ARTICLE





Clinical associations with telomere length in chronic spinal cord injury

David M. Monroe¹ · Rebekah L. Goldstein² · Merilee A. Teylan² · Jaime E. Hart³ · Immaculata DeVivo⁴ · Esther H. Orr⁵ · Eric Garshick^{6,7}

Received: 7 April 2019 / Revised: 18 July 2019 / Accepted: 19 July 2019 / Published online: 5 August 2019 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to International Spinal Cord Society 2019

Abstract

Study design Cross-sectional study

Objectives To determine clinical factors associated with telomere length in persons with chronic spinal cord injury (SCI). **Setting** Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Boston, MA.

Methods Two hundred seventy-eight participants with chronic SCI provided blood samples for measurement of C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and telomere length, completed respiratory health questionnaires, underwent dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) to assess body fat, and completed spirometry. High-throughput real-time PCR assays were used to assess telomere length in leukocyte genomic DNA. Linear regression models were used to assess cross-sectional associations with telomere length.

Results Telomere length was inversely related to age (p < 0.0001). In age-adjusted models, gender, race, injury duration, %-total and %-trunk fat, body mass index (BMI), %-predicted forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), chronic cough or phlegm, CRP, IL-6, wheeze, smoking, diabetes, heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), skin ulcer, urinary tract infection (UTI), or chest illness history were not significantly associated with telomere length. There was a suggestive age-adjusted association between persons with the most severe SCI (cervical motor complete and AIS C) and shorter telomere length (p = 0.055), an effect equivalent to ~8.4 years of premature aging. There were similar age-adjusted associations with telomere length between persons using a wheelchair (p = 0.059) and persons with chronic urinary catheter use (p = 0.082) compared to persons without these characteristics.

Conclusions Our results suggest that clinical characteristics such as decreased mobility and bladder dysfunction that are common in individuals with more severe SCI are associated with shorter telomere length.

Introduction

Recent research has focused on the assessment of telomere length as a biomarker of cellular aging, a potential proxy for

Eric Garshick Eric.Garshick@va.gov

- ¹ Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA
- ² Research and Development Service, VA Boston Healthcare System, West Roxbury, MA, USA
- ³ Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School; Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA
- ⁴ Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of

cellular health. Telomeres are made up of repeat sequences of nucleotides located at the ends of human chromosomes that function to protect them from degradation and maintain structural integrity. It has been proposed that telomeres are

Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School; Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

- ⁵ Brigham and Women's Hospital and Dept of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA
- ⁶ Pulmonary, Allergy, Sleep and Critical Care Medicine Section, VA Boston Healthcare System and Harvard Medical School, West Roxbury, MA, USA
- ⁷ Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

analogous to a "molecular clock" reflecting the number of divisions a cell has undergone. Cells with critically short telomeres are predisposed to enter senescence, undergo apoptosis, and have higher rates of cell death [1]. Reduced telomere length has been consistently associated with increased aging of cells as well as increased exposure of those cells to a variety of environmental, physiologic, and pathologic stressors [2]. Cells and tissues with reduced telomere lengths may therefore reflect advanced damage and reduced longevity, providing a useful biomarker for detecting cell and tissue viability in unique disease states and populations.

The rationale for this study is based on observations that reductions in telomere length in circulating leukocytes have been observed in persons with cardiovascular and chronic respiratory diseases [3]. Associations with reduced telomere length have also been found in neurologic diseases such as multiple sclerosis, systemic inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and cancer, and chronic lifestyle factors such as tobacco use and obesity [4-8]. These findings are consistent with studies indicating that telomeres shorten in response to systemic inflammation, oxidative stress, or other features associated with these physiologic states. Lifestyle and clinical factors common in SCI, including central obesity, a reduction in physical activity, and recurrent infections, are associated with systemic inflammation. Previous studies that we have conducted in chronic SCI at the VA Boston indicate that cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases are the most common causes of death and that these diseases are related to lifestyle and clinical factors that promote systemic inflammation, reduced pulmonary function, and respiratory symptoms [9].

In this study, we investigated whether spinal cord injury (SCI) is a medical condition that promotes accelerated aging at the cellular level by using telomere length as a molecular biomarker. We sought to determine if persons with more severe SCI (cervical tetraplegia) have shorter telomeres than persons with less severe SCI, and if there are clinical characteristics in chronic SCI associated with telomere length. These clinical characteristics include central obesity, systemic inflammation (plasma C-reactive protein [CRP] and interleukin 6 [IL-6]), pulmonary function, respiratory symptoms, comorbid medical conditions, mobility mode, UTI, skin ulcer, and respiratory illness history.

Methods

Population

Between August 2009 and April 2012 we recruited 299 individuals into a study of respiratory health among individuals with chronic SCI. Participants were recruited from persons receiving care at VA Boston and from the greater

Boston area as previously described [10–12]. Individuals were eligible if they were 22 years of age or older, were 1 or more years post-injury, had no other neuromuscular disease, did not have a tracheostomy, and were able to breathe without chronic ventilatory support. Participants were eligible regardless of etiology of SCI and testing was scheduled when subjects were free of acute illness. The Institutional Review Board at VA Boston Healthcare System approved the protocol and informed consent was obtained.

Neurological exam, height, and weight

SCI level and severity was assessed by neurological exam and medical record review and persons underwent measurement of height and weight [13, 14]. Motor incomplete SCI included AIS C (most key muscles below the neurological level grade <3/5) or AIS D (most muscles below the neurological level grade \geq 3/5). For analysis, participants were further grouped into cervical motor complete (AIS A or B) and cervical AIS C, high thoracic (T1-T6) complete (AIS A or B) and AIS C, others with T7 or below motor complete (AIS A or B) or AIS C, and all others (AIS D's).

Other clinical characteristics

A fifth generation GE Healthcare iDXA scanner was used to assess % total body and % trunk fat and spirometry was conducted as previously described [12, 15]. A questionnaire based on American Thoracic Society Division of Lung Diseases ATS DLD-78 was used to obtain a history of cigarette smoking and comorbid medical diseases [16]. Hypertension, diabetes, and asthma were defined based on report of a diagnosis by a doctor, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) was defined as either doctordiagnosed emphysema or chronic bronchitis. Heart disease was defined as treatment for "heart trouble" reported on the health questionnaire in the 10 years prior to study entry. Participants were asked to report if a chest illness kept them off work, indoors at home, or in bed in the previous one and 3 years, if they currently had a urinary tract infection (UTI) or a skin ulcer, or if they had experienced either in the past one and 3 years, and how they usually passed urine (indwelling, intermittent, condom, or no catheterization). Any wheeze was defined as wheeze or whistling in chest with a cold, occasionally apart from colds, or on most days or nights. Persistent wheeze included reported wheezing with a cold and apart from colds, or wheeze on most days or nights. Chronic cough was defined as cough on most days for 3 consecutive months of the year, and chronic phlegm was defined as phlegm on most days for 3 consecutive months of the year. Usual mobility mode (more than 50% of the time) was ascertained in four categories: motorized wheelchair use, hand-propelled wheelchair use,

walk with aid such as crutch or cane, or walk without assistance.

Biochemical analyses

Plasma samples were obtained at each study visit, during which they were drawn into an EDTA tube and immediately delivered to a core blood research laboratory. The samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 2600 rpm $(1459 \times g)$ at 4 °C and stored at -80 °C until batch analysis. High sensitivity CRP concentrations were determined using an immunoturbidimetric assay and IL-6 was determined by an ultrasensitive enzyme linked immunosorbent assay at the Clinical & Epidemiologic Research Laboratory, Department of Laboratory Medicine at Children's Hospital in Boston. The CRP assay had a sensitivity of 0.03 mg/L, with day-to-day variability of the assay at concentrations of 0.91, 3.07, and 13.38 mg/L of 2.81%, 1.61%, and 1.1%, respectively. The IL-6 assay had a sensitivity of 0.094 pg/mL, with day-today variabilities of the assay at concentrations of 0.66, 1.97 and 8.16 pg/mL are 12.2%, 7.6%, and 9.9%, respectively.

DNA was extracted from buffy-coat samples using the QIAamp 96 DNA Blood Kit by Qiagen (Hilden, Germany). A high-throughput Real-Time PCR assay to assess relative telomere length in leukocyte genomic DNA that involves determining the ratio of telomere repeat copy number to single-copy gene copy number. This is referred to as the Telomere to Single Gene (T/S) ratio and its value is proportional to the average telomere length. The T/S ratio value for all samples is then compared to that of a reference DNA sample to normalize for experimental variations. When an unknown sample is identical to the reference DNA in its ratio of telomere repeat copy number to single-copy gene copy number, the T/S ratio value will be 1. We used a modified version of Cawthon's Real-Time PCR telomere assay for use in a high-throughput 384-well format with an Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA) 7900HT PCR System [17]. Briefly, 5 ng of buffy-coat derived genomic DNA wad dried down in a 384-well plate and resuspended in 10 uL of either the Telomere or 36B4 PCR reaction mixture and stored in 4 C upto 6 h. The telomere reaction mixture consists of 1x Qiagen Quantitect Sybr Green Master Mix, 2.0 mM of DTT, 270 nM of Tel-1 primer (GGTTTTT GAGGGTGAGGGTGAGGGTGAGGGTGAGGGT), and 900 nM of Tel-2 primer (TCCCGACTATCCCTATCCCT ATCCCTATCCCTATCCCTA). The reaction proceeds for 1 cycle at 95 C for 10 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95 C for 15 s, and 54 C for 2 min. The 36B4 reaction consists of 1x Qiagen Quantitect Sybr Green Master Mix, 300 nM of 36B4U primer (CAGCAAGTGGGAAGGTGTAATCC), and 500 nM of 36B4D primer (CCCATTCTATCATCA ACGGGTACAA). The 36B4 reaction proceeds for 1 cycle at 95 C for 10 min, followed by 35 cycles at 95 C for 15 s, and 58 C for 1 min and 10 s. All samples for both the Telomere and single-copy gene (36B4) reactions were performed in triplicate on different plates. Each 384-well plate also contained a 6-point standard curve from 0.625 ng to 20 ng using pooled buffy-coat derived genomic DNA. The purpose of the standard curve is to assess and compensate for inter-plate variations in PCR efficiency. Two different quality control samples were interspersed throughout the dataset in order to assess inter-plate and intra-plate variability of threshold cycle (Ct) values. The T/S ratio (-dCt) for each sample is calculated by subtracting the average 36B4 Ct value from the average Telomere Ct value. The Relative T/S ratio (-ddCt) is determined by subtracting the T/S ratio value of the 5 ng standard curve point from the T/S ratio of each unknown sample. The coefficient of variation (CV) of the telomere and 36B4triplicate CT values was all less than 2.5%. A combined inter- and intra-assay CV calculated from quality control samples was 16.6%.

Analysis

General linear models (PROC GLM, SAS version 9.4) were used to assess determinants of telomere length. Each clinical characteristic in question was included as an independent variable in a separate model with relative telomere length as the dependent variable and age as the only covariate. CRP and IL-6 were natural log transformed to meet model assumptions. We used analysis of variance (ANOVA) and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) tests to compare means of categorical groupings. A Tukey adjustment was used for multiple comparisons. Model coefficients for significant findings were compared with the coefficient of the relationship between telomere length and age to estimate the relative degree of additional cellular aging that could be expected for a one-unit increase in each variable.

Results

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. Nine persons did not have buffy-coat samples available, 14 persons requested that their DNA not be analyzed, seven persons were excluded because of another neurologic condition or had recovered from SCI, and one person's telomere analysis was technically unacceptable, leaving 268 persons eligible for analysis. The mean age (SD) was 54.1 (14.4) years (range 25–88) and mean (SD) duration of injury was 18.4 (13.1) years (range 1– 61). There were 219 men (81.7%); 241 (89.2%) were white, 17 (6.3%) were African-American, and the remainder either Hispanic, Asian, or Native-American. Sixty-seven (25.0%) persons were cervical motor complete and AIS C SCI, and 173 (64.5%) used either a motorized or hand-propelled wheelchair.

Table 1 Participant characteristics ($N = 268$)	
Characteristics	Total
	(n = 268)

 54.12 ± 14.41

 18.40 ± 13.14

2.55 (1.02, 6.21)

Continuous Characteristics Age (years) [mean, SD]

Injury duration (years) [mean, SD]

CRP (mg/L) [median, interquartile range]

Clinical associations with telomere length in chronic spinal cord injury

IL-6 (pg/mL) [median, interquartile range]	2.18 (1.32, 4.59)
Telomere length [mean, SD]	0.47 ± 0.14
BMI (kg/m ²) [mean, SD]	27.15 ± 6.04
% predicted FEV ₁ ($n = 248$) [mean, SD]	76.50 ± 21.33
% predicted FVC ($n = 248$) [mean, SD]	77.67 ± 20.47
Percent fat [mean, SD]	
Trunk region. % fat	40.04 ± 10.83
Total body, % fat	36.54 ± 9.11
Categorical Characteristic	n (%)
Sar	
Female	40 (18 3)
Male	219 (81 7)
Race/ethnicity	217 (01.7)
White	230 (80 2)
Black	17 (6 3)
Other ^a	17(0.3)
SCI Classification	12 (4.3)
Motor complete conviced & AIS C	67 (25 0)
Motor complete high thoracia ^b & AIS C	39 (14.6)
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Motor complete high thoracle } & \text{AIS C} \\ \text{Other motor complete } & \text{AIS C} \\ \end{array}$	53 (14.0)
	100 (40.7)
All AlS D Mobility mode	109 (40.7)
Motorized wheelsheir	52 (10.8)
Hand propelled wheelchair	120 (14.8)
Wells with aid (arutah, and ar similar aid)	120 (44.8)
Welly without excistence	43 (10.8)
walk without assistance $\mathbf{DML}(d_{1}\alpha/m^{2})$	50 (18.7)
Normal (<25)	102 (38 1)
Overweight (25 < hmi < 30)	81 (30.2)
Obese (>20)	81 (30.2) 85 (31.7)
Skin yleer history	85 (51.7)
Now	33 (12 3)
In the past year	55 (12.5) 66 (24.6)
In the past 3 years	87 (22.5)
In the past 5 years	87 (32.3)
Now	20 (7.5)
In the past year	20(7.3)
In the past 3 years	160 (50 7)
III uie past 5 years Uringry catheter use	100 (39.7)
No catheter use	110 (41 0)
Periodic catheterization	110 (41.0) 80 (22.2)
	09 (33.2)

Table	1	(continued)
TUDIC		(commucu)

Categorical Characteristic	n (%)
Indwelling catheter	42 (15.7)
Condom catheter	27 (10.1)
Heart disease	29 (10.8)
Hypertension	100 (37.3)
Diabetes	45 (16.8)
Chest illness in past year	35 (13.1)
Chest illness in past 3 years $(n = 267)$	68 (25.5)
COPD	28 (10.5)
Asthma	37 (13.8)
COPD or asthma	59 (22.0)
Chronic cough	28 (10.5)
Chronic phlegm	42 (15.7)
Any wheeze	124 (46.3)
Persistent wheeze	45 (16.8)
Cigarette use history	
Current	42 (15.7)
Former	111 (41.4)
Never	115 (42.9)

^aAsian = 2, Hispanic = 6, Native-American = 4

^bHigh thoracic = T1-T6

Telomere length was significantly inversely related to age (p < 0.0001). When analyzed in separate models, the variables of gender, race, injury duration, %-total fat, %-trunk fat, %-predicted FVC, FEV1, CRP, IL-6, history of diabetes, heart disease, COPD, asthma, hypertension, respiratory symptoms (chronic cough, chronic phlegm, any wheeze, persistent wheeze), smoking history, a skin ulcer, or UTI currently or in the past year or 3 years, or any urinary catheter use (indwelling, condom, or intermittent) were not significantly associated with telomere length after adjusting for age (Table 2). There was a suggestive association between SCI severity and telomere length. Persons with the most severe SCI (cervical motor complete and AIS C) had shorter telomeres (~7.6% less) compared to others with SCI (p = 0.055, Table 3). Compared to the coefficient of the effect of age on telomere length, the difference in telomere length with the most severe SCI (cervical motor complete and AIS C) compared to others with SCI was approximately equivalent to 8.4 years of aging (Table 3).

There was also a suggestive association between telomere length with mobility mode (Table 3). In addition to considering mobility mode in four groups we compared wheelchair users (motorized or hand-propelled) to non-users (walk with or without an aid). The age-adjusted telomere length of persons using any wheelchair was shorter compared to non-users (Table 3, p = 0.059). Similarly, in addition to considering any catheter use (as in Table 2), we also

Table 2 Effect of age and age-adjusted associations with telomere length (N = 268)

Age (years) 0.0041 0.0066 $-0.0052, 0.0030$ < Age-adjusted -0.009 0.0006 $-0.0021, 0.0004$ CRP (mgft.) 0.0001 0.0005 $-0.0011, 0.0008$ BMI (bg/m ²) 0.0001 0.0017 $-0.0031, 0.0034$ SP redicted FEV; (n = 248) 0.00003 0.00039 $-0.00074, 0.0080$ % Predicted FEV; (n = 248) -0.0006 0.0009 $-0.0024, 0.0016$ Trunk region, % fat -0.0006 0.0009 $-0.0024, 0.0016$ Trunk region, % fat -0.0006 0.0009 $-0.0024, 0.0016$ Clinical factors, categorical covariates, argumentates,	Clinical factors, continuous covariates	β	SE	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Age (years)	-0.0041	0.0006	-0.0052, -0.0030	< 0.0001
	Age-adjusted				
$\begin{array}{ccccc} {\rm CRP \ (ngL) & -0.001 & 0.0005 & -0.0011 \ 0.0009 \\ {\rm IL-6 \ (ng/m1) & 0.0001 & 0.0017 & -0.0033 \ 0.00034 \\ {\rm Podicted \ FVr \ (n-248) & 0.00003 & 0.00034 & -0.00074 \ 0.00085 \ 0.00076 \\ {\rm Predicted \ FVr \ (n-248) & -0.0006 & 0.00041 & -0.00055 \ 0.00076 \\ {\rm Predicted \ FVr \ (n-248) & -0.0006 & 0.0009 & -0.0024 \ 0.0012 \\ {\rm Truk \ region, \% \ fat & -0.0006 & 0.0009 & -0.0024 \ 0.0012 \\ {\rm Total \ body, \% \ fat & -0.0006 & 0.0001 & -0.0027 \ 0.0016 \\ \hline {\rm Clinical \ factors, \ categorical \ covariates, \ \ use \ dots d & 0.0005 \ 0.00011 & -0.0027 \ 0.0016 \\ \hline {\rm Clinical \ factors, \ categorical \ covariates, \ \ use \ dots d & 0.0008 \ 0.0011 \ -0.0027 \ 0.0016 \\ \hline {\rm Clinical \ factors, \ categorical \ covariates, \ \ use \ dots d & 0.0008 \ 0.0482 \ 0.0018 \ 0.4482 \ 0.430 \ 0.5433 \ 0.0016 \\ \hline {\rm Female \ \ 0.4655 \ 0.0084 \ 0.4482 \ 0.4430 \ 0.5433 \ 0.0066 \ 0.00084 \ 0.4482 \ 0.4430 \ 0.5433 \ 0.0066 \\ \hline {\rm Kutic \ \ 0.0084 \ 0.0465 \ 0.0084 \ 0.4482 \ 0.4430 \ 0.5433 \ 0.0066 \ 0.0014 \ 0.0245 \ 0.0143 \ 0.0245 \ 0.0143 \ 0.0245 \ 0.0143 \ 0.0162 \ 0.0466 \ 0.5057 \ 0.0187 \ 0.0132 \ 0.4566 \ 0.5057 \ 0.0187 \ 0.4329 \ 0.5142 \ 0.0143 \ 0.4470 \ 0.0131 \ 0.4446 \ 0.4962 \ 0.0143 \ 0.4372 \ 0.4385 \ 0.0143 \ 0.4372 \ 0.4385 \ 0.0143 \ 0.4372 \ 0.4385 \ 0.0143 \ 0.4372 \ 0.4385 \ 0.0145 \ 0.4372 \ 0.4385 \ 0.0186 \ 0.4440 \ 0.0153 \ 0.4485 \ 0.0186 \ 0.4440 \ 0.0153 \ 0.4565 \ 0.0088 \ 0.4565 \ 0.0088 \ 0.4565 \ 0.0088 \ 0.4565$	Injury duration (years)	-0.0009	0.0006	-0.0021, 0.0004	0.167
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } & 0.0001 & 0.0017 & -0.0033, 0.0034 \\ -0.0006, 0.0038 & 0.00039 & -0.00074, 0.00080 & 0.00039 & -0.00074, 0.00080 & 0.00039 & -0.00074, 0.00080 & 0.00039 & -0.00074, 0.00080 & 0.00091 & -0.00085, 0.00076 & 0.0009 & -0.0024, 0.0012 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0009 & -0.0024, 0.0012 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.0011 & -0.0027, 0.0016 & 0.00187 & 0.4307, 0.5043 & 0.4655 & 0.0088 & 0.4482, 0.4830 & 0.4656 & 0.0088 & 0.4482, 0.4830 & 0.4656 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0085 & 0.4131, 0.5096 & 0.0084 & 0.4499, 0.4832 & 0.0086 & 0.4482, 0.4330 & 0.0162 & 0.4066, 0.4703 & 0.0012 & 0.4356, 0.5073 & 0.0012 & 0.4356, 0.5073 & 0.0012 & 0.4356, 0.5073 & 0.0012 & 0.4356, 0.5073 & 0.0012 & 0.4329, 0.5034 & 0.0152 & 0.4356, 0.5057 & 0.0179 & 0.0132 & 0.4356, 0.5057 & 0.0179 & 0.4215, 0.4919 & 0.0142 & 0.4312, 0.4895 & 0.0020 & 0.4740 & 0.0131 & 0.4446, 0.4962 & 0.00143 & 0.4372, 0.4936 & 0.00145 & 0.4372, 0.4936 & 0.00145 & 0.4372, 0.4936 & 0.00145 & 0.4312, 0.4480 & 0.4663 & 0.0143 & 0.4372, 0.4936 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4370 & 0.00142 & 0.4312, 0.4$	CRP (mg/L)	-0.0001	0.0005	-0.0011, 0.0009	0.797
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	IL-6 (pg/mL)	0.0001	0.0017	-0.0033, 0.0034	0.974
% Pedicted FV, $(n = 248)$ 0.00003 0.00039 -0.00074, 0.00085, 0.00076 % Pedicted FVC $(n = 248)$ -0.0006 0.0009 -0.00024, 0.0012 Trunk region, % fat -0.0006 0.0011 -0.0027, 0.0016 Clinical factors, categorical covariates, age-adjusted LS Mean SE 95% CI p ge-adjusted 0.4675 0.0187 0.4307, 0.5043 0.0018 Male 0.4656 0.0084 0.4482, 0.4830 0.021 Race 0 0.0167 0.4317, 0.5043 0.021 Non-white 0.4665 0.0084 0.4499, 0.4832 0.021 Cl Classification (four groups) 0 0 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 0.0215 0.4296, 0.5142 0 Other motor complete bigh thoracic ³ & AIS C 0.4719 0.0125 0.4296, 0.5142 0 Other motor complete AIS C 0.4642 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 0 All AIS D 0.4797 0.0131 0.4446, 0.4962 0 Overweight (25 5 bmi < 30)	BMI (kg/m ²)	0.0011	0.0014	-0.0016, 0.0038	0.413
% Predicted FVC ($n = 248$) -0.00004 0.00041 -0.00085, 0.00076 Percent fat -0.0006 0.0011 -0.0024, 0.0112 Total body, % fat -0.0006 0.0011 -0.0027, 0.0016 Clinical factors, categorical covariates, age-adjusted LS Mean SE 95% CI p Sex - 0 0.4675 0.0187 0.4307, 0.5043 0 Male 0.4656 0.0084 0.4482, 0.4830 0 0 Race 0 0.6013 0.0245 0.4131, 0.5096 0 SCI Classification (four groups) 0 0 0.4025 0.4131, 0.5096 0 Motor complete ervical & AIS C 0.4719 0.0215 0.4296, 0.5142 0 0 Mhor complete days of the als C 0.4682 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 0 0 0 Normal (<25)	% Predicted FEV ₁ ($n = 248$)	0.00003	0.00039	-0.00074, 0.00080	0.935
Percent fat -0.0006 0.0009 -0.0024, 0.0012 Total body, % fat -0.0006 0.0011 -0.0027, 0.0016 Clinical factors, categorical covariates, age-adjusted LS Mean SE 95% C1 p Sex 0 0.0088 0.4482, 0.4830 0 0 Female 0.4675 0.0187 0.4307, 0.5043 0 Male 0.4665 0.0088 0.4482, 0.4830 0 Rec 0 0 0.0011 0.0245 0.131, 0.5096 SC1 Classification (four groups) 0 0 0.0245 0.4131, 0.5096 Motor complete dx AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 0 Motor complete ÅAIS C 0.4482 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 0 All AIS D 0.4797 0.0131 0.4446, 0.4962 0 Overweight (25 s bmi < 30)	% Predicted FVC ($n = 248$)	-0.00004	0.00041	-0.00085, 0.00076	0.913
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Percent fat				
Total body, % fat -0.0006 0.0011 $-0.0027, 0.0016$ Clinical factors, categorical covariates, age-adjusted LS Mean SE 95% CI p Sex 0 6 0.4675 0.0187 0.4307, 0.5043 0 Male 0.4665 0.0088 0.4482, 0.4830 0 0 Race 0 0.4665 0.0084 0.4482, 0.4832 0 Non-white 0.4613 0.0245 0.4131, 0.5096 0 SCI Classification (four groups) 0 0 0 0 Motor complete taigh thoracic ^a & AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 0 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4719 0.0215 0.4296, 0.5142 0 0 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4797 0.0132 0.4536, 0.5057 0 0 BMI Categories 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Mobility mode 0 0.4564 0.0143 0.4372, 0.4936 0 Mobily mode	Trunk region, % fat	-0.0006	0.0009	-0.0024, 0.0012	0.521
Clinical factors, categorical covariates, age-adjusted LS Mean SE 95% CI p Sex 0 6 0.4675 0.0187 0.4307, 0.5043 0 Male 0.4655 0.0088 0.4482, 0.4830 0 Race 0 0.4613 0.0245 0.4131, 0.5096 0 Vinite 0.4665 0.0084 0.4499, 0.4332 0 0 Motor complete cervical & AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 0 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 0 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4482 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 0 All AIS D 0.4797 0.0132 0.4536, 0.5057 0 0 Normal (<25)	Total body, % fat	-0.0006	0.0011	-0.0027, 0.0016	0.606
Sex 0.4675 0.0187 0.4307, 0.5043 Male 0.4656 0.0088 0.4482, 0.4830 Race 0 White 0.4665 0.0084 0.4482, 0.4830 Non-white 0.4663 0.0245 0.4131, 0.5096 SCI Classification (four groups) 0 0 Motor complete cervical & AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4296, 0.5142 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4482 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 All AIS D 0.4797 0.0132 0.4536, 0.5057 BMI Categories 0 0 0.0145 0.4323, 0.4895 Obese (≥30) 0.4609 0.0145 0.4323, 0.4895 0 Obese (≥30) 0.4654 0.0103 0.4768 0 Motorized wheelchair 0.4557 0.0122 0.4280, 0.4768 0 Walk with aid 0.4967 0.0200 0.4574, 0.5360 0 Motorized wheelchair 0.4552 0.0122 0.4280, 0.4768 0 Walk with aid 0.4967 0	Clinical factors, categorical covariates, age-adjusted	LS Mean	SE	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Female 0.4675 0.0187 0.4307, 0.5043 Male 0.4656 0.0088 0.4482, 0.4830 Race 0 White 0.4665 0.0084 0.4499, 0.4832 Non-white 0.4613 0.0245 0.4131, 0.5096 SCI Classification (four groups) 0 0 0 Motor complete cervical & AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 Other motor complete AIS C 0.4719 0.0215 0.4296, 0.5142 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4682 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 All AIS D 0.4797 0.0132 0.4536, 0.5057 BMI Categories 0 0 0.0131 0.4446, 0.4962 Overweight (25 s bmi < 30) 0.4609 0.0145 0.4323, 0.4895 Obese (230) 0.4654 0.0133 0.4372, 0.4936 Motorized wheelchair 0.4557 0.0122 0.4289, 0.4768 Walk with aid 0.4967 0.0200 0.4574, 0.5360 Walk with aid 0.4967 0.0200 0.4574, 0.5360	Sex				0.928
Male 0.4656 0.0088 0.4482, 0.4830 Race 0 White 0.4665 0.0084 0.4499, 0.4832 Non-white 0.4613 0.0245 0.4131, 0.5096 SCI Classification (four groups) 0 0 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 0 Motor complete cervical & AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 0 0 Motor complete de AIS C 0.4618 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 0 0 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4679 0.0132 0.4369, 0.5057 0 BHI Categories 0 0.40797 0.0131 0.4446, 0.4962 0 0 Normal (<25) 0.4704 0.0131 0.4446, 0.4962 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Motorized wheelchair 0.4567 0.0179 0.4215, 0.4919 0 <th< td=""><td>Female</td><td>0.4675</td><td>0.0187</td><td>0.4307, 0.5043</td><td></td></th<>	Female	0.4675	0.0187	0.4307, 0.5043	
Race 0 0.4665 0.0084 0.4499, 0.4832 Non-white 0.4613 0.0245 0.4193, 0.5096 SCI Classification (four groups) 0 0 0.0245 0.4108, 0.0086 0.4703 Motor complete cervical & AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4682 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 0.411 AII AIS D 0.4797 0.0132 0.4556, 0.5057 0.0122 Normal (<25)	Male	0.4656	0.0088	0.4482, 0.4830	
White 0.4665 0.0084 0.4499, 0.4832 Non-white 0.4613 0.0245 0.4131, 0.5096 SCI Classification (four groups)	Race				0.843
Non-white 0.4613 0.0245 0.4131, 0.5096 SCI Classification (four groups) 0 <td>White</td> <td>0.4665</td> <td>0.0084</td> <td>0.4499, 0.4832</td> <td></td>	White	0.4665	0.0084	0.4499, 0.4832	
SCI Classification (four groups) 0 Motor complete cervical & AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 Motor complete dish thoracic ^a & AIS C 0.4719 0.0215 0.4296, 0.5142 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4682 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 All AIS D 0.4797 0.0132 0.4536, 0.5057 BMI Categories 0 0.797 0.0131 0.4446, 0.4962 Overweight (25 ≤ bmi < 30)	Non-white	0.4613	0.0245	0.4131, 0.5096	
Motor complete cervical & AIS C 0.4384 0.0162 0.4066, 0.4703 Motor complete high thoracie ^a & AIS C 0.4719 0.0215 0.4296, 0.5142 Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4682 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 All AIS D 0.4797 0.0132 0.4536, 0.5057 BMI Categories 0 0.4704 0.0131 0.4446, 0.4962 Overweight (25 ≤ bmi < 30)	SCI Classification (four groups)				0.267
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Motor complete cervical & AIS C	0.4384	0.0162	0.4066, 0.4703	
Other motor complete & AIS C 0.4682 0.0179 0.4329, 0.5034 All AIS D 0.4797 0.0132 0.4536, 0.5057 BMI Categories 0 0.0101 0.4446, 0.4962 Overweight (25 \leq bmi < 30)	Motor complete high thoracic ^a & AIS C	0.4719	0.0215	0.4296, 0.5142	
All AIS D 0.4797 0.0132 $0.4536, 0.5057$ BMI Categories 0 Normal (<25)	Other motor complete & AIS C	0.4682	0.0179	0.4329, 0.5034	
BMI Categories 0 Normal (<25)	All AIS D	0.4797	0.0132	0.4536, 0.5057	
Normal (<25) 0.4704 0.0131 $0.4446, 0.4962$ Overweight (25 \leq bmi < 30)	BMI Categories				0.890
Overweight $(25 \le bmi < 30)$ 0.46090.01450.4323, 0.4895Obese (≥ 30) 0.46540.01430.4372, 0.49360Mobility mode000.01790.4215, 0.49190Mand-propelled wheelchair0.45280.01220.4289, 0.47680Walk with aid0.49670.02000.4574, 0.53600Walk without assistance0.47960.01860.4430, 0.51630Skin Ulcers00.45910.01420.4312, 0.48700No0.46920.00980.4500, 0.488500In the past 3 years00.47290.00920.4547, 0.49100No0.47290.00920.4547, 0.491000Now00.41290.00280.3991, 0.48870Yes0.44390.02280.3991, 0.488700No0.46910.00850.4523, 0.485800Urinary tract infections Any in the past 3 years000	Normal (<25)	0.4704	0.0131	0.4446, 0.4962	
Obese (\pm 30) 0.4654 0.0143 0.4372, 0.4936 Mobility mode 0 Motorized wheelchair 0.4567 0.0179 0.4215, 0.4919 Hand-propelled wheelchair 0.4528 0.0122 0.4289, 0.4768 Walk with aid 0.4967 0.0200 0.4574, 0.5360 Walk without assistance 0.4796 0.0186 0.4430, 0.5163 Skin Ulcers 0 0.4591 0.0142 0.4312, 0.4870 Any in the past 3 years 0 0.4692 0.0098 0.4500, 0.4885 In the past year 0 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 0.0128 Now 0 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4887 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Urinary tract infections 0 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858	Overweight $(25 \le bmi < 30)$	0.4609	0.0145	0.4323, 0.4895	
Mobility mode 0 Motorized wheelchair 0.4567 0.0179 0.4215, 0.4919 Hand-propelled wheelchair 0.4528 0.0122 0.4289, 0.4768 Walk with aid 0.4967 0.0200 0.4574, 0.5360 Walk without assistance 0.4796 0.0186 0.4430, 0.5163 Skin Ulcers 0 Any in the past 3 years 0.4591 0.0142 0.4312, 0.4870 0 No 0.4692 0.0098 0.4500, 0.4885 0 In the past year 0.4448 0.0163 0.4128, 0.4769 0 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 0 Now 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4887 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 0 Urinary tract infections 0.4561 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858	Obese (≥30)	0.4654	0.0143	0.4372, 0.4936	
Motorized wheelchair 0.4567 0.0179 0.4215, 0.4919 Hand-propelled wheelchair 0.4528 0.0122 0.4289, 0.4768 Walk with aid 0.4967 0.0200 0.4574, 0.5360 Walk without assistance 0.4796 0.0186 0.4430, 0.5163 Skin Ulcers Any in the past 3 years 0 0.4591 0.0142 0.4312, 0.4870 No 0.4692 0.0098 0.4500, 0.4885 In the past year 0 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 0 Now 0 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Urinary tract infections 0 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858	Mobility mode				0.261
Hand-propelled wheelchair 0.4528 0.0122 0.4289, 0.4768 Walk with aid 0.4967 0.0200 0.4574, 0.5360 Walk without assistance 0.4796 0.0186 0.4430, 0.5163 Skin Ulcers Any in the past 3 years 0.4591 0.0142 0.4312, 0.4870 No 0.4692 0.0098 0.4500, 0.4885 In the past year 0 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 0 Now 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4887 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Urinary tract infections 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858	Motorized wheelchair	0.4567	0.0179	0.4215, 0.4919	
Walk with aid 0.4967 0.0200 0.4574, 0.5360 Walk without assistance 0.4796 0.0186 0.4430, 0.5163 Skin Ulcers Any in the past 3 years 0.0142 0.4312, 0.4870 Yes 0.4591 0.0142 0.4312, 0.4870 0 No 0.4692 0.0098 0.4500, 0.4885 In the past year 0 Yes 0.4448 0.0163 0.4128, 0.4769 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 Now 0 Yes 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4887 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Urinary tract infections 0 Any in the past 3 years 0	Hand-propelled wheelchair	0.4528	0.0122	0.4289, 0.4768	
Walk without assistance 0.4796 0.0186 0.4430, 0.5163 Skin Ulcers	Walk with aid	0.4967	0.0200	0.4574, 0.5360	
Skin Ulcers 0 Any in the past 3 years 0 Yes 0.4591 0.0142 0.4312, 0.4870 0 No 0.4692 0.0098 0.4500, 0.4885 0 In the past year 0 0 0 0 0 Yes 0.4448 0.0163 0.4128, 0.4769 0 0 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 0 0 Now 0 0.429 0.0028 0.3991, 0.4887 0 Yes 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4858 0 Urinary tract infections 0 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Any in the past 3 years 0 0 0 0 0	Walk without assistance	0.4796	0.0186	0.4430, 0.5163	
Any in the past 3 years 0 Yes 0.4591 0.0142 0.4312, 0.4870 No 0.4692 0.0098 0.4500, 0.4885 In the past year 0 0 0 Yes 0.4448 0.0163 0.4128, 0.4769 0 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 0 Now 0 0 0 0 0 Yes 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4887 0 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 0 Urinary tract infections 3 9 0.228 0.3991, 0.4858 0	Skin Ulcers				
Yes 0.4591 0.0142 0.4312, 0.4870 No 0.4692 0.0098 0.4500, 0.4885 In the past year 0 0 0 Yes 0.4448 0.0163 0.4128, 0.4769 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 Now 0 0 0 Yes 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4887 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Urinary tract infections 4 4 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858	Any in the past 3 years				0.560
No 0.4692 0.0098 0.4500, 0.4885 In the past year 0 0 0 Yes 0.4448 0.0163 0.4128, 0.4769 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 Now	Yes	0.4591	0.0142	0.4312, 0.4870	
In the past year 0 Yes 0.4448 0.0163 0.4128, 0.4769 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 Now 0 0 0 Yes 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4887 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Urinary tract infections 3 9 0 Any in the past 3 years 0 0 0	No	0.4692	0.0098	0.4500, 0.4885	
Yes 0.4448 0.0163 0.4128, 0.4769 No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 Now 0 0 0 Yes 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4887 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Urinary tract infections 3 years 0	In the past year				0.139
No 0.4729 0.0092 0.4547, 0.4910 Now 0 0 0 0 Yes 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4887 0 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 0 Urinary tract infections 5 0 0 0 Any in the past 3 years 0 0 0 0	Yes	0.4448	0.0163	0.4128, 0.4769	
Now 0 Yes 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4887 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Urinary tract infections Verian of the past 3 years 0	No	0.4729	0.0092	0.4547, 0.4910	
Yes 0.4439 0.0228 0.3991, 0.4887 No 0.4691 0.0085 0.4523, 0.4858 Urinary tract infections	Now				0.301
No0.46910.00850.4523, 0.4858Urinary tract infections Any in the past 3 years0	Yes	0.4439	0.0228	0.3991, 0.4887	
Urinary tract infections Any in the past 3 years 0	No	0.4691	0.0085	0.4523, 0.4858	
Any in the past 3 years 0	Urinary tract infections				
	Any in the past 3 years				0.545
Yes 0.4618 0.0105 0.4410, 0.4825	Yes	0.4618	0.0105	0.4410, 0.4825	

Table 2 (continued)

Clinical factors, categorical covariates, age-adjusted	LS Mean	SE	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
No	0.4722	0.0130	0.4466, 0.4977	
In the past year				0.996
Yes	0.4660	0.0127	0.4409, 0.4911	
No	0.4659	0.0107	0.4448, 0.4870	
Now				0.108
Yes	0.4208	0.0291	0.3636, 0.4781	
No	0.4696	0.0082	0.4534, 0.4858	
Type of urinary catheterization				0.312 ^b
Yes	0.4586	0.0108	0.4375, 0.4798	
Indwelling catheter	0.4443	0.0203	0.4440, 0.4999	
Condom catheter	0.4383	0.0251	0.4044, 0.4842	
Intermittent catheterization	0.4720	0.0142	0.4375, 0.4798	
No	0.4761	0.0131	0.3888, 0.4877	
Chronic cough				0.522
Yes	0.4810	0.0247	0.4322, 0.5297	
No	0.4642	0.0084	0.4476, 0.4808	
Chronic phlegm				0.310
Yes	0.4472	0.0201	0.4076, 0.4867	
No	0.4694	0.0087	0.4524, 0.4865	
Any wheeze				0.630
Yes	0.4618	0.0117	0.4387, 0.4849	
No	0.4695	0.0109	0.4481, 0.4910	
Persistent wheeze				0.627
Yes	0.4746	0.0195	0.4362, 0.5131	
No	0.4642	0.0087	0.4470, 0.4814	
Heart disease				0.518
Yes	0.4814	0.0252	0.4319, 0.5309	
No	0.4641	0.0085	0.4474, 0.4808	
COPD				0.489
Yes	0.4495	0.0250	0.4002, 0.4988	
No	0.4679	0.0084	0.4513, 0.4845	
Asthma				0.828
Yes	0.4616	0.0214	0.4194, 0.5039	
No	0.4666	0.0086	0.4497, 0.4835	
COPD or asthma			,	0.347
Yes	0.4518	0.0170	0.4182, 0.4853	
No	0.4700	0.0090	0.4522, 0.4877	
Diabetes			,	0.105
Yes	0.4959	0.0200	0.4564, 0.5354	
No	0.4599	0.0088	0.4427, 0.4772	
Hypertension			·····	0.362
Yes	0.4763	0.0139	0.4490, 0.5036	
No	0.4598	0.0104	0.4392, 0.4803	
Cigarette use	5.1020		,	0.658
Current	0.4505	0.0201	0.4108 0.4902	0.020
Former	0.4723	0.0128	0.4471 0.4974	
Never	0.4655	0.0126	0.4407, 0.4903	

^aHigh thoracic = T1-T6

^bCatheter use (indwelling, intermittent, or condom) vs. no catheter use

Entire cohort $(N = 268)$	LS Mean or β	SE	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	
SCI cervical classification					
Motor complete cervical & AIS C	0.4390	0.0161	0.4073, 0.4706	0.055	
Other SCI	0.4750	0.0092	0.4569, 0.4930		
Age	-0.0043	0.0006	-0.0054, -0.0032	< 0.0001	
Difference in mean telomere length compared to age coefficient ^a	8.4				
Wheelchair use					
Yes (motorized, hand-propelled)	0.4541	0.0101	0.4343, 0.4740	0.059	
No (walk with or without an aid)	0.4875	0.0138	0.4603, 0.5147		
Age	-0.0045	0.0006	-0.0056, -0.0033	< 0.0001	
Difference in mean telomere length compared to age coefficent ^a	7.4				
Urinary catheterization groups				0.082	
Indwelling/condom catheter	0.4421	0.0158	0.4111, 0.4732		
No catheter/intermittent	0.4742	0.0092	0.4560, 0.4924		
Age	-0.0042	0.0006	-0.0053, -0.0031	< 0.0001	
Difference in mean telomere length compared to age coefficient ^a	7.6				
Excludes motor complete cervical and AIS C SCI $(n = 201)$	β	SE	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	
Wheelchair Use					
Yes (motorized, hand-propelled)	0.4596	0.0131	0.4337, 0.4855	0.383	
No (walk with or without an aid)	0.4769	0.0141	0.4492, 0.5047		
Urinary catheterization groups					
Indwelling/condom catheter	0.4484	0.0282	0.3928, 0.5040	0.469	
No catheter/intermittent	0.4701	0.0099	0.4506, 0.4895		

Table 3	Age-adjusted	effects	of SCI,	mobility	mode,	and	catheter	use or	1 telomere	length	for the	entire	cohort	and	excluding	participants	3 with
motor c	omplete cervic	cal and A	AIS C S	SCI													

^aEffects compared to aging calculated by subtracting the difference in mean telomere length for each factor and dividing by the β for age from the same regression model. For example, for wheelchair use: (0.4875-0.4541)/(0.0045 = 7.4)

compared the telomere length of participants who used a bladder catheter chronically (defined as indwelling or condom) to persons who did not use a catheter chronically (normal urination or intermittent catheterization). Persons who used a catheter chronically had shorter telomere lengths compared to non-chronic catheter users (p = 0.088). Due to the overlap of persons with more severe SCI (cervical motor complete and AIS C) with wheelchair and catheter use, it was not possible to include these covariates in multivariable models. However, when persons with severe cervical SCI were excluded from the analysis, persons using a wheelchair or with a chronic urinary catheter (indwelling or condom) still had slightly shorter telomeres (Table 3).

Discussion

In this cross-sectional cohort of individuals with chronic SCI, we analyzed the association between telomere length and a number of clinical characteristics, including changes in physiology that are more common in persons with severe chronic SCI. Adjusting for age, our results suggest that telomere length may be reduced in persons with the most severe SCI (cervical motor complete and AIS C) compared to those with less severe injury with an effect equivalent to aging an additional 8.4 years. This finding is consistent with the greater mortality observed in severe tetraplegia compared to others with chronic SCI [9]. Although these observations do not specify the physiologic pathway by which injury and telomere length are related, it suggests that more severe injuries may induce more substantial cellular damage and premature aging than less severe injuries. The factors responsible are uncertain, but may be related to changes in lifestyle factors that accompany the post-injury state. Persons with other neurologic diseases that result in muscular impairment, such as multiple sclerosis, have also been shown to have shortened telomere lengths and increased severity of disease progression [4]. Studies among populations with

other debilitating diseases have similarly shown reduced telomere lengths in subjects with various types of cancer, heart failure, rheumatoid arthritis, and stroke [5, 18–20].

Mobility mode (persons using a motorized or handpropelled wheelchair vs. no wheelchair use) was an SCI lifestyle characteristic that closely corresponded to SCI severity and there was also a suggestive association with shorter telomeres. Some studies have similarly found that telomere length may be reduced with decreased physical activity and that increased physical activity may increase telomere length over time [21]. Other studies, however, have not found associations between telomere length and physical activity [22].

Similarly, there was suggestive evidence that persons with a greater degree of bladder dysfunction requiring chronic catheter use and potentially a greater risk of chronic infection were more likely to have shorter telomere length. It may also be possible that the use of chronic catheters may lead to reduced telomere lengths directly, possibly by predisposing persons to more UTIs, systemic inflammation, or even urothelial cancers [23, 24].

We found no evidence that telomere length is associated with IL-6 or C-reactive protein. This is in contrast to other studies in which individuals with elevated inflammatory biomarkers such as CRP, IL-6, and TNFalpha had reduced telomere lengths. However, these associations have not been consistently replicated across these studies, with some finding associations between telomere length and specific biomarkers while others failed to find those same associations [25, 26]. It is not yet clear which inflammatory biomarkers, if any, have consistently reproducible relationships with telomere length across multiple studies.

Our investigation did not find evidence that body mass index (BMI) or percent body fat are associated with telomere length in chronic SCI. Because obesity has been posited to be a state of increased oxidative stress in the body, it is plausible that obesity and its parameters might lead to reduced telomere length and advanced cellular aging. Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses examining obesity and telomere lengths in recent years found some evidence that BMI and telomere lengths are negatively correlated, although a large portion of the studies were found to be of low to moderate quality and significant heterogeneity existed between studies [8].

Our investigation found no evidence that pulmonary disease, such as a history of COPD or asthma or poor performance on pulmonary function tests, was associated with telomere length in this cohort of SCI patients. Because COPD has been proposed as a disease of accelerated tissue aging and pulmonary function tests have been associated with increased systemic inflammatory biomarkers, it is plausible that patients with pulmonary disease may also demonstrate reduced telomere lengths [27]. The body of research examining pulmonary disease or function and telomere length has found inconsistent results; some studies have found that telomere length is reduced in patients with COPD, while others have not found those associations [28, 29]. Similarly, some studies have found inverse associations between telomere length and pulmonary function while others have not [30, 31]. Our study also found no evidence of an association between current smoking, heart disease, hypertension, or diabetes with reduced telomere length, which has been suggested in prior studies [7, 32–34]. Our study may have failed to capture these associations because the proportion of subjects with these conditions was relatively small.

This study has several limitations. First, this study cannot determine the temporality or directionality of relationships due to the cross-sectional nature of the data. Second, because of the overlap between mobility mode, chronic catheter use, and level and severity of injury, it was not possible to assess the independent effects of each SCI characteristic. Third, this study does not compare telomere length among the SCI population with that of a control group. The data therefore cannot be extrapolated to show that telomere length differs significantly between the SCI population and the general population and limits its generalizability to populations outside of those with chronic SCI. However, the primary purpose of this study was to examine associations with telomere length in the SCI population specifically, rather than among the general population. Finally, this study may not have captured all pertinent factors involved in the relationship between SCI and telomere length during data collection due to the multifactorial etiology of cellular aging and systemic disease including the use of self-report to obtain a history of medical conditions. Nevertheless, our results suggest that SCI may result in premature aging detectable at the cellular level that may be attributable to the physiologic effects that accompany more severe chronic SCI.

Data availability

The data for this study are archived at VA Boston Healthcare System and are not publicly available per Department of Veterans Affairs information privacy rules.

Acknowledgements We thank Antonio A. Lazzari, MD, CCD, PhD and Samuel Davis, Boston VA Healthcare System, technician, for DXA data collection. We appreciate the participation of Veterans at VA Boston and others from the greater Boston area with chronic SCI.

Funding This study was supported by VA Rehabilitation Research and Development Merit Review Grants B6618R, I01RX000792, and I01RX000596 from the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Rehabilitation Research and Development Service and NIH NIAMS Grant R01AR059270. The contents do not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government. Presented in part in abstract form at the American Thoracic Society International Conference, San Diego in 2014.

Author contributions EG, ID, and JEH contributed to study conception and design. MT, RLG, EO, EG, and DMM were responsible for data analysis with review and interpretation by ID and JEH. DMM, RLG, and EG were responsible for the draft manuscript. All authors contributed to editing and approved the final manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Statement of ethics The Institutional Review Board at VA Boston Healthcare System approved the protocol (IRB #2609). We certify that all applicable institutional and governmental regulations concerning the ethical use of human volunteers were followed during the course of this research.

Informed consent Informed written consent was obtained from all volunteers who participated in this study.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- 1. Aubert G, Lansdorp PM. Telomeres and aging. Physiol Rev. 2008;88:557–79.
- Fasching CL. Telomere length measurement as a clinical biomarker of aging and disease. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci. 2018;55:443–65.
- Mui TS, Man J, McElheney J, Sandford A, Coxson H, Birmingham C, et al. Telomere length and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: evidence of accelerated aging. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2009;57:2372–4.
- Guan JZ, Guan WP, Maeda T, Guoqing X, GuangZhi W, Makino N. Patients with multiple sclerosis show increased oxidative stress markers and somatic telomere length shortening. Mol Cell Biochem. 2015;400:183–7.
- Steer SE, Williams FMK, Kato B, Gardner JP, Norman PJ, Hall MA, et al. Reduced telomere length in rheumatoid arthritis is independent of disease activity and duration. Ann Rheum Dis; Lond. 2007;66:476.
- Willeit P, Willeit J, Mayr A, et al. Telomere length and risk of incident cancer and cancer mortality. JAMA. 2010;304:69–75.
- Astuti Y, Wardhana A, Watkins J, Wulaningsih W, PILAR Research Network. Cigarette smoking and telomere length: a systematic review of 84 studies and meta-analysis. Environ Res. 2017;158:480–9.
- Mundstock E, Sarria EE, Zatti H, Louzada FM, Grun LK, Jones MH, et al. Effect of obesity on telomere length: systematic review and meta-analysis. Obesity. 2015;23:2165–74.
- Garshick E, Kelley A, Cohen SA, Garrison A, Tun CG, Gagnon D, et al. A prospective assessment of mortality in chronic spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord. 2005;43:408–16.
- Goldstein RL, Walia P, Teylan M, Lazzari AA, Tun CG, Hart JE, et al. Clinical factors associated with C-reactive protein in chronic spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord. 2017;55:1088–95.

- Garshick E, Walia P, Goldstein RL, Teylan MA, Lazzari AA, Tun CG, et al. Associations between vitamin D and pulmonary function in chronic spinal cord injury. J Spinal Cord Med. 2019;42:171–7.
- Walia P, Goldstein RL, Teylan M, Lazzari AA, Hart JE, Tun CG, et al. Associations between vitamin D, adiposity, and respiratory symptoms in chronic spinal cord injury. J Spinal Cord Med. 2018;41:667–75.
- Kirshblum SC, Waring W, Biering-Sorensen F, Burns SP, Johansen M, Schmidt-Read M, et al. Reference for the 2011 revision of the International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury. J Spinal Cord Med. 2011;34:547–54.
- Zariffa J, Kramer JLK, Jones LAT, Lammertse DP, Curt A, Steeves JD, European Multicenter Study about Spinal Cord Injury (EMSCI) Study Group. Sacral Sparing in SCI: beyond the S4–S5 and Anorectal Examination. Spine J. 2012;12:389–400.
- Hart JE, Goldstein R, Walia P, Teylan M, Lazzari A, Tun CG, et al. FEV1 and FVC and systemic inflammation in a spinal cord injury cohort. BMC Pulm Med. 2017;17:113.
- Ferris BG. Epidemiology Standardization Project (American Thoracic Society). Am Rev Respir Dis. 1978;118(6 Pt 2):1–120.
- 17. Cawthon RM. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002;30:e47.
- Willeit P, Willeit J, Kloss-Brandstätter A, Kronenberg F, Kiechl S. Fifteen-year follow-up of association between telomere length and incident cancer and cancer mortality. JAMA. 2011;306:42–4.
- Wong LSM, Boer RA, de Samani NJ, Veldhuisen DJ, van Harst P. Telomere biology in heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2008;10:1049–56.
- Jin X, Pan B, Dang X, Wu H, Xu D. Relationship between short telomere length and stroke: a meta-analysis. Med (Baltim). 2018;97:e12489.
- 21. Ornish D, Lin J, Chan JM, Epel E, Kemp C, Weidner G, et al. Effect of comprehensive lifestyle changes on telomerase activity and telomere length in men with biopsy-proven low-risk prostate cancer: 5-year follow-up of a descriptive pilot study. Lancet Oncol. 2013;14:1112–20.
- Balan E, Decottignies A, Deldicque L. Physical activity and nutrition: two promising strategies for telomere maintenance? Nutrients. 2018;10:1942.
- Sun X, Jones ZB, Chen X, Zhou L, So K-F, Ren Y. Multiple organ dysfunction and systemic inflammation after spinal cord injury: a complex relationship. J Neuroinflamm. 2016;13:260.
- 24. Ho C-H, Sung K-C, Lim S-W, Liao C-H, Liang F-W, Wang J-J, et al. Chronic indwelling urinary catheter increase the risk of bladder cancer, even in patients without spinal cord injury. Med (Baltim). 2015;94:e1736.
- 25. Wong JYY, Vivo ID, Lin X, Fang SC, Christiani DC. The relationship between inflammatory biomarkers and telomere length in an occupational prospective cohort study. PLoS ONE. 2014;9: e87348.
- Pedroso DCC, Miranda-Furtado CL, Kogure GS, Meola J, Okuka M, Silva C, et al. Inflammatory biomarkers and telomere length in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril. 2015;103:542–7.e2.
- Sergio LP, da S, Paoli F, de, Mencalha AL, Da, Fonseca AS. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: from injury to genomic stability. J Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis. 2017;14:439–50.
- Cordoba-Lanus E, Espinoza-Jimenez A, Baz-Davila R, Cazorla S, Montejo-de-Garcini A, Aguirre-Jaime A, et al. Telomere shortening and progression in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;193:A2340.
- 29. Andujar P, Courbon D, Bizard E, Marcos E, Adnot S, Boyer L, et al. Smoking, telomere length and lung function

decline: a longitudinal population-based study. Thorax 2018; 73:283-5.

- Desai K, Berkman N, Cohen-Manheim I, Sinnreich R, Aviv A, Kark JD. Rapid shortening of leukocyte telomeres is associated with poorer pulmonary function among healthy adults. Respir Med. 2018;145:73–9.
- Rode L, Bojesen SE, Weischer M, Vestbo J, Nordestgaard BG. Short telomere length, lung function and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in 46 396 individuals. Thorax. 2013;68: 429–35.
- Tellechea ML, Pirola CJ. The impact of hypertension on leukocyte telomere length: a systematic review and meta-analysis of human studies. J Hum Hypertens. 2017;31:99.
- 33. Murillo-Ortiz B, Albarrán-Tamayo F, Arenas-Aranda D, Benítez-Bribiesca L, Malacara-Hernández JM, Martínez-Garza S, et al. Telomere length and type 2 diabetes in males, a premature aging syndrome. Aging Male. 2012;15:54–8.
- Zhao J, Miao K, Wang H, Ding H, Wang DW. Association between telomere length and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a metaanalysis. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:1–4.