## CORRECTION

## **Open Access**

## Correction: Regulation of dopaminergic function: An [<sup>18</sup>F]-DOPA PET apomorphine challenge study in humans

S Jauhar<sup>1</sup>, M Veronese<sup>2</sup>, M Rogdaki<sup>3</sup>, M Bloomfield<sup>3</sup>, S Natesan<sup>1</sup>, F Turkheimer<sup>2</sup>, S Kapur<sup>1</sup> and O D Howes<sup>1,3,4</sup>

## Correction to: Translational Psychiatry

https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2016.270 Published online 07 February 2017

The author noticed and would like to amend a few errors in the Original Article:

- Statistical analysis, p2 "The percentage change in Kicer was calculated as: (baseline Ki cer-Ki cer after apomorphine)/(baseline Ki cer) × 100. For the purpose of analysis, 'baseline' refers to when subjects were not given apomorphine challenge, that is, when given either placebo or no compound." This should have read as "The percentage change in Kicer was calculated as: (Ki cer after apomorphine-baseline Ki cer)/(baseline Ki cer) × 100. For the purpose of analysis, 'baseline' refers to when subjects were not given apomorphine challenge, that is, when given either placebo or no compound."
- 2. **Figure 3:** The legend on the y axis states % change (Baseline-post-apomorphine), **which should read as baseline vs post-apomorphine**. This was in the original submission, though we fully accept the error when this went through copy editing.
- 3. **P3** states, e interclass correlation for both the scans was 0.834, as reported previously. **This should read as 0.843**.
- 4. **P3**, it reads "There was no appreciable change in effect size or P-value when the two subjects who did not receive placebo were excluded from the analysis (r = 0.67, P = 0.034, two-tailed)." **It should read as** -0.67, ie., a negative correlation.

Published online: 11 June 2019

Correspondence: O D Howes (oliver.howes@kcl.ac.uk)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Neuroimaging Sciences, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College, London, UK

<sup>3</sup>MRC London Institute of Medical Sciences, London, UK

© The Author(s) 2019

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Institute of Clinical Sciences, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK