao XY, Pei XY, Chang YJ, Yu XX, Xu LP, Wang Y, et al. First-line therapy with donor-derived human cytomegalovirus (HCMV)-specific T cells reduces persistent HCMV infection by promoting antiviral immunity after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;70:1429–37. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz368>. e-pub ahead of print 2019/05/09.
3. Ljungman P, de la Camara R, Robin C, Crocchiolo R, Einsele H, Hill JA, et al. Guidelines for the management of cytomegalovirus infection in patients with haematological malignancies and after stem cell transplantation from the 2017 European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia (ECIL 7). *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2019;19:e260–e272. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099\(19\)30107-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(19)30107-0). e-pub ahead of print 2019/06/04.
4. Pfirrmann V, Oelsner S, Rettinger E, Huenecke S, Bonig H, Merker M, et al. Cytomegalovirus-specific cytokine-induced killer cells: concurrent targeting of

- leukemia and cytomegalovirus. *Cytotherapy*. 2015;17:1139–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcyt.2015.04.011>. e-pub ahead of print 2015/06/15.
5. Gupta MP, Koenig LR, Doubrovina E, Hasan A, Dahi PB, O'Reilly RJ, et al. Ocular outcomes after treatment of cytomegalovirus (CMV) retinitis using adoptive immunotherapy with CMV-specific cytotoxic T-lymphocytes. *Ophthalmol Retina*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oret.2021.04.009>. e-pub ahead of print 2021/04/24.
6. Avetisyan G, Aschan J, Hägglund H, Ringdén O, Ljungman P. Evaluation of intervention strategy based on CMV-specific immune responses after allogeneic SCT. *Bone Marrow Transpl*. 2007;40:865–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bmt.1705825>. e-pub ahead of print 2007/08/29.
7. Solano C, Benet I, Remigia MJ, de la Cámara R, Muñoz-Cobo B, Costa E, et al. Immunological monitoring for guidance of preemptive antiviral therapy for active cytomegalovirus infection in allogeneic stem-cell transplant recipients: a pilot experience. *Transplantation*. 2011;92:e17–20. <https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0b013e318224f263>. e-pub ahead of print 2011/08/05.
8. Peggs KS, Verfuert S, Pizzey A, Chow SL, Thomson K, Mackinnon S. Cytomegalovirus-specific T cell immunotherapy promotes restoration of durable functional antiviral immunity following allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;49:1851–60. <https://doi.org/10.1086/648422>. e-pub ahead of print 2009/11/17.
9. Cwynarski K, Ainsworth J, Cobbold M, Wagner S, Mahendra P, Apperley J, et al. Direct visualization of cytomegalovirus-specific T-cell reconstitution after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2001;97:1232–40. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.v97.5.1232>. e-pub ahead of print 2001/02/27.
10. Lim EY, Jackson SE, Wills MR. The CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell response to human cytomegalovirus in healthy and immunocompromised people. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2020;10:202. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00202>. e-pub ahead of print 2020/06/09.
11. Gabanti E, Lilleri D, Ripamonti F, Bruno F, Zelini P, Furione M, et al. Reconstitution of human cytomegalovirus-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells is critical for control of virus reactivation in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients but does not prevent organ infection. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant: J Am Soc Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2015;21:2192–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbmt.2015.08.002>. e-pub ahead of print 2015/08/12.
12. Blyth E, Clancy L, Simms R, Ma CK, Burgess J, Deo S, et al. Donor-derived CMV-specific T cells reduce the requirement for CMV-directed pharmacotherapy after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2013;121:3745–58. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-08-448977>. e-pub ahead of print 2013/02/26.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Kuang-Yueh Chiang at the Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto, Canada for the mentorship and consultation during the clinical care of the patients and parents and patients that participated in this study. Data included in this study were presented in an oral presentation at the ICBMT 2021 Virtual Congress on 27th August 2021 and a poster discussion session at the SIOP 2021 Virtual Congress on 24th October 2021.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XW, UY and CY drafted and revised the paper with equal contributions. CW, XZ and YL collected and analyzed patient data, CL and FW gave critical review on the paper. SL revised and supervised the writing of this paper.

## FUNDING

This study was funded by Sanming Project of Medicine in Shenzhen (SZSM 201512033), Shenzhen Fund for Guangdong Provincial High-level Clinical Key Specialties (SZGSP012), Shenzhen Key Medical Discipline Construction Fund (SZXK034), Shenzhen Science and Technology Innovation Commission (RCBS20200714114858018), and Shenzhen Healthcare Research Project (SZLY2018015).

## COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Sixi Liu.

**Reprints and permission information** is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**OpenAccess** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2021