Blood Cancer Journal www.nature.com/bcj

EDITORIAL OPEN



Like a bridge over troubled water: keeping the myeloma down en route to CAR-T

© The Author(s) 2024

Blood Cancer Journal (2024)14:64; https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41408-024-01049-z

Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy has become a key option for patients with relapsed and refractory Multiple Myeloma (RRMM). Due to a variety of logistical issues, patients may warrant "bridging" therapy between T-cell leukapheresis and lymphodepleting chemotherapy. This bridge may serve multiple roles: (1) Provide disease control during the manufacturing process (and avoid sequelae of progressive myeloma); (2) Potentially reduce CAR-T-related toxicities, which may correlate with disease burden; (3) potentially improve remission durability as a result [1]. Afrough et al. delineate the impact of bridging therapy in a real-world dataset from the U.S. Myeloma Immunotherapy Consortium [2].

On March 26, 2021, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved idecabtagene vicleucel (Ide-cel) as the first CAR-T therapy for RRMM (https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resourcesinformation-approved-drugs/fda-approves-idecabtagenevicleucel-multiple-myeloma). Subsequently, on February 28, 2022 the FDA approved ciltacabtagene autoleucel (Cilta-cel) as an additional CAR-T for RRMM (https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resourcesinformation-approved-drugs/fda-approves-ciltacabtagene-autoleu cel-relapsed-or-refractory-multiple-myeloma). Despite the evolving data showing unprecedented response rates, in both early and heavily relapsed MM, there are a number of "logistical hurdles" that must be taken into account when considering these options for patients. Apheresis/production availability is the first impediment that must be overcome as there is, at this time, a limited number of slots for collection and manufacturing. Once the apheresis date is confirmed the general practice is to avoid chemotherapy for 2-3 weeks prior to apheresis in efforts to avoid altering T-cell health/number. Once the apheresis is complete the T-cell manufacturing and subsequent quality control protocols may require 3-5 weeks [3] (and in some cases up to 8 weeks); notwithstanding ongoing efforts to shorten this timeframe. To this end, RRMM patients would have, in some cases, a 2-month gap to overcome between confirmation of progressive disease and CAR-T infusion. As a first pass, to avoid sequelae of progressive disease, bridging therapy (BT) helps to ensure a higher chance that the patient does not have excessive morbidity/mortality during the vein-to-vein time. Although there may be highly restricted options for BT in the context of clinical trials; commercial BT is at the discretion of the treating physician and may vary wildly due to factors such as disease burden, kinetic/rate of progression, end-organ dysfunction, therapy availability, prior therapy tolerability and response, patient preference, efficacy/toxicity profile, presence of symptomatic plasmacytoma, etc.

Options for BT run the gamut from observation alone (for patients with low burden/well-controlled disease) all the way up to aggressive chemotherapy. Radiation for focal disease can be

used as a bridging approach for RRMM [4], however, the corollary experience in lymphoma is a bit more flushed out [5, 6]. This dataset from the U.S. Myeloma Immunotherapy consortium, with 214 patients from 11 sites, represents one of the largest of a kind to date. Several conclusions arise from their work. Put simply....less is more!! Patients not receiving/requiring BT had a significantly longer PFS (11.48 vs. 6.68 months), longer OS (not reached vs. 13.85 months), shorter hospital stay (10 vs. 8 days), and a non-significant trend towards lower rates of immune effector-cell neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS).

Despite the best-laid plans of mice and men; patients may still require BT. In this scenario, the data is evaluated in three groups: No alkylators, weekly cyclophosphamide (Cy), and intensified/infusional-Cy. Notwithstanding that one of the major determinants of choice of BT is disease burden and growth kinetic; a number of interesting results arise. Although alkylator-based BT resulted in worse PFS and OS, when this was separated into weekly vs. intensified/infusional Cy the data showed that the weekly application was not statistically different from the nonalkylator group. Ultimately it was the more aggressive alkylator group that led to both worse PFS (4.6 vs. 12 months) as well as OS (10 vs. NR months) compared with the other BT approaches. This data corroborates findings from a smaller previous study showing overall worse outcomes from patients receiving/requiring more intensive alkylator-based BT [7].

In large B-cell lymphoma, it has been shown that patients requiring systemic BT will have inferior outcomes [8]. In RRMM higher disease burden (including extramedullary disease) as well as serologic markers of increased inflammation (ferritin, CRP, IL-6) are predictive for both increased acute toxicity as well as diminished clinical outcomes [9-11]. Although a personalized approach is still warranted, the overarching principle of disease control prior to CAR-T infusion should be sought through continued optimization of BT strategies. Future directions include earlier utilization and planning for CAR-T to minimize the need for aggressive BT. The role of T-cell redirecting bispecific antibodies (bsAb) as a BT modality remains unclear as well. For triple-class refractory MM, one could consider talquetamab (a GPRC5d targeting bsAb) as BT prior to a BCMA-targeting CAR-T. Continued efforts such as these are warranted to transform CAR-T into a curative modality!

As optimization of outcomes for CAR-T therapy continues with novel/dual targets, rapid manufacturing, and maintenance approaches; one key avenue for improving outcomes is focusing on the need and type of BT. As research continues with constructs such as allogeneic CAR-T, academic-based, and rapidly manufactured autologous CAR-Ts; we may reach a point where BT is not a requisite. By reducing "vein-to-vein" time, many patients may not need/have time for any intervening therapy. However, BT may still be required even in those cases to debulk disease and optimize outcomes. Efforts to minimize/eliminate BT are additionally valuable as BT itself (especially more aggressive BT) can

Received: 30 January 2024 Revised: 20 March 2024 Accepted: 3 April 2024

Published online: 12 April 2024

have significant morbidity in deference to cytopenias and infectious risk.

This study elucidates not only the importance of disease biology but also the role of management prior to apheresis. Having aggressive disease immediately prior to CAR-T continues to have a negative impact on the outcome even if BT is administered. If CAR-T is going to be an nth-line therapy, start preparing at line n-1. This includes referral to a CAR-T center or internal preparation for CAR-T during the previous line, prior to progression. Initiation of the logistical processes of CAR-T early in the cadence of progressive disease and not later. This may help to provide a more optimized patient who may not require extensive (or perhaps any) BT.

Joshua Richter [□]

¹Tisch Cancer Institute: Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. [™]email: Joshua.Richter@mountsinai.org

REFERENCES

- 1. Bhaskar ST, Dholaria BR, Sengsayadeth SM, Savani BN, Oluwole OO. Role of bridging therapy during chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy. EJHaem.
- 2. Afrough A, Hashmi H, Hansen D, Sidana S, Ahn C, Peres L, et al. Real-World Impact of Bridging Therapy on Outcomes of Ide-cel for Myeloma in the U.S. Myeloma Immunotherapy Consortium. Blood Cancer J. 2023. https://doi.org/10.21203/ rs.3.rs-3477431/v1
- 3. Mikhael J, Fowler J, Shah N. Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapies: barriers and solutions to access. ICO Oncol Pr. 2022:18:800-7.
- 4. Ababneh HS, Yee AJ, Raje NS, Martin S, Frigault MJ, Ng AK, et al. Radiation therapy as a bridging and salvage strategy in patients with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma undergoing BCMA-targeted CAR T-cell therapy. Radiother Oncol. 2023;189:109933. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2023.109933.
- 5. Sim AJ, Jain MD, Figura NB, Chavez JC, Shah BD, Khimani F, et al. Radiation therapy as a bridging strategy for CAR T cell therapy with axicabtagene ciloleucel in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2019;105:1012-21.
- 6. Hubbeling H, Silverman EA, Michaud L, Tomas AA, Shouval R, Flynn J, et al. Bridging radiation rapidly and effectively cytoreduces high-risk relapsed/refractory aggressive B cell lymphomas prior to chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy. Transpl Cell Ther. 2023;29:259.e1-259.e10.

- 7. Zafar A, Huang C-Y, Lo M, Arora S, Chung A, Wong SW, et al. Intensity of cyclophosphamide-based bridging therapy before chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy in myeloma. Transpl Cell Ther. 2023;29:504.e1-504.e7.
- 8. Pinnix CC, Gunther JR, Dabaja BS, Strati P, Fang P, Hawkins MC, et al. Bridging therapy prior to axicabtagene ciloleucel for relapsed/refractory large B-cell lymphoma. Blood Adv. 2020;4:2871-83.
- 9. Akhtar OS, Modi K, Kim J, Skelson L, Smith E, Al-Jumayli MA, et al. Simple score of albumin and CRP predicts high-grade toxicity in patients with multiple myeloma receiving CAR-T therapy. Transpl Cell Ther. 2023;52666-6367:01745-1.
- 10. Liu Y, Jie X, Nian L, Wang Y, Wang C, Ma J, et al. A combination of pre-infusion serum ferritin, CRP and IL-6 predicts outcome in relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma patients treated with CAR-T cells Front Immunol 2023:14:1169071 https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1169071.
- 11. Pan D, Mouhieddine TH, Fu W, Moshier E, Parekh S, Jagannath S, et al. Outcomes after CAR T cells in multiple myeloma patients with extramedullary and paramedullary disease. Blood. 2023;142:1006.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JR conceptualized and wrote the manuscript

COMPETING INTERESTS

Joshua Richter receives an honorarium from advisory boards and consulting from BMS.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give

appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024

(00)