Check for updates

CORRESPONDENCE OPEN Infectious complications of bispecific antibody therapy in patients with multiple myeloma

© The Author(s) 2023

Blood Cancer Journal (2023)13:34; https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41408-023-00808-8

INTRODUCTION

Infection has a significant impact on patients with multiple myeloma (MM) [1]. Bispecific antibody (BsAb) therapy with multiple targets (BCMA, GPRC5D and FCRH5) is increasingly used with good disease response rates [2]. Trial data on infections has been limited, with incidences of all-grade infections reported at 13–76% and grade \geq 3 at 3–45% [3, 4]. However, the diagnostic criteria used and infection episodes were not well characterised. Therefore this study was conducted to describe the epidemiology and outcomes of infections in BsAb treated MM patients to better guide antimicrobial prophylaxis and treatment in this emerging cohort.

METHODS

All MM patients who received BsAb therapy on a range of clinical trials between 1st January 2018 and 30th May 2022 at Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre were included in this retrospective review of patients' electronic medical record. All demographic, disease and infection-related data including outcomes were collected utilising a standardised case report form. Patients were followed up for 6 months post last BsAb dose, until next treatment line or death, whichever occurred later. At our institution, valaciclovir 500 mg daily and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole daily is routinely used for herpes simplex, zoster and Pneumocystis prophylaxis respectively while antibacterial prophylaxis is not routinely used. Antifungal prophylaxis is guided by an individua-lised risk-based approach (e.g lines of prior therapy, previous infection).

Complications of BsAb therapy such as cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS) were defined according to published criteria [5]. Infection episodes were categorised as microbiologically-defined (MDI), clinically-defined (CDI) or fever of unknown focus (FUF) and severity graded according to published criteria [6, 7]. MDI was defined as laboratory-isolated pathogen with a compatible clinical syndrome, CDI was defined by compatible clinical syndrome/site without an isolated pathogen, and FUF was defined as presence of fever and the absence of compatible microbiology or focal symptoms.

Descriptive summary statistics were performed. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression were performed to identify factors associated with MDI. Statistical analysis was conducted on Rstudio, 2021.9.0.351 (USA) with p < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

We identified 39 MM patients who received BsAb therapy at our centre with median follow-up of 152 (IQR 51–277) days. The median age was 62 years (IQR 56–70), 24 (62%) were male and had received a median of 6 (IQR 4–7) prior lines of therapy. The majority of patients (38, 97%) had prior haematopoeitic stem cell transplant.

Patients completed a median of 5 (IQR 2–11) cycles of BsAb therapy and CRS occurred in 28 (72%) and ICANS in 2 (5%) patients. The median duration of neutropenia and lymphopenia $<1.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L was 1 (IQR 0–3) and 22 (13–61) days respectively. Hypogammaglobulinemia was documented in 34 (87%) patients, with immunoglobulin replacement in 18 (53%). Further details are summarised in Table 1.

Of the 39 patients, 35 (90%) had at least 1 episode of infection (MDI, CDI, FUF). A total of 15 (38%) had an MDI and 16 (41%) had at least one grade 3 or higher infection episode (Table 2).

A total of 111 infection episodes occurred during the study period at a median of 2 (IQR 1–7) BsAb cycles. Median (IQR) nadir neutrophil and lymphocyte counts in the preceding fortnight were 1.8 $(1.1-2.3) \times 10^9$ /L and 0.3 $(0.1-0.7)) \times 10^9$ /L respectively. Prophylactic valaciclovir had been administered in 104 (94%), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole in 104 (94%) and antifungal prophylaxis (most commonly mould-active) in 38 (34%) infection episodes. Median cumulative prednisone-equivalent dose received by patients in the 30 days prior to infection was 266.7 mg (IQR 106.7–266.7).

Of the 111 infective episodes, 33 (30%) were MDI, 43 (39%) were CDI, and 35 (32%) were FUF (Table 2). The most common site of infection was the respiratory system (46, 41%) followed by gastrointestinal (8, 7%). Of the MDI, 22 (58%) viruses were isolated, 15 (39%) bacteria, and 1 (3%) acid-fast bacilli. Two organisms were isolated in 5 infective episodes. The most common viral infection was rhinovirus/enterovirus (8, 36%), followed by cytomegalovirus (4, 18%) and adenovirus (4, 18%). Majority of bacterial infections were associated with the gastrointestinal tract (*Salmonella* sp., *Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Clostridium difficile, Enterococcus faecalis*). There were no episodes of invasive fungal disease. MDI episodes occurred a median of 79 (IQR 29–289) days after BsAb commencement. Majority of FUF were likely CRS (31, 89%).

Sixty three (57%) infective episodes, in 30 patients, resulted in hospital admission with a median length of stay of 4 (3–7) days. Intensive care admission was required in 4 (4%) episodes and all-cause mortality was 3%. Patients required a median (IQR) of 5 (3–9) days of intravenous or oral antibiotics.

Baseline clinical variables age, functional status, international staging system stage, prior lines of therapy, number of BsAb cycles, CRS, and its treatment were not associated with increased risk for MDI on univariate analysis. Therefore multivariate logistic regression was not performed.

Received: 11 December 2022 Revised: 23 February 2023 Accepted: 27 February 2023 Published online: 10 March 2023

 Table 1.
 Baseline characteristics of the 39 MM patients on BsAb therapy.

Characteristic	Detail (<i>n</i> = 39)
Demographics	
Age (years; median, IQR)	62 (56–70)
Sex (n, %)	M: 24 (62) F: 15 (38)
ECOG (n, %)	0: 10 (26) 1: 29 (74)
CCI ¹ (median, IQR)	5 (4–7)
Myeloma details (n, %)	
Multiple myeloma type	lgG: 26 (67) lgA: 9 (23) Light chain: 4 (10)
ISS ²	1: 11 (28) 2: 17 (44) 3: 11 (28)
Treatment	
Number of previous treatment lines (median, IQR)	6 (4–7)
Total number of BsAb cycles (median, IQR)	5 (2–11)
BsAb targets ²	BCMA: 12 (31) ³ Non-BCMA: 27 (69)
Duration (days; median, IQR)	85 (39–236)
Adverse events	
Cytokine release syndrome (n, %)	28 (72)
Immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (n, %)	2 (5)
Duration of neutropenia $<1 \times 10^9$ /L (days; median, IQR)	1 (0–3)
Duration of lymphopenia $<1 \times 10^9$ /L (days; median, IQR)	22 (13–61)
¹ Charlson Comorbidity Index	

¹Charlson Comorbidity Index.

²International Staging System Score.

²BsAb: bi-specific antibody.

³BCMA: B-cell maturation antigen.

DISCUSSION

Infection remains a clinically significant burden in heavily-treated MM patients undergoing BsAb therapy. Rates of infection associated with BsAb therapy have been variably reported in clinical trials. Most commonly used T cell/BCMA targeting BsAb trials have reported all-grade infection at 41–76% and grade \geq 3 at 5–24% [3, 8] while the rates are 13–37% and 3–4% respectively in T cell/GPRC5D trials [3]. A trial of T cell/FCRH5 BsAb reported grade \geq 3 infection of 19% and limited data is available for NK targeting BsAb [3, 9]. Real world data on rates of hypogammaglobulinaemia, impact of immunoglobulin replacement, and associated rates of infection are starting to emerge, however more evidence is necessary [10, 11].

In this study of heterogenous BsAb platforms, incidence of any infection was comparable to current generation of therapies for relapsed disease including monoclonal antibody therapy with 90% of patients with median 6 lines of prior therapy experiencing at least 1 episode of infection [12]. Severe infection rate was similar also at around 40% [12]. Infections involving the respiratory tract were most common, in keeping with previous literature [13, 14].

Viral infections were particularly prominent in this study, making up 58% of MDI. These were most commonly respiratory
 Table 2.
 Characteristics and outcomes of infection episodes.

Table 2. Characteristics and outcomes of infection episodes.	
Characteristic	Detail (<i>n</i> = 111)
Treatment course	
BsAb cycles at time of infection (median, IQR)	2 (1–7)
Cumulative prednisone-equivalent dose, 30 days (mg; median, IQR)	266.7 (106.7–266.7)
Lowest neutrophil level, 14 days prior (x10 ⁹ /L; median, IQR)	1.8 (1.1–2.3)
Lowest lymphocyte count, 14 days prior (x10 ⁹ /L; median, IQR)	0.3 (0.1–0.7)
Prophylaxis (n, %)	
Antiviral	Valaciclovir: 104 (94) Valganciclovir: 3 (3) Famciclovir: 3 (3) None: 1 (1)
Antifungal	Fluconazole: 9 (8) Mould-active antifungal: 29 (26) Posaconazole: 24 (22) Voriconazole: 5 (5) None: 73 (66)
P. jirovecii prophylaxis	Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole: 104 (94) Dapsone: 5 (5) None: 2 (2)
Infection details	
Number of patients (n, %)	35 (90)
Grade of infection	1: 37 (33) 2: 50 (45) 3: 22 (20) 4: 0 (0) 5: 2 (2)
Infection category ¹	MDI: 33 (30) CDI: 43 (39) FUF: 35 (32)
Site of infection	Respiratory: 46 (41) Urinary: 4 (4) Bloodstream: 6 (5) Skin and soft tissue: 4 (4) Gastrointestinal tract: 8 (7) Ear nose and throat: 6 (5) Genital: 2 (2) CRS: 31 (28) Unknown: 4 (4)
Pathogen details (n, %)	
Pathogens isolated ^a	Bacterial: 15 (39) Gram Negative: 12 (32) ¹ Gram Positive: 3 (8) ² Viral: 22 (58) Respiratory viruses: 15 (39) ³ Reactivated viruses: 7 (18) ⁴ AFB: 1 (3) ⁵
Treatment and outcomes	
Total antibiotic duration (days; median, IQR) IV PO	5 (3–9) 3 (3–4) 5 (5–10)
Hospital admission (n, %)	63 (57)
Outcome at 30 days (n, %)	Survival: 108 (97) Death: 3 (3) ⁶

¹*MDI* microbiologically diagnosed infection, *CDI* clinically diagnosed infection, *FUF* fever of unknown focus.

^aThere were 2 pathogens isolated in 5 infective episodes: adenovirus & EBV, *Haemophilus influenza* & rhinovirus, influenza & adenovirus, *S. aureus* & *E. coli, Bordetella parapertussis* & rhinovirus/enterovirus.

¹Salmonella sp. (n = 3), Bordetella parapertussis (n = 1), Escherichia coli (n = 3), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (n = 1), Haemophilus influenzae (n = 2), Klebsiella pneumoniae (n = 1), Proteus mirabilis (n = 1).

²Clostridium difficile (n = 1), Enterococcus faecalis (n = 1), Staphylococcus aureus (n = 1).

³Rhinovirus/enterovirus (n = 8), influenza (n = 1), adenovirus (n = 4), COVID-19 (n = 2).

⁴BK virus (n = 1), cytomegalovirus (n = 4), herpes simplex virus 2 (n = 1), Epstein Barr virus (n = 1).

⁵*Mycobacterium avium* complex (n = 1).

⁶Deaths attributed to preceding infection within 30 days.

infections (15, 39%), particularly rhinovirus/enterovirus (8, 36%), followed by adenovirus, SARS-CoV-2 and influenza. Therefore surveillance and general prevention measures for respiratory viruses should be enhanced for these patients, and development of new treatment and prevention strategies should be prioritised. Although reactivation of viruses only made up 7% of MDI, infections were predominantly with viruses such as cytomegalovirus that are not preventable with standard prophylaxis highlighting the need for vigilance, early testing and treatment.

Despite a median of 6 prior lines of therapy, there were no episodes of invasive fungal disease detected. In contrast, the rate of IFD in MM patients treated with other new generation therapies was 3.4% [15]. However, reflective of a heavily-treated MM cohort and the uncertainty around the risk for fungal infection, a diverse a range of anti-fungal prophylaxis strategies was observed in this study. The optimal approach require further study.

While all-cause mortality and intensive care admission rates were low (3 and 4% respectively), infectious episodes resulted in a high rate of admission (57%) and prolonged antibiotic use, highlighting the need for improved prevention strategies to minimise the burden of infection, especially for therapies that are continually utilised until disease progression.

This study is limited by its relatively small cohort size and its retrospective nature. However, it is the first report to fully characterise infections in MM patients treated with BsAb.

Infection remains a significant burden for MM patients treated with BsAb with severe infection rates of 41% and viral infections predominate. Further studies are required in order to optimise prophylactic and preventative strategies.

Beatrice Z. Sim ^{1,2,3™}, Anthony Longhitano^{1,2}, Jeremy Er^{4,5}, Simon J. Harrison ^{2,3,4}, Monica A. Slavin^{1,2,3} and Benjamin W. Teh ^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Infectious Diseases, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Parkville, VIC, Australia. ²National Centre for Infections in Cancer, Parkville, VIC, Australia. ³Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia. ⁴Department of Clinical Haematology, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre and Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, VIC, Australia. ⁵Department of Medical Biology, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, VIC, Australia. [⊠]email: beatrice.sim@petermac.org

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Johnsrud AJ, Johnsrud JJ, Susanibar SA, Kamimoto JJ, Kothari A, Burgess M, et al. Infectious and immunological sequelae of daratumumab in multiple myeloma. Br J Haematol. 2019;185:187–9.
- Lancman G, Sastow DL, Cho HJ, Jagannath S, Madduri D, Parekh SS, et al. Bispecific antibodies in multiple myeloma: present and future. Blood Cancer Discov. 2021;2:423–33.
- Kazandjian D, Kowalski A, Landgren O. T cell redirecting bispecific antibodies for multiple myeloma: emerging therapeutic strategies in a changing treatment landscape. Leukemia Lymphoma. 2022;63:3032–43.
- 4. Costa LJ, Wong SW, Bermúdez A, de la Rubia J, Mateos M-V, Ocio EM, et al. First Clinical Study of the B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA) 2+1 T cell engager (TCE) CC-93269 in patients (Pts) with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM): interim results of a phase 1 multicenter trial. Blood. 2019;134:143.
- Lee DW, Santomasso BD, Locke FL, Ghobadi A, Turtle CJ, Brudno JN, et al. ASTCT consensus grading for cytokine release syndrome and neurologic toxicity associated with immune effector cells. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2019;25:625–38.

- US Department of Health and Human Services. Commmon terminology criteria for adverse events v5.0. 2017.
- Pizzo PA, Armstrong D, Bodey G, Pauw BD, Feld R, Glauser M, et al. From the immunocompromised host society: the design, analysis, and reporting of clinical trials on the empirical antibiotic management of the neutropenic patient: report of a consensus panel. J Infect Dis. 1990;161:397–401.
- D'Souza A, Shah N, Rodriguez C, Voorhees PM, Weisel K, Bueno OF, et al. A phase I first-in-human study of ABBV-383, a B-cell maturation antigen × CD3 bispecific T-cell redirecting antibody, in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40:3576–86.
- Plesner T, Harrison SJ, Quach H, Lee CH, Bryant A, Vangsted AJ, et al. A phase I study of RO7297089, a B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA)-CD16a bispecific antibody in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM). Blood. 2021;138:2755.
- Hammons LR, Szabo A, Janardan A, Dhakal B, Chhabra S, D'Souza A, et al. Kinetics of humoral immunodeficiency with bispecific antibody therapy in relapsed refractory multiple myeloma. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5:e2238961.
- Lancman G, Parsa K, Rodriguez C, Richter J, Cho HJ, Parekh S, et al. Infections and severe hypogammaglobulinemia in multiple myeloma patients treated with anti-BCMA bispecific antibodies. Blood. 2022;140:10073–4.
- Lim C, Sinha P, Harrison SJ, Quach H, Slavin MA, Teh BW. Epidemiology and risks of infections in patients with multiple myeloma managed with new generation therapies. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. 2021;21:444–50.e443.
- Cooper D, Madduri D, Lentzsch S, Jagannath S, Li J, Boyapati A, et al. Safety and preliminary clinical activity of REGN5458, an anti-Bcma x anti-CD3 bispecific antibody, in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. Blood. 2019;134:3176.
- Topp MS, Duell J, Zugmaier G, Attal M, Moreau P, Langer C, et al. Anti–B-cell maturation antigen BiTE molecule AMG 420 induces responses in multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38:775–83.
- Lim C, Sinha P, Harrison SJ, Quach H, Slavin MA, Teh BW. Low rates of invasive fungal disease in patients with multiple myeloma managed with new generation therapies: results from a multi-centre cohort study. Mycoses. 2021;64:30–34.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study has been presented in abstract form at the 2023 American Society for Hematology Annual Meeting, New Orleans, United States of America. BWT is supported by the Australian Government Medical Research Future Fund Investigator Fellowship (#1195894).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. Data collection, analysis and first draft was conducted by BZS with support from BWT. All authors contributed to drafting and reviewing of the final manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

SJH has received research funding from Celgene/BMS, GSK, Janssen Cilag, and Haemologix, honoraria from Abbvie, Amgen, Celgene/BMS, GSK, Janssen Cilag, Novartis, Roche Genentech, Haemalogix, Eusa, and Terumo BCT, is on the advisory board for Abbvie, Amgen, Celgene/BMS, GSK, Janssen Cilag, Novartis, Roche Genentech, Eusa: Speakers Bureau; Haemalogix, and has consulted for Abbvie, Amgen, Celgene/BMS, GSK, Janssen Cilag, Novartis, Roche Genentech, Eusa: Speakers Bureau; Haemalogix, and has consulted for Abbvie, Amgen, Celgene/BMS, GSK, Janssen Cilag, Novartis, Roche Genentech, Haemalogix, Eusa, Terumo BCT. BWT has received research funding from Seqirus, MSD, Sanofi and is on the advisory board for CSL-Behring, Moderna and Takeda. MS has been awarded grants from Gilead, Merck and F2G, as well as honoraria from F2G, Pfizer, Merck, Takeda and Roche with all payments to an institution. BZS, JE, and AL have no conflicts of interest to declare.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Beatrice Z. Sim.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023