ARTICLE OPEN (Inclusion open) One thousand patients with essential thrombocythemia: the Mayo Clinic experience

Naseema Gangat ¹¹¹, Omer Karrar ¹, Aref Al-Kali ¹, Kebede H. Begna ¹, Michelle A. Elliott¹, Alexandra P. Wolanskyj-Spinner¹, Animesh Pardanani¹, Curtis A. Hanson², Rhett P. Ketterling³ and Ayalew Tefferi ¹

© The Author(s) 2024

We describe 1000 patients with essential thrombocythemia seen at the Mayo Clinic between 1967 and 2023: median age 58 years (18–90), females 63%, *JAK2/CALR/MPL*-mutated 62%/27%/3%, triple-negative (TN) 8%, extreme thrombocytosis (ExT; platelets \geq 1000 × 10⁹/L) 26%, leukocytosis (leukocyte count >11 × 10⁹/L) 20%, and abnormal karyotype 6%. *JAK2*-mutated patients were older (median 71 years), and *CALR* mutated (52 years), and TN (50 years) younger (p < 0.01). Female gender clustered with TN (73%) and *JAK2* (69%) vs. *CALR/MPL* (49%/47%) mutations (p < 0.01). ExT clustered with *CALR* (type-2 more than type-1) and TN and leukocytosis with *JAK2* mutation (p < 0.01). In multivariable analysis, risk factors for overall survival were older age (p < 0.01), male gender (HR 1.8), absolute neutrophil count (ANC) \geq 8 × 10⁹/L (HR 1.6), absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) < 1.7 × 10⁹/L (HR 1.5), hypertension (HR 1.7), and arterial thrombosis history (HR 1.7); for leukemia-free survival, ExT (HR 2.3) and abnormal karyotype (HR 3.1); for myelofibrosis-free survival, ANC \geq 8 × 10⁹/L (HR 2.3) and *MPL* mutation (HR 3.9); for arterial thrombosis-free survival, age \geq 60 years (HR 1.9), male gender (HR 1.6), arterial thrombosis history (HR 1.7), hypertension (HR 1.7), and *JAK2* mutation (HR 1.8); for venous thrombosis-free survival, male gender (HR 1.8) and venous thrombosis history (HR 3.0). Associations between ExT and leukemic transformation and between ANC and fibrotic progression were limited to *JAK2*-mutated cases. Aspirin therapy appeared to mitigate both arterial (HR 0.4) and venous (HR 0.4) thrombosis risk. HR-based risk models delineated patients with median survivals ranging from 10 years to not reached and 20-year leukemia/myelofibrosis incidences from 3%/21% to 12.8%/49%. The current study provides both novel and confirmatory observations of essential thrombocythemia.

Blood Cancer Journal (2024)14:11; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41408-023-00972-x

INTRODUCTION

Essential thrombocythemia (ET) is one of four *JAK2* mutationprevalent myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) and is characterized by a mandatory but not specific thrombocytosis (platelet count \geq 450 × 109/L) that is proven or presumed to be clonal and not associated with another myeloid neoplasm, such as chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), polycythemia vera (PV), and primary myelofibrosis (PMF) [1, 2]. The latter two share common molecular and morphologic traits with ET, including *JAK2, CALR*, and *MPL* mutations (also known as MPN driver mutations); these mutations are mutually exclusive, for the most part, and their frequencies in ET are ~60% for *JAK2, 25%* for *CALR*, and 3% for *MPL*; of note, these three driver mutations might not be detected in ~10–15% of patients with ET, henceforth referred to as triple-negative ET [1, 3].

Prognosis in ET is generally favorable with consistent risk of thrombohemorrhagic complications and disease progression into myelofibrosis (post-ET MF) or acute myeloid leukemia (AML), also known as "blast phase MPN" [4–6]. Survival in ET approximates that of the general population with median estimated to exceed 30 years in patients younger than 40 years of age [7–9]. The recently introduced "triple A (AAA)" survival model in ET employs age, absolute neutrophil count, and

absolute lymphocyte count, in order to risk-stratify patients into high, intermediate-2, intermediate-1, and low-risk groups, with respective median survivals of 8, 13.5, 20.7, and 47 years [10]. In addition, abnormal karyotype [11] and high molecular risk (HMR-ET; *SF3B1, TP53*) mutations [12] independently predict inferior survival in ET. Current drug therapy has not been shown to modify the natural history of the disease, and its use is primarily directed at the prevention of thrombosis, guided by thrombosis risk models that are based on thrombosis history, age, and presence of *JAK2* mutation [13, 14].

Over the last half-century, the Mayo Clinic has been a center of excellence for patient care and research in MPN, under the leadership of the late Murray N. Silverstein (1928–1998); part of this decades-long experience has been assembled into previously published large natural history studies, including a 1000-patient report on primary myelofibrosis [15]. The current report includes 1000 patients with ET, seen at the Mayo Clinic between 1967 and 2023, and selected on the basis of full annotation for driver mutations; we describe presenting clinical and laboratory characteristics, frequency and outcome of post-diagnosis events, and detailed global and driver mutation-specified analyses of overall, leukemia-free, and myelofibrosis-free survival, as well as predictors for such events.

¹Division of Hematology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA. ²Division of Hematopathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA. ³Division of Laboratory Medicine and Cytogenetics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA. ^{Sem}email: gangat.naseema@mayo.edu; tefferi.ayalew@mayo.edu

2

METHODS

The current study includes 1000 consecutive patients with ET, who underwent evaluation at the Mayo Clinic between December 1967 and March 2023 and in whom bone marrow biopsies and driver mutation information was available for review. All cases fulfilled the ICC 2022 diagnostic criteria [16] and were fully annotated for driver mutations, while cytogenetic information was available in a subset of patients (n = 875). Patients were retrospectively recruited after institutional review board approval was obtained. In order to minimize the inadvertent inclusion of patients with masked PV [17], JAK2 mutated cases with hemoglobin level >16 g/dL in women and 16.5 g/dL in men were excluded; similarly, cases with anemia defined by sex adjusted hemoglobin level of <11 g/dL in women and <12.5 g/dL in men without an alternative explanation were also excluded, in order to avoid inadvertent inclusion of patients with prefibrotic MF [18]. Thrombosis and survival risk was assessed by the revised IPSET-thrombosis [13] and triple A survival model [10], respectively. Conventional criteria were used for definitions of major arterial and venous thrombotic events, major hemorrhage, fibrotic or leukemic transformation [4, 6, 16]. Therapeutic interventions were dependent on physician discretion and mostly included aspirin therapy in low-risk patients and the addition of cytoreductive therapy, in high-risk patients. Patients were followed until death or last follow-up, as assessed by medical records or through direct contact with patients or their physicians, with follow-up information updated in August 2023.

Comparison between categorical variables was performed by Chi-square test and continuous variables by Wilcoxon/Kruskal–Wallis tests. Cox regression analysis was used to identify risk factors for overall (OS), leukemia-free survival (LFS), myelofibrosis-free (MFFS), and thrombosis-free (TFS). The Kaplan–Meier method was used to construct time-to-event curves, which were compared by the log-rank test. *P* value \leq 0.05 was considered significant. JMP Pro 17.1.0 software package, SAS Institute, Cary, NC was utilized for all analyses.

RESULTS

Presenting characteristics

One thousand patients with ET (median age 58 years, 63% female) were fully annotated for driver mutations which included JAK2 (62%, n = 617), CALR (27%, n = 269 [type 1/type 1-like CALR, n = 149; type 2/type-2-like CALR, n = 105, CALR type indeterminate, n = 15]), MPL (3%, n = 30), or TN (8%, n = 84). Information regarding presenting clinical and laboratory features, including treatment, was available in most patients (Table 1). Median age/ gender distributions for JAK2, type 1/type 1-like CALR, type 2/type 2-like CALR, MPL-mutated, and TN cases were 71 years/69% females, 53 years/50% females, 51 years/51% females, 66 years/ 47% females, and 50 years/73% females, respectively (p < 0.01/ < 0.01). Median values for hemoglobin, platelet, and leukocyte count were 13.9 g/dL, 777×10^{9} /L (extreme thrombocytosis (ExT); platelets $\geq 1000 \times 10^{9}$ /L in 26%) and 8.5 $\times 10^{9}$ /L (leukocyte count $>11 \times 10^{9}$ /L in 20%), respectively. Median/range values for ANC (n = 653) were 5.69 (1.54–26.5) (ANC $\ge 8 \times 10^9$ /L in 17%), and ALC (n = 650) were 1.86 (0.38–5.69) (ALC < 1.7×10^9 /L in 40%). Palpable splenomegaly was documented in 12% patients, microvascular symptoms in 29% and cardiovascular risk factors in 54%. Cytogenetic studies showed an abnormal karyotype in 6% with incidence rates of 7%, 4%, 3%, and 4%, in JAK2/CALR/MPL-mutated and TN cases, respectively, (p = 0.31). Treatment information was available in most patients; aspirin was initiated at the time of diagnosis in 763/908 (81%), cytoreductive therapy in 563/915 (62%), and systemic anticoagulation in 167/859 (19%) of patients.

Table 1 lists presenting features of 1000 patients with ET and highlights salient associations of genotype with phenotype. At presentation, *JAK2*-mutated patients compared with *CALR*-mutated counterparts were significantly older (median age; 71 vs 52 years; p < 0.01), displayed female preponderance (69% vs 49%; p < 0.01), higher incidence of hypertension (46 vs 36%; p < 0.01), and smoking (21% vs 15%; p = 0.02), higher hemoglobin (median Hb; 14 vs 13.6 g/dl; p < 0.01), and leukocyte count (median leukocyte count; 8.9 vs 8×10^9 /l; p < 0.01), lower platelet count (median platelet count; 705 vs 955 $\times 10^9$ /l; p < 0.01) and

higher rates of arterial (16% vs 7%; p < 0.01) and venous thrombosis history (13% vs 4%; p < 0.01). Findings were similar when JAK2-mutated cases were compared with those harboring type 1/type 1-like or type 2/type 2-like CALR mutations (Supplementary Table 1). Overall, type 1/type 1-like and type 2/ type 2-like CALR-mutated patients depicted similar phenotype with the exception of higher platelet count in the presence of type 2/type 2-like CALR mutation (median; 1044 vs $890 \times 10^9/l$; p = 0.001) (Supplementary Table 1). Compared with *MPL*-mutated cases, JAK2-mutated patients were older (median age; 71 vs 66 years; p = 0.02), more likely to be female (69 vs 47%; p = 0.01) and displayed higher leukocyte count (median leukocyte count; 8.9 vs 7.4 \times 109/l; p = 0.001). JAK2-mutated and TN patients shared similar gender distribution (predominantly female), with notable differences in age (older age for JAK2-mutated), hemoglobin level (higher in JAK2-mutated), leukocyte count (higher in JAK2-muated) and platelet count (higher in TN). On the other hand, comparison of CALR-mutated and TN patients revealed the former to be associated with male gender, higher hemoglobin level, and lower rates of arterial and venous thrombosis and major hemorrhage history (Table 1).

Major thrombosis history at or before diagnosis was present in 222 (22%) of patients, including 137 (14%) arterial, and 102 (10%) venous events. Incidence rates of major arterial/venous thrombosis for JAK2, type 1/type 1-like CALR, type 2/type 2-like CALR, MPLmutated and TN cases were 16%/13%, 7%/5%, 7%/2%, 13%/7%, and 18%/12%, respectively. Arterial and venous thrombosis rates were significantly lower in CALR-mutated cases when compared with JAK2, whose thrombosis risk was otherwise similar to those with MPL mutation and TN (p < 0.01 and < 0.01). Furthermore, advanced age, male gender, and hypertension showed an independent association with arterial thrombosis at or prior to diagnosis (Table 2). 74 of 983 (8%) patients reported major hemorrhage history with respective incidence rates of 8%, 4%, 7%, 10%, and 13%, for JAK2, type 1/type 1-like CALR, type 2/type 2-like CALR, MPL-mutated and TN cases. Notably, CALR-mutated patients were less likely to present with major hemorrhage compared to JAK2, MPL-mutated, and TN cases (5% vs 10%; p = 0.05). On the other hand, female gender was associated with higher rates of hemorrhage (76% vs 24% in female and male patients; p = 0.02) (Table 2).

Clinical course

Survival. Among 1000 patients with ET, 282 (28%) were followed until death; the median follow-up time for all patients and living patients was 8.5 years (range, 0.01-52.7) and 7.1 years (range, 0.01-52.7), respectively. Causes of death were known in 124 patients and included blastic transformation (n = 26), infection (n = 26), thrombosis (n = 16), solid tumor (n = 15), major hemorrhage (n = 8), heart failure (n = 6), myelofibrosis (n = 5), dementia (n = 3), renal failure (n = 5), hepatic failure (n = 3), injury/accident (n = 3), graft-versus host disease (n = 2) and others (n = 8).

Median survival was 20.6 years with 10-year, 20-year, and 30year survival rates of 81%, 52%, and 25%, respectively. In univariate analysis, OS appeared significantly better in type 1/type 1-like CALR, type 2/type 2-like CALR-mutated and TN patients (median 23.1/23.6/22.7 years, respectively) and worse in MPL and JAK2-mutated cases (median 16.9/17.8 years) (p = 0.03) (Fig. 1a). However, the difference in OS was no longer apparent (p = 0.39) during multivariable analysis that included age and gender, which were differentially clustered with specific driver mutations (Table 1). Moreover, univariate analysis also identified the following variables as risk factors for OS: age >70 years and 50–70 years, ANC $\ge 8 \times 10^9$ /L, ALC < 1.7 g/dl, male gender, arterial thrombosis history, hypertension, abnormal karyotype, arterial thrombosis, and major hemorrhage after diagnosis. In multivariable analysis, age >70 years and 50-70 years (p < 0.01; HR 22.4/ 5.1, 95% CI 11.7–43.2/2.8–9.6, respectively), ANC $\ge 8 \times 10^{9}$ /L

Mathematical matrix of the probability of the p	tripie negative).									
App: Non-section (a) (10-b) (10-b) (21-b)	Variables	All patients n = 1000	<i>JAK2</i> mutated <i>n</i> = 617 (62%)	CALR mutated n = 269 (27%)	<i>MP</i> L mutated <i>n</i> = 30 (3%)	Triple negative n = 84 (8%)	P value JAK2 vs CALR	P value JAK2 vs MPL	P value JAK2 vs triple negative	P value CALR vs triple negative
Stand Stand <th< td=""><td>Age in years, median (range)</td><td>58 (18–90)</td><td>71 (18–90)</td><td>52 (18–85)</td><td>66 (36–89)</td><td>50 (19–86)</td><td><0.0001</td><td>0.02</td><td><0.001</td><td>0.26</td></th<>	Age in years, median (range)	58 (18–90)	71 (18–90)	52 (18–85)	66 (36–89)	50 (19–86)	<0.0001	0.02	<0.001	0.26
Teache and the many a	Age ≥60 years, <i>n</i> (%)	449 (45)	305 (49)	93 (35)	23 (77)	28 (33)	<0.0001	0.003	0.005	0.83
Herodolic of Indian 13 (11-12) 13 (11-16) </td <td>Female gender, n (%)</td> <td>633 (63)</td> <td>427 (69)</td> <td>131 (49)</td> <td>14 (47)</td> <td>61 (73)</td> <td><0.0001</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.52</td> <td><0.0001</td>	Female gender, n (%)	633 (63)	427 (69)	131 (49)	14 (47)	61 (73)	<0.0001	0.01	0.52	<0.0001
Lundbord 513-31 89 63-321 80 73-124 743-114 743-194 <td>Hemoglobin g/dl, median (range)</td> <td>13.9 (10–17.2)</td> <td>14.0 (10–17.2)</td> <td>13.6 (10.5–16.4)</td> <td>13.8 (11.1–16.1)</td> <td>13.3 (11.5–15.8)</td> <td>0.002</td> <td>0.15</td> <td><0.001</td> <td>0.02</td>	Hemoglobin g/dl, median (range)	13.9 (10–17.2)	14.0 (10–17.2)	13.6 (10.5–16.4)	13.8 (11.1–16.1)	13.3 (11.5–15.8)	0.002	0.15	<0.001	0.02
Luckonseconds 11 × 10 ¹ /11 (b) Y 7 (b) 14 7 (b) Y 7 (b) 14 7 (b) Y 7 (b) 17 (b) <th< td=""><td>Leukocyte count, 10⁹/l, median (range)</td><td>8.5 (3.5–23)</td><td>8.9 (3.5–23)</td><td>8.0 (3.5–18.6)</td><td>7.4 (4.3–11.6)</td><td>7.8 (3.5–19.6)</td><td><0.0001</td><td>0.001</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.44</td></th<>	Leukocyte count, 10 ⁹ /l, median (range)	8.5 (3.5–23)	8.9 (3.5–23)	8.0 (3.5–18.6)	7.4 (4.3–11.6)	7.8 (3.5–19.6)	<0.0001	0.001	0.02	0.44
Relies cont, 10 ¹ , redia T (450 - 360)	Leukocyte count > 11×10^9 /l, <i>n</i> (%)	197 (20)	147 (24)	33 (12)	1 (3)	16 (19)	<0.001	0.002	0.19	0.13
Detectore::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	Platelet count, 10 ⁹ /l, median (range)	777 (450–3460)	705 (450–2466)	955 (454–3460)	802 (551–1169)	905 (473–3330)	<0.0001	0.55	<0.0001	0.94
Deterctorm 345,0 16 (3) 26 (6) 177 (3) 0003 021 0004 031 Deterctorm 84 (6) 84 (6) 34 (58 (5) 14 /26 (6) 17 (3 (5) 0003 025 020 03 Deterctorm 84 (6) 84 (6) 34 (58 (6) 17 (3 (2) 0004 03 03 03 Deterctorm 84 (6) 84 (7) 22 (6) 12 (7) (1) 17 (13) 0004 03 03 03 Deterctorm 13 (6) 87 (6) 82 (6) 12 (6) 17 (13) 0004 03 03 03 Deterctorm 13 (6) 12 (6) 82 (6) 12 (6) 12 (6) 14 (6) 04 03 </td <td>Platelet count $\ge 1000 \times 10^9$/l, <i>n</i> (%)</td> <td>264 (26)</td> <td>105 (17)</td> <td>123 (46)</td> <td>5 (17)</td> <td>31 (37)</td> <td><0.001</td> <td>0.96</td> <td><0.001</td> <td>0.15</td>	Platelet count $\ge 1000 \times 10^9$ /l, <i>n</i> (%)	264 (26)	105 (17)	123 (46)	5 (17)	31 (37)	<0.001	0.96	<0.001	0.15
Condensential (k forto, n (k) 560/44 (s) 343/58 (s) 11/436 (s) 17/35 (s) 61/3 0.004 0.85 0.45 0.04 0.05 0.45 0.04 0.05 0.45 0.04 0.05 0.04	Platelet count $\geq 1500 \times 10^9$ /l, <i>n</i> (%)	48 (5)	16 (3)	22 (8)	0 (0)	10 (12)	0.0003	0.21	0.004	0.31
Debete mellers 8994 (9) 9058 (8) 3.228 (9)	Cardiovascular risk factors, n (%)	506/944 (54)	334/588 (57)	114/249 (46)	17/29 (59)	41/78 (53)	0.004	0.85	0.48	0.30
Hypertreston 405-961(4) 27/38 (46) 80-246 (5) 12/29 (1) 32/71(4) 0.06 0.62 0.69 0.69 0.65 Sterbing Sterbing 12/990 (12) 7.4/85 (6) 30.26 (1) 2.3/71 (1) 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.05 0	Diabetes mellitus	83/942 (9)	49/588 (8)	22/248 (9)	3/29 (10)	9/77 (12)	0.80	0.71	0.35	0.47
Stronding 1899-40 (20) 12.4587 (21) 36.248 (15) 8.72 (28) 21/6 (28) 0.12 0.2 0.12 0.12 0.01<	Hypertension	405/943(43)	271/588 (46)	89/249 (36)	12/29 (41)	33/77(43)	0.006	0.62	0.59	0.26
Patable spinomegaly, r (%) 120'960 (12) 7/660 (13) 302'67(1) 236 (7) 11/44 (13) 0.55 0.29 0.91 0.65 Patheminal karyonye, r (%) 3475 (6) 40'56 (7) 10/23 (4) 1/27 (5) 377 (4) 0.23 0.33 <	Smoking	189/940 (20)	124/587 (21)	36/248 (15)	8/29 (28)	21/76 (28)	0.02	0.42	0.21	0.01
Abommal knyotype, n (%) 54/35 (%) 405/6 (%) 10/28 (4) 1/28 (3) 3/72 (4) 0.12 0.38 0.29 0.94 Abommal knyotype, n (%) 22 (22) 164 (77) 20 (11) 2 (17) 2 (29) 0.001 0.21 0.03 0.001 Atemal knyotype, n (%) 12 (10) 98 (16) 2 (17) 2 (17) 15 (18) 0.001 0.21 0.03 0.001 Atemal knyothosis 12 (10) 98 (16) 2 (17) 2 (17) 10 (12) 0.001 0.01 0.01 0.01 Atemal knyothosis 1 (8) 2 (21) 0 (1) 11/82 (13) 0.13 0.02 0.03 0.01 Atematik knyothosis 1 (8) 2 (21) 0 (1) 11/82 (13) 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.11 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.11 0.11 0.13 0.13<	Palpable splenomegaly, n (%)	120/990 (12)	77/609 (13)	30/267(11)	2/30 (7)	11/84 (13)	0.55	0.29	0.91	0.65
Mode thrombools at o plot to balance (%) 222 (2) 164 (2) 29 (1) 5 (1) 2 (2) 6001 0.21 0.83 0001 Attrain thrombools (%) 137 (1) 98 (10) 20 (7) 2 (7) 15 (18) 0.0004 0.70 0.85 001 Attrain thrombools (%) 102 (10) 98 (10) 20 (7) 2 (7) 10 (12) 0.0004 0.70 0.85 001 Attrain thrombools (%) 1001 2 (7) 10 (12) 330 (10) 11/82 (13) 0.13 0.85 001 Mode other (%) 282958 (29) 180756 (30) 72324 (29) 10/29 (34) 19/79 (24) 0.67 0.67 0.69 0.01 Mode other (%) 282958 (29) 180756 (20) 7324 (29) 10/29 (24) 11/82 (13) 0.67 0.67 0.61 0.01 0.01 Mode other (%) 28758 (29) 1903 (89) 74(2) 21 (29) 11/82 (13) 0.75 0.66 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01<	Abnormal karyotype, n (%)	54/875 (6)	40/546 (7)	10/228 (4)	1/29 (3)	3/72 (4)	0.12	0.38	0.29	0.94
Arterial thrombosis* 137 (14) 96 (16) 207) 4 (13) 15 (18) 0.004 0.70 0.65 001 Verous thrombosis* 102 (10) 90 (13) 10 (13) 10 (13) 10 (13) 0.13 0.004 0.72 0.23 001 Verous thrombosis* 102 (10) 102 (10) 10 (13) 10 (13) 10 (13) 0.13 0.004 0.72 0.29 0.01 Verous thrombosis*, n (%) 222353 (29) 13723 (59) 12723 (59) 102 (19) 0.72 0.23 0.01 0.01 Revised PSET-thrombosis*, n (%) 222353 (29) 13723 (59) 10723 (59) 10723 (59) 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.01 Revised PSET-thrombosis*, n (%) 22732 (10) 27124 (29) 10723 (13) 10723 (14) 1.62 0.01 0.01 0.01 Revised PSET-thrombosis*, n (%) 2763 0.01 0.073 0.07 0.07 0.02 0.01 Revised PSET-thrombosis*, n (%) 2703 1073 1073 1023 1023 0.07 0.07 0.01 Revised PSET-thrombosis*, n (%) 2703 0.033 $27(43)$ 12703 1073 1023 0.07 0.07 0.01 Revised PSET-thrombosis*, n (%) 2703 0.733 2103 1023 2103 1023 2103 1023 1023 1023 Leve 1033 10333 10333 10333 10333 10233 10233 10233 <td< td=""><td>Major thrombosis at or prior to diagnosis, <i>n</i> (%)</td><td>222 (22)</td><td>164 (27)</td><td>29 (11)</td><td>5 (17)</td><td>24 (29)</td><td><0.0001</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.63</td><td>0.0001</td></td<>	Major thrombosis at or prior to diagnosis, <i>n</i> (%)	222 (22)	164 (27)	29 (11)	5 (17)	24 (29)	<0.0001	0.21	0.63	0.0001
Venous thromoosib bound the conditioned is a section of a sect	Arterial thrombosis ^a	137 (14)	98 (16)	20 (7)	4 (13)	15 (18)	0.0004	0.70	0.65	0.01
Might hemorthage at or prior to Might hemorthage at or prior to Might hemorthage at or prior to Mignosculfs' $n(0)$ $7/933$ (3) $1/122$ (3) $1/122$ (3) $1/122$ (3) 0.13 0.66 0.10 0.01 Mignosculfs' $n(0)$ 22595 (29) $180'56$ (30) $73'254$ (29) $10'29$ (34) $19'79$ (24) 0.67 0.67 0.63 0.11 0.01 Revised IPSET-thrombosis'' $n(0)$ $27(6)$ 0.00 $47(17)$ $3(10)$ $6(7)$ $ 0.02$ Revised IPSET-thrombosis'' $n(0)$ $27(6)$ 0.01 $47(17)$ $3(10)$ $6(7)$ $ 0.02$ Very low 256 (26) $79(13)$ $10(48)$ $10(33)$ $37(44)$ $ 0.02$ Low 496 (50) 447 (72) $27(10)$ $5(24)$ $12(40)$ $20(24)$ $20(24)$ $ -$ Low 496 (50) 447 (72) $12(40)$ $5(17)$ $21(23)$ $20(24)$ $ -$ <td>Venous thrombosis^b</td> <td>102 (10)</td> <td>80 (13)</td> <td>10 (4)</td> <td>2 (7)</td> <td>10 (12)</td> <td><0.0001</td> <td>0.27</td> <td>0.78</td> <td>0.01</td>	Venous thrombosis ^b	102 (10)	80 (13)	10 (4)	2 (7)	10 (12)	<0.0001	0.27	0.78	0.01
Microascular symptom ⁴ , n (%) 28/358 (2) 180/56 (30) 73/254 (2) 10/29 (34) 19/79 (24) 0.67 0.63 0.25 0.41 Revised PSET-thrombosis ⁶ , n (%) 57 (6) 0 (0) 47 (17) 3 (10) 6 (7) - - - - 0.002 Nev low 256 (26) 79 (13) 130 (48) 10 (033) 3 7 (44) - - - - - 0.002 Low 256 (26) 79 (13) 130 (48) 10 (033) 3 7 (44) - - - - 0.002 Itermediate 191 (19) 6 (7) 2 (10) 2 (24) 12 (40) 2 (24) 0.01	Major hemorrhage at or prior to diagnosis ^c , n (%)	74/983 (8)	47/609 (8)	13/262 (5)	3/30 (10)	11/82 (13)	0.13	0.66	0.10	0.01
Revised IPSET-thrombosis ⁶ , n (%) S7 (6) 0 (0) 47 (17) 3 (10) 6 (7) - - - 0.002 Very low 256 (26) 79 (13) 130 (48) 10 (33) 37 (44) - - 0.002 Low 256 (26) 79 (13) 130 (48) 10 (33) 37 (44) - - - 0.002 Intermediate 191 (19) 94 (15) 26 (20) 27 (10) 21 (25) - - - 0.002 Intermediate 496 (50) 444 (72) 27 (10) 5 (17) 21 (25) - - - - - - - - 0.002 Intermediate 496 (50) 187 (30) 10 (33) 5 (17) 21 (25) - - - - - - 0.002 Intermediate 41 (73) 187 (30) 3 (10) 5 (17) 21 (25) - - - - - 0.001 Intermediate 43 (13) 10 (23) <td>Microvascular symptoms^d, n (%)</td> <td>282/958 (29)</td> <td>180/596 (30)</td> <td>73/254 (29)</td> <td>10/29 (34)</td> <td>19/79 (24)</td> <td>0.67</td> <td>0.63</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>0.41</td>	Microvascular symptoms ^d , n (%)	282/958 (29)	180/596 (30)	73/254 (29)	10/29 (34)	19/79 (24)	0.67	0.63	0.25	0.41
Very low57 (6)0 (0)47 (17)3 (10)6 (7)0.002Low256 (26)79 (13)130 (48)10 (33)37 (44)0.002Intermediate191 (19)94 (15)65 (24)12 (40)20 (24)0.070.07High496 (50)444 (72)27 (10)5 (17)21 (25)0.070.07PET surviol ⁶ n (%)1127 (10)5 (17)21 (25)0.070.07Dow371 (37)187 (30)146 (54)5 (17)21 (25)0.070.07Low371 (37)187 (30)146 (54)5 (17)21 (25)20 (01)0.010.010.070.07Intermediate445 (55)290 (47)93 (35)22 (73)40 (48)0.05Untermediate184 (18)140 (23)30 (11)3 (10)11 (13)11Intermediate140 (23)30 (11)3 (10)11 (13)0.020.02Might75598 (8)30 (71)25298 (8)20 (28) (71)252 (80)20 (71)0.070.190.720.070.07Tratment instituted at diagnosis. n (%)110/527 (23)32029 (14)20 (28 (11)10/75 (16)0.070.190.070.190.07Might254/98 (20)170/231 (74)	Revised IPSET-thrombosis ^e , n (%)									
low256 (26)79 (13)130 (48)10 (33)37 (44)Intermediate191 (19)94 (15)65 (24)12 (40)20 (24)High496 (50)444 (72)27 (10)5 (17)20 (24)FET survival ⁴ , n (90)436 (50)444 (72)27 (10)5 (17)20 (24)Intermediate371 (37)187 (30)146 (54)5 (17)21 (25) 0.01 0.01 0.07 0.05 Low371 (37)187 (30)146 (54)5 (17)3 (39) $$	Very low	57 (6)	0 (0)	47 (17)	3 (10)	6 (7)	ı	ı	ı	0.002
Intermediate [91 (19) [94 (15)) [65 (24)) [2 (40)) [20 (4)) High 496 (50) 444 (72) 27 (10) 5 (17) 21 (25) $< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < $	Low	256 (26)	79 (13)	130 (48)	10 (33)	37 (44)				
HighHof496 (50) $444 (72)$ $27 (10)$ $5 (17)$ $21 (25)$ -0.001 0.01 0.07 0.05 PSET survival ⁷ n (%) $371 (37)$ $187 (30)$ $146 (54)$ $5 (17)$ $33 (39)$ -0.001 0.01 0.07 0.05 Low $371 (37)$ $187 (30)$ $146 (54)$ $5 (17)$ $33 (39)$ -0.001 0.01 0.07 0.05 Intermediate $445 (45)$ $29 (47)$ $93 (35)$ $22 (73)$ $40 (48)$ -0.001 0.01 0.01 0.01 High $140 (23)$ $30 (11)$ $3 (10)$ $3 (10)$ $11 (13)$ -0.001 0.01 0.72 -0.001 Teatment instituted at diagnosi, n (%) -0.001 $107 (23) (74)$ $2572 (86)$ $5973 (81)$ 0.01 0.72 0.52 0.20 Asplin $736/908 (81)$ $482/57 (84)$ $170/231 (74)$ $25/29 (86)$ $59/73 (81)$ 0.01 0.72 0.72 0.20 Asplin $-0.053 (13) (52)$ $340/57 (59)$ $170/231 (74)$ $27/28 (61)$ 0.07 0.19 0.72 0.20 Cytoreductive therapo ⁴ $53/915 (52)$ $340/57 (59)$ $20/28 (71)$ $46/75 (16)$ 0.07 0.19 0.73 0.05 0.73 0.73 Asplin $-0.053 (19)$ $119/527 (23)$ $32/29 (14)$ $4/28 (14)$ $12/75 (16)$ 0.07 0.19 0.19 0.73 0.73	Intermediate	191 (19)	94 (15)	65 (24)	12 (40)	20 (24)				
IPSET survival ⁶ , n (%) 0.07 0.09 0.05 Low 37 (37) 187 (30) 146 (54) 5 (17) 33 (39) 0.01 0.07 0.05 0.05 Intermediate 445 (45) 290 (47) 93 (35) 22 (73) 40 (48) 0.05 0.05	High	496 (50)	444 (72)	27 (10)	5 (17)	21 (25)				
Low $371 (37)$ $187 (30)$ $146 (54)$ $5 (17)$ $33 (39)$ Intermediate $445 (45)$ $20 (47)$ $93 (35)$ $22 (73)$ $40 (48)$ High $184 (18)$ $140 (23)$ $30 (11)$ $3 (10)$ $11 (13)$ Tratmet instituted at diagnosis, $n (%)$ $736/908 (81)$ $482/57 (84)$ $170/231 (74)$ $55/29 (86)$ $59/73 (81)$ 0.001 0.72 0.52 0.20 Aspirin $736/908 (81)$ $482/57 (59)$ $170/231 (74)$ $25/29 (86)$ $59/73 (81)$ 0.001 0.72 0.52 0.20 Cytoreductive therapy ⁴ $563/915 (62)$ $340/574 (59)$ $177/238 (66)$ $20/28 (71)$ $46/75 (61)$ 0.07 0.19 0.73 0.67 Systemic anticoagulation $167/859 (19)$ $119/527 (23)$ $32/229 (14)$ $4/28 (14)$ $12/75 (16)$ 0.05 0.18 0.18 0.73 0.67	IPSET survival ^f , <i>n</i> (%)						<0.0001	0.01	0.07	0.05
Intermediate 445 (45) 290 (47) 93 (35) 22 (73) 40 (48) High 141 (13) 140 (23) 30 (11) 3 (10) 11 (13) 11 (13) Treatment instituted at diagnosis, n (%) 736/908 (81) 482/57 (84) 170/231 (74) 25/29 (86) 59/73 (81) 0.001 0.72 0.52 0.20 Aspirin 736/908 (81) 482/57 (59) 157/238 (66) 20/28 (71) 46/75 (61) 0.001 0.72 0.52 0.20 Cytoreductive therap/ ^a 563/915 (62) 340/574 (59) 157/238 (66) 20/28 (71) 46/75 (61) 0.07 0.19 0.73 0.67 Systemic anticoagulation 167/859 (19) 119/527 (23) 32/229 (14) 4/28 (14) 12/75 (16) 0.05 0.18 0.47	Low	371 (37)	187 (30)	146 (54)	5 (17)	33 (39)				
High 184 (18) 140 (23) 30 (11) 3 (10) 11 (13) Treatment instituted at diagnosis, n (%) 736/908 (81) 482/575 (84) 170/231 (74) 25/29 (86) 59/73 (81) 0.72 0.52 0.20 Aspirin 736/908 (81) 482/575 (84) 170/231 (74) 25/29 (86) 59/73 (81) 0.001 0.72 0.52 0.20 Cytoreductive therapy ^a 563/915 (62) 340/574 (59) 157/238 (66) 20/28 (71) 46/75 (61) 0.07 0.19 0.73 0.67 Systemic anticoagulation 167/859 (19) 119/527 (23) 32/229 (14) 4/28 (14) 12/75 (16) 0.005 0.18 0.18 0.47	Intermediate	445 (45)	290 (47)	93 (35)	22 (73)	40 (48)				
Treatment instituted at diagnosis, n (%) Aspirin 736/908 (81) 482/575 (84) 170/231 (74) 25/29 (86) 59/73 (81) 0.72 0.52 Cytoreductive therapy ⁸ 563/915 (62) 340/574 (59) 157/238 (66) 20/28 (71) 46/75 (61) 0.72 0.52 0.67 Statemic anticoagulation 167/859 (19) 119/527 (23) 32/229 (14) 4/28 (14) 12/75 (16) 0.005 0.13 0.47	High	184 (18)	140 (23)	30 (11)	3 (10)	11 (13)				
Aspirin 736/908 (81) 482/575 (84) 170/231 (74) 25/29 (86) 59/73 (81) 0.001 0.72 0.52 0.20 Cytoreductive therapy ^a 563/915 (62) 340/574 (59) 157/238 (66) 20/28 (71) 46/75 (61) 0.07 0.19 0.73 0.67 Systemic anticoagulation 167/859 (19) 119/527 (23) 32/229 (14) 4/28 (14) 12/75 (16) 0.005 0.18 0.18 0.47	Treatment instituted at diagnosis, $n ~(\%$	(
Cytoreductive therapy ⁹ 563/915 (62) 340/574 (59) 157/238 (66) 20/28 (71) 46/75 (61) 0.07 0.19 0.73 0.67 Systemic anticoagulation 167/859 (19) 119/527 (23) 32/229 (14) 4/28 (14) 12/75 (16) 0.005 0.18 0.18 0.47	Aspirin	736/908 (81)	482/575 (84)	170/231 (74)	25/29 (86)	59/73 (81)	0.001	0.72	0.52	0.20
Systemic anticogulation 167/859 (19) 119/527 (23) 32/229 (14) 4/28 (14) 12/75 (16) 0.005 0.28 0.18 0.47	Cytoreductive therapy ^g	563/915 (62)	340/574 (59)	157/238 (66)	20/28 (71)	46/75 (61)	0.07	0.19	0.73	0.67
	Systemic anticoagulation	167/859 (19)	119/527 (23)	32/229 (14)	4/28 (14)	12/75 (16)	0.005	0.28	0.18	0.47

retinal thrombosis. ^bMajor venous thrombosis includes deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, portal/splenic/mesenteric/hepatic vein thrombosis, cerebral sinus thrombosis. ^cMajor hemorrhage includes bleeding events that require red cell transfusion support, resulted in ≥2 g/dl decline in hemoglobin or involved critical organs.

^dMicrovascular symptoms include headaches, paresthesia, erythromelalgia. ^eInternational prognostic score for thrombosis in ET (IPSET-thrombosis). ^fInternational prognostic score for survival in ET (IPSET-survival).

^acytoreductive therapies included hydroxyurea, anagrelide, interferon, busulphan. Bold value boxes represent variables of significance.

4

 Table 2.
 Univariate and multivariable analysis of associations/risk factors for vascular events (arterial/venous thrombosis and hemorrhage) at or after diagnosis among 1000 patients with essential thrombocythemia (ET), fully annotated for driver mutations.

Follow up in years, median (range): 8.5	Arterial Thron prior to diagn	nbosis at or osis	Venous Thron prior to diagn	nbosis at or osis	Hemorrhage a diagnosis	at or prior to	Arterial thrombos	is-free survival	Venous throm survival	nbosis-free	Hemorrhage- survival	free
(0.01-52.7)	Events; n (%):	137 (14)	Events; n (%):	102 (10)	Events; n (%):	: 74/983 (8)	Events; n (%): 127	(13)	Events; n (%):	: 74 (7)	Events; n (%): (11)	107/983
Variables	Univariate/ Age- adjusted	Multi- variable	Univariate	Multi- variable	Univariate	Multi- variable	Univariate/ Age-adjusted	Multi- variable	Univariate/ Age- adjusted	Multi- variable	Univariate/ Age-adjuted	Multi- variable
	P value	P value (Odds ratio)	P value	P value (Odds ratio)	P value	P value (Odds ratio)	P value	P value (HR, 95% CI)	P value	P value (HR, 95% CI)	P value	P value (HR, 95% CI)
Age	<0.0001	0.02	0.72		0.91		<0.0001	<0.0001	0.10		<0.0001	<0.0001
Age ≥ 60 years	0.001	0.21	0.42		0.77		<0.0001	0.001	0.16		0.0003	0.004
Gender	0.001/0.02 Male	0.01 Male 1.7	0.23		0.02 Female	0.02 Female 1.9	0.02/0.03 Male	(1.3-2.9) 0.01 Male 1.6	0.03/0.03 Male	0.02 Male 1.8	0.19	(1.2-2.9)
Platelet count	0.21	(1.2-2.5)	0.60		0.47	(1.1-3.3)	0.87	(1.1-2.3)	0.21	(1.1-2.9)	0.89	
	0121		0.00		0117		0.07		0121		0.05	
Platelet count ≥1,000x10 ⁹ /l	0.08/0.21	0.29	0.83		0.32		0.10/0.34	0.06	0.36		0.80	
Leukocyte count	0.03/ 0.08	0.67	0.09	0.25	0.30		0.01/0.01		0.09/0.09	0.29	0.0001/ 0.0002	0.001
Leukocyte count >11x10 ⁹ /l	0.11/0.26		0.08	0.19	0.87		0.01/0.01	0.18	0.95		0.001/0.001	0.003 1.9 (1.2-2.8)
Leukocyte count >8x10 ⁹ /l	0.006/0.02	0.21	0.55		0.63		0.02/0.04	0.17	0.06/0.07	0.09		
Abnormal karyotype	0.04 /0.12	0.14	0.24		0.57		0.41		0.07		0.64	
Driver mutation	0.004/0.03 JAK2	0.02 JAK2	0.001	0.002 JAK2	0.67		0.02/0.13 JAK2	0.01 JAK2	0.01/0.03 JAK2	0.06 JAK2	0.05/ 0.26	
JAK2 vs other		(1.1-2.6)		(1.3-3.5)				1.8 (1.2-2.7)		1.7 (0.9-2.9)		
Presence of cardiovascular risk factor*	<0.0001/ 0.0001	0.0001 2.3 (1.5-3.7)	0.78		0.81		<0.0001/0.01	0.09	0.53		0.0003/0.05	0.05 1.6 (1.0-2.5)
Hypertension	<0.0001/ <0.0001	<0.0001 2.7	0.70		0.70		<0.0001/0.01	0.01	0.61		0.002/0.23	
Arterial thrombosis at or prior to		(1.7 4.2)					0.0002/0.002	0.02				
diagnosis Venous thrombosis								(1.1-2.6)	<0.0001/	<0.0001		
at or prior to diagnosis									5.00003	3.0 (1.7-5.3)		
Major hemorrhage at or prior to diagnosis											0.01/0.01	0.07
Aspirin at diagnosis							<0.001/0.0004	0.004 0.4	0.001/0.002	0.02	0.10/0.55	
Cytoreductive							0.003/0.08	(0.2-0.8)	0.19	(0.2-0.9)	0.52	
therapy at diagnosis												

*Cardiovascular risk factor includes the presence of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, or smoking. Red shaded boxes represent variables of significance. Gray boxes represent variables that were not computed.

(p < 0.01; HR 2.4, 95% Cl 1.6–3.4), ALC < 1.7 g/dl (p = 0.02; HR 1.5, 95% Cl 1.1–2.1), male gender (p = 0.01; HR 1.8, 95% Cl 1.3–2.7), arterial thrombosis history (p = 0.01; HR 1.7, 95% Cl 1.1–2.7), and hypertension (p = 0.01; HR 1.7, 95% Cl 1.1–2.6) were independently predictive of inferior survival (Table 3).

Subsequently, a three-tiered "triple A" risk stratification model

was applied in 653 informative patients with HR-weighted scoring, allocating four adverse points for age >70 years, two adverse points for age 50–70 years, one adverse point each for ANC $\geq 8 \times 10^9$ /L, and, ALC < 1.7 g/dl: low (0–1 point; n = 194), intermediate-1 (2–3 points; n = 277), and high/intermediate-2 (4–6 points; n = 182), with respective median survival (20-year rate) of



Fig. 1 Survival and leukemic/myelofibrotic transformation in essential thrombocythemia. a Overall survival in 985 patients with essential thrombocythemia stratified by driver mutation. b Leukemia-free survival in 985 patients with essential thrombocythemia stratified by driver mutation. c Myelofibrosis-free survival in 985 patients with essential thrombocythemia stratified by driver mutation.

not reached (80%), 21.3 years (60%), and 10.6 (7%) years (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 2).

Blastic transformation and fibrotic progression. At the time of last follow-up in August 2023, blastic transformations were reported in 33 patients (3%), with overall incidence, 10-year and 20-year rates of 3%, 1.5% and 7.6%, respectively; 3%/1.7%/8% for JAK2, 3%/ 0.9%/0.9% for type 1/type 1-like CALR, 6%/3%/6% for type 2/type 2-like CALR, 3%/0%/0.2% with MPL and 0%/0%/0% with TN. There was no significant difference in LFS among the driver mutational categories (p = 0.29) (Fig. 1b). On the other hand, LFS was significantly worse in patients with ExT; platelet count $\geq 1000 \times 10^9$ /l (p = 0.05; HR 2.3, 95% Cl 1.0-5.2) and abnormal karyotype (p = 0.03; HR 3.1, 95% CI 1.1–9.3) (Table 3). Based on the aforementioned findings, a two-tiered blastic transformation risk model was developed in 910 informative cases, allocating one adverse point each for platelet count $\geq 1000 \times 10^9$ /l and abnormal karyotype: low (0 points; n = 604), and high (1–2 points; n = 306), with respective median LFS (20-year rate) of not reached (3%), and 36.5 years (12.8%) (p = 0.004) (Fig. 3a). It is to be noted none of the TN patients succumbed to leukemic transformation and the latter's association with ExT was limited to JAK2-mutated cases (p = 0.0002). On the other hand, abnormal karyotype was associated with inferior LFS in CALR-mutated cases (p = 0.03).

126 patients (13%) experienced transformation to myelofibrosis with 10-year and 20-year incidence rates of 9% and 30%, respectively. Overall incidence/10-year/20-year figures for fibrotic transformation were 10%/8%/26% for JAK2-mutated, 20%/13%/ 36% type 1/type 1-like CALR-mutated, 15%/5%/39% type 2/type 2-like CALR-mutated, 27%/35%/63% MPL-mutated and 10%/5%/ 19% TN cases (p < 0.01); the difference was significant for MPL vs JAK2 (p < 0.01, HR 4.0, 95% CI 1.9–8.4), MPL vs type 1/type 1-like and type 2/type 2-like CALR (p = 0.03 and 0.01, respectively, HR 2.4, 95% CI 1.1–5.2 and HR 3.7, 95% CI 1.6–8.7), type 1/type 1-like CALR vs JAK2 (p = 0.02, HR 1.7, 95% CI 1.1–2.6), and type 1/type

1-like *CALR* vs TN (p = 0.03, HR 2.4, 95% CI 1.1–5.3) (Fig. 1c). Univariate analysis also identified age, male gender and ANC $\ge 8 \times 10^9$ /L as risk factors for fibrotic progression (p = 0.04/ 0.003/0.04). Multivariable analysis that included factors that were significant in univariate analysis confirmed the independent prognostic relevance of *MPL* mutations (p < 0.01; HR 3.9, 95% CI 1.8–8.4), and ANC $\ge 8 \times 10^9$ /L (p = 0.01; HR 2.3, 95% CI 1.2–4.3) for MFFS (Table 3). An HR-based risk model incorporating *MPL* mutation (2 points) and ANC $\ge 8 \times 10^9$ /L (1 point) delineated patients with median MFFS ranging from not reached to 22.2 years, and 20-year myelofibrosis incidence from 12% to 49%, in low (0 points) and high-risk (1–3 points) groups, respectively (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, the prognostic impact of ANC $\ge 8 \times 10^9$ /L was limited to *JAK2*-mutated cases (p = 0.03).

Arterial and venous thrombosis. At a median follow-up time of 8.5 years (range, 0.01-52.7), major thrombosis after diagnosis was documented in 162 (16%) of patients including 127 (13%) arterial and 70 (7%) venous events. Incidence rates of major arterial/ venous thrombosis for JAK2, type 1/type 1-like CALR, type 2/type 2-like CALR, MPL-mutated and TN cases were 14%/8%, 11%/6%, 12%/9%, 13%/0%, and 6%/2%, respectively. Figure 4a. illustrates arterial TFS stratified by driver mutations and discloses higher rates in JAK-mutated cases when compared with TN or type 1/type 1-like CALR-mutated (p = 0.02 and 0.12, respectively, HR 3.0, 95% CI 1.2-7.5 and HR 1.5, 95% CI 0.9-2.6). Arterial thrombosis rate was found to be similar in JAK2 and MPL-mutated cases (p = 1.0); on the other hand, a non-significantly higher rate was observed in type 1/type 1-like CALR and type 2/type 2-like CALR-mutated patients in comparison with TN; p = 0.18 and p = 0.12, respectively, HR 1.9, 95% CI 0.7-5.4 and HR 2.3, 95% CI 0.8-6.4 (Table 4). Findings were unchanged when analysis accounted for age and gender differences among the driver mutation categories (Table 4). In addition, univariate analysis identified age ≥ 60 years, male gender, leukocyte count $>11 \times 10^9$ /l, hypertension, and arterial

(ET), fully annotated for driver r	nutations.						
Follow-up in years, median	Overall survival			Leukemia-free sur	vival	Myelofibrosis- free	survival
	Events; n (%): 282	(28)		Events; n (%): 33 (3)	Events; n (%): 126	(13)
Variables	Univariate <i>P</i> value	Age-adjusted <i>P</i> value	Multivariable <i>P</i> value (HR, 95% CI)	Univariate <i>P</i> value	Multivariable <i>P</i> value (HR, 95% CI)	Univariate <i>P</i> value	Multivariable <i>P</i> value (HR, 95% CI)
Age	<0.0001			0.14		0.04	0.07
Age categories (>70 years, 50-70 years, <50 years)	<0.0001		<0.0001 >70 vs <50 yrs 22.1 (11.7–43.2) >70 vs 50–70 yrs 5.1 (2.8–9.6)	0.33		0.12 >70 vs <50 yrs 0.17 50-70 vs <50 yrs 0.06	0.18
Gender	0.01 Male	0.01 Male	0.01 Male 1.8 (1.3–2.7)	0.52		0.003 Male	0.17 Male
Platelet count	0.17			0.40		0.41	
Platelet count ≥1000×10 ⁹ /l	0.61			0.01	0.05 2.3 (1.0–5.2)	0.08	0.29
Leukocyte count	<0.0001	<0.0001		0.85	0.25	0.72	
Leukocyte count >11 \times 10 ⁹ /l	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.01 1.6 (1.2–2.1)	0.89	0.19	0.23	
Absolute neutrophil count ≥8×10°/I N evaluable = 653	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.001 2.4 (1.6–3.4)	0.40		0.04	0.01 2.3 (1.2–4.3)
Absolute lymphocyte count <1.7 × 10 ⁹ /1 N evaluable = 650	0.01	0.08	0.02 1.5 (1.1–2.1)	0.16		66.0	
Driver mutation	0.01 JAK2 MPL	0.76		0.96		7 <i>MPL</i> 0.003	0.003 MPL 3.9 (1.8–8.4)
Abnormal karyotype	0.005	0.05		0.04	0.04 3.1 (1.1–9.3)	0.83	
Presence of cardiovascular risk factor*	<0.0001	0.01	0.0001 1.8 (1.3–2.5)	0.16		0.39	
Hypertension N evaluable = 943	<0.0001	0.02	0.01 1.7 (1.1–2.6)				
Arterial thrombosis at or prior to diagnosis	0.0001	0.049	0.01 1.7 (1.1–2.7)	0.27		0.10	
Venous thrombosis at or prior to diagnosis	0.27			0.77		0.44	
Major hemorrhage at or prior to diagnosis	0.55			0.39		0.89	
Arterial thrombosis after diagnosis	0.003	0.15		0.66		0.98	
Venous thrombosis after diagnosis	0.96			0.08		0.53	
Major hemorrhage after diagnosis	0.04	0.61		0.18		0.96	
*Cardiovascular risk factor include	s the presence of dia	abetes mellitus, hypert	ension, or smoking. Bold valu	ue boxes represent v	ariables of significance.		



Fig. 2 Triple A risk model in essential thrombocythemia.



Fig. 3 Blastic transformation and fibrotic progression in essential thrombocythemia. a Blastic transformation risk model in essential thrombocythemia. b Fibrotic progression risk model in essential thrombocythemia.

thrombosis history as predictors of inferior arterial TFS. Multivariable analysis confirmed age ≥ 60 years (p = 0.001), male gender (p = 0.01), JAK2 mutational status (p = 0.01), hypertension (p = 0.01), and arterial thrombosis history (p = 0.02) as independent predictors of future arterial thrombotic events (Table 2).

A separate analysis of venous TFS also disclosed higher risk in *JAK2* and *MPL*-mutated patients, when compared with TN and type 1/type 1-like *CALR*-mutated (p = 0.03 and 0.14, respectively, HR 4.9, 95% CI 1.2–20.3 and HR 1.7, 95% CI 0.8–3.5) (Fig. 3b); additionally, we observed a trend for higher risk of venous thrombosis among type 1/type 1-like *CALR* and type 2/type 2-like *CALR*-mutated when compared with TN cases; p = 0.17 and p = 0.08, respectively, HR 2.9, 95% CI 0.6–13.3 and HR 3.9, 95% CI 0.8–17.9 (Table 4). Multivariable analysis inclusive of age, gender, venous thrombosis history, and driver mutation category, identified male gender, and venous thrombosis history as independent predictors of venous thrombosis (p = 0.02/< 0.01) (Table 2).

Aspirin therapy appeared to mitigate both arterial and venous thrombosis with arterial and venous thrombosis rates of 5% vs 16% and 3% vs 9% in patients receiving or not receiving aspirin (p < 0.01 and p = 0.02, respectively, HR 0.4, 95% Cl 0.2–0.8 and HR 0.4, 95% Cl 0.2–0.9). Additional analyses revealed that the apparent differences in arterial and venous thrombosis observed among type 1/type 1-like *CALR*-mutated and TN cases, were fully accounted for by aspirin use (p value adjusted for aspirin use = 0.40/0.31). Cytoreductive therapy, on the other hand, did not appear to have a clear beneficial impact on neither arterial (p = 0.08) nor venous thrombosis (p = 0.19).

Major hemorrhage. A total of 107 major hemorrhagic events were recorded in 983 patients (11%); 33 of 108 (31%) evaluable patients (including 7 of 22 (32%) with major hemorrhage) had laboratory evidence of acquired von Willebrand syndrome. Incidence rates of major hemorrhage were 12%, 8%, 10%, 20%, and 9%, for *JAK2*, type 1/type 1-like *CALR*, type 2/type 2-like *CALR*,



Fig. 4 Arterial and venous thrombosis in essential thrombocythemia. a Arterial Thrombosis-free survival in 985 patients with essential thrombocythemia stratified by driver mutation. b Venous thrombosis-free survival in 985 patients with essential thrombocythemia stratified by driver mutation.

MPL-mutated and TN cases, respectively, with higher rates among *MPL*-mutated cases compared to type 1/type 1-like *CALR*, type 2/ type 2-like *CALR* and TN (p = 0.02/0.06/0.02) (Table 4). Additionally, a higher incidence of major hemorrhage was also observed in *JAK2*-mutated patients compared to type 1/type 1-like *CALR* mutated (p = 0.08, HR 1.7, 95% CI 0.9–3.2). Furthermore, on univariate analysis, age ≥ 60 years (p < 0.01), leukocyte count $>11 \times 10^9/I$ (p < 0.01), presence of cardiovascular risk factors (p < 0.01), and history of major hemorrhage (p = 0.01) predicted future hemorrhage, while aspirin use was of borderline significance (p = 0.10). On multivariable analysis, age ≥ 60 years (p < 0.01), leukocyte count $>11 \times 10^9/I$ (p < 0.01), and presence of cardiovascular risk factors (p < 0.01), leukocyte count $>11 \times 10^9/I$ (p < 0.01), and presence of cardiovascular risk factors (p < 0.01), leukocyte count $>11 \times 10^9/I$ (p < 0.01), and presence of cardiovascular risk factors (p < 0.01), leukocyte count $>11 \times 10^9/I$ (p < 0.01), and presence of cardiovascular risk factors (p < 0.01), leukocyte count $>11 \times 10^9/I$ (p < 0.01), and presence of cardiovascular risk factors (p = 0.05) remained significant predictors of major hemorrhage after diagnosis.

DISCUSSION

The current study constitutes the largest single-center series of ET patients who are fully annotated for driver mutations and includes mature survival data and detailed analysis of prognostic factors for overall, leukemia-free, myelofibrosis-free, and thrombosis-free survival, with the latter stratified into arterial vs. venous events. Our study provides baseline clinical and laboratory data and confirms previously recognized differences in age and gender distribution as well as hemoglobin, leukocyte, and platelet levels, among specific driver mutation categories; CALR-mutated and TN patients were younger at diagnosis (median age 52 and 50 years, respectively), compared to JAK2 or MPL-mutated cases (median age 71 and 66 years, respectively); JAK2 and TN patients were predominantly female, compared to CALR and MPL-mutated cases [19]. Noteworthy laboratory associations included higher hemoglobin and leukocyte count with JAK2 mutation and higher platelet count with CALR mutation (type-2 more than type-1) and TN [19]. At the time of diagnosis, approximately 22% of patients displayed history of major arterial (14%) or venous (10%) thrombosis, 8% major hemorrhage, and 29%, microvascular symptoms; incidences of major thrombosis and hemorrhage were lower in CALR-mutated cases [20].

A major strength of the current study was the availability of long-term follow-up data, which enabled accurate estimation of survival and disease transformation rates; median overall survival was 20.6 years, with 10-year/20-year leukemic transformation and fibrotic progression rates of 1.5%/7.6% and 8%/26%, respectively. As previously noted [9], JAK2/CALR/MPL/TN mutational status did not appear to impact overall or leukemia-free survival in our current ET patient cohort while MPL mutation was associated with a significantly higher rate of progression to myelofibrosis, as per previous reports [21, 22]. Prominent risk factors for survival in the current ET patient cohort included older age, ANC $\geq 8 \times 10^{9}$ /L, ALC < 1.7×10^{9} /L, male gender, hypertension, and arterial thrombosis history. These observations are in line with those previously communicated [10, 23]. Application of the recently introduced AAA survival model in ET [10], to the current patient cohort resulted in median survival estimates not reached for low (10-/20year survival rate 98%/80%), 21.3 years for intermediate-1 (10-/20year survival rate 93%/60%), and 10.6 years for high/intermediate-2 (10-/20-year survival rate 54%/7%) risk patients (Fig. 1).

Additional observations from the current study are highlighted by (i) the extremely low incidence of leukemic transformation in the absence of abnormal karyotype and ExT (10-/20-year rate of 1.5%/3%), and (ii) the relatively high rate of fibrotic progression in MPL-mutated patients or those with ANC $\geq 8 \times 10(9)/L$. It is to be recalled that we have previously reported an association between ExT and inferior overall and leukemia-free survival in young (age <40 years) ET patients [24]. However, the association between ExT and leukemic progression in the current study appeared to be limited to patients with JAK2 mutation; this is a noteworthy observation, given that ExT is typically associated with CALR (type-2 more than type-1) and TN mutational status. Interestingly, none of our 84 TN patients with ET experienced leukemic progression. These findings require external validation. The prognostic impact of ANC $\ge 8 \times 10^{9}$ /L on MFFS, has not been previously described, and was limited to JAK-mutated cases. Furthermore, in line with prior reports, type 1/type 1-like CALR mutated compared to JAK2mutated, and TN cases were noted to have a significantly higher risk of fibrotic progression [22]. The current study did not include

Table 4. Univariate and multivariable analysis of risk factors for vascular events (arterial/venous thrombosis and hemorrhage) after diagnosis among 985 patients with essential thrombocythemia

(ET), fully annotated for driver r	nutations with CAL	R mutation type.							
Follow-up in years, median	Arterial thrombo	osis-free survival		Venous thromb	osis-free survival		Hemorrhage-fre	e survival	
(range): 6.5 (0.01-52.7)	Events; <i>n</i> (%): 12	25 (13)		Events; n (%): 7	2 (7)		Events; n (%): 1(07/968 (11)	
Driver mutations	Univariate P value HR (95% Cl)	Age-adjusted P value HR (95% Cl)	Gender- adjusted P value HR (95% CI)	Univariate P value HR (95% Cl)	Age-adjusted P value HR (95% Cl)	Gender- adjusted P value HR (95% CI)	Univariate P value HR (95% Cl)	Age- adjusted P value HR (95% CI)	Gender- adjusted P value HR (95% CI)
JAK2 vs type 1 CALR	0.12 1.5 (0.9–2.6)	0.37	0.05 1.7 (1.0–2.9)	0.14 1.7 (0.8–3.5)	0.19 1.6 (0.8–3.3)	0.06 1.9 (0.9–4.1)	0.08 1.7 (0.9–3.2)	0.21	0.05 1.9 (1.0–3.5)
JAK2 vs type 2 CALR	0.32	0.81	0.21	0.50	0.64	0.36	0.37	0.77	0.29
JAK2 vs MPL	0.99	0.67	0.88	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.12 0.51 (0.2–1.2)	0.25	0.15 0.54 (0.2–1.3)
JAK2 vs triple negative	0.02 3.0 (1.2–7.5)	0.04 2.6 (1.03–6.3)	0.02 3.0 (1.2–7.4)	0.03 4.9 (1.2–20.2)	0.03 4.6 (1.1–19.0)	0.03 4.9 (1.2–20.2)	0.12 1.9 (0.8–4.1)	0.25	0.12 1.8 (0.8–4.0)
Type 1 CALR vs Type 2 CALR	0.73	0.64	0.65	0.54	0.52	0.46	0.58	0.49	0.52
Type 1 CALR vs MPL	0.46	0.96	0.41	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.02 0.29 (0.1–0.8)	0.08 0.4 (0.2–1.1)	0.01 0.3 (0.1–0.8)
Type 2 CALR vs MPL	0.61	0.80	0.61	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.06 0.4 (0.1–1.0)	0.26	0.06 0.4 (0.1–1.1)
Type 1 CALR vs triple negative	0.18 1.9 (0.7–5.4)	0.18 1.9 (0.7–5.5)	0.28	0.17 2.9 (0.6–13.5)	0.18 2.9 (0.6–13.3)	0.25	0.86	0.88	0.96
Type 2 CALR vs triple negative	0.12 2.3 (0.81–6.4)	0.10 2.4 (0.84–6.7)	0.17 21 (0.7–5.9)	0.08 3.9 (0.8–17.9)	0.08 3.9 (0.8–18.1)	0.11 3.5 (0.8–16.3)	0.52	0.46	0.61
MPL vs triple negative	0.10 3.0 (0.81–11.2)	0.28	0.13 2.8 (0.7–10.4)	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.02 3.6 (1.2–10.9)	0.09 2.6 (0.9–7.6)	0.03 3.4 (1.1–10.2)
Bold value boxes represent variak	les of significance.								

information on other mutations that have previously been shown to adversely affect survival, including *SF3B1*, *SRSF2* U2AF1 TP53 mutations [12].

The current study also confirms the higher rates of arterial and venous thrombosis displayed by *JAK2/MPL*-mutated patients with ET, compared with type 1/type 1-like *CALR*-mutated and TN, and that this effect was not accounted for by other independent risk factors for thrombosis including age, gender, thrombosis history or cardiovascular risk factors [20]. Moreover, a non-significantly higher risk of thrombosis was also observed in *CALR*-mutated patients in comparison with TN cases; which was no longer apparent after accounting for aspirin use. Together, our findings not only confirm differential thrombosis risk according to driver mutation, but also identify TN patients to have a lower risk of thrombosis, akin to those with *CALR* mutation. Our observations corroborate the salutary effects of aspirin with respect to both arterial and venous thrombosis, and also suggest possible benefits from aspirin prophylaxis in "*very low risk" CALR*-mutated patients.

From a practical standpoint, the prognostic information discussed in the current report, regarding survival is not necessarily actionable, since drug therapy in ET has not been shown to be disease-modifying. However, identification of prognostic factors for survival and disease progression is useful for purposes of patient counseling and disease monitoring. On the other hand, risk factor assessment for thrombosis is critical for both primary and secondary prevention measures. In this regard, the observations from the current study underscore the therapeutic value of aspirin for the prevention of both arterial and venous thrombosis. Controlled studies are always preferred over retrospective studies for accurate determination of the optimal therapeutic approach to ameliorate the risk associated with thrombosis and disease progression in ET. In the meantime, we hope the information contained in the current document serves as a complimentary resource for patients and physicians and provides context for the design and interpretation of future clinical trials [12, 25–29].

REFERENCES

- Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian RP, Borowitz MJ, Calvo KR, Kvasnicka HM, et al. International consensus classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: integrating morphological, clinical, and genomic data. Blood. 2022;140:1200–28.
- Tefferi A, Barbui T. Polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia: 2021 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification and management. Am J Hematol. 2020;95:1599–613.
- 3. Tefferi A, Pardanani A. Essential thrombocythemia. N. Engl J Med. 2019;381:2135-44.
- 4. Carobbio A, Thiele J, Passamonti F, Rumi E, Ruggeri M, Rodeghiero F, et al. Risk factors for arterial and venous thrombosis in WHO-defined essential thrombocythemia: an international study of 891 patients. Blood. 2011;117:5857–9.
- Cerquozzi S, Tefferi A. Blast transformation and fibrotic progression in polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia: a literature review of incidence and risk factors. Blood Cancer J. 2015;5:e366.
- Finazzi G, Carobbio A, Thiele J, Passamonti F, Rumi E, Ruggeri M, et al. Incidence and risk factors for bleeding in 1104 patients with essential thrombocythemia or prefibrotic myelofibrosis diagnosed according to the 2008 WHO criteria. Leukemia. 2012;26:716–9.
- Szuber N, Mudireddy M, Nicolosi M, Penna D, Vallapureddy RR, Lasho TL, et al. 3023 Mayo Clinic patients with myeloproliferative neoplasms: risk-stratified comparison of survival and outcomes data among disease subgroups. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;94:599–610.
- Szuber N, Vallapureddy RR, Penna D, Lasho TL, Finke C, Hanson CA, et al. Myeloproliferative neoplasms in the young: Mayo Clinic experience with 361 patients age 40 years or younger. Am J Hematol. 2018;93:1474–84.
- Tefferi A, Guglielmelli P, Larson DR, Finke C, Wassie EA, Pieri L, et al. Long-term survival and blast transformation in molecularly annotated essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera, and myelofibrosis. Blood. 2014;124:2507–13.
- Tefferi A, Loscocco GG, Farrukh F, Szuber N, Mannelli F, Pardanani A, et al. A globally applicable "triple A" risk model for essential thrombocythemia based on age, absolute neutrophil count, and absolute lymphocyte count. Am J Hematol. 2023;98:1829–37.
- 11. Gangat N, Jadoon Y, Szuber N, Hanson CA, Wolanskyj-Spinner AP, Ketterling RP, et al. Cytogenetic abnormalities in essential thrombocythemia: clinical and

molecular correlates and prognostic relevance in 809 informative cases. Blood Cancer J. 2022;12:44.

- Tefferi A, Guglielmelli P, Lasho TL, Coltro G, Finke CM, Loscocco GG, et al. Mutation-enhanced international prognostic systems for essential thrombocythaemia and polycythaemia vera. Br J Haematol. 2020;189:291–302.
- Barbui T, Vannucchi AM, Buxhofer-Ausch V, De Stefano V, Betti S, Rambaldi A, et al. Practice-relevant revision of IPSET-thrombosis based on 1019 patients with WHO-defined essential thrombocythemia. Blood Cancer J. 2015;5:e369.
- Haider M, Gangat N, Lasho T, Abou Hussein AK, Elala YC, Hanson C, et al. Validation of the revised international prognostic score of thrombosis for essential thrombocythemia (IPSET-thrombosis) in 585 Mayo Clinic patients. Am J Hematol. 2016;91:390–4.
- Tefferi A, Lasho TL, Jimma T, Finke CM, Gangat N, Vaidya R, et al. One thousand patients with primary myelofibrosis: the mayo clinic experience. Mayo Clin Proc. 2012;87:25–33.
- Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian RP, Borowitz MJ, Calvo KR, Kvasnicka HM, et al. International Consensus Classification of Myeloid Neoplasms and Acute Leukemias: integrating morphologic, clinical, and genomic data. Blood. 2022;140:1200–28.
- Barbui T, Thiele J, Gisslinger H, Finazzi G, Carobbio A, Rumi E, et al. Masked polycythemia vera (mPV): results of an international study. Am J Hematol. 2014;89:52–4.
- Barbui T, Thiele J, Passamonti F, Rumi E, Boveri E, Ruggeri M, et al. Survival and disease progression in essential thrombocythemia are significantly influenced by accurate morphologic diagnosis: an international study. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:3179–84.
- Tefferi A, Wassie EA, Guglielmelli P, Gangat N, Belachew AA, Lasho TL, et al. Type 1 versus Type 2 calreticulin mutations in essential thrombocythemia: a collaborative study of 1027 patients. Am J Hematol. 2014;89:E121–124.
- Elala YC, Lasho TL, Gangat N, Finke C, Barraco D, Haider M, et al. Calreticulin variant stratified driver mutational status and prognosis in essential thrombocythemia. Am J Hematol. 2016;91:503–6.
- Haider M, Elala YC, Gangat N, Hanson CA, Tefferi A. MPL mutations and palpable splenomegaly are independent risk factors for fibrotic progression in essential thrombocythemia. Blood Cancer J. 2016;6:e487.
- Loscocco GG, Guglielmelli P, Gangat N, Rossi E, Mannarelli C, Betti S, et al. Clinical and molecular predictors of fibrotic progression in essential thrombocythemia: a multicenter study involving 1607 patients. Am J Hematol. 2021;96:1472–80.
- Tefferi A, Betti S, Barraco D, Mudireddy M, Shah S, Hanson CA, et al. Gender and survival in essential thrombocythemia: a two-center study of 1494 patients. Am J Hematol. 2017;92:1193–7.
- Gangat N, Szuber N, Jawaid T, Hanson CA, Pardanani A, Tefferi A. Young platelet millionaires with essential thrombocythemia. Am J Hematol. 2021;96:E93–5.
- Gangat N, Singh A, Szuber N, Begna K, Elliott M, Wolanskyj-Spinner A, et al. Sitespecific venous thrombosis in essential thrombocythemia: Impact on subsequent vascular events and survival. J Thromb Haemost. 2022;20:2439–43.
- Gangat N, Szuber N, Jadoon Y, Farrukh F, Begna K, Elliott MA, et al. 1.5 million platelet count limit at essential thrombocythemia diagnosis: correlations and relevance to vascular events. Blood Adv. 2022;6:3835–39.
- Karrar OS, Abdelmagid M, Vannucchi AM, Barbui T, Tefferi A, Gangat N. ABO blood group type and risk of venous thrombosis in essential thrombocythemia. Br J Haematol. 2023;202:699–703.
- Guglielmelli P, Gangat N, Coltro G, Lasho TL, Loscocco GG, Finke CM, et al. Mutations and thrombosis in essential thrombocythemia. Blood Cancer J. 2021;11:77.
- Tefferi A, Lasho TL, Guglielmelli P, Finke CM, Rotunno G, Elala Y, et al. Targeted deep sequencing in polycythemia vera and essential thrombocythemia. Blood Adv. 2016;1:21–30.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NG and AT designed the study, performed analysis, and co-wrote the paper. OK collected data. AA, KHB, ME, APW, AP contributed patients. CAH reviewed bone marrow morphology. RPK reviewed cytogenetic studies. All authors reviewed and approved the final draft of the paper.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41408-023-00972-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Naseema Gangat or Ayalew Tefferi.

10

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give