#### Check for updates

# **CLINICAL RESEARCH ARTICLE** Cortisol awakening response and developmental outcomes at 6-7 years in children born extremely preterm

Jean Lowe<sup>1</sup>, Janell F. Fuller<sup>1 🖂</sup>, Allison G. Dempsey<sup>2</sup>, Barbara Do<sup>3</sup>, Carla M. Bann<sup>3</sup>, Abhik Das<sup>4</sup>, Kathryn E. Gustafson<sup>5</sup>, Betty R. Vohr<sup>6</sup>, Susan R. Hintz<sup>7</sup>, Kristi L. Watterberg<sup>1</sup> and for the SUPPORT NEURO School-Age Study Subcommittee of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network\*

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to the International Pediatric Research Foundation, Inc 2022

BACKGROUND: Extremely preterm (EPT) birth has been related to dysregulation of stress responses and behavioral/learning problems at school age. Early adverse experiences can blunt HPA axis reactivity. We hypothesized that an attenuated cortisol awakening response would be associated with developmental and behavioral problems at school age in EPT children. METHODS: This secondary analysis of a sub-cohort of the SUPPORT study included children born between 24 and 27 weeks, evaluated at 6–7 years with a neurodevelopmental battery and cortisol measures. Differences were tested between EPT and a termborn group. Relationships of cortisol awakening response to test scores were analyzed.

RESULTS: Cortisol was measured in 110 EPT and 29 term-born 6-7 year olds. Unadjusted WISC-IV and NEPSY-II scores were significantly worse among EPT children only. Conners Parent Rating Scale behavior scores were significantly worse among EPT children. After adjusting for covariates, blunted cortisol awakening responses were found to be associated with poorer scores on memory tests and greater problems with inattention for the EPT group (p < 0.05) only.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Among children born EPT, we identified an association of blunted cortisol awakening response with memory and inattention problems. This may have implications related to stress reactivity and its relationship to learning problems in children born EPT.

CLINICALTRIALS.GOV ID: Extended Follow-up at School Age for the SUPPORT Neuroimaging and Neurodevelopmental Outcomes (NEURO) Cohort: NCT00233324.

Pediatric Research (2023) 93:689-695; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-022-02113-9

# **IMPACT:**

- In children born EPT, stress reactivity may have a relationship to learning problems.
- Cortisol awakening response should be a component for follow-up in EPT born children.
- Components of executive function, such as memory and attention, are related to stress reactivity.

## INTRODUCTION

Infants born extremely preterm (EPT; 24-27 weeks gestational age) undergo numerous life-saving interventions and procedures in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), which can be painful or stressful. Exposures in the NICU differ greatly from those in utero and include increased exposure to sounds, lights, and other physical sensations. Infants in the NICU often undergo painful procedures increasing their cortisol levels. Many infants in the NICU also experience prolonged separation from parents who cannot be at bedside regularly, leading to stress. When stress is experienced, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is activated and cortisol is released. It has been reported that preterm infants with higher observed stress, as reflected by increased Neonatal Infant Stressor Scale scores,<sup>1</sup> have higher levels of neonatal salivary cortisol.<sup>2</sup> However, repeated exposure to adverse experiences in the NICU can lead to disruption in the development of the HPA axis, reflected in changes in basal cortisol levels and cortisol reactivity well beyond discharge from the NICU.3-

In other populations, early adverse experiences have been shown to lead to blunting of HPA axis reactivity,<sup>9</sup> commonly assessed by the "cortisol awakening response," i.e., the increase in cortisol between first awakening and 30-45 min later. In a study of children who were adopted from orphanages,<sup>9</sup> these children had blunted cortisol awakening responses at 7-15 years of age, when the child had been adopted after the age of 16 months but not for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque, NM, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, McGovern Medical School at The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, USA. <sup>3</sup>Social, Statistical and Environmental Sciences Unit, RTI International, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA. <sup>4</sup>Social, Statistical and Environmental Sciences Unit, RTI International, Rockville, MD, USA. <sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. <sup>6</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Women & Infants Hospital, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA. <sup>7</sup>Division of Neonatal and Developmental Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital, Palo Alto, CA, USA. \*A list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper. Hereit is a fuller@salud.unm.edu

690

those children adopted in infancy. This study supports the literature showing that early-life adversity can have an ongoing impact on stress response systems as measured by cortisol reactivity. We have previously reported that these 6–7 year-old children born EPT had a blunted cortisol awakening response compared to term-born children.<sup>10</sup> We did not find an altered stress response during attending a clinic visit; however, the first cortisol sample obtained at the visit was the highest for both preterm- and term-born children, suggesting that the act of coming to the clinic visit had already been stressful.<sup>10</sup>

Chronic activation of the stress response in infancy has also been linked to changes in neurodevelopmental outcomes of term children, particularly related to executive functioning skills.<sup>11</sup> Executive function refers to skills such as inhibition, working memory, and attention that lead to the development of goaldirected behaviors.<sup>12</sup> Problems with executive function in young children are associated with difficulties with cognition, such as problems with sustained attention, inhibition, working memory, and behavioral dysregulation. Children born EPT are at increased risk for cognitive and behavioral difficulties in early childhood and at school age.<sup>13–15</sup> Dysregulation of the HPA axis associated with exposure to a chronic and sustained elevated stress response in the NICU may partially explain this increased risk.<sup>16</sup> In a cohort of children born very preterm, higher cortisol levels were associated with difficulty with internalizing behaviors such as emotional reactivity, attention problems, and anxious/depressive symptoms as measured by the Child Behavior Checklist at 18 months.

Limited research is available describing the relationship between cortisol reactivity and behavior difficulties at school age in children born EPT. Neonatal pain has been found to be related to persistent altered cortisol levels in boys born preterm at 7 years.<sup>18</sup> Greater pain exposure in preterm born children was also related to higher cortisol levels at 7 years that was associated with lower intelligence quotient scores and poorer scores on tests of visual motor integration.<sup>19</sup> Brummelte found that increased number of painful procedures preterm children experienced at birth was associated with lower cortisol levels on study day and lower diurnal cortisol at home, specifically in boys at 7 years.<sup>20</sup> Cortisol levels on the study day were negatively associated with attention problems and positively associated with thought problems in this same group of preterm born school-aged children. To try to address this gap in the literature, we explored whether dysregulated cortisol reactivity in children born EPT was associated with difficulties with executive functioning skills at school age. The purpose of this study was to investigate cortisol concentrations and their association with cognitive function, executive function, and behavioral challenges among school-age children born EPT in comparison to children born at term as an exploratory hypothesis-generating project. We hypothesized that children born EPT would have more difficulty on tests of cognition and executive function, in addition to higher levels of parentreported atypical behavior when compared to children born full term. We also hypothesized that blunted awakening cortisol response at early school age would be associated with lower cognitive functioning, poorer executive function, and increased rates of reported behavior problems.

#### METHODS Population

The EPT children in this study had been born between 24 + 0/7 and 27 + 6/7 weeks gestation and enrolled at birth into the Surfactant Positive Airway Pressure and Pulse Oximetry Randomized Trial (SUPPORT) conducted by the Neonatal Research Network (NRN) of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. A subset of the children in the SUPPORT trial were enrolled in the Neuroimaging and Neurodevelopmental Outcomes study (ClinicalTrials. gov ID NCT0023324)<sup>21</sup>; 386 of these children had follow-up at age 6 years

4 months to 7 years 2 months. Partway through the school-age follow-up period, the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute awarded funding to evaluate the relationship of adrenal function to perinatal factors and school-age outcomes.<sup>10</sup> Of the preterm-born children included in the study of adrenal function, 110 had developmental and behavioral testing performed and home cortisol values obtained and are included in this report. The only significant difference between the overall group and those who returned home samples was that the education level achieved was higher in the mothers of the preterm-born group who returned home samples.<sup>10</sup>

Participants were evaluated at 15 NRN centers after parental consent was obtained. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of each study site. Perinatal data were obtained from the original study database. A comparison group of children, born healthy at term, were recruited from 5 NRN sites (n = 40). Of the 40 term-born children, 29 had home cortisol values and were included in this study. Children born full term were eligible based on the following criteria: (1) singleton birth with 5th to 95th percentile birth weight and 37–41 weeks gestation; (2) 6 years 4 months to 7 years old; (3) 5th to 95th percentile for height and weight; (4) never hospitalized >2 days; (5) without known medical problems; (6) never identified as eligible for special education, speech, or physical therapy; (7) without a sibling with autism or intellectual disability; and, (8) living with their birth parent(s).

#### **Cortisol collection**

As part of a study of salivary cortisol in this population, kits were sent home after the study visit for the parents to collect specimens to measure cortisol upon awakening and 30 min later. Parents were given an instruction brochure with pictures illustrating the procedures and asked to enter the exact times of specimen collection. The time of collection was documented by parental report. 95% of specimens had times recorded, with the post-awakening specimen collected at 30 [23–46] min (median) [5–95% confidence interval] after awakening.

Saliva was collected by passive drool. If the child ate or drank anything <30 min before sampling, the child rinsed their mouth thoroughly before sampling. Samples were frozen at -20 °C, then sent to the Institute for Interdisciplinary Salivary Bioscience Research, where they remained at -80 °C until assayed in duplicate using commercially available immunoassays designed for use with saliva (Salimetrics LLC, Carlsbad, CA). The test volume was 25 µl, with lower limits of detection 0.007 mcg/dl. The intra-assay CV% was 7.55% and the inter-assay CV% as based on the validation of the assay was 6.00%.

Cortisol awakening responses were calculated by the area under the curve (AUC) between cortisol measures upon first awakening and 30 min later, with a blunted cortisol response defined as a lower AUC.<sup>9</sup>

#### **Cognitive test measures**

The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—fourth edition (WISC-IV)<sup>22</sup> was administered to each child, and composite scores in the areas of verbal comprehension, perceptual reasoning, working memory, and processing speed were obtained. All scores were standardized based on the chronological age of the child, with a mean of 100 and standard deviation of 15.

Selected subscales of the NEuroPSYchological Assessment (NEPSY-II)<sup>23</sup> were administered. The test of auditory attention span was divided into a score of correct answers and a total score that took time into account. The test of memory for names was used, both for short- and long-term recall. All scores were standardized and converted to a *t*-score with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10 for analyses.

The Conners Parent Rating Scale<sup>24</sup> measures parent-reported behaviors related to inattention, hyperactivity/impulsivity, learning problems, executive functioning, defiance/aggression, and peer relations. The question-naire was computer scored and standard scores were calculated based on the child's chronological age. The scores were converted to *t*-scores with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10. Scores >60 (84th percentile) were considered moderately elevated and scores >70 (98th percentile) were considered significantly elevated.

#### Statistical analysis

Differences in maternal and child characteristics between children born EPT and term were tested by chi-square and Wilcoxon tests. The relationship between the cortisol awakening response and each cognitive and behavioral test measure was analyzed using generalized linear mixed models adjusting for center, birth weight *Z* scores, sex, maternal education, and the time of day the cortisol level was obtained. Reduced models only adjusted for time of day, whereas full models adjusted for all covariates listed.

# RESULTS

Table 1 describes pertinent maternal and child characteristics at birth and follow-up. The preterm born children had significantly lower birth weights and gestational ages compared to the term children. In addition, maternal education was significantly higher in mothers of term-born children. Therefore, maternal education level was adjusted for in all analyses. We previously published data from this cohort showing that the preterm-born children had significantly lower morning values with a blunted awakening response (geometric mean 22% lower at awakening and 10% lower after 30 min).<sup>10</sup>

The term-born children had higher scores on all scales of the WISC-IV and NEPSY-II, indicating higher functioning in the areas assessed. The term-born group had significantly lower scores on the Conners scale, which indicated fewer behavioral problems in the areas assessed. (Table 2)

Regression models showing the association of cortisol awakening response with developmental test scores are shown in Table 3. There was no significant relationship for the termborn group on any of the measures. However, among the EPT group, there was a significant positive association between WISC-IV verbal comprehension scores and morning cortisol AUC in the reduced model, which adjusted for time of awakening ( $\beta$ (SE: 0.70 (0.35), p = 0.05)). Significance was lost after further adjusting for center, sex, birth weight, and maternal education in the full model. On the NEPSY Memory for Names scale, both immediate recall ( $\beta$  (SE: 3.84 (1.88), p = 0.04)) and delayed recall ( $\beta$  (SE: 4.81 (1.95), p = 0.02)) were significantly positively associated with morning cortisol AUC in both models for the EPT group even after adjusting for all covariates. On the Conners scale, there was a significant association in the reduced model for the EPT group (such that lower/blunted awakening response was associated with increased scores) for inattention, learning problems, and defiance/aggression. Significance was maintained in the full model for the inattention scale ( $\beta$  (SE: -0.86 (0.37), p = 0.02).

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that children born EPT, compared to those born full term, had increased rates of cognitive, executive function, and behavior problems even after adjusting for maternal education. These findings are consistent with the literature showing that prematurity is associated with lower scores on tests of cognition and executive functioning at school age.<sup>25,26</sup> EPT children have also been found to have increased problems with behavior, including externalizing (aggression, hyperactivity) and internalizing (anxiety, withdrawal) behaviors from preschool through school age.<sup>27,28</sup> These problems can be associated with learning difficulties and executive function abnormalities related to attention, impulsivity, and self-regulation.<sup>29</sup>

The association of learning and behavioral problems with cortisol awakening response has been infrequently studied. We previously reported that this cohort of children born EPT showed a blunted cortisol awakening response, compared to those born at term gestation. We now report that there was a significant association of morning cortisol awakening response with verbal comprehension and verbal memory in the EPT group. After adjusting for center, birth weight, sex, and socio-economic factors, the memory scores maintained a significant association with cortisol. In areas of behavior, only the EPT group had a significant association between cortisol awakening response and behavioral **Table 1.** Child and maternal characteristics (mean  $\pm$  SD, median[25–75th %], or n (%)).

	Extremely preterm born N = 110	Term born N = 29	p value
Neonatal characteristics			
Birth weight (BW, g)	891 ± 201	$3380\pm505$	<0.01
BW <10th %ile (n, %)	5 (5)	4 (14)	0.09
Gestational age (GA, weeks)	26.43 ± 1.02	39.23 ± 1.03	<0.01
BW for GA Z score	$0.17 \pm 1.04$	$0.00\pm0.84$	0.32
Male sex (%)	59 (54)	13 (45)	0.41
Race (% white)	73 (66)	24 (83)	0.11
Antenatal steroids (%)	106 (96)	0 (0)	N/A
Any/complete course	90 (82)	0 (0)	
Neonatal complications			N/A
Necrotizing enterocolitis	4 (4)	0 (0)	
Bronchopulmonary dysplasiaª	39 (35)	0 (0)	
Days of ventilation	7.5 (2, 222)	0 (0)	
Severe ICH or cPVL <sup>b</sup>	8 (7)	0 (0)	
Late-onset sepsis	26 (24)	0 (0)	
Severe retinopathy <sup>c</sup>	11 (10)	0 (0)	
Postnatal steroids (%)	4 (4)	0 (0)	N/A
Maternal characteristics			
Maternal education			<0.01
Did not complete high school	30 (28)	0 (0)	
Completed high school	27 (25)	2 (7)	
Some post-secondary	23 (21)	0 (0)	
Completed college	23 (21)	16 (55)	
Any graduate education	4 (4)	11 (38)	
Diabetes	7 (6)	N/A	N/A
Hypertension	24 (22)	3 (11)	0.28
Characteristics at follow-up			
Child test age (years)	$6.84 \pm 0.41$	6.72 ± 0.19	0.87
Height (cm)	119.75 ± 6.98	121.88±5.84	0.13
Z score	$-0.12 \pm 1.23$	0.41 ± 1.02	0.02
Weight (kg)	23.16 ± 4.96	22.95 ± 3.51	0.84
Z score	$-0.07 \pm 1.29$	$0.10 \pm 0.88$	0.30
ao 1 1 1 1 1		. (	

<sup>a</sup>Bronchopulmonary dysplasia: receiving respiratory support (supplemental oxygen or positive pressure ventilation) at 36 weeks postmenstrual age. <sup>b</sup>Severe ICH: intracranial hemorrhage grade III or IV; cPVL: cystic periventricular leukomalacia.

<sup>c</sup>Severe retinopathy: type 1 retinopathy of prematurity or treatment with laser, cryotherapy, or bevacizumab.

scores in areas of inattention, learning problems, and aggression. Similarly, after adjusting for the above factors, a significant association with cortisol was maintained only for inattention.

Children born preterm, having experienced noxious exposures in the NICU, may display disruption in HPA axis function throughout childhood. Indicators of this dysregulated stress response in the HPA axis include blunting of morning cortisol levels<sup>10</sup> and reduced cortisol reactivity in response to stress.<sup>9</sup> Children with adverse early-life experiences display a blunted

## Table 2. Unadjusted comparisons of WISC-IV, Conner, and NEPSY scale standardized scores.

	Statistic	Ν	Extremely preterm born	N	Term born	p value
WISC-IV scores						
Verbal comprehension	Mean (SD)	110	89.9 (14.5)	29	103.1 (11.8)	<0.01
	Median (IQR)		91 (79, 100)		102 (95, 110)	
	Min, Max		53, 121		83, 130	
Perceptual reasoning	Mean (SD)	110	96.4 (16.4)	29	111.8 (13.8)	<0.01
	Median (IQR)		95 (86, 108)		110 (100, 121)	
	Min, Max		63, 141		84, 145	03.1 (11.8)       <0.01
Working memory total	Mean (SD)	109	91.6 (12.7)	29	105.1 (13.4)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.8\\ .10\\ .10\\ .10\\ .10\\ .10\\ .10\\ .10\\ .10$
	Median (IQR)		94 (83, 102)		102 (94, 113)	
	Min, Max	110 $89.9 (14.5)$ $29$ $103.1 (11.8)$ $<0.0$ $102 (95, 110)$ $102 (95, 110)$ $83, 130$ $<0.0$ $110$ $96.4 (16.4)$ $29$ $1118 (13.8)$ $<0.0$ $100$ $95.4 (16.4)$ $29$ $1118 (13.8)$ $<0.0$ $102 (94, 113)$ $= 63, 141$ $84, 145$ $<0.0$ $102 (94, 113)$ $= 63, 141$ $84, 145$ $<0.0$ $102 (94, 113)$ $= 54, 120$ $86, 132$ $<0.0$ $102 (94, 113)$ $= 54, 120$ $86, 132$ $<0.0$ $102 (94, 113)$ $= 5, 113$ $= 6, 132$ $<0.0$ $102 (94, 113)$ $= 6, 132$ $<0.0$ $<0.0$ $102 (94, 113)$ $= 6, 132$ $<0.0$ $<0.0$ $102 (94, 113)$ $= 16, 16.7$ $29$ $105.7 (11.9)$ $<0.0$ $102 (94, 103)$ $= 05, 7123$ $<0.0$ $<0.0 <0.0 <0.0 102 (94, 113) = 11, 16 4, 18 <0.0 <0.0 <0.0 <0.0 <0.0 <0.0 <0.0 <0.0 <0.0 <0.0$				
Processing speed	Mean (SD)	109	91.6 (16.7)	29	105.7 (11.9)	<0.01
	Median (IQR)		94 (80, 103)		106 (100, 115)	
Verbal comprehension Perceptual reasoning Working memory total Working memory total Processing speed NEPSY-II Auditory attention total correct Auditory attention Combined Memory for names immediate Memory for names delayed total Conners scores Inattention Hyperactivity/ impulsivity Learning problems Executive functioning	Min, Max		50, 128		75, 123	
NEPSY-II						
Auditory attention total	Mean (SD)	96	8.1 (3.8)	27	10.5 (3.0)	<0.01
correct	Median (IQR)		9 (5, 11)		11 (8, 13)	
	Min, Max		1, 14		4, 15	
Auditory attention	Mean (SD)	96	7.9 (4.0)	27	10.9 (3.5)	<0.01
combined	Median (IQR)		8 (4, 11)		11 (9, 13)	
	Min, Max		1, 16		4, 18	
Memory for names	Mean (SD)	103	8.5 (3.3)	29	10.7 (3.2)	<0.01
immediate	Median (IQR)		8 (7, 11)		11 (8, 12)	
	Min, Max		1, 19		5, 18	
Memory for names	Mean (SD)	103	8.5 (3.5)	29	10.7 (3.3)	<0.01
delayed total	Median (IQR)		9 (7, 11)		11 (10, 12)	
	Min, Max		2, 17		2, 17	
Conners scores						
Inattention	Mean (SD)	102	66.2 (17.3)	27	51.8 (14.0)	<0.01
	Median (IQR)		63 (55, 85)		45 (41, 56)	
	Min, Max		40, 90		40, 90	
	Mean (SD)	101	66.0 (17.0)	28	56.4 (14.8)	<0.01
	Median (IQR)		64 (52, 83)		52 (45, 64)	
	Min, Max		40, 90		40, 90	
Learning problems	Mean (SD)	104	57.3 (13.9)	26	47.2 (9.8)	<0.01
	Median (IQR)		57 (46, 64)		45 (40, 50)	
	Min, Max		40, 90		40, 90	
Executive functioning	Mean (SD)	105	58.9 (14.4)	26	51.1 (10.3)	0.01
NEPSY-II Auditory attention total correct Auditory attention combined Memory for names immediate Memory for names delayed total Conners scores Inattention Hyperactivity/ impulsivity Learning problems Executive functioning Defiance/aggression	Median (IQR)		54 (47, 69)		49 (43, 58)	
	Min, Max		40, 90		40, 90	
Defiance/aggression	Mean (SD)	106	55.3 (13.3)	29	48.4 (5.5)	0.01
	Median (IQR)		49 (45, 60)		46 (45, 50)	
	Min, Max		44, 90		45, 68	
Peer relations	Mean (SD)	105	57.4 (14.9)	29	51.5 (11.6)	0.03
	Median (IQR)		52 (46, 64)		46 (45, 54)	
	Min, Max		44, 90		45, 89	

cortisol awakening response and changes in cortisol reactivity in response to stressors throughout the day.  $^{\rm 30}$ 

A systematic review found that, among toddlers and early school age children born EPT, those with greater exposures to painful procedures during the neonatal period displayed poorer neurodevelopmental outcomes and disruptions in their cortisol activation compared to those with lower numbers of painful procedures.<sup>8</sup> In another study of school age children with a history of preterm birth, children born very preterm (under 32 weeks), did not display overall differences in diurnal patterns or in cortisol reactions to stress in comparison to children born full term; however, further analyses revealed that those born preterm who

	Table 3.	Association betw	veen cortisol awakening	g response (AUC <sup>a</sup> )	and behavior at age 6–7	years.
--	----------	------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------	--------

	Extremely pre	Extremely preterm born				1			
	Reduced mod	el <sup>b</sup>	Full model <sup>c</sup>	Reduced mod		el <sup>b</sup>	Full model <sup>c</sup>	ull model <sup>c</sup>	
	β (SE)	p value	β (SE)	p value	β (SE)	p value	β (SE)	p value	
WISC-IV scores									
Verbal comprehension	0.70 (0.35)	0.05	0.67 (0.45)	0.15	0.37 (1.01)	0.72	0.34 (1.30)	0.79	
Perceptual reasoning	0.44 (0.33)	0.18	0.37 (0.44)	0.39	0.15 (0.87)	0.87	-0.05 (1.03)	0.96	
Working memory	0.28 (0.39)	0.47	0.31 (0.46)	0.50	-0.10 (0.86)	0.91	0.48 (1.00)	0.64	
Processing speed	0.50 (0.31)	0.12	0.21 (0.39)	0.58	-0.72 (0.97)	0.47	-0.44 (1.29)	0.73	
NEPSY scores									
Auditory attention total correct	-0.33 (1.46)	0.82	-0.48 (1.81)	0.79	-0.11 (4.08)	0.98	4.58 (4.86)	0.36	
Auditory attention combined	-0.01 (1.35)	0.99	-0.34 (1.69)	0.84	-1.08 (3.53)	0.76	2.36 (4.37)	0.60	
Memory for name immediate	3.23 (1.56)	0.04	3.84 (1.88)	0.04	-3.73 (3.54)	0.30	-1.70 (4.50)	0.71	
Memory for names delayed	3.02 (1.52)	0.05	4.81 (1.95)	0.02	-6.39 (3.29)	0.06	-4.10 (4.40)	0.36	
Conners scores									
Inattention	-0.76 (0.30)	0.01	-0.86 (0.37)	0.02	0.69 (0.76)	0.37	0.20 (0.98)	0.84	
Hyperactivity/impulsivity	-0.54 (0.31)	0.08	-0.58 (0.39)	0.14	0.62 (0.72)	0.40	0.47 (0.86)	0.59	
Learning problems	-0.92 (0.37)	0.02	-0.88 (0.46)	0.06	0.68 (1.06)	0.52	0.38 (1.32)	0.78	
Executive functioning	-0.53 (0.37)	0.15	-0.53 (0.46)	0.26	0.20 (1.02)	0.84	-0.28 (1.19)	0.81	
Defiance/aggression	-0.91 (0.39)	0.02	-0.85 (0.49)	0.09	1.64 (2.41)	0.50	3.54 (4.32)	0.42	
Peer relations	-0.51 (0.36)	0.17	-0.74 (0.44)	0.10	0.32 (1.00)	0.75	-0.19 (1.31)	0.89	

<sup>a</sup>Cortisol awakening response (AUC) = increase from first awakening value to 30 min later.

<sup>b</sup>Adjusts for time of awakening.

<sup>c</sup>Adjusts for time of awakening, center, sex, birth weight Z scores, and maternal education.

had experienced more pain-related stress in the neonatal period displayed lower cortisol levels throughout the day than their peers born full term or preterm without pain-related stress in the NICU.<sup>20</sup> Furthermore, in the same study, children born preterm who displayed attention problems had lower levels of cortisol reactivity in response to stress. Our study also found that the children born EPT had an association of lower awakening cortisol AUC with increased inattention problems at 6–7 years, possibly related to differences in cortisol reactivity associated with stress experienced in the neonatal period.

Strengths of this study included a multi-center trial with a large cohort of EPT children with assessments completed by psychologists who underwent comprehensive training for inter-rater reliability. Limitations included a higher level of maternal education in the term control group, which was included in the regression models. A single parent questionnaire was used to measure the child's behavior problems, whereas multimodal assessment, including teacher ratings, may have provided a more comprehensive understanding of a child's behavioral functioning. Parents' interpretations of the questions and their own psychological functioning could have influenced their responses; however, the Conners scale is widely used both in research and in the clinical setting and is considered a robust measure of behavior. Finally, this study started part way through the NEURO school-age study period; however, population characteristics and neonatal morbidities were similar between this group and the overall NEURO population with the exception that the adrenal study group had a lower incidence of intraventricular hemorrhage/periventricular leukomalacia (7 vs 9%).

In conclusion, our findings of an association between the cortisol awakening response and developmental outcomes in children born preterm, specifically in areas of memory and inattention, provides important information related to potential

Pediatric Research (2023) 93:689-695

consequences of early stress. Further investigation of both parental and neonatal stress, as well as strategies to mitigate those stressors, may provide additional insight into the mechanisms contributing to this association. Enhanced support of children born preterm and their families, especially those from homes with limited resources, may be beneficial to the preterm child's learning and behavior outcomes.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

Data reported in this paper may be requested through a data use agreement. Further details are available at https://neonatal.rti.org/index.cfm?fuseaction=DataRequest. Home.

#### REFERENCES

- Newnham, C. A., Inder, T. E. & Milgrom, J. Measuring preterm cumulative stressors within the NICU: The Neonatal Infant Stressor Scale. *Early Hum. Dev.* 85, 549–555 (2009).
- Pourkaviani, S. et al. Clinical validation of the Neonatal Infant Stressor Scale with preterm infant salivary cortisol. *Pediatr. Res.* 87, 1237–1243 (2020).
- Provenzi, L. et al. Pain-related stress in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit and salivary cortisol reactivity to socio-emotional stress in 3-month-old very preterm infants. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **72**, 161–165 (2016).
- McLean, M. A. et al. Sensory processing and cortisol at age 4 years: procedural pain-related stress in children born very preterm. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 63, 915–930 (2021).
- Brummelte, S. et al. Cortisol levels in relation to maternal interaction and child internalizing behavior in preterm and full-term children at 18 months corrected age. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 53, 184–195 (2011).
- Kaseva, N. et al. Adrenalin, noradrenalin and heart rate responses to psychosocial stress in young adults born preterm at very low birthweight. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 81, 231–237 (2014).
- Grunau, R. E. Neonatal pain in very preterm infants: long-term effects on brain, neurodevelopment and pain reactivity. *Rambam Maimonides Med. J.* 4, 1–13 (2013).

- Valeri, B. O., Holsti, L. & Linhares, M. B. Neonatal pain and developmental outcomes in children born preterm: a systematic review. *Clin. J. Pain.* **31**, 355–362 (2015).
- Leneman, K. B., Donzella, B., Desjardins, C. D., Miller, B. S. & Gunnar, M. R. The slope of cortisol from awakening to 30 min post-wake in post-institutionalized children and early adolescents. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **96**, 93–99 (2018).
- Watterberg, K. L. et al. Adrenal function links to early postnatal growth and blood pressure at age six in children born extremely preterm. *Pediatr. Res.* 86, 339–347 (2019).
- Wagner, S. L. et al. Higher cortisol is associated with poorer executive functioning in preschool children: The role of parenting stress, parent coping and quality of daycare. *Child Neuropsychol.* 22, 853–869 (2016).
- BussKara, A. T. & Lowery N. in Encyclopedia of Infant and Early Childhood Development (Second Edition) (Haith, M. M. & Benson, J. B.) 183–193 (Academic, 2020).
- Cassiano, R. G. M., Gaspardo, C. M. & Linhares, M. B. M. Temperament moderated by neonatal factors predicted behavioral problems in childhood: a prospective longitudinal study. *Early Hum. Dev.* **135**, 37–43 (2019).
- Hutchinson, E. A., De Luca, C. R., Doyle, L. W., Roberts, G. & Anderson, P. J. Schoolage outcomes of extremely preterm or extremely low birth weight children. *Pediatrics* 131, e1053–e1061 (2013).
- Rogers, E. E. & Hintz, S. R. Early neurodevelopmental outcomes of extremely preterm infants. Semin. Perinatol. 40, 497–509 (2016).
- 16. Grunau, R. E. et al. Altered basal cortisol levels at 3, 6, 8 and 18 months in infants born at extremely low gestational age. *J. Pediatr.* **150**, 151–156 (2007).
- Brummelte, S. et al. Cortisol levels in relation to maternal interaction and child internalizing behavior in preterm and full-term children at 18 months corrected age. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 53, 184–195 (2011).
- Grunau, R. E. et al. Neonatal pain-related stress and NFKBIA genotype are associated with altered cortisol levels in preterm boys at school age. PLoS ONE 8, e73926 (2013).
- Chau, C. M. Y. et al. The Val66Met brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene variant interacts with early pain exposure to predict cortisol dysregulation in 7-year-old children born very preterm: implications for cognition. *Neuroscience* 342, 188–199 (2017).
- Brummelte, S. et al. Cortisol levels in former preterm children at school age are predicted by neonatal procedural pain-related stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 51, 151–163 (2015).
- 21. Hintz, S. R. et al. Preterm neuroimaging and school-age cognitive outcomes. *Pediatrics* **142**, e20174058 (2018).
- Wechsler, D. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children: Fourth Edition (WISC-IV) (PsychCorp 2003).
- Korkman, M., Kirk, U. & Kemp, S. NEPSY-II: Clinical and Interpretation Manual (Pearson Corp, 2007).
- 24. Conners, C. K. Conners' Rating Scales-Revised: Technical Manual (Multi-Health Systems 1997).
- Odd, D., Evans, D. & Emond, A. M. Prediction of school outcome after preterm birth: a cohort study. Arch. Dis. Child. 104, 348–353 (2019).
- Linsell, L. et al. Trajectories of behavior, attention, social and emotional problems from childhood to early adulthood following extremely preterm birth: a prospective cohort study. *Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* 28, 531–542 (2019).
- Schappin, R., Wijnroks, L., Uniken Venema, M. & Jongmans, M. Exploring predictors of change in behavioral problems over a 1- year period in preterm born preschoolers. *Infant Behav. Dev.* 50, 98–106 (2018).
- 28. Dotinga, B. M. et al. Longitudinal growth and emotional and behavioral problems at age 7 in moderate and late preterms. *PLoS ONE* **14**, e0211427 (2019).
- Brumbaugh, J. E., Hodel, A. S. & Thomas, K. M. The impact of late preterm birth on executive function at preschool age. Am. J. Perinatol. 31, 305–314 (2014).
- Raffington, L. et al. Blunted cortisol stress reactivity in low-income children relates to lower memory function. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 90, 110–121 (2018).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Participating NRN sites collected data and transmitted it to RTI International, the data coordinating center (DCC) for the network, which stored, managed, and analyzed the data for this study. On behalf of the NRN, RTI International had full access to all of the data in the study, and with the NRN Center Principal Investigators, takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis. We are indebted to our medical and nursing colleagues and the infants and their parents who agreed to take part in this study.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.L. and K.L.W.: conception and design, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting the article, and final approval of the version to be published. J.F.F.: acquisition of data, critical revision of the article for important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published. A.D.: conception and design, drafting the article and final approval of the version to be published. B.D. and A.G.D.: analysis and interpretation of the data, revising it critically for important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published. C.M.B.: analysis and interpretation of the data, revising it critically for important intellectual content, final approval of the version to be published. C.M.B.: analysis and interpretation of the data, revising it critically for important intellectual content, final approval of the version to be published. K.E.G.: substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, critical revision of the article revising it critically for important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published. S.R.H.: conception and acquisition of the data, revising it critically for important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published. S.R.H.: conception and acquisition of the data, revising it critically for important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published. S.R.H.: conception and acquisition of the data, revising it critically for important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published. S.R.H.: conception and acquisition of the data, revising it critically for important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published. S.R.H.: conception and acquisition of the data, revising it critically for important intellectual content, and final approval of the version to be published.

## FUNDING

The National Institutes of Health, the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) provided grant support for the Neonatal Research Network's Extended Follow-up at School Age for the SUPPORT Neuroimaging and Neurode-velopmental Outcomes (NEURO) Cohort through cooperative agreements. While NICHD staff had input into the study design, conduct, analysis, and manuscript drafting, the comments and views of the authors do not necessarily represent the views of NICHD, the National Institutes of Health, the Department of Health and Human Services, or the U.S. Government.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

A.G.D. is founder and chief scientific and strategy advisor at Salimetrics LLC and Salivabio LLC. The nature of those relationships is managed by the policies of the committees on conflict of interest at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and the University of California at Irvine.

## ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Patients were consented at each institution per each institution's IRB requirements.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Janell F. Fuller.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# FOR THE SUPPORT NEURO SCHOOL-AGE STUDY SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE EUNICE KENNEDY SHRIVER NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF CHILD HEALTH AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT NEONATAL RESEARCH NETWORK

**NRN Steering Committee Chairs** Alan H. Jobe<sup>8</sup>, Michael S. Caplan<sup>9</sup>, Richard A. Polin<sup>10</sup>, Abbot R. Laptook<sup>11</sup>, Angelita M. Hensman<sup>11</sup>, Elisa Vieira<sup>11</sup>, Emilee Little<sup>11</sup>, Katharine Johnson<sup>11</sup>, Barbara Alksninis<sup>11</sup>, Mary Lenore Keszler<sup>11</sup>, Andrea M. Knoll<sup>11</sup>, Theresa M. Leach<sup>11</sup>, Elisabeth C. McGowan<sup>11</sup>, Victoria E. Watson<sup>11</sup>, Michele C. Walsh<sup>12</sup>, Avroy A. Fanaroff<sup>12</sup>, Allison Payne<sup>12</sup>, Deanne E. Wilson-Costello<sup>12</sup>, Nancy S. Newman<sup>12</sup>, Bonnie S. Siner<sup>12</sup>, Arlene Zadell<sup>12</sup>, Julie DiFiore<sup>12</sup>, Monika Bhola<sup>12</sup>, Harriet G. Friedman<sup>12</sup>, Gulgun Yalcinkaya<sup>12</sup>, Ronald N. Goldberg<sup>13</sup>, C. Michael Cotten<sup>13</sup>, Kathryn E. Gustafson<sup>13</sup>, Ricki F. Goldstein<sup>13</sup>, Patricia Ashley<sup>13</sup>, Kathy J. Auten<sup>13</sup>, Kimberley A. Fisher<sup>13</sup>, Katherine A. Foy<sup>13</sup>, Sharon F. Freedman<sup>13</sup>, Melody B. Lohmeyer<sup>13</sup>, William F. Malcolm<sup>13</sup>, David K. Wallace<sup>13</sup>, David P. Carlton<sup>14</sup>, Barbara J. Stoll<sup>14</sup>, Ira Adams-Chapman<sup>14</sup>, Susie Buchter<sup>14</sup>, Anthony J. Piazza<sup>14</sup>, Sheena Carter<sup>14</sup>, Sobha Fritz<sup>14</sup>,

**SPRINGER NATURE** 

Ellen C. Hale<sup>14</sup>, Amy K. Hutchinson<sup>14</sup>, Maureen Mulligan LaRossa<sup>14</sup>, Yvonne Loggins<sup>14</sup>, Diane Bottcher<sup>14</sup>, Rosemary D. Higgins<sup>15</sup>, Stephanie Wilson Archer<sup>15</sup>, Brenda B. Poindexter<sup>16</sup>, Gregory M. Sokol<sup>16</sup>, Heidi M. Harmon<sup>16</sup>, Lu-Ann Papile<sup>16</sup>, Abbey C. Hines<sup>16</sup>, Leslie D. Wilson<sup>16</sup>, Dianne E. Herron<sup>16</sup>, Lucy Smiley<sup>16</sup>, Douglas A. Granger<sup>17</sup>, Kathleen A. Kennedy<sup>18</sup>, Jon E. Tyson<sup>18</sup>, Andrea F. Duncan<sup>18</sup>, Allison G. Dempsey<sup>18</sup>, Janice John<sup>18</sup>, Patrick M. Jones<sup>18</sup>, M. Layne Lillie<sup>18</sup>, Saba Siddiki<sup>18</sup>, Daniel K. Sperry<sup>18</sup>, Carol J. Blaisdell<sup>19</sup>, Abhik Das<sup>20</sup>, Dennis Wallace<sup>20</sup>, Marie G. Gantz<sup>20</sup>, Jeanette O'Donnell Auman<sup>20</sup>, Jane A. Hammond<sup>20</sup>, Jamie E. Newman<sup>20</sup>, W. Kenneth Poole<sup>20</sup>, Krisa P. Van Meurs<sup>21</sup>, David K. Stevenson<sup>21</sup>, M. Bethany Ball<sup>21</sup>, Maria Elena DeAnda<sup>21</sup>, Gabrielle T. Goodlin<sup>21</sup>, Ivan D. Frantzlll<sup>22</sup>, John M. Fiascone<sup>22</sup>, Elisabeth C. McGowan<sup>22</sup>, Anne Kurfiss<sup>22</sup>, Brenda L. MacKinnon<sup>22</sup>, Ellen Nylen<sup>22</sup>, Ana Brussa<sup>22</sup>, Cecelia Sibley<sup>22</sup>, Waldemar A. Carlo<sup>33</sup>, Namasivayam Ambalavanan<sup>23</sup>, Monica V. Collins<sup>23</sup>, Shirley S. Cosby<sup>23</sup>, Vivien A. Phillips<sup>23</sup>, Kristy Domanovich<sup>23</sup>, Sally Whitley<sup>23</sup>, Leigh Ann Smith<sup>23</sup>, Carin R. Kiser<sup>23</sup>, Neil N. Finer<sup>24</sup>, Donna Garey<sup>24</sup>, Maynard R. Rasmussen<sup>24</sup>, Paul R. Wozniak<sup>24</sup>, Yvonne E. Vaucher<sup>24</sup>, Martha G. Fuller<sup>24</sup>, Natacha Akshoomoff<sup>24</sup>, Wade Rich<sup>24</sup>, Kathy Arnell<sup>24</sup>, Renee Bridge<sup>24</sup>, Elysia Poggi Davis<sup>25</sup>, Edward F. Bell<sup>26</sup>, Tarah T. Colaizy<sup>26</sup>, John A. Widness<sup>26</sup>, Jonathan M. Klein<sup>26</sup>, Karen J. Johnson<sup>26</sup>, Michael J. Acarregui<sup>26</sup>, Diane L. Eastman<sup>26</sup>, Tammy L. V. Wilgenbusch<sup>26</sup>, Robin K. Ohls<sup>27</sup>, Conra Backstrom Lacy<sup>27</sup>, Rebecca A. Thomson<sup>27</sup>, Sandra Brown<sup>27</sup>, Pablo J. Sánchez<sup>28</sup>, Roy J. Heyne<sup>28</sup>, Charles R. Rosenfeld<sup>28</sup>, Walid A. Salhab<sup>28</sup>, Luc Brion<sup>28</sup>, Sally S. Adams<sup>28</sup>, James Allen<sup>28</sup>, Laura Grau<sup>28</sup>, Alicia Guzman<sup>28</sup>, Gaynelle Hensley<sup>28</sup>, Elizabeth T. Heyne<sup>8</sup>, Jackie F. Hickman<sup>28</sup>, Lizette E. Lee<sup>28</sup>, Melissa H. Leps<sup>28</sup>, Idiad A. Madden<sup>28</sup>, Melissa Swensen Martin<sup>28</sup>, Nanc

<sup>8</sup>University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA. <sup>9</sup>University of Chicago, Pritzker School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA. <sup>10</sup>Division of Neonatology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA, <sup>11</sup>Alpert Medical School of Brown University and Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island (U10 HD27904), Providence, RI, USA, <sup>11</sup> Western Reserve University, Rainbow Babies & Children's Hospital (U10 HD21364, M01 RR80), Cleveland, OH, USA. 13 Duke University School of Medicine, University Hospital, and Duke Regional Hospital (U10 HD40492, M01 RR30), Durham, NC, USA. <sup>14</sup>Emory University, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Grady Memorial Hospital, and Emory Crawford Long Hospital (U10 HD27851, RR25008, M01 RR39), Atlanta, GA, USA. <sup>15</sup>Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Rockville, MD, USA. 16 indiana University, University Hospital, Methodist Hospital, Riley Hospital for Children, and Wishard Health Services (U10 HD27856, M01 RR750), Indianapolis, IN, USA. 17 Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health; Johns Hopkins University School of Nursing and Institute for Interdisciplinary Salivary Bioscience Research, University of California at Irvine, Baltimore, MD, USA. <sup>18</sup>McGovern Medical School at The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston and Children's Memorial Hermann Hospital (U10 HD21373), Houston, TX, USA. <sup>19</sup>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA. <sup>20</sup>RTI International (U10 HD36790), Raleigh, NC, USA. <sup>21</sup>Stanford University and Lucile Packard Children's Hospital (U10 HD27880, UL1 RR25744, M01 RR70), Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>22</sup>Tufts Medical Center, Floating Hospital for Children (U10 HD53119, M01 RR54), Boston, MA, USA.<sup>23</sup>University of Alabama at Birmingham Health System and Children's Hospital of Alabama (U10 HD34216, M01 RR32), Birmingham, AL, USA. <sup>24</sup>University of California - San Diego Medical Center and Sharp Mary Birch Hospital for Women (U10 HD40461), Berkeley, CA, USA. <sup>25</sup>University of Denver and Department of Psychology, Denver, CO, USA. <sup>26</sup>University of Iowa (U10 HD53109, UL1 TR442, M01 RR59), Iowa City, IO, USA. <sup>27</sup>University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center (R01 HL117764, U10 HD53089, M01 RR997), Albuquerque, NM, USA. 28 University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, Parkland Health & Hospital System, and Children's Medical Center Dallas (U10 HD40689, M01 RR633), Dallas, TX, USA.<sup>29</sup>University of Utah Medical Center, Intermountain Medical Center, LDS Hospital, and Primary Children's Medical Center (U10 HD53124, M01 RR64), Salt Lake City, UT, USA. <sup>30</sup>Wayne State University, Hutzel Women's Hospital, and Children's Hospital of Michigan (U10 HD21385), Detroit, MI, USA, Deceased: W. Kenneth Poole, Deceased: Thomas L. Slovis,