

LETTER OPEN Tamoxifen and clomiphene inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection by suppressing viral entry

Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy (2021)6:435

Dear Editor,

COVID-19 pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is still a threat to millions of lives worldwide. Although SARS-CoV-2 vaccines have been approved to reduce the severity and death associated with COVID-19, the number of SARS-CoV-2-infected cases still remains high, especially with the appearance of various mutant strains such as P.1.351 and P.1.617 (also known as South Africa strain and India strain, respectively), which may reduce the efficacy of vaccine protection. There is an urgent need to develop effective antiviral agents to treat COVID-19 patients, especially with those infected with SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern.

The goal of our study was to evaluate the SARS-CoV-2 antiviral activities of Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved drugs, which could accelerate the development of novel therapies for COVID-19. We tested tamoxifen and clomiphene which were also used to screen antiviral agents against flavivirus. On Vero and Caco-2 cells, we first measured the cytotoxicity of the two drugs and determined that their median cytotoxic concentrations (CC50S) in vitro were much higher than our experimental range (Supplementary Fig. S1a-d). Moreover, we analyzed the antiviral activities of the two drugs and found that both tamoxifen and clomiphene had effective antiviral activities. The median effective concentrations (EC₅₀s) of tamoxifen and clomiphene on Vero cells were 1.634 and 0.3213 µM, respectively (Fig. 1a). The two drugs also suppressed SARS-CoV-2 infection in Caco-2 cells with a dose-dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. S1e, f). These results showed that tamoxifen and clomiphene strongly antagonized SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro.

In addition to the $EC_{50}s$ calculated according to SARS-CoV-2 RNA copies in cell supernatants, we also measured the spike (S) protein levels of SARS-CoV-2 in infected cells under drug treatments. Vero cells were pretreated with tamoxifen or clomiphene of different doses, and then infected with SARS-CoV-2. Vero cells were continued to be treated with drugs after infection. SARS-CoV-2 S protein was used to test viral protein production by western blot. The results suggested that tamoxifen and clomiphene reduced SARS-CoV-2 S protein production, especially the accumulation of the S2 fragment (Fig. 1b, c). SARS-CoV-2 S protein was also examined under tamoxifen and clomiphene treatments by immunofluorescence (Fig. 1d, e). The results showed that the two drugs reduced SARS-CoV-2 protein production in a dose-dependent manner, which further illustrated the antiviral activities of tamoxifen and clomiphene against SARS-CoV-2 infection.

To understand the mechanism responsible for the antiviral activities of tamoxifen and clomiphene against SARS-CoV-2 infection, we first measured the transcriptome of Huh7 cells which were either untreated or pretreated with tamoxifen or clomiphene before SARS-CoV-2 infection to determine the effects of these drugs on SARS-CoV-2-induced gene expression profiles. The transcriptome results showed that SARS-CoV-2 infection increased the expressions of interferon (IFN) and acute inflammatory response genes, but these inductions were suppressed by tamoxifen and

; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00853-4

clomiphene treatments (Supplementary Fig. S2a, b). To further determine whether tamoxifen and clomiphene directly suppressed IFN and inflammation response genes or indirectly affected IFN and inflammation responses through inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 infection, we also analyzed the effect of tamoxifen and clomiphene on poly(I: C)-induced IFN response genes. A549 cells were pretreated with tamoxifen and clomiphene before transfection with poly(I:C) and cells were collected for real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). The results showed that tamoxifen and clomiphene did not affect the poly(I:C)-induced IFN response genes including *RIG-I*, *IFN-* β , and *IRF7* (Supplementary Fig. S3a–c), suggesting that tamoxifen and clomiphene control SARS-CoV-2 infection to reduce IFN and acute inflammatory responses.

To investigate the additional mechanisms by which tamoxifen and clomiphene inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection, we applied the SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus, which only contains the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 on the VSV backbone with a luciferase reporter. We found that tamoxifen and clomiphene significantly suppressed the infection of wide type (WT) SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1g). Moreover, we also found that tamoxifen and clomiphene strongly suppressed the infection of P.1.351 and P.1.617 pseudovirus (Fig. 1h, i). Toremifene, the analog of tamoxifen, was reported to treat COVID-19 by blocking S protein.¹ We further explored whether the binding between S protein and the receptor of SASR-CoV-2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), was affected under the treatment of tamoxifen and clomiphene. The binding activity measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) showed that tamoxifen and clomiphene did not affect the binding between the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of SASR-CoV-2 and ACE2 proteins (Fig. 1f). Subsequently, we also tested the inhibition of tamoxifen and clomiphene against SARS-CoV-2 S protein-induced membrane fusion, the result showed that tamoxifen and clomiphene could suppress the membrane fusion which is an important early step for SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig. 1j). Our study, therefore, suggested that tamoxifen and clomiphene inhibited the infection of SARS-CoV-2 and its variants in vitro through suppressing viral entry on the post-binding stage.

We further examined the inhibition of tamoxifen and clomiphene in mice. The SARS-CoV-2 mouse-adapted strain was used in our study as previously described.² 6-8-week-old BALB/c mice were treated by intraperitoneal injection of tamoxifen and clomiphene prior to infection intranasally with the SARS-CoV-2 mouse-adapted strain. The drug administrations were continued once daily until 3 days post infection (dpi), when the trachea and lung tissues of mice were collected for viral RNA loads assay. The results of the qRT-PCR assay showed that tamoxifen and clomiphene inhibited SARS-CoV-2 RNA loads in the trachea and lung (Fig. 1k, I). In consistent with the qRT-PCR results, we also carried out the SARS-CoV-2 genome RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) assay with RNAscope Reagent Kit. As shown in Fig. 1m, the SARS-CoV-2 genome RNAs while intensively accumulated on the lung

Received: 10 September 2021 Revised: 3 December 2021 Accepted: 6 December 2021 Published online: 21 December 2021



blood vessel wall of SARS-CoV-2-infected mice were strongly inhibited in mice treated with either tamoxifen or clomiphene. Finally, we examined the lung inflammation of SARS-CoV-2infected mice and found that treatment of mice with either tamoxifen or clomiphene strongly decreased the mRNA levels of cytokines such as $TNF-\alpha$ and IL-6 and chemokines such as CXCL15 and *MCP-1*, in the lung of SARS-CoV-2-infected mice as measured by qRT-PCR (Supplementary Fig. S4a-d). The result of hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of mice lung also showed that both drug treatments strongly reduced the lung tissue inflammation in the lung of SARS-CoV-2-infected mice (Fig. 1n). Above all, our study suggested that tamoxifen and clomiphene inhibited SARS-CoV-2 Fig. 1 Tamoxifen and clomiphene inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro and in vivo. a Vero cells were pretreated with different doses of tamoxifen or clomiphene for 12 h, then the cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at 100 median tissue culture infectious dose (TCID₅₀). The cell supernatants were used to test virus RNA loads by qRT-PCR at 48 h post infection (hpi). The EC₅₀s of tamoxifen and clomiphene on Vero cells were indicated. b, c Vero cells were pretreated and infected as in (a). After 48 h, the cells were collected and treated for western blot. SARS-CoV-2 S protein and GAPDH were tested. The black arrow indicates bands corresponding to uncleaved S proteins (S0) and gray arrow indicates bands corresponding to the S2 subunit. The quantitative results of the expression of S protein of SARS-CoV-2 were labeled. d, e Vero cells were pretreated and infected as in (a). After 48 h, the cells were fixed and treated for immunofluorescence assay. SARS-CoV-2 S protein was stained in green, and DAPI in blue. Scale bars, 100 µm. f RBD of SARS-CoV-2 S protein and ACE2 protein were used in ELISA assay to test the inhibition of tamoxifen and clomiphene to the binding activity. SARS-CoV-2 antibody was used as a positive control. g Huh7 cells were pretreated with tamoxifen and clomiphene, then were infected with SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus. After 24 h, the cells were collected to examine the luciferase activity of pseudovirus. h, i Huh7 cells were treated as in (g), then were infected with P.1.351 (h) and P.1.617 (i) pseudovirus. After 24 h, the cells were collected to examine the luciferase activity of pseudovirus. j 293T cells were transfected with GFP-tagged SARS-CoV-2 S protein-expressing plasmid and then co-cultured with Huh7 cells. After 12 h, the cells were treated with tamoxifen and clomiphene for 24 h, and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, the membrane fusion was observed by fluorescence microscopy, white arrows indicate syncytium formation. Scale bars, 100 µm. k, I The mice trachea and lung were collected for gRT-PCR to test the virus loads. m, n Mice lungs were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for RNAscope to display SARS-CoV-2 RNA in mice lung (m) and stained with H&E to show the inflammatory cell infiltration (n). Scale bars, 100 μ m. Quantitative data are represented as mean ± SEM; ns, not significant, *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001; $****P \le 0.0001$

infection and reduced SARS-CoV-2-induced inflammatory response in mice.

The outbreak of emerging infectious diseases presents huge global challenge to develop vaccines and drugs within very limited time period to control pandemic. In the case of COVID-19 pandemic, SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, such as inactive vaccine, mRNA vaccine, and adenovirus vector vaccine, have been approved in unprecedented speed to be used in people.³⁻⁵ However, it is unlikely that vaccine along would be able to control this COVID-19 pandemic as multiple dominant SARS-CoV-2 mutant variants have been emerged, some of which can escape from antibody treatment or vaccine protection. In our study, we found that tamoxifen and clomiphene effectively suppressed the infection of not only WT but also mutant SARS-CoV-2 variants such as P.1.351 and P.1.617. These studies suggest that tamoxifen and clomiphene can be used as antiviral agents against different SARS-CoV-2 mutant variants. Furthermore, we have used the SARS-CoV-2-infected mouse model to demonstrate the antiviral activity and anti-inflammation activity of tamoxifen and clomiphene in vivo. Further studies are needed to evaluate the pro and con effects of using these FDA-approved drugs as a therapeutic option for treatment or prevention of infection by the currently circulating SARS-CoV-2 and other emerging coronaviruses in the future.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data are available within the article, supplementary information, or available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Drs. Youchun Wang and Weijin Huang for providing the SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus system. This project is supported by the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences Initiative for Innovative Medicine (2021-I2M-1-047, 2019-I2M-5-049 and 2016-I2M-1-005), Non-profit Central Research Institute Fund of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (2020-PT310-006, 2019XK310002, 2018TX31001, and 3332018131), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (91542201, 81925025, 82102371, 82174055, 82073181, 81802870, and 2017YFA0506200), and the National Key Research and Development Project (2020YFC0841700), as well as NIH R01AI069120, AI158154, and AI140718 grants, the UCLA AIDS Institute; and UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine-Eli and Edythe Broad Center of Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research Award Program. H.Y. is supported by science funds from Jiangsu Province (BK20211554, BK20170407) and the Innovative and Entrepreneurial Team grant (2018-2021) from Jiangsu Province. L.L. is supported by Innovative and Entrepreneurial Doctor grant (2020-2022) from Jiangsu Province. R.L. is supported by the Chinese Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2020T130135ZX).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

G.C., Y.-Q.D., and H.Y. conceived and designed the experiments. S.Z., L.L., Q.Y., and D. L. performed the majority of the experiments and analyzed the data. R.-T.L. helped RNA sequencing experiments and data analysis. Y.W. helped ELISA experiments and data analysis. S.Z. wrote the original draft of the manuscript. D.L. and L.L. helped revise the manuscript. All authors read and approved the contents of the manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00853-4.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Shulong Zu^{1,2,3,4}, Dan Luo⁴, Lili Li^{2,3}, Qing Ye⁴, Rui-Ting Li⁴, Yanan Wang⁵, Meiling Gao^{2,3}, Heng Yang ^{2,3 ⊠}, Yong-Qiang Deng ⁴ [⊠] and Genhong Cheng ⁶ [⊠] ¹Center for Systems Medicine, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China; ²Institute of Systems Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China; ³Suzhou Institute of Systems Medicine, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China; ⁴State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Beijing Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences, Beijing, China; ⁵Suzhou Func Biotech Inc, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China and ⁶Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA These authors contributed equally: Shulong Zu, Dan Luo, Lili Li, Oina Ye.

Correspondence: Heng Yang (yhmyt@hotmail.com) or Yong-Qiang Deng (dengyq1977@126.com) or Genhong Cheng (gcheng@mednet.ucla.edu)

REFERENCES

- Martin, W. R. & Cheng, F. Repurposing of FDA-approved toremifene to treat COVID-19 by blocking the spike glycoprotein and NSP14 of SARS-CoV-2. *J. Proteome Res.* 19, 4670–4677 (2020).
- Gu, H. et al. Adaptation of SARS-CoV-2 in BALB/c mice for testing vaccine efficacy. Science 369, 1603–1607 (2020).
- Zhang, Y. et al. Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in healthy adults aged 18-59 years: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 clinical trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 21, 181–192 (2021).
- Walsh, E. E. et al. Safety and immunogenicity of two RNA-based Covid-19 vaccine candidates. N. Engl. J. Med. 383, 2439–2450 (2020).
- Voysey, M. et al. Single-dose administration and the influence of the timing of the booster dose on immunogenicity and efficacy of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AZD1222) vaccine: a pooled analysis of four randomised trials. *Lancet* **397**, 881–891 (2021).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2021, corrected publication 2021