Check for updates

CORRESPONDENCE **OPEN** Characteristic immunophenotype and gene co-mutational status orchestrate to optimize the prognosis of CEBPA mutant acute myeloid leukemia

© The Author(s) 2023

Blood Cancer Journal (2023)13:70; https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41408-023-00838-2

Dear Editor,

Mutation on CEBPA (CEBPA^{mut}) is one of the most common molecular abnormalities in acute myeloid leukemia (AML), especially in east Asian population [1]. As recently reported, in-frame mutations in bZIP domain of CEBPA (CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}) exerted higher potency in favorable-risk prediction than biallelic mutated CEBPA (CEBPA⁶), although cases were highly overlapped between the two categories [2–4]. However, about 30–50% CEBPA^{bi} AML cases consolidated with chemotherapy alone suffered from disease relapse [5, 6], retaining the same CEBPA^{mut} patterns as diagnosis [7, 8]. Hence, there might be clinically and biologically heterogeneous under current context of CEBPA^{mut} grouping, and a comprehensive assessment of CEBPA^{mut} AML prognosis remains to be established.

In this study, a total of 293 *de novo CEBPA^{mut}* AML patients were enrolled, with biological data available in 124 patients (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Usually, *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}* AML patients were diagnosed at younger age, with higher white blood cell counts, hemoglobin levels and lower platelet counts compared with other CEBPA^{mut} AML patients (CEBPA^{other}); while risk classification of karyotypes according to the ELN 2022 showed no differences between *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}* and *CEBPA^{other}* AML (Supplementary Table 1). Consistence with previous reports [2, 3], *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}* AML

correlated with higher CR rate (Supplementary Table 1), which could translate into improved overall survival (2-year OS: 86% vs. 53.1%, p = 0.0019) and event-free survival (2-year EFS: 64.7% vs. 37.5%, p = 0.01) (Supplementary Fig. 1B). However, the OS, EFS and relapse rate (Supplementary Table 1) of the patients who achieved CR showed no difference between CEBPA^{bZIP-inf} and CEBPA^{other} AML (2-year OS: 87% vs. 63.1%, p = 0.07; 2-year EFS: 65.5% vs. 47.7%, p = 0.19) (Supplementary Fig. 1C). It seemed that the current risk stratification based on CEBPA^{mut} locus could not sufficiently distinguish certain patients who may develop disease progression.

Most patients with CEBPA^{bZIP-inf} (85/89, 95.5%) displayed crosslineage expression of CD7, while only 20/35 (57.1%) patients with CEBPA^{other} harboring CD7-positive immunophenotype (p < 0.001) (Supplementary Table 1). CD7-positive cases showed distinct gene expression patterns compared with CD7 negative cases (Supplementary Fig. 2). Survival analysis further indicated CD7 could significantly distinguish the clinical outcome in the whole cohort of 293 CEBPA^{mut} AML cases, with improved 2-year OS and EFS of 81.8% and 66.4% respectively in CD7-positive CEBPA^{mut} AML vs. 48.8% and 33.0% respectively in CD7-negative CEBPA^{mut} AML (p < 0.0001 and <0.0001; Supplementary Fig. 3A, B). Moreover,

Received: 12 February 2023 Revised: 4 April 2023 Accepted: 12 April 2023 Published online: 05 May 2023

CD7-negative CEBPA^{mut} AML patients also had a shorter diseasefree survival compared with CD7-positive patients (2-year DFS: CD7-positive CEBPA^{mut} AML 63.1% vs. CD7-negative CEBPA^{mut} AML 39.4%, p < 0.0001; Supplementary Fig. 3C).

Given the prognostic significance of CD7 in CEBPA^{mut} AML. survival analysis of 117 CR patients demonstrated that the combine of CD7 with the CEBPA^{mut} locus could discriminate disease prognosis, with distinguished 2-year OS and EFS: CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}/ CD7 + AML, 90.4% and 68.8% vs. other CEBPA^{mut} AML, 56.6% and 41.3%, respectively (p < 0.0001 and = 0.0076; Supplementary Fig. 3D, E). Besides, the 2-year DFS of CEBPA^{mut} AML was as follows: CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}/CD7 + AML 72.5% vs. other CEBPA^{mut} AML 40.6%, (p = 0.0028; Supplementary Fig. 3F). Multivariable analysis further confirmed *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}*/CD7+ as an independent risk factor that favors the prognosis of CEBPA^{mut} AML, with hazard ratio of 0.16 (p = 0.001), 0.45 (p = 0.034), and 0.39 (p = 0.018) in OS, EFS and DFS, respectively (Supplementary Table 2).

The distribution of co-mutations was illustrated in Fig. 1A. The co-mutations of the 117/124 CR patients were categorized into Transcriptional Factors (TFs, 31/117, 26.5%), Chromatin/Cohesion/ Spliceosome (CCS, 54/117, 46.2%), Receptor Tyrosine Kinases (RTKs, 49/117, 41.9%), Tumor Suppressor (TS, only WT1 mutation in this group, 23/117, 19.7%) and Nucleolar (only *NPM1* mutation in this group, 7/117, 6.0%). Notably, *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}*/CD7 + AML were more frequently accompanied with mutations in TFs than other CEBPA^{mut} AML (32.1% vs. 12.1%, p = 0.027). Whereas mutations in CCS were highly enriched in other CEBPA^{mut} AML compared to CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}/CD7 + AML (60.6% vs. 40.5%, p = 0.049). No Nucleolar (*NPM1*) mutations were found in CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}/CD7 + AML, while 21.2% of the rest CEBPA^{mut} patients harboring NPM1 mutations (p < 0.001; Supplementary Table 3).

The corresponding clinical impacts of co-mutations were involved into prognosis evaluation. We categorized the comutations into groups (CCS, RTKs, TS, Nucleolar) to avoid the interference of low-frequency mutations as independent variables for hazard analysis. Multivariate Cox regression analysis showed TS (WT1 mutations, WT1^{mut}) significantly affected the OS of CEBPA^{mut} AML, with risk ratio (RR) of 3.275, p = 0.0223 (Fig. 1B). Further analysis indicated WT1^{mut} could significantly shorten the survival of CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}/CD7 + AML, with 2-year OS and EFS of 96.6% and 78.6% vs. 60.7% and 27.8%, respectively (*p* = 0.0016 and <0.0001, respectively) (Fig. 1C).

Net reclassification improvement (NRI) was then performed and indicated that the outcome was significantly improved after the integration of CD7 expression and WT1 status into the clinical nomogram, with the value of 39.2% improvement [95% CI: 0.000–1.059]. Thus, we defined *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}* AML patients characterized by immunophenotypic CD7-positive and wild-type *WT1* as low-risk group (LR group: *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}*/CD7 + /*WT1^{wt}*); Correspondence



Fig. 1 Integrating CD7 expression and WT1 mutation status for revised risk stratification of *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}* AML patients. A The distribution of co-mutations within the cohort of 124 *CEBPA^{mut}* AML patients. Genes were categorized into groups as labeled on the left. **B** Coxproportional hazard regression analysis for the categorized co-mutations independently affecting OS of 117 CR-achieved *CEBPA^{mut}* AML patients. TF transcriptional factor, CCS chromatin/cohesion/spliceosome, RTK receptor tyrosine kinase, TS tumor suppressor. **C** Kaplan–Meier curves for the survival of 84 CR-achieved *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}*/CD7+AML patients according to *WT1* mutation status. **D** Sankey plot for reclassification of 117 CR-achieved *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}*/CEBPA^{other} grouping to the revised risk stratification. **E** Kaplan–Meier curves for CS of 117 CR-achieved *CEBPA^{mut}* AML patients according to the revised risk stratification. **F** Kaplan–Meier survival curves for OS of 17 *CEBPA^{mut}* AML patients within the BeatAML cohort according to the revised risk stratification.

2

Correspondence



Fig. 2 Differentially expressed genes and survival analysis of two distinct sub-cohorts of patients with CEBPAmut AML. A Top ten DEGs identified as up- (red) or down- (blue) regulated were ranked by the the magnitude of expression value change. **B** Volcano plot showing DEGs according to the two distinct sub-cohorts clustered by unsupervised hierarchy. **C** Kaplan–Meier curves for the survival of LR (upper panel) and HR (lower panel) *CEBPA^{mut}* AML patients according to treatment of allo-HSCT in CR1 or chemotherapy-only. **D** Kaplan–Meier curves for the OS of HR *CEBPA^{mut}* AML patients within the BeatAML cohort according to treatment of allo-HSCT or chemotherapy-only.

correspondingly, 19/89 patients of *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}* AML were restratified into the high-risk group (HR group, Fig. 1D). With the revised stratification, patients in LR group had a superior outcome than the patients in HR group (2-year OS: 96.6% vs. 64.4%, p < 0.0001; 2-year EFS: 78.6% vs. 36.6%, p < 0.0001; Fig. 1E). We also validate the revised stratification in patients from BeatAML cohort. Improved OS was observed in LR patients (n = 4) compared with HR patients (n = 13), although the difference was not significant due to the limited sample size (n = 17, Fig. 1F).

The transcriptomic data was available in 122 (data of 2 patients were missing) *CEBPA^{mut}* patients from our cohort. With unsupervised cluster analysis, *HOXA/B* family genes were identified to be highly associated with poor prognosis in *CEBPA^{mut}* AML (Fig. 2A). Besides, the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) analysis also

showed that *HOXA/B* family genes were highly enriched in the upregulated gene patterns of HR patients compared with LR patients (Fig. 2B); HR patients were usually accompanied with remarkably higher expression of *HOXA/B* family genes compared with LR patients (Supplementary Fig. 4).

In addition, survival analysis revealed LR patients may not benefit from allo-HSCT in CR1, with 2-year OS and EFS of 100% and 94.4% vs. 95.2% and 71.7% in chemotherapy-only, respectively (p = 0.23 and 0.10, respectively); whereas allo-HSCT in CR1 could significantly improve the outcome of HR patients, with 2-year OS and EFS of 100% and 84.6% vs. 53.6% and 23.1% in chemotherapy-only, respectively (p = 0.019 and 0.0065, respectively) (Fig. 2C). The therapeutic efficacy of allo-HSCT was also validated in patients from BeatAML cohort. There were 13 patients eligible for the criteria of HR *CEBPA^{mut}* AML as we defined. The survival curves were different although the small sample size limited the statistical significance (p = 0.048, Fig. 2D). Therefore, not only *CEBPA^{other}* AML patients, *CEBPA^{bZIP-inf}* AML patients with negative CD7 expression or *WT1^{mut}* may also be recommended for allo-HSCT as soon as CR achieved.

Conclusively, CD7 immunophenotype and WT1^{mut} status is convenient for clinicians to acquire for the identification of *CEBPA*^{bZIP-inf} AML patients who are in risk of disease relapse (Supplementary Fig. 5). Evidences in our cohort are provided to support the necessity of allo-HSCT in CR1 for high-risk cases, with further validation in an independent cohort from BeatAML. For the limitation of the retrospective nature in this study, relative clinical trial may be conducted in the future to validate our results and explore the therapeutic efficacy of allo-HSCT in *CEBPA^{mut}* AML.

Xinjie Chen^{1,4}, Diyaer Abuduaini^{1,2,4}, Yuliang Zhang^{1,4}, Jun Long³, Xiaojing Lin¹, Hongming Zhu^{1 \Join}, Jianfeng Li^{1 \bigoplus} and Yang Shen^{1 \limsup}

¹Shanghai Institute of Hematology, State Key Laboratory of Medical Genomics, National Research Center for Translational Medicine at Shanghai, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China. ²Department of Hematology, The Second People's Hospital of Kashi, Kashi, Xinjiang, China. ³Department of Hematology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China. ⁴These authors contributed equally: Xinjie Chen, Diyaer Abuduaini, Yuliang Zhang. [⊠]email: zhm11931@rjh.com.cn; ljf12500@rjh.com.cn; yang_shen@sjtu.edu.cn

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable requests. More details are provided in Supplementary Information.

REFERENCES

- Su L, Shi YY, Liu ZY, Gao SJ. Acute myeloid leukemia with CEBPA mutations: current progress and future directions. Front Oncol. 2022;12:806137.
- Taube F, Georgi JA, Kramer M, Stasik S, Middeke JM, Rollig C, et al. CEBPA mutations in 4708 patients with acute myeloid leukemia: differential impact of bZIP and TAD mutations on outcome. Blood. 2022;139:87–103.
- Wakita S, Sakaguchi M, Oh I, Kako S, Toya T, Najima Y, et al. Prognostic impact of CEBPA bZIP domain mutation in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood Adv. 2022;6:238–47.
- 4. Döhner H, Wei AH, Appelbaum FR, Craddock C, DiNardo CD, Dombret H, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2022 recommendations from an international expert panel on behalf of the ELN. Blood. 2022;140:1345–77.

- Schlenk RF, Taskesen E, van Norden Y, Krauter J, Ganser A, Bullinger L, et al. The value of allogeneic and autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in prognostically favorable acute myeloid leukemia with double mutant CEBPA. Blood. 2013;122:1576–82.
- Su L, Tan Y, Lin H, Liu X, Yu L, Yang Y, et al. Mutational spectrum of acute myeloid leukemia patients with double CEBPA mutations based on next-generation sequencing and its prognostic significance. Oncotarget. 2018;9:24970–9.
- 7. Pulikkan JA, Tenen DG, Behre G. C/EBPalpha deregulation as a paradigm for leukemogenesis. Leukemia. 2017;31:2279–85.
- Shih LY, Liang DC, Huang CF, Wu JH, Lin TL, Wang PN, et al. AML patients with CEBPalpha mutations mostly retain identical mutant patterns but frequently change in allelic distribution at relapse: a comparative analysis on paired diagnosis and relapse samples. Leukemia. 2006;20:604–9.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all the members of the Shanghai Institute of Hematology and National Research Center for Translational Medicine at Shanghai, and HOME for Researchers (https://www.home-for-researchers.com) for online analysis tools. This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82270116, 82000143), the Shanghai Municipal Education Commission-Gaofeng Clinical Medicine Grant Support (20161406) and Innovative research team of high-level local universities in Shanghai. All authors consent to publication.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SY, CXJ conceptualized the study; CXJ, ADY collected the original data. CXJ, ADY, ZYL, LJF, LXJ designed and performed the statistical analyses; SY, CXJ, ZYL, LJ, ZHM wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors participated in revised and approved the final manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41408-023-00838-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Hongming Zhu, Jianfeng Li or Yang Shen.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023

4