Check for updates

CORRESPONDENCE **OPEN** Clonal hematopoiesis in primary immune thrombocytopenia

© The Author(s) 2022

Blood Cancer Journal (2022)12:40; https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41408-022-00641-5

Primary immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is an autoimmune disorder characterized by decreased platelet count and increased risk of bleeding. Clonal hematopoiesis (CH) is described as the expansion of a clonal blood cell population with somatic mutations. It was first raised in a study of X-chromosome inactivation among elderly healthy women [1], and has been extensively identified in various clinical settings as the wide use of high-throughput sequencing technologies [2, 3]. However, the association between CH and ITP remains unclear. The diagnosis of ITP needs to exclude other thrombocytopenic conditions, such as hypoplastic myelodysplastic syndrome (hMDS) and inherited thrombocytopenia, which might be misdiagnosed as refractory ITP. [4] The updated international consensus report recommended the conduction of targeted gene sequencing to assess the genes associated with clonal malignancy in elderly ITP patients or those not responding to the initial treatments [5]. In the present study, the prevalence of CH was 10.78% in ITP, which was lower than in aplastic anemia (AA) and hMDS. Moreover, CH in ITP showed a and treatment close relationship to disease severity responsiveness.

We collected peripheral blood or bone marrow samples from 105 ITP patients (56 females and 49 males), 126 AA patients (50 females and 76 males), and 80 hMDS patients (28 females and 52 males) between January 2016 and December 2021 in eight hospitals. DNA was extracted from peripheral blood or bone marrow mononuclear cells of all patients by using MagMAX[™] DNA Multi-Sample Ultra 2.0 Kit (Applied Biosystems™). Follow-up was initiated at the date of sample collection and ended in December 2021 or at the date of death. All patients fulfilled the diagnostic criteria established by the Chinese Society of Hematology [6-8], including history, physical examination, peripheral blood count and smear, and bone marrow examination consistent with ITP. Assays for plasma anti-glycoprotein (GP) autoantibodies and thrombopoietin levels were also carried out in complex and difficult cases. The definition of hMDS is described as previously [9]. The duration of ITP ranged from less than 1 week to 29 years, including 45 newly diagnosed patients and 60 persistent/chronic patients. Our study was approved by the ethics committees of all hospitals with informed consent from all patients.

To detect the CH, we designed a custom sequencing panel based on custom NovaSeq[™]. The panel covered the gene mutations that were commonly observed in patients with MDS, acute myelogenous leukemia, and AA. Coding regions of 38 genes and/or mutational hotspots were sequenced (Supplemental Table 1). The panel consisted of 370 amplicons with a 300 bp base-pair (designed by AmpliSeq Design Studio). The sequence was executed on an illumina MiseqDx PE250 platform with 90% coverage. Bases of sequence with Phred score Q < 20 and population variants whose frequencies exceeded 1% were ruled out. The variants were annotated by the Ensembl GRch38. The detection threshold for mutations was determined as the variant allele frequency (VAF) \geq 1%, and the cutoff value for defining CH was VAF $\ge 2\%$, whereas VAF > 40% were discarded for the possibility of a germline variant. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, Student's t test, Mann–Whitney test, Chi-squared test, logistic regression, and Pearson correlation analysis was used to analyze the results. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 24.0. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant, data were presented as mean \pm SD or median and range.

It was reported that the misdiagnosis rate was ~14% in ITP [4]. In the present study, 13 ITP patients were found to harbor CH at first, among which two cases were misdiagnosed. The first case was a 53-year-old man with skin ecchymosis for 6 months. He was resistant to the first- and second-line therapies and was finally diagnosed with MDS at 4th-year follow-up. The other misdiagnosed patient was a 31-year-old female with menorrhagia and isolated thrombocytopenia, refractory to corticosteroids and other ITP-specific treatments, and diagnosed with congenital thrombocytopenia after re-evaluation of the disease. There were two misdiagnosed patients in non-CH group, who eventually turned out to be acute myelogenous leukemia and large granular lymphocytic leukemia, respectively. The four cases were excluded in the following analysis. In addition, three ITP patients with mutations (CEBPA, DNMT3A, and SF3B1) were not included in the analysis because the VAF values were <2% (Table 1 and Supplemental Table 2).

Based on the present data, there was no significant difference in age between ITP patients and AA/hMDS patients (Fig. 1A). The prevalence of CH was calculated after adjusting age and sex. ITP patients had significantly lower prevalence (10.78%) than AA (19.05%, OR 5.99, 95% CI 5.01-7.16, P < 0.001) and hMDS patients (68.75%, OR 20.34, 95% Cl 16.71–24.77, P < 0.001; Fig. 1B). The VAF value of mutations in ITP was also much lower than that in AA (5.64% \pm 1.80% vs. 12.46% \pm 8.27%, P = 0.008) and hMDS (5.64% \pm 1.80% vs. 16.41% ± 11.54%, P = 0.002; Fig. 1C). In patients with CH, 16.7% AA cases and 23.6% hMDS cases had two or more mutations while only one ITP patient had more than one mutation (Fig. 1D). Gender was not found to be the influencing factor of CH in our study (Fig. 1E), whereas aging was indeed a promoter in the occurrence of CH in ITP (Fig. 1F). No significant association was observed between VAF and age in ITP patients with CH (Fig. 1G). There was no obvious correlation between CH in ITP patients and several chronic conditions including disease duration, hypertension, diabetes, anti-platelet glycoprotein autoantibodies, cerebral infarction, and coronary heart disease (Supplemental Table 3). Moreover, no difference was observed in blood cell indices between CH-harboring group and the non-CH group in ITP patients (Supplemental Table 4).

Mutations of the genes involved in the regulation of DNA methylation accounted for the majority of acquired mutations in healthy individuals [10]. Accordingly, mutations of DNMT3A were the most common among ITP, AA, and hMDS (Fig. 1H-J,

455600 $110111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111<$	Clini	cal chai	racteri:	stics of CH-	ITP patients	(VAF≥2%) a	nd patients with	low-burden mut	ations (1% < VAF <	: 2%).				
M Ves 7 6 DMMTAd D0466 No Des, Prod. No FE SIM F No 11 15 AXU 00466 No Des, Prod. No FE SIM F No 1 15 AXU 0039 No Des, Prod. No FE SIM F No 1 72 DMMTA 0043 No Des, Prod. No FE SIM SIM F No 1 TP3 0.043 No Des, Prod. No FE SIM SIM M No 1 TP3 0.0739 No Des, Prod. No FE SIM No FE SIM No FE SIM SIM SIM FE SIM SIM FE SIM SIM FE SIM SIM FE SIM FE SIM FE SIM FE SIM FE S	Age	U 1	Sex	Smoking history	Platelet count (×10 ⁹ /L)	Disease duration (months)	Gene	VAF	Malignant transformation	Previous/ present treatments	Initial response to corticosteroid	Refractory ITP	Bleeding symptoms	Bleeding score
F No 11 15 ASX1 0.033 No Des. MTO No CF No CF No To	5	2	Þ	Yes	7	9	DNMT3A	0.0466	No	Dex, Pred, Rit, TPO-RA	NR	Yes	EP EC	S1M1
F No 1 72 DNMTA 0.0454 No Dex. Wg, back holds, bac	2	Ľ	ц	No	11	1.5	ASXL1	0.0539	No	Dex, rhTPO, Rit, TPO-RA	NR	Yes	EC GH	S1M1
F No 13 8 DNMTAA 0.0692 No Dev R No PT S1 M No 1 TP33 0.0739 No Dev, C R No PT S1 M No 19 24 SR52 0.0739 No Dev, C R No F S1 S1 M No 19 24 SR52 0.0388 No Dev, C R No F S1 S1 M Yes 26 0.5 ASXL1 0.0945 No Ped, IPDO No No Ped, IPDO No Ped, IPDO No Ped, IPDO No Ped, IPDO Ped, IPDO No Ped, IPDO Ped, IPDO <td>5</td> <td>ш</td> <td>Ц</td> <td>No</td> <td>-</td> <td>72</td> <td>DNMT3A</td> <td>0.0454</td> <td>No</td> <td>Dex. IVIg, Rit, TPO-RA, Tacrolimus</td> <td>NR</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>ec gi gyn ich</td> <td>S1O2 (intracranial3)</td>	5	ш	Ц	No	-	72	DNMT3A	0.0454	No	Dex. IVIg, Rit, TPO-RA, Tacrolimus	NR	Yes	ec gi gyn ich	S1O2 (intracranial3)
F No 7 1 TP33 0.0739 No Dev, Chinese CR No FC 51 M No 19 24 5857 0.0588 No Ped, Dev, Chinese R No FC 51 M Ves 26 0.3 45X1 0.0348 No Ped, Dev, Chinese R No FC 51 M Ves 26 0.3 48X1 0.0348 No Ped, Dev, Ped, Po, R No FC 5102 M Ves 14 12 0.043 No Ped, Po, R No FC 5102 M Ves 14 12 0.013 No Ped, Po, R No FC 5102 M Ves 1 0.1 0.12 DNMT3A 0.0313 No Ped, Po, R No FC 5102 M Ves 1 0.1 DNMT3A D313	3	ď.	U	No	13	8	DNMT3A	0.0692	No	Dex	Я	No	РТ	S1
N No 19 24 SSF2 0.0588 No Pred, DEK R No EC S1 N Ves 26 05 ASXL1 0.0955 No Pred, DTO N No	#	L.	ц	No	7	-	TP53	0.0739	No	Dex, Chinese medicine	CR	No	EC	S1
N Yes 26 0.5 A5X11 0.0945 No Pred, rhTO NR No	2	2	Σ	No	19	24	SRSF2	0.0588	No	Pred, Dex	Я	No	EC	S1
M Yes 5 48 DNMT3A 0.0290 No Mg - No ECGI 5102 M Yes 4 2.5 TET2 0.0625 No Mg - No ECGI 5102 F No 14 12 DNMT3A 0.0313 No Pred, TPO- R No GH M1 F No 1 0.1 DNMT3A 0.0313 No Pred, TPO- R No GH M1 7 F No 11 0.1 DNMT3A 0.0313 No Pred, TPO- R No GH M1 7 N No F 0.01 No Pred, CR No GH GH M2 8 No F No Pred, CR No No Pred, CR No FCGH SIM1 7 No No Pred, CR No Pred, CR No <td< td=""><td>ŝ</td><td>4</td><td>Z</td><td>Yes</td><td>26</td><td>0.5</td><td>ASXL1</td><td>0.0945</td><td>No</td><td>Pred, rhTPO</td><td>NR</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>0</td></td<>	ŝ	4	Z	Yes	26	0.5	ASXL1	0.0945	No	Pred, rhTPO	NR	No	No	0
M Yes 4 25 TET2 0.0625 No Pred, TO- R No GH MI 7 F No 14 12 DNMT3A 0.0313 No Dex, MG, NR Yes GH EP M1 7 F No 1 0.1 DNMT3A 0.0313 No Pex, MG, NR Yes GH EP M2 7 F No 1 0.1 DNMT3A 0.0313 No Peck, MG, NR Yes GH EP M2 7 M No 6 789 0.0125 No Pred, NR Yes CGH M3 7 M Yes 0.0125 No Pred, Spl No FC GH S1M1 7 M Yes 0.0129 No Peck, Spl Scl Scl S1M1 7 M Yes No Scl Scl Sth <sth<sth>Sth<sth<sth>Scl Scl Scl Scl Sth<sth<sth>S</sth<sth></sth<sth></sth<sth>	Ň	V (Þ	Yes	5	48	DNMT3A	0.0290	No	IVIg	,	No	EC GI	S102
5 F No 14 12 DNMT3A 0.0313 No Dex, MG, MR Yes GHEP M2 7 F No 1 0.1 DNMT3A TET2 0.0540 0.0580 No Pred CR No GHEP M2 7 M No 6 348 CEBPA 0.0125 No Pred, CR No GHEP GHU M3 7 M No 8 132 DNMT3A 0.0125 No Pred, CA No SeGHU M3 7 M No 8 132 DNMT3A 0.0121 No Dex, Pred, CA No No SeGHU M3 7 M Ves 3180 SF3B1 0.0129 No Dex, Pred, CA No No No SeGHU M3 7 M Ves 3180 SF3B1 0.0129 No Interd, CA No No No No No No <td< td=""><td>NO.</td><td><</td><td>Σ</td><td>Yes</td><td>4</td><td>2.5</td><td>TET2</td><td>0.0625</td><td>No</td><td>Pred, TPO- RA</td><td>Я</td><td>No</td><td>В</td><td>M1</td></td<>	NO.	<	Σ	Yes	4	2.5	TET2	0.0625	No	Pred, TPO- RA	Я	No	В	M1
7 F No 1 0.1 DNMT3A TET2 0.0540 0.0580 No Pred CR No GH PGUH M3 4 M No 6 348 CEBPA 0.0125 No Pred, NR Yes EC GH S1M1 7 M No 8 132 DNMT3A 0.0121 No Pred, NR Yes EC GH S1M1 7 M No 8 132 DNMT3A 0.0171 No Dex, Pred, R No No 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td>10</td> <td>Э</td> <td>14</td> <td>No</td> <td>14</td> <td>12</td> <td>DNMT3A</td> <td>0.0313</td> <td>No</td> <td>Dex, IVIG, rhTPO, Rit</td> <td>NR</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>GH EP</td> <td>M2</td>	10	Э	14	No	14	12	DNMT3A	0.0313	No	Dex, IVIG, rhTPO, Rit	NR	Yes	GH EP	M2
4 M No 6 348 CEBPA 0.0125 No Pred, infPO, Spl NR Yes EC GH S1M1 7 M No 8 132 DNMT3A 0.0171 No Dex, Pred, CR No No 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	in in	7 F	U	No	-	0.1	DNMT3A TET2	0.0540 0.0580	No	Pred	CR	No	GH EP GUH	M3
7 M No 8 132 DNMT3A 0.0171 No Dex, Pred CR No No 0 0 M Yes 3 180 SF3B1 0.0129 No Pred, CSA, R Yes GUH GH EP M2O2 1L-11, mTPO, TPO- RA, Rt	ň	4	Σ	No	9	348	CEBPA	0.0125	No	Pred, rhTPO, Spl	NR	Yes	EC GH	S1M1
0 M Yes 3 180 SF3B1 0.0129 No Pred, CsA, R Yes GUH GH EP M2O2 L-11, hTPO, TPO- RA, Rit	10	7 N	Þ	No	8	132	DNMT3A	0.0171	No	Dex, Pred	CR	No	No	0
	10	~	5	Yes	m	180	SF3B1	0.0129	oN	Pred, CsA, IL-11, rhTPO, TPO- RA, Rit	æ	Yes	guh gh ep	M202

HP hemoptysis, *Dex* dexamethasone, *IVI*g intravenous gamma globulin, *Pred* prednisone, *SpI* splenectomy, *TPO* thrombopoietin, *TPO-R*4 thrombopoietin receptor agonist, *Rit* rituximab, *C*8 cyclosporin A, *CR* complete response (platelet count 2 100 × 10⁹/L and absence of bleeding), *R* response (platelet count 2 30 × 10⁹/L and absence of bleeding), *R* response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding), *R* response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding). *R* no response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding). *R* response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding). *R* response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding). *R* no response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding). *R* no response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding). *R* no response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding). *R* no response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding). *R* no response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and absence of bleeding). *R* response (platelet count 2 0⁹/L and 2 0⁹/L

count <30 × 10 $^{\circ}$ /L or less than twofold increase of the baseline platelet count or bleeding). Bleeding manifestations were grouped into three major domains according to ITP-specific Bleeding Assessment Tool: skin (5), visible mucosae (M), and organs (O), with a gradation of severity (SMOG). Severe bleeding was regarded as grade 3 for skin, and/or grade 2 or higher for mucosal domains, and/or higher than grade 1 for organ domain (5 ≥ 3 and/or M ≥ 2 and/or O > 1).

2

Correspondence



4

Fig. 1 CH in ITP, AA, and hMDS patients. A Age profile of patients with ITP, AA, and MDS. **B** The prevalence of CH in ITP was much lower than that in AA and hMDS. **C** The VAF value of mutations in ITP patients was much lower than that in AA and hMDS. **D** Mutation number distribution in all CH patients. **E** The gender ratio of patients with ITP, AA, and hMDS. **F** The prevalence of CH in ITP patients over 65 years old was higher than that under 65 years old (27.27% vs. 6.25%, P = 0.005). The polyline represents the cumulative prevalence of CH in ITP patients, the bars show the percentage of patients with CH in different age groups. **G** No statistically significant correlation was found between mutation VAF and age in ITP patients. **H** The prevalence of different genes in ITP patients with CH or with low-burden mutations. **I** The top six most frequently mutated genes in AA patients with CH. **J** The top six most frequently mutated genes in hMDS patients with CH. **K** The percentages of different mutation types in all mutations. **L** There was no statistical difference in the platelet count between CH and non-CH-ITP patients. The platelet count and patient age were included in the confounders in the analysis. **N** The initial response rate in patients with CH was lower compared with patients without CH though it did not reach statistical significance. The proportion of refractory cases in patients with CH was much higher than that in non-CH patients. All data analyzed by *t* test were normally distributed and similar in variance. CH clonal hematopoiesis, VAF variant allele frequency, del deletion, dup duplication, ins insertion, delins deletion-insertion.

Supplementary table 2, 5 and 6), and most of the CH in our study tended to be driver mutations in hematologic cancers [11]. In ITP group, more than half of the patients had mutations of DNMT3A, followed by ASXL1/TET2 (18.2%) and SRSF2/TP53 (9.1%). By contrast, the most frequently mutated genes were DNMT3A/ASXL1/TET2 (16.67%), BCOR (12.50%), and PIGA/U2AF1 (8.33%) in AA patients. Similarly, gene mutations in hMDS were concentrated in DNMT3A/ASXL1/SF3B1 (18.18%), U2AF1 (10.91%), TET2 (9.09%), and TP53 (7.27%). Single-nucleotide variants were dominant in all types of mutations, among which transitions were the most prevalent (Fig. 1K).

The occurrence of CH in ITP is closely related to clinical symptoms and treatment prognosis. The bleeding severity was evaluated according to the ITP-specific Bleeding Assessment Tool [12]. Although the platelet count between CH and non-CH-ITP patients was not statistically different (Fig. 1L), CH was positively correlated with bleeding severity as ITP patients with CH were 6.95 times more likely to develop severe bleeding than those without CH (P = 0.008, Fig. 1M and Table 1). Corticosteroids are the firstline therapy for ITP with the overall response rates ranging from 70% to 80% [13]. A total of 87 patients received corticosteroid treatments in the present study. The initial response rate in patients with CH was lower compared with patients without CH (54.5% vs. 80.6%, P = 0.054, Fig. 1N), suggesting that ITP patients with CH were more tended to be initially refractory to corticosteroids. Moreover, ITP patients with CH who failed the corticosteroids were more likely to be resistant to the subsequent treatments. According to the Chinese guidelines, refractory ITP comprises patients who failed the initial treatments and were resistant to rituximab and TPO-RAs, or splenectomy [8]. The proportion of refractory cases in patients with CH was significantly higher than that in non-CH patients (36.4% vs. 4.5%, P = 0.002, Fig. 1N). Therefore, detection of CH in ITP might indicate a poor treatment response.

Targeted gene sequencing could be helpful in the differential diagnosis of ITP [5]. The misdiagnosis rate in CH-harboring patients was higher than in the non-CH group though it did not reach the statistical significance (15.4% vs. 2.2%, P = 0.117). It was noteworthy that one patient did not harbor any mutation in the coding regions of the customed 38 genes, whereas she was found to have several mutations when using an expanded sequencing panel (CARD11 26.4%, GATA1 49.0%), and finally diagnosed with congenital thrombocytopenia. This suggested a role for whole-genome-sequencing in refractory ITP. Therefore, suspected ITP patients with specific mutations, especially those who responded poorly to the initial treatments, should be followed closely for the possible misdiagnosis and development of myeloid malignancies.

Taking together, CH happened at a low incidence in ITP. It was associated with bleeding severity and responsiveness to current therapies. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the profile of CH in ITP. Despite the relatively small sample size and short follow-up period, our data suggested that targeted gene sequencing might be helpful in the differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia. Further investigation is warranted to assess the effects of mutations in certain specific genes on the development of ITP.

Yanming Wang^{1,2,12}, Tianshu Yu^{2,12}, Qiaofeng Dong ³, Shuang Liu⁴, Yafei Yu², Hong Yu Zhao⁵, Ji Ma⁶, Lin Dong⁷, Liang Wang⁸, Daoxin Ma², Yajing Zhao $\mathbb{D}^{2^{m}}$, Yu Hou $\mathbb{D}^{2^{m}}$, Xinguang Liu $\mathbb{D}^{2^{m}}$, Jun Peng² and Ming Hou^{2,9,10,11} ¹Department of Hematology, Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital Affiliated to Qingdao University, Yantai, China. ²Department of Hematology, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China. ³Department of Hematology, Heze Municipal Hospital, Heze, China. ⁴Department of Hematology, Taian Central Hospital, Taian, China. ⁵Department of Hematology, Jinan Central Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, Jinan, China. ⁶Department of Medical Oncology, Shandong Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shandong First Medical University and Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Jinan, Shandong Province, China. ⁷Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Shandong First Medical University, Taian, China. ⁸Department of Hematology, Shengli Oilfield Central Hospital, Dongying, China. ⁹Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Immunohaematology, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China. ¹⁰Leading Research Group of Scientific Innovation, Department of Science and Technology of Shandong Province, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China. ¹¹Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Remodeling and Function Research, Chinese Ministry of Education and Chinese Ministry of Health, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, China. ¹²These authors contributed equally: Yanming Wang and Tianshu Yu. [™]email: zhaoyajing93115@163.com; houyu2009@sina.com; Liuxingrant@163.com

DATA AVAILABILITY

For original data, please contact Liuxingrant@163.com.

REFERENCES

- Busque L, Mio R, Mattioli J, Brais E, Blais N, Lanonde Y, et al. Nonrandom x-inactivation patterns in normal females: lyonization ratios vary with age. Blood 1996;88:59–65.
- 2. Jaiswal S, Ebert BL. Clonal hematopoiesis in human aging and disease. Science 2019;366:586.
- Gibson CJ, Steensma DP. New insights from studies of clonal hematopoiesis. Clin Cancer Res. 2018;24:4633–42.
- Miltiadous O, Hou M, Bussel JB. Identifying and treating refractory ITP: difficulty in diagnosis and role of combination treatment. Blood. 2019;135:472–90.
- Provan D, Arnold DM, Bussel JB, Chong BH, Cooper N, Gernsheimer T, et al. Updated international consensus report on the investigation and management of primary immune thrombocytopenia. Blood Adv. 2019;3:3780–817.

- 7. [expert consensus on diagnosis and treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome (2014)]. Zhonghua xue ye xue za zhi = Zhonghua xueyexue zazhi. 2014;35:1042–8.
- 8. [chinese guideline on the diagnosis and management of adult primary immune thrombocytopenia (version 2020)]. Zhonghua xue ye xue za zhi = Zhonghua xueyexue zazhi. 2020;41:617–23.
- 9. Bono E, McLornan D, Travaglino E, Gandhi S, Gallì A, Khan AA, et al. Clinical, histopathological and molecular characterization of hypoplastic myelodysplastic syndrome. Leukemia 2019:33:2495-505.
- 10. Jaiswal S. Clonal hematopoiesis and nonhematologic disorders. Blood 2020:136.1606-14
- 11. Inoue D, Bradley RK, Abdel-Wahab O. Spliceosomal gene mutations in myelodysplasia: Molecular links to clonal abnormalities of hematopoiesis. Genes Dev. 2016:30:989-1001
- 12. Rodeghiero F, Michel M, Gernsheimer T, Ruggeri M, Blanchette V, Bussel JB, et al. Standardization of bleeding assessment in immune thrombocytopenia: Report from the international working group. Blood 2013;121:2596-606.
- 13. Neunert CE. Management of newly diagnosed immune thrombocytopenia: can we change outcomes? Blood Adv. 2017;1:2295-301.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82000123 and No. 82170123) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR202102210191 and ZR2021MH115).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

W.Y.M., Y.T.S., and Z.Y.J. performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper; D.Q. F., L.S., D.L., Z.H.Y., W.L., M.J., H.Y., M.D.X., Y.Y.F., and P.J. arranged for obtaining patient informed consents and data collection; L.X.G., Z.Y.J., and H.M. designed and reviewed the work. The manuscript was critically revised by all authors.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41408-022-00641-5.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Yajing Zhao, Yu Hou or Xinguang Liu.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons $(\mathbf{\hat{n}})$ Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022