



Long-term neuropathological and/or neurobehavioral effects of antenatal corticosteroid therapy in animal models: a systematic review

Johannes L. van der Merwe 1,2, Adalina Sacco³, Jaan Toelen^{1,4} and Jan Deprest^{1,2,3}

BACKGROUND: Antenatal corticosteroids (ACSs) are recommended to all women at risk for preterm delivery; currently, there is controversy about the subsequent long-term neurocognitive sequelae. This systematic review summarizes the long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes after ACS therapy in animal models.

METHODS: An electronic search strategy incorporating MeSH and keywords was performed using all known literature databases and in accordance with PRISMA guidance (PROSPERO CRD42019119663).

RESULTS: Of the 669 studies identified, eventually 64 were included. The majority of studies utilized dexamethasone at relative high dosages and primarily involved rodents. There was a high risk of bias, mostly due to lack of randomization, allocation concealment, and blinding. The main outcomes reported on was neuropathological, particularly glucocorticoid receptor expression and neuron densities, and neurobehavior. Overall there was an upregulation of glucocorticoid receptors with lower neuron densities and a dysregulation of the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems. This coincided with various adverse neurobehavioral outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS: In animal models, ACSs consistently lead to deleterious long-term neurocognitive effects. This may be due to the specific agents, i.e., dexamethasone, or the repetitive/higher total dosing used. ACS administration varied significantly between studies and there was a high risk of bias. Future research should be standardized in well-characterized models.

Pediatric Research (2020) 87:1157-1170; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-019-0712-1

BACKGROUND

Glucocorticoids (GCs) are essential in the biological processes required for the transition from intrauterine to extrauterine life. The overall action of endogenous GCs is to trigger organ maturation, thereby enabling the lungs, liver, gastrointestinal tract, thyroid, adrenals, and kidneys to function and sustain life outside the uterine environment.¹ GCs are also crucial for normal brain maturation, as they initiate terminal maturation, remodel axons and dendrites, and affect cell survival.² Both suppressed and elevated GC levels can impair brain development and functioning.³

Since 1994, antenatal corticosteroids (ACSs) have been recommended to all women at risk for delivery between 24 and 34 weeks of gestation,⁴ as ACSs are effective not only in reducing perinatal morbidity, i.e., respiratory distress syndrome, intraventricular hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis, and sepsis, but also the mortality that is associated with prematurity.⁵ Although the beneficial shortterm outcomes of ACS therapy were evident from an early-stage, longer-term outcomes, including neurodevelopment, have been less extensively studied. A systematic review of maternal ACS administration in pregnancy reported improved neurodevelopmental outcomes in these children. However, this systematic review consisted mostly of nonrandomized studies and reported on crude neurodevelopmental outcomes.⁶ Therefore, although ACS therapy appears safe and effective, current clinical data cannot define the precise effect of ACS therapy on future neurodevelopment. Long-term effects of ACS therapy have recently been described in a longitudinal study suggesting that ACS therapy yields persistent changes in hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis reactivity into late adolescence and may confer increased vulnerability for developing stress-related disorders.⁷

In view of the unclear long-term outcomes in clinical studies and the widespread use of ACSs, it is reasonable to reflect on animal studies to guide future research. Despite a number of preclinical studies investigating the neurocognitive effect of ACSs, the majority have reported only on direct or short-term effects.^{8,9} In addition, the effects being investigated are not standardized or consistent between studies. To date, there has been no systematic review summarizing the long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes after ACS therapy in preclinical models.

METHODS

Protocol and registration

This systematic review was performed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Metaanalyses guidance.¹⁰ The protocol was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROS-PERO) (CRD42019119663).

Correspondence: Johannes L. van der Merwe (hannes.vandermerwe@uzleuven.be)

Received: 9 July 2019 Revised: 12 November 2019 Accepted: 23 November 2019 Published online: 10 December 2019

¹Department of Development and Regeneration, Cluster Woman and Child, Faculty of Medicine, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Fetal Medicine Unit, UZ Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ³Institute for Women's Health, University College London, London, UK and ⁴Department of Pediatrics, Division Woman and Child, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Literature search strategy

A literature search was conducted in PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Scopus, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library. The electronic search strategy included both Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) and keywords (Supplementary Information 1). Reference lists and topic-related reviews were checked manually to identify further relevant papers. Zotero 5.0 (George Mason University, VA, USA) was used to coordinate study screening and data collection.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All studies reporting on the use of ACSs in animals were considered eligible. No date or language restrictions were applied. Systematic reviews, narrative review articles, and editorials were excluded. Studies were excluded if corticosteroids were administered postnatally and if no long-term or neurological outcomes were reported in the offspring. For the purposes of this study, a postnatal age of \geq 7 days was considered as long term. This empiric cut-off was introduced since no interspecies long-term definition exist, and we wanted to exclude studies that reported on the acute effects of ACS. Neurological outcomes were defined as any neuropathological, neurobehavioral, or neuroimaging (i.e., computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)) results.

Study selection

J.L.v.d.M. and A.S. independently screened titles and abstracts and thereafter performed a full-text review of all studies. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. A low threshold for full-text retrieval and review was used.

Data extraction

J.L.v.d.M. and A.S. independently extracted data and entered this into a standardized Excel (Microsoft Corp, Seattle, Washington, USA) form (Supplementary Information 2). Disagreements were resolved by consensus. Information noted included study design, animal species, number of animals, and gestation for that model. Treatment data recorded included type of corticosteroid, route of administration, number of doses, and gestational age of treatment. Treatment regimens were grouped into those administering a "single course" of corticosteroids, i.e., a single or two doses given within 48 h of each other and those administering "multiple courses" of corticosteroids, i.e., repeated doses given over >2 days. The dose of corticosteroids given was noted and converted into mg/kg based on information provided in the study, if not already given as such. This was then multiplied by the number of doses given in order to give a total administered dose in mg/kg. The clinical recommended intramuscularly dose for betamethasone (BM) is 24 mg in two divided doses 24 hours apart and dexamethasone (DM) 24 mg in four divided doses 12 hours apart.¹¹ Hence the calculated average human total dose is 0.4 mg/kg (for the average female weight of 60-80 kg) and based upon body surface area an equivalent dose in animals also comes to 0.4 mg/kg.¹² We therefore grouped the total study dosing regimens into one of the following: < 0.2 mg/kg, 0.2–0.4 mg/kg (clinical equivalent dosing), 0.41–1.0 mg/kg, and >1.0 mg/kg. Outcome data recorded included age of animals at assessment, neuropathology parameters, neurobehavioral and neuroimaging outcomes, as well as the overall effect of ACS in that study.

Risk of bias

Risk of bias was independently assessed by J.L.v.d.M. and A.S. using the Systematic Review Centre for Laboratory Animal Experimentation's tool for animal interventional studies.¹³ Study quality was noted on a standardized Excel form. Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

Data synthesis and statistical methods

Meta-analysis and comparative statistics were not planned as it was anticipated that the data would be difficult to collate or

compare. Therefore, heterogeneity between studies was not calculated and narrative results and descriptive statistics were produced.

RESULTS

Study selection

The electronic search identified 575 studies published until October 2018 (Fig. 1); hand-searching of reference lists identified a further 94 studies. Following removal of duplicate studies (273), 396 studies were screened by title and abstract and a further 286 were excluded as irrelevant. Full-text review of the remaining 110 studies was conducted, and 46 were excluded. The main reasons for study exclusion at any stage were: no ACSs given (56.6%, 188/332); no long-term outcomes (16.0%, 53/332); reviews and editorials (14.8%, 49/332); and no neuropathological, neurobehavioral, or neuroimaging outcomes (9.6%, 32/332). After exclusions, 64 studies were included for systematic review.

Study characteristics

Characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. The majority of studies were in the rat (70.3%, 45/64); other animal models included the mouse (14.1%, 9/64), non-human primates (NHPs; 6.25%, 4/64) the sheep (4.7%, 3/64), and the guinea pig (4.7%, 3/64). Most studies (93.8%, 60/64) compared corticosteroids to a non-active control (e.g., saline), although 4 studies (6.3%) compared corticosteroids to no treatment. All included studies evaluated animals born at term gestations.

Risk of bias

Risk of bias of the included studies is shown in Fig. 2. Most studies had a high risk of bias due to the lack of random sequence generation (65.7%, 42/64), allocation concealment performed (75%, 48/64), and blinding of caregivers (10.9%, 7/64) or assessors (29.7%, 19/64).

ACS treatment

Details of ACS treatment is shown in Table 2. Overall, DM was the most commonly studied corticosteroid (81.3%, 52/64); BM was used in 17.2% of studies (11/64) and both corticosteroids were used in one mouse study. Two thirds of studies administered multiple courses of corticosteroids (67.2%, 43/64) with the total administered dose varying from 0.1 to 70 mg/kg. Eighteen studies (28.1%) administered a total dose of corticosteroids which was equivalent to that used in humans (0.2–0.4 mg/kg) while the majority of studies (76.6%, 49/64) administered a total dose >0.4 mg/kg. In two studies (3.1%, 2/64), the effects of a brief low-dosage ACS exposure was also explored.

Growth-body weight

There was no routine reporting on the general health of the animals at the time of assessment or harvesting, though 50.0% (32/64) of the studies did report on the body weight at the time of the last assessment. Only one study reported an increased weight at the time of harvesting, a study of NHP using a multiple-day high DM dose.¹⁴ While 16 reported a decrease in body weight in those exposed to ACSs, herein DM was used in $13/16^{15-27}$ and BM only in $3/16.^{28-30}$ Furthermore, only 10.9% (7/64) reported on the brain weight or volume at the time of harvesting wherein 57.1% (4/7) reported a decrease of brain weight after the exposure of ACS.^{17,30-32}

Outcome assessment

Neuropathology was the commonest outcome reported, either alone (28.1%, 18/64) or in combination with neurobehavioral assessment (42.2%, 27/64) or neuroimaging (3.1%, 2/64). Neurobehavioral assessment alone was assessed in 25.0% of studies (16/64), and one study (1.6%) assessed all three outcomes

Long-term neuropathological and/or neurobehavioral effects of antenatal... JL.van der Merwe et al.



Fig. 1 Flow diagram of the study selection adapted from PRISMA 2010.¹⁰

(Fig. 3). The average age at final assessment was 157 days (range 10–1800 days).

Neuropathological assessments performed

In the 48 studies that reported on a neuropathological outcomes, DM was most commonly used (79.2%, 38/48). In addition, in a third (35.4%, 17/48) of the neuropathological outcome studies, ACS was used at a clinical equivalent dose while the majority of studies (60.4%, 29/48) used an accumulative dose >0.4 mg/kg. The commonest neuropathological outcomes reported was glucocorticoid receptor (GR) quantification (29.2%, 14/48), neuron density (16.7%, 8/48), or a form of dendritic assessment (16.7%, 8/48). The complete breakdown of reported neuropathological outcomes are displayed in Table 3.

Neurobehavioral assessments performed

Neurobehavioral assessments were reported in 44 studies in only 3 of the species. As noted above, most studies reported on the effects of DM and used almost exclusively rat and mice species. Neurobehavioral outcomes assessed are summarized in Table 4.

Neuroimaging assessments performed

In one study, CT imaging was used to quantify total brain volumes in rats.³³ Herein antenatal DM did not lead to any difference in brain volumes at 3 months of ages. A further two studies utilized MRI to quantify the hippocampal volumes and T2-signal intensities in the rat³⁴ and NHP.³⁵ In both of these studies, antenatal DM at a dose of 0.80 and 10 mg/kg, respectively, resulted in lower hippocampal volumes.

DISCUSSION

To date, there has been no systematic review summarizing the long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes after ACS therapy in preclinical models. From this review, intrauterine exposure to synthetic GCs led consistently to deleterious long-term neurocognitive effects. These outcomes may be due to the specific agents, i.e., DM, or the repetitive or higher total dosing used. ACS administration varied significantly between studies and most studies suffered from a high risk of bias. Neuropathological outcomes were most commonly reported, specifically the expression of GR, while reduced neurobehavioral functioning was reported in mainly rodent species.

Synthetic GCs are agonists of the GR and predominantly act via genomic effects mediated by the GR, a nuclear transcription factor. Because of its marked GR expression, the fetal lung is one of the primary targets of synthetic GCs administered to expedite fetal development. The effects of ACSs on the fetal and neonatal lung have been reviewed elsewhere,⁵ but their impact on other organ systems with high GR expression including the brain and kidney have mostly been assessed in short-term outcomes.⁹ In this review, the commonest long-term neuropathological outcome reported on was the expression of GR in mostly the hippocampus and hypothalamus. Herein, both BM and DM in small and large animal models mainly induced an upregulation of GRs,² although four of these studies used multiple DM dosing. However, in two studies a downregulation of GR was noted although in these an oral multiple day DM dosing was used.^{16,40} The ultimate effect of this dysregulation on the GR can have a significant inhibitory downstream effect on the developing fetal brain and HPA axis, leading to profound programming influences on the nervous system and henceforth an increase in the risk for emotional and cognitive impairments.⁴¹ The possible mechanisms involved are depicted in Fig. 4.

GCs are critical for normal brain development, exerting direct effects on neuronal growth, cell to cell interactions, and neuronal reorganization.⁴² The mechanisms regulating the maturational effects of GCs on various fetal organs are complex. However, exposure of the developing brain to inappropriate levels of GCs at critical developmental time windows can modify both the

5	the includ	ed studies.									
ACS type ACS schedul	ACS schedul	a	GA of ACS exposure ^a (days)	Total dose (mg/kg)	Route	Control used	Assessment age (days)	Body weight affect	Neuropathological outcomes	Neuroimaging outcomes	Neurobehavioral outcomes
DM Multida	Multida	۲.	1-delivery	0.85	Mat PO	Yes	60	↑	No	No	Yes
DM Multida	Multida	۷۴ ا	132–133	10.0	Mat IM	Yes	270	I	Yes	Yes	No
DM Multid	Multid	ay	14–21	0.80	Mat SC	No	90	\rightarrow	No	No	Yes
DM Multid	Multid	ay	17–19	0.15	Mat SC	Yes	98	Ť	Yes	No	Yes
BM/DM Single	Single		14	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	135		No	No	Yes
DM Multid	Multid	ay	15-delivery	1.89	Mat PO	Yes	75	\rightarrow	Yes	No	Yes
BM Multid	Multid	ay	13–16	8.00	Mat SC	Yes	120	I	No	No	Yes
DM Multid	Multid	ay	1-delivery	0.70	Mat SC	Yes	240	\rightarrow	Yes	No	Yes
DM Multid	Multid	ay	26–28	0.78	Mat IV	No	1800		Yes	No	No
DM Multid	Multid	ay	40–41, 50–51, 60–61	6.00	Mat SC	Yes	150	Ť	Yes	No	No
DM Multid	Multid	ay	15–19	0.50	Mat SC	Yes	18	¢	No	No	Yes
DM Multid	Multid	ay	14–21	0.80	Mat SC	Yes	180	\rightarrow	No	No	Yes
DM Multid	Multid	ay	16–19	0.10	Mat PO	Yes	68	1	Yes	No	No
BM Two d	Two d	oses	20	0.34	Mat SC	Yes	21	1	Yes	No	No
BM Two d	Two d	loses	20-21	0.34	Mat SC	Yes	150	\rightarrow	Yes	No	Yes
DM Multic	Multic	lay	15–21	0.70	Mat PO	Yes	135	\rightarrow	No	No	Yes
DM Two	Two (doses	13, 19	2.00	Mat IV	No	10	Ι	Yes	No	No
DM Two	Two (doses	18–19	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	1260	\rightarrow	No	No	Yes
DM Multi	Multi	day	14–19	0.78	Mat SC	Yes	21	\rightarrow	Yes	No	No
BM Two e	Two o	doses	15	0.80	Mat IP	Yes	20	\rightarrow	Yes	No	Yes
DM Multi	Multi	day	42-48, 90-96	70.0	Mat PO	Yes	84	←	No	No	Yes
DM Two	Two	doses	18–19	0.20	Mat SC	Yes	21		Yes	No	No
DM Multi	Multi	day	16–19	0.30	Mat PO	Yes	68		Yes	No	No
BM Multi	Multi	day	40–41, 50–51, 60–61	6.00	Mat SC	Yes	10	I	Yes	No	No
BM Multio	Multio	day	40–41, 50–51, 60–61	5.00	Mat SC	Yes	21	Ť	Yes	No	No
DM Multi	Multi	day	42-48, 90-96	35.0	Mat PO	Yes	360	ſ	No	No	Yes
DM Multic	Multio	day	14-delivery	0.70	Mat IP	Yes	180	¢	Yes	No	Yes
DM Multio	Multio	day	16–21	0.25	Mat SC	Yes	70	\rightarrow	Yes	No	Yes
DM Singl	Singl	a	15, 5	0.40	Mat IP	Yes	180	¢	Yes	No	Yes
BM Single multi	Single multi	e/ day	104, 111, 118, 125	0.50	Mat IM Fet IM	Yes	123	Ι	Yes	No	No
DM Mult	Mult	iday	15-delivery	0.70	Mat PO	Yes	300	\rightarrow	No	No	Yes
DM Mult	Mult	iday	42-48, 90-96	35.0	Mat PO	Yes	600		Yes	No	No
DM Multi	Multi	day	6–8	6.00	Mat IP	Yes	29	¢	No	No	Yes
DM Multi	Multi	day	14–21	0.70	Mat SC	Yes	06		Yes	No	Yes
DM Multic	Multic	łay	14-21	1.60	Mat SC	Yes	210		Yes	No	Yes

Table 1 conti	inued											
Publication	Species	ACS type	ACS schedule	GA of ACS exposure ^a (days)	Total dose (mg/kg)	Route	Control used	Assessment age (days)	Body weight affect	Neuropathological outcomes	Neuroimaging outcomes	Neurobehavioral outcomes
Oliveira ⁵⁶	Rat	DM	Two doses	18–19	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	90	1	Yes	No	Yes
Roque ⁹³	Rat	DM	Two doses	18–19	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	90	¢	No	No	Yes
Li ³⁹	Sheep	DM	Multiday	40-42	0.42	Mat IM	Yes	210	I	Yes	No	No
Liu ²⁴	Rat	DM	Multiday	14–21	1.04	Mat SC	Yes	63	\rightarrow	No	No	Yes
Nagano ⁶²	Rat	DM	Multiday	16–21	0.30	Mat SC	Yes	70	I	Yes	No	Yes
Oliveira ⁹⁴	Rat	DM	Two doses	18–19	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	90	I	Yes	No	Yes
Rodrigues ⁵⁷	Rat	DM	Two doses	18–19	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	120	I	Yes	No	Yes
Zuloaga ⁹⁵	Rat	DM	Multiday	18–22	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	60	I	Yes	No	No
Borges ⁵⁸	Rat	DM	Two doses	18–19	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	98	Ι	No	No	Yes
Bustamante ³⁰	Rat	BM	Two doses	20	0.34	Mat SC	Yes	52	→	Yes	No	Yes
lwasa ²⁵	Rat	DM	Multiday	13-delivery	1.35	Mat PO	Yes	28	\rightarrow	Yes	No	No
Noorlander ⁴⁹	Mouse	DM	Single	15.5	0.40	Mat IP	Yes	180	¢	Yes	No	No
Pascual ³¹	Rat	BM	Two doses	20	0.34	Mat SC	Yes	52	Ι	Yes	No	Yes
Virdee ⁹⁶	Rat	DM	Multiday	16–19	0.20	Mat PO	Yes	90	Ι	Yes	No	Yes
Frahm ⁹⁷	Mouse	DM	Multiday	11-17	0.70	Mat SC	Yes	52	Ι	Yes	No	No
Lui ³⁴	Rat	DM	Multiday	14-delivery	0.80	Mat IP	Yes	120	¢	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pascual ⁷³	Rat	BM	Two doses	20	0.34	Mat SC	Yes	82	1	Yes	No	Yes
Shende ³³	Rat	DM	Multiday	16–19	0.30	Mat PO	Yes	68	1	Yes	Yes	No
Zeng ⁹⁸	Rat	DM	Multiday	15–21	0.70	Mat SC	Yes	100	1	No	No	Yes
Hiroi ⁵⁹	Rat	DM	Multiday	18–22	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	60		Yes	No	Yes
McArthur ⁵³	Mouse	DM	Multiday	16–19	1.30	Mat PO	Yes	67	I	Yes	No	No
Pascual ⁵⁰	Rat	BM	Two doses	20	0.34	Mat SC	Yes	52	¢	Yes	No	Yes
Virdee ⁹⁹	Rat	DM	Multiday	16–19	0.30	Mat PO	Yes	06	I	Yes	No	Yes
Caetano ⁶⁴	Rat	DM	Two doses	18–19	2.00	Mat SC	Yes	06	¢	Yes	No	Yes
Conti ¹⁰⁰	Mouse	DM	Multiday	14-delivery	0.25	Mat SC	Yes	360	I	Yes	No	Yes
Tsiarli ³²	Mouse	DM	Single	14.5	0.40	Mat IP	Yes	60	1	Yes	No	Yes
Dong ²⁶	Rat	DM	Multiday	9–20	2.40	Mat SC	No	182	→	Yes	No	Yes
Frahm ⁶⁵	Mouse	DM	Multiday	11-17	0.70	Mat SC	Yes	75	1	Yes	No	Yes
Liu ²⁷	Rat	DM	Multiday	14–21	1.04	Mat SC	Yes	28	\rightarrow	Yes	No	Yes
The average ge significant diffe <i>BM</i> betametha: ^a Gestational ag	estation per erence →. sone, <i>DM</i> d¢ je timepoin	iod was in mi examethason ts when ACS	ice 19–20 day: e, <i>NHP</i> non-hu was administ	s, rat 22–23 days, guii uman primate, <i>Mat</i> m ered to the animals	nea pig 65 aternal, <i>Fe</i>	i-70 days, sh et fetal, SC su	eep 145–152 da Ibcutaneous, <i>PC</i>	ys, and non-hur per os, <i>IP</i> intral	nan primates 14 oeritoneal, <i>IM</i> int	4–166 days. Effect indic ramuscular, // intraven	ated as increased	, decreased \downarrow , or no

Long-term neuropathological and/or neurobehavioral effects of antenatal... JL.van der Merwe et al.

Long-term neuropathological and/or neurobehavioral effects of antenatal... JL.van der Merwe et al.

1162





		Mouse, $n = 9$	Rat, <i>n</i> = 45	Guinea pig, $n = 3$	Sheep, <i>n</i> = 3	NHP, <i>n</i> = 4
Corticosteroid used, n (%)	BM	1 (11.1)	7 (15.6)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	_
	DM	7 (77.8)	38 (84.4)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	4 (100)
	Both	1 (11.1)	_	_	_	_
Single course, n (%)		4 (44.4)	16 (35.6)	_	1 (33.3)	_
Total dose (mg/kg), median (IQR)		0.70 (0.4–1.3)	0.78 (0.34–2.0)	6.00 (5.00-6.00)	0.50 (0.42–0.78)	35.00 (22.5–52.5)
Total dose (mg/kg), number of studies	<0,2	_	—/2	_	_	_
per steroid type BM/DM	0.2-0.4	—/4	7/7	_	_	_
	0.41-1.0	—/2	1/14	_	2/2	_
	>1.0	1/2	0/18	2/1	_	—/4
Route, <i>n</i> (%)	SC	5 (55.6)	30 (66.7)	3 (100)	_	_
	PO	1 (11.1)	10 (22.2)	_	_	3 (75)
	IP	3 (5.9)	4 (8.9)	_	_	_
	IM	_	_	_	2 (66.7)	1 (25)
	IV	_	1 (2.2)	_	1 (33.3)	_
Control group used, n (%)		9 (100)	42 (93.3)	3 (100)	2 (66.7)	4 (100)
Oldest outcome age (days)		120 (67–180)	82 (52–100)	21 (10–150)	210 (122–1800)	315 (177–480)

NHP non-human primate, BM betamethasone, DM dexamethasone, SC subcutaneous, PO per os, IP intraperitoneal, IM intramuscular, IV intravenous



Fig. 3 Venn diagram: break down of studies by reported outcome categories.

structure and function of neuronal cells. The majority of studies used multiple or repetitive doses over multiple days, consequently in most studies there were a high total dose exposure of \geq 0.4 mg/kg. Previously in small animal studies, ACS was associated with delayed growth of the whole body and brain,

as well as altered behavior studies at birth.^{43,44} From this review, there was an inconsistent long-term impact on brain and/or body weight and size. In those studies that used a total dose of \leq 0.4 mg/kg, ACS exposure was *not* associated with a reduction of long-term body or brain weight.^{45–50} However, in one study a single course of BM exposure led to a significant reduction in both body and brain weights.³⁰ In sheep, fetal exposure to repeated doses of maternal BM results in significant reductions in fetal brain weight that persist until 3 years of age.⁵¹

Gross changes in brain growth are the result of specific alterations in neuronal development and cell death. It has previously been noted that the cellular proliferation in the brain of neonatal rats is acutely decreased by BM treatments and reductions in brain weight persist until at least 3 weeks of postnatal age.⁴⁷ As with prenatal stress exposure, ACS can also influence fetal brain development by changing neuronal migration, synaptic plasticity, and neurotransmitter activity.⁵² In this review, some studies observed altered neuronal states that lead to persistent lower neuron densities especially in the hippocampus^{26,35} with ongoing amplified apoptosis⁵³ and decreased

Marchecontrol and the placeonized response is a factor -1 is a	Neuropathological outcome	Reported studies per species (n)	Corticosteroid	Total dose (mg/kg)	Effect per outcome assessed and region of interest
particulation (n = 14) behaltmin beh	Mineralocorticoid and/or glucocorticoid receptor	Rat (<i>n</i> = 7)			
Westerg?DM0.75Git and MP - Hippocarpor 1. Jugotish 1Singlish 2000Singlish 2000Git and MP - Hippocarpor 1. Jugotish 2000Singlish 2000Singlish 2000Housint?DM0.70Git - Arearenticular nockes 16Housint?DM0.70Git - Arearenticular nockes 16Housint?DM0.70Git and MP - Hippocarpora 16Housint?DM0.00Git and MP - Hippocarpora 16Housint?DM0.70Git and MP - Hippocarpora 16Housint?DM0.70MM - Hippocarpora 16Housint?DM <td< td=""><td>(n = 14)</td><td>Brabham¹⁶</td><td>DM</td><td>1.89</td><td>GR^a—Hippocampus↓</td></td<>	(n = 14)	Brabham ¹⁶	DM	1.89	GR ^a —Hippocampus↓
Sheener1DMD.78GR and MM - Apportangu 1, hypothalams NS Magand ²² Hasain ²⁴ DM0.23GP ² Anyadia 1, hippocampa 1, NS, hypothalams NS Ng and ²² Dorg1DM2.40GP ² Anyadia 1, hippocampa 1, SS, hypothalams NS Statema pla 0, - 31Calans pla 0, - 31DM2.40GP ² Anyadia 1, GP-Hippocampa 1, S 		Welberg ¹⁷	DM	0.70	GR and MR ^a —Hippocampus \downarrow , amygdala \uparrow
HousaningDM0.70CPI-Parentification (no. 5)CPI-Parentification (no. 5)CPI-Parentification (no. 5)CPI-Parentification (no. 5)CPI -Parentification (no. 5) <td></td> <td>Shoener²¹</td> <td>DM</td> <td>0.78</td> <td>GR and MR^a—Hippocampus ↓, hypothalamus NS</td>		Shoener ²¹	DM	0.78	GR and MR ^a —Hippocampus ↓, hypothalamus NS
NameDM0.25CB***-Amyoda 1.5Spectral Data 1.5NameDM2.40CB***-Amyoda 1.5CB***Barjanis **DM6.00R***-Hapocampus 1.5Barjanis **DM6.00CB and NM**-Hapocampus 1.5Overs ***DM6.00CB and NM**-Hapocampus 1.5Deriv ***DM6.00CB and NM**-Hapocampus 1.5Deriv ***DM0.00CB***Dada ***DM0.00CB***Statistan ***DM0.01CB***Statistan ***DM0.01CB***Statistan ***DM0.01CB***Statistan ***DM0.01CB***Statistan ***DM0.01CB***Statistan ***DM0.01CB***Statistan ***DM0.01CB***Statistan ***DM0.01CB***Statistan ***DM0.01N****Statistan ***DM0.01N****Statistan ***DM0.01N*****Statistan ***DM0.01N******Statistan ***DM0.01N************************************		Hossain ⁸⁹	DM	0.70	GR ^a —Paraventricular nucleus NS
Nom** DM 1.00 GR and MR*Insponsaries KS. Majordialismus KS. Section 2015 Outres pay (n = 3) BM 6.00 GR and MR*Insponsaries KS. Majordialismus KS. Section 2015 Section 2016 BM 6.00 GR and MR*Insponsaries KS. Majordialismus KS. Section 2015 Section 2016 DM 0.20 GR and MR*Insponsaries KS. Majordialismus KS. Section 2015 Section 2016 DM 0.20 GR and MR*Insponsaries KS. Majordialismus KS. Section 2015 Section 2016 DM 0.20 GR and MR*Insponsaries KS. Majordialismus KS. Section 2015 Section 2016 DM 0.20 GR and MR*Insponsaries KS. Majordialismus KS. Section 2015 Section 2016 DM 0.20 Near/Mr*Proteinalismus KS. MR*Insponsaries KS. Majordial KS. nucleus 2016 Net (r = 1) DM 0.00 Maid*-Proteinalismus KA. Majordial KS. nucleus 2016 Maid (r = 1) DM 0.00 Maid*-Proteinalismus KA. Majordial KS. nucleus 2017 Maid (r = 1) DM 0.00 Maid*-Insponsaries CM. Majordial KS. nucleus 2018 Maid (r = 1) DM 0.00 Maid*-Insponsaries CM. Majordial KS. nucleus 2018 Maid (r = 1)		Nagano ²²	DM	0.25	GR ^{a,b} —Amygdala ↓, hippocampus NS, hypothalamus NS
pong ⁴ DM 2.40 Q ⁴¹ —Hippocampus 1 Guides (p = 3) DM 6.00 GR and M ⁴¹ —Hippocampus NS Setterin ¹¹ DM 6.00 GR and M ⁴¹ —Hippocampus NS Dode D O GR and M ⁴¹ —Hippocampus NS Setterin ¹² DM 5.00 GR and M ⁴¹ —Hippocampus NS Setterin ¹² DM 0.78 GR and M ⁴¹ —Hippocampus NS Setterin ¹² DM 0.78 GR and M ⁴¹ —Hippocampus NS Setterin ¹² DM 0.78 GR and M ⁴¹ —Hippocampus NS NP or 11 DM 0.42 GR and M ⁴¹ —Hippocampus NS NP or 11 DM 0.42 GR and M ⁴¹ —Hippocampus NS Note (n = 3) DM 0.30 Hited—Parametricular and ref Note (n = 3) DM 0.40 Ntd—Parametricular and ref Note (n = 3) DM 0.40 Ntd—Parametricular and ref Note (n = 3) DM 0.40 Ntd—Hippocampus I Note (n = 3) DM 0.40 Ntd—Hippocampus IS Note (n = 1) Note		Kiaer ⁹²	DM	1.60	GR and MR ^a —Hippocampus NS
$ \begin{aligned} & \text{draws pig } (n-3) & \text{draws pig } ($		Dong ²⁶	DM	2 40	GB ^a —Hippocampus ↑
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Guinea pig $(n-3)$			
Overs ¹¹ DN CB		Banianin ³⁷	DM	6.00	MB ^a —Hinnocampus A: GB—Hinnocampus NS
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Owon ⁸⁷	PM	6.00	GP and MP ^a Hippocampus NS hypothalamus NS
$\begin{aligned} & Seture is the probability of the seture is the probability of the seture is th$		Cationa a B	DM	5.00	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Setiawan	BIVI	5.00	GR and MR — Hippocampus T (remaies)
Dotk: Dotk 0.78 Git and MR—Hippocampus K, MP—Hippocampus I, Mippocampus I, Mippoca		Sheep $(n = 3)$			
Sobola ⁿ BM 0.50 GP -Happocampus IS MP		Dodic ³⁰	DM	0.78	GR and MR ^a —Hippocampus NS, hypothalamus NS
Unit or partification $(n-4)$ Unit of $N=1$ Set		Sloboda ³⁸	BM	0.50	GR ^a —Hippocampus NS; MR ^a —Hippocampus ↑
NHP (p = 1)DiscDiscRaf (n = 4)Not (n = 8)Raf (n = 4)Hat (n = 4)Natal - Paraventirclair zone 1Hossinit ¹⁰ DM2.00Nist - Paraventirclair zone 1Hossinit ¹⁰ DM2.00Nist - Paraventirclair zone 1Hossinit ¹⁰ DM2.00Nist - Hippocampus IHouse (n = 3)Nist - Hippocampus NSHouse (n = 3)Nist - Hippocampus CAHouse (n = 7)Nist - Hippocampus IHouse (n = 1)Nist - Hippocampus IHouse (n = 1)Nist - Hippocampus I, forstal cortex 1Hat (n = 0)Nist - Hippocampus I, forstal cortex 1Hat (n = 0)Nist - Hippocampus I, forstal cortex 1Hat (n = 0)Nist - Hippocampus I, forstal cortex 1Hat (n = 0)Nist - Hippocampus I, forstal cortex 1Hat (n = 0)Nist - Hippocampus I, forstal cortex 1Hat (n = 0)Nist - Hippocampus I, forstal cortex 1Hat (n = 0)Nist - Hippocampus I, forstal cortex 1Hat (n = 0)Nist - Hippocampus I, forstal cortex 1Hotorigues ²¹ DM2.00Colg(cort - Cortex Him NS, Nerreis NSHotorigues ²¹ DM2.01Colg(cort - Cortex Him NS, Nerreis NSHotorigues ²¹ DM2.02Colg(cort - Hotorigues NS, Nerreis NSHotorigues ²¹ DM2.04Mist - Hippocampus NSHotorigues ²¹ DM2.04Mist - Hippocampus NSHotorigues ²¹ DM2.04Colg (cortex - Hoppocampus NSHotorigues ²¹ DM2.04Colg (cortex -		Li ³⁹	DM	0.42	GR and MR ^a —Hypothalamus \uparrow , Hippocampus \uparrow (males)
Disk**DM35GR AttMRefrontal cortex NSurun density or quantification (n = 8)Kic n = 42.02Nut		NHP (n = 1)			
numberRet (m-4)HorsshiftDM2.00Nisla-Paraventicular zone 1HorsshiftDM0.30Hernatorifs-Paraventicular nucleus NSShendellDM0.30Hernatorifs-Paraventicular nucleus NSShendellDM0.30Hernatorifs-Paraventicular nucleus NSShendellDM0.40Nisla-Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS, nucleus nucleus NSNoolindattDM0.40Nisla-Hippocampus CA1, hippocampus NSNoolindattDM0.40Nisla-Hippocampus CA1, hippocampus OG INoolindattDM0.40Nisla-Hippocampus CA1, hippocampus OG INoolindattDM0.40Nisla-Hippocampus OG INoolindattDM0.40Nisla-Hippocampus OG IMarce InterHippocampus OG INisla-Hippocampus OG INoolindattDM0.40Nisla-Hippocampus NS, NAP2-Hippocampus OG INoolindattDM0.40Sinterchipocampus NS, NAP2-Hippocampus OG INoolindattDM0.40Colpic/Ca-Totice IPacaulitBM0.41Colpic/Ca-Totice IPacaulitBM0.41Colpic/Ca-Totice IPacaulitBM0.41Colpic/Ca-Totice IPacaulitBM0.41Colpic/Ca-Totice IPacaulitBM0.41Colpic/Ca-Totice IPacaulitBM0.41Colpic/Ca-Totice IPacaulitBM0.41Colpic/Ca-Totice IPacaulitBM0.41Colpic/Ca-Totice IPacaulitBM0.41Colpic/		Diaz ⁴⁰	DM	35	GR and MR ^a —Prefrontal cortex NS
Konbeväll*DM2.00NutAP-Arsentricular zone †NosimaDM0.30Nator-Parsentricular zone †Shende**DM0.30Handorff, Santaka KS, nucleus accombers NS.Noorinde**DM0.40Nator-Parsentricular zone 1, santaka KS, nucleus accombers NS.Noorinde***DM0.40Nator-Parsentricular zone †, santaka KS, nucleus accombers NS.Noorinde***DM0.40Nator-Parsentricular zone †, santaka KS, nucleus accombers NS.Noorinde***DM0.40Nator-Parsentricular zone †, santaka KS, nucleus accombers NS.Noorinde****DM0.40Nator-Parsentricular zone †, santaka KS, nucleus accombers NS.Noorinde*****DM0.40Nator-Parsentricular zone †, santaka KS, nucleus accomber NS.Noorinde************************************	euron density or quantification ($n = 8$)	Rat (n = 4)			
Hossis ⁶ DM 0.70 Next—Parametricular nucleux NS Sende ¹³ DM 0.30 Hematorylogi-Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS, nucleur accumbaris NS Dong ⁴⁶ DM 2.40 NissI—Hippocampus AS Amygdala NS, nucleur accumbaris NS Noors Indeef ⁴⁶ DM 0.40 NissI—Hippocampus CA 1, hippocampus CA 1, hippocampus CA 1, hippocampus CA 1, hippocampus DC 1 More (n = 3) Uno ⁴⁵ DM 0.40 NissI—Hippocampus DC 1 More (n = 3) Hip (n = 1) Uno ⁴⁵ DM 2.00 Golgi-Cott—Nicker Science Sc		Korzhevskii ⁵⁴	DM	2.00	Nissl—Paraventricular zone ↑
$\begin{tabular}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $		Hossain ⁸⁹	DM	0.70	NeuN ^c —Paraventricular pucleus NS
Dong ² DM 2.40 Nisd—Hippocampus i Moare (n = 3)		Shende ³³	DM	0.30	Hematoxylin—Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS, nucleus accumbens NS
Mouse (n = 3) Nootander ⁴⁰ DM 0.40 Nisd-Hippocampus CA 1, hippocampus CA 1, hippo		Dong ²⁶	DM	2.40	Nissl—Hippocampus ↓
Nootander**DM0.40Nisd-Hippocampus NSNootander**DM0.4Nisd-Hippocampus NSNootander**DM0.25NeuH-Hippocampus CA 1; hippocampus SK 2; MCP - Hippocampus KS; MAPZ - Hippocampus CA 1; hippocam		Mouse $(n = 3)$			
$ \begin{array}{cccc} Noodinder^{M} & DM & O4 & NislHippocampus CA 1, hippocampus \mathsf$		Noorlander ⁴⁸	DM	0.40	Nissl—Hippocampus NS
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c } Cont^{100} & DM & 0.25 & NeAV^{Hippocampus DG i} \\ NHP (n = 1) & \\ Una^{3} & DM & 10 & Nisd-Hippocampus DG i \\ Nisd-Hippocampus J, frontal cortex i \\ Buschettin24 & DM & 0.44 & Synaptophysin4-Hippocampus NS; MAP24-Hippocampus OD i \\ Buschettin24 & DM & 2.00 & Golgi Cox4-Stria terminalis 1; anygdal 1 & Golgi Cox4-Stria terminalis 1; anggla 2 & Golgi Cox4-Stria$		Noorlander ⁴⁹	DM	0.4	Nissl—Hippocampus CA ↑ hippocampus dentate gyrus NS
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \end{tabular} begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \end{tabular} begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Dendrite or Golgi quantification (<i>n</i> = 9)	Conti ¹⁰⁰	DM	0.25	
	Dendrite or Golgi quantification ($n = 9$)		DIM	0.25	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Dendrite or Golgi quantification ($n = 9$)	NHP $(n = 1)$	514	10	
	Dendrite or Golgi quantification ($n = 9$)	Uno	DM	10	Nissi—Hippocampus \downarrow , frontal cortex \downarrow
biliferation assessment $(n = 6)$ Harden ($n = 4$) Hording a grant ($n = 1$) trocyte or microglia quantification $(n = 4$) Harden ($n = 1$) Harden (n	Dendrite or Golgi quantification ($n = 9$)	Rat $(n=8)$			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dendrite or Golgi quantification (n = 9)	Bruschettini ²⁸	BM	0.34	Synaptophysin ^c —Hippocampus NS; MAP2 ^c —Hippocampus
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		Oliveira ⁹⁴	DM	2.00	Golgi-Cox ^c —Stria terminalis ↑; amygdala ↓
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		Rodrigues ⁵⁷	DM	2.00	Golgi-Cox ^c —Nucleus accumbens ↓
Pascual ¹¹ BM0.34Golgi-Cox ⁶ —Cerebellum, vernis 1 Pascual ⁶⁰ Pascual ⁶⁰ BM0.34MAP2'—N.D.1 dendrite—cerebellum NS Pascual ⁶⁰ Pascual ⁶⁰ DM2.40Syn I ⁸ —Hippocampus NSDong ⁷⁶ DM2.40Syn I ⁸ —Hippocampus DG 1Contl ¹⁰⁰ DM0.25GFP ⁶ —Hippocampus SVZEdaö ⁵⁵ DM0.34 ³ H-Thy—1 Hippocampus SVZLeão ⁵⁵ DM2.00PCNA ⁶ —Paraventricular zone 1Dong ⁷⁶ DM2.00PCNA ⁶ —Paraventricular zone 1Mouse (n = 2)Norderle ⁶⁸ DM2.40Krozhevskij ⁷⁴ DM2.00PCNA ⁶ —Hippocampus SVZNordander ⁶⁹ DM0.40Kf67 ⁶ —Hippocampus DG 1Nordander ⁶⁹ DM0.40Kf67 ⁶ —Hippocampus JNordander ⁶⁹ DM0.40Kf67 ⁶ —Hippocampus SD G 1Nordander ⁶⁹ DM0.40Kf67 ⁶ —Hippocampus NS, anygdala NS (1 processes)Caetano ⁶⁴ DM0.30GFAP ⁶ —Hippocampus NS, anygdala NS (1 processes)Coptosis assessment (n = 3)Rat (n = 1)IPand ⁶⁵ DM0.70GFAP ⁶ —Paraventricular nucleus 1 females † malesCoptosis assessment (n = 3)Rat (n = 1)IPand ⁶⁴ DM2.40Caspace 3 ⁶ —Hippocampus NSAcArthur ¹³ DM1.30Caspace 3 ⁶ —Hippocampus NSAcArthur ¹³ DM1.30Caspace 3 ⁶ —Hippocampus NSPandief (n = 1)IICaspace 3 ⁶ —Hippocampus NSMouse (n = 2)I <td></td> <td>Bustamante³⁰</td> <td>BM</td> <td>0.34</td> <td>Golgi-Cox^c—Hippocampus↓, dendrite length</td>		Bustamante ³⁰	BM	0.34	Golgi-Cox ^c —Hippocampus↓, dendrite length
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		Pascual ³¹	BM	0.34	Golgi-Cox ^c —Cerebellum, vermis ↓
Pascual60 BM 0.34 mGluR16-Cerebellum NS Mouse (n = 1) Cont100 DM 2.40 Syn 16-Hippocampus NS Mouse (n = 1) Cont100 DM 0.25 GFP6-Hippocampus DG 1Bruschettin128 BM 0.34 3H-Thy1 Hippocampus SVZ Le303 DM 0.20 BrdUVentral tegmental area 1, nucleus accumbens 1 Korzhevskil64 DM 0.20 BrdUVentral tegmental area 1, nucleus accumbens 1 Norlander46 DM 0.20 BrdUVentral tegmental area 1, nucleus accumbens 1 Mouse (n = 2) Norlander48 DM 0.40 Ki67Hippocampus DG 1 Norlander48 DM 0.40 Ki67Hippocampus DG 1 Norlander48 DM 0.40 Ki67Hippocampus J Mouse (n = 2) Norlander49 DM 0.40 Cispace 3Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (1 processes) Caetano64 DM 0.70 GFAP6-Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (1 processes) Caetano64 DM 0.70 GFAP6-Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (1 processes) Caetano64 DM 0.70 GFAP6-Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (1 processes) Caetano64 DM 0.70 GFAP6-Paraventricular nucleus 1 females 1 males accumber 1 Mouse (n = 2) Mouse (n = 1) Mouse (n =		Pascual ⁷³	BM	0.34	MAP2 ^c —ND; ↓ dendrite— cerebellum NS. Vermis NS
Dorg ²⁶ DM 240 Snn h=-Hippocampus NS Mouse (n = 1) Conti ⁰⁰ DM 0.25 GFP ⁵ —Hippocampus DG ↓ bilferation assessment (n = 6) Rat (n = 4) Bruschettini ²⁸ BM 0.34 ³ H-Thy—↑ Hippocampus, SVZ Leão ³⁵ DM 0.20 BrdUS—Ventral tegmental area ↓, nucleus accumbens ↓ Korzhevskii ⁴⁴ DM 2.00 PCNA ⁶ —Paraventricular zone ↓ Dorg ⁶⁶ DM 2.40 Cyclin A, K67 ⁶ —Hippocampus J Mouse (n = 2) Noorlander ⁴⁶ DM 0.40 K167 ⁶ —Hippocampus J Mouse (n = 2) Noorlander ⁴⁶ DM 0.40 K167 ⁶ —Hippocampus J Vercyte or microglia quantification (n = 4) Rat (n = 2) Noorlander ⁴⁶ DM 0.30 GFAP ⁶ —Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (↓ processes) Loadia ³⁴ DM 0.30 GFAP ⁶ —Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (↓ processes) Loadia ⁴ Mouse (n = 2) McArthur ⁵³ DM 0.30 GFAP ⁶ —Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (↓ processes) Loadia (n = 1) McArthur ⁵³ DM 1.30 Glutamine synthetase ⁶ —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmental area ↑ Mouse (n = 3) Rat (n = 1		Pascual ⁵⁰	BM	0.34	mGluB1 ^c —Cerebellum NS
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \mbox{Disc} (n=1) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Dong ²⁶	DM	2.40	Syn J ^b Hinnecompus NS
$\begin{tabular}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			DIM	2.40	Syn T — hippocampus NS
$ \begin{array}{cccc} {\rm Cortl}^{100} & {\rm DM} & 0.25 & {\rm GFP}^{\rm Hippocampus DG } 1 \\ {\rm Rischettini}^{26} & {\rm BM} & 0.34 & {}^{3}{\rm H}-{\rm Thy}-{\uparrow} {\rm Hippocampus, SVZ} \\ {\rm Lešo}^{55} & {\rm DM} & 0.20 & {\rm BrdU}^{5}-{\rm Ventral tegmental area } 1, nucleus accumbens } 1 \\ {\rm Korzhevskii}^{54} & {\rm DM} & 2.00 & {\rm PCNA}^{5}-{\rm Araventricular zone } 1 \\ {\rm Dong}^{26} & {\rm DM} & 2.40 & {\rm Cyclin A, Ki67^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus } 1 \\ {\rm Mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{40} & {\rm DM} & 0.40 & {\rm Ki67^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus } 1 \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{40} & {\rm DM} & 0.40 & {\rm Ki67^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus } 1 \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{40} & {\rm DM} & 0.40 & {\rm Ki67^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus } 1 \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{40} & {\rm DM} & 0.40 & {\rm Ki67^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus } 1 \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{40} & {\rm DM} & 0.40 & {\rm Ki67^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus } 1 \\ {\rm Caetano}^{64} & {\rm DM} & 2.00 & {\rm Iba1^{5}-{\rm Prefrontal cortex } 1 \\ {\rm Mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Caetano}^{64} & {\rm DM} & 2.00 & {\rm Iba1^{5}-{\rm Prefrontal cortex } 1 \\ {\rm Mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{65} & {\rm DM} & 0.70 & {\rm GFAP^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus NS} annygdala NS (1 processes) \\ {\rm area } 1 \\ {\rm Caetano}^{64} & {\rm DM} & 0.70 & {\rm GFAP^{5}-{\rm Paraventricular nucleus } 1 \\ {\rm frahm}^{64} & {\rm DM} & 0.70 & {\rm GFAP^{5}-{\rm Paraventricular nucleus } 1 \\ {\rm mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{69} & {\rm DM} & 0.40 & {\rm Caspace } 3^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus NS} \\ {\rm Mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{69} & {\rm DM} & 0.40 & {\rm Caspace } 3^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus NS} \\ {\rm Mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{69} & {\rm DM} & 0.40 & {\rm Caspace } 3^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus NS} \\ {\rm Mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Noorlander}^{69} & {\rm DM} & 0.40 & {\rm Caspace } 3^{5}-{\rm Hippocampus NS} \\ {\rm Mouse } (n=2) \\ {\rm Mouse$		Mouse $(n = 1)$			
pliferation assessment $(n = 6)$ Rat $(n = 4)$ Bruschettini ²⁸ Bruschettini ²⁸		Conti	DM	0.25	GFP ^c —Hippocampus DG ↓
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	bliferation assessment ($n = 6$)	Rat $(n = 4)$			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Bruschettini ²⁸	BM	0.34	³ H-Thy—↑ Hippocampus, SVZ
Korzhevskii ⁵⁴ DM2.00PCNA ^c —Paraventricular zone ↓Dorg ²⁶ DM2.40Cyclin A, Ki67 ^c —Hippocampus ↓Mouse (n = 2)Noorlander ¹⁶ DM0.40Ki67 ^c —Hippocampus DG ↓trocyte or microglia quantification (n = 4)Rat (n = 2)Ki67 ^c —Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (↓ processes)Caetan6 ⁴³ DM0.30GFAP ^c —Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (↓ processes)Caetan6 ⁴⁴ DM0.30Glab1 ^c —Prefrontal cortex ↓Mouse (n = 2)Mouse (n = 2)Mouse (n = 2)morth ⁶⁵ DM0.70Glutamine synthetase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmer area ↑optosis assessment (n = 3)Rat (n = 1)Sapace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NSpaminergic neuron quantification (n = 11)Rat (n = 10)DM0.40paminergic neuron quantification (n = 11)Rat (n = 10)Mouse 4 ⁶⁵ DM0.10Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmer area ↑		Leão ⁵⁵	DM	0.20	BrdU ^c —Ventral tegmental area \downarrow , nucleus accumbens \downarrow
Dong ³⁶ DM 2.40 Cyclin A, Ki67 ^c —Hippocampus ↓ Mouse (n = 2) Noorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Ki67 ^c —Hippocampus DG ↓ trocyte or microglia quantification (n = 4) Rat (n = 2) Shende ³³ DM 0.30 GFAP ^c —Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (↓ processes) Caetano ⁶⁴ DM 0.30 GFAP ^c —Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (↓ processes) Caetano ⁶⁴ DM 2.00 Iba1 ^c —Prefrontal cortex ↓ Mouse (n = 2) Mouse (n = 2) Mouse (n = 2) Mouse (n = 2) Frahm ⁶⁵ DM 0.70 GFAP ^c —Hippocampus S, amygdala NS (↓ processes) optosis assessment (n = 3) Rat (n = 1) Tastanti a nigra ↑, ventral tegmental rarea ↑ Mouse (n = 2) Noorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus \$ moorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.70 GFAP ^c —Paraventricular nucleus ↓ females ↑ males optosis assessment (n = 3) Rat (n = 1) Tastanti (n = 1) moorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS moorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS paminergic neuron quantification (n = 11) Rat (n = 10) Tastantia nigra		Korzhevskii ⁵⁴	DM	2.00	PCNA ^c —Paraventricular zone ↓
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } Mouse $(n=2)$ & Mouse $(n=2)$ & Moorlander^{46}$ & DM & 0.40 & Ki67^c-Hippocampus DG \downarrow$ & Noorlander^{49}$ & DM & 0.40 & Ki67^c-Hippocampus \downarrow$ & Moorlander^{49}$ & DM & 0.30 & GFAP^c-Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS ($$$$ processes)$ & Caetano64 & DM & 2.00 & Iba1^c-Prefrontal cortex $$$$ & Mouse $(n=2)$ & Mouse $(n=3)$ & Rat $(n=1)$ & DM & 0.70 & GFAP^c-Paraventricular nucleus $$$$ females $$$ males$ & Mouse $(n=2)$ & Mouse $(n=2)$$		Dong ²⁶	DM	2.40	Cyclin A, Ki67 ^c —Hippocampus ↓
Noorlander f8DM0.40Kl67 ^c —Hippocampus DG ↓Noorlander f9DM0.40Kl67 ^c —Hippocampus ↓trocyte or microglia quantification (n = 4)Rat (n = 2)Shende f3DM0.30GFAP ^c —Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (↓ processes) (Caetan 6 ⁶⁴ DM2.00Iba1 ^c —Prefrontal cortex ↓Mouse (n = 2)McArthur ⁵³ DM0.70McArthur ⁵³ DM0.70GFAP ^c —Paraventricular nucleus ↓ females ↑ malesnoptosis assessment (n = 3)Rat (n = 1)2.40Dong f6DM2.40Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NSMouse (n = 2)Noorlander f9DM0.40Mouse (n = 2)1.30Glutamine synthetase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmen area ↑noptosis assessment (n = 3)Rat (n = 1)2.40Mouse (n = 2)Noorlander f9DM0.40Mouse (n = 2)Noorlander f9DM0.40mapaminergic neuron quantification (n = 11)Rat (n = 10)1.30mapaminergic neuron quantification (n = 11)Rat (n = 10)1.30McArthur f6DM0.10Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmen tarea ↑		Mouse $(n = 2)$			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } & Noorlander^{49} & DM & 0.40 & Ki67^c $-Hippocampus \downarrow \\ & Rat (n = 2) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		Noorlander ⁴⁸	DM	0.40	Ki67 ^c —Hippocampus DG↓
Processes (n = 1) = 100 Processes (n = 1) Processes (n = 1) = 100 Processes (n = 1) = 100 Processes (n = 1) Processes (n = 1) = 100 Processes (n = 1) Processes		Noorlander ⁴⁹	DM	0.40	Ki67 ^c —Hippocampus
interogra quantification (n = 1) Nat (n = 2) Shende ³³ DM 0.30 GFAP ^c —Hippocampus NS, amygdala NS (↓ processes) Caetano ⁶⁴ DM 2.00 Iba1 ^c —Prefrontal cortex ↓ Mouse (n = 2) McArthur ⁵³ DM 1.30 Glutamine synthetase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmer area ↑ optosis assessment (n = 3) Rat (n = 1) DM 0.70 GFAP ^c —Preaventricular nucleus ↓ females ↑ males optosis assessment (n = 3) Rat (n = 1) Dong ²⁶ DM 2.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS Mouse (n = 2) Noorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS moorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS moorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS moorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS moorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS moorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.10 Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmer area ↑ paminergic neuron quantification (n = 11) Rat (n = 10) Topaminer/DOPAC ^d —Hypothalamus ↓, striatum, neocortex McArthur ⁴⁶	tracute or microalia quantification $(n-4)$	$P_{at}(n-2)$	5	0110	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trocyte of microglia quantification $(n = 4)$	Rat $(1 = 2)$	514	0.00	
$DM = 2.00 \qquad Iba1^{-}-Pretrontal cortex \downarrow$ $Mouse (n = 2)$ $McArthur53 DM = 1.30 \qquad Glutamine synthetasec-Substantia nigra \top, ventral tegmer area \top area \to$		Shende	DM	0.30	GFAP — Hippocampus NS, amygdaia NS (‡ processes)
$Mouse (n = 2)$ $McArthur53 DM 1.30 Glutamine synthetasec—Substantia nigra ventral tegmer area \ Frahm65 DM 0.70 GFAPc—Paraventricular nucleus females males poptosis assessment (n = 3) Rat (n = 1) Dong26 DM 2.40 Caspace 3c—Hippocampus \ Mouse (n = 2) Noorlander49 DM 0.40 Caspace 3c—Hippocampus NS McArthur53 DM 1.30 Caspace 3c—Substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area \ Muneoka45 DM 0.15 Dopamine/DOPACd—Hypothalamus striatum, neocortex McArthur46 DM 0.10 Tyrosine hydroxylasec—Substantia nigra ventral tegmental tegmental area \ $		Caetano	DM	2.00	Iba1°—Prefrontal cortex ↓
$ \begin{array}{c} McArthur^{3.3} & DM & 1.30 & Glutamine synthetase^{C}-Substantia nigra \uparrow, ventral tegmer \\ area \uparrow \\ Frahm^{6.5} & DM & 0.70 & GFAP^{C}-Paraventricular nucleus \downarrow females \uparrow males \\ noptosis assessment (n=3) & Rat (n=1) \\ & Dong^{26} & DM & 2.40 & Caspace 3^{c}-Hippocampus \uparrow \\ Mouse (n=2) & & & \\ Noorlander^{49} & DM & 0.40 & Caspace 3^{c}-Hippocampus NS \\ McArthur^{53} & DM & 1.30 & Caspace 3^{c}-Substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area \uparrow \\ muneoka^{45} & DM & 0.15 & Dopamine/DOPAC^{d}-Hypothalamus \downarrow, striatum, neocortex \\ McArthur^{46} & DM & 0.10 & Tyrosine hydroxylase^{c}-Substantia nigra \uparrow, ventral tegmental area \uparrow \\ area \bullet & area \bullet \\ \end{array} $		Mouse $(n = 2)$			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Frahm^{65} & DM & 0.70 & GFAP^c $-Paraventricular nucleus \downarrow females \uparrow males $\\ \hline proptosis assessment (n = 3) & Rat (n = 1) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		McArthur ⁵³	DM	1.30	Glutamine synthetase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmenta
paminergic neuron quantification $(n = 11)$ paminergic neuron quantification $(n = 11)$ Rat (n = 1) $Noorlander^{19}$ Rat (n = 2) Rat (n = 2) Rat (n = 2) Rat (n = 10) Rat (n = 10) Rat (n = 10) Rat (n = 10) $Muceoka^{15}$ $McArthur^{46}$ DM 0.40 0.40 0.40 $Caspace 3c—Hippocampus NS Caspace 3c—Substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area ↑ Muneoka^{45}MCArthur^{46}DM0.10Tyrosine hydroxylasec—Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmental tegmental area ↑ Tyrosine hydroxylasec—Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmental t$					area ↑
optosis assessment $(n = 3)$ Rat $(n = 1)$ Dong ²⁶ DM 2.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus \uparrow Mouse $(n = 2)$ Noorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS McArthur ⁵³ DM 1.30 Caspace 3 ^c —Substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area \uparrow paminergic neuron quantification $(n = 11)$ Rat $(n = 10)$ Huneoka ⁴⁵ DM 0.15 Dopamine/DOPAC ^d —Hypothalamus \downarrow , striatum, neocortee McArthur ⁴⁶ DM 0.10 Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra \uparrow , ventral tegmental erge \uparrow		Frahm ⁶⁵	DM	0.70	GFAP ^c —Paraventricular nucleus \downarrow females \uparrow males
Dong ⁴⁰ DM 2.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus ↑ Mouse (n = 2) Noorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS McArthur ⁵³ DM 1.30 Caspace 3 ^c —Substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area ↑ paminergic neuron quantification (n = 11) Rat (n = 10) Huneoka ⁴⁵ DM 0.15 Dopamine/DOPAC ^d —Hypothalamus ↓, striatum, neocortex McArthur ⁴⁶ DM 0.10 Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmental area ↑	optosis assessment ($n = 3$)	Rat $(n = 1)$			
Mouse (n = 2) Noorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS McArthur ⁵³ DM 1.30 Caspace 3 ^c —Substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area ↑ Npaminergic neuron quantification (n = 11) Rat (n = 10) Nuneoka ⁴⁵ DM 0.15 Dopamine/DOPAC ^d —Hypothalamus ↓, striatum, neocortex McArthur ⁴⁶ DM 0.10 Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmental area ↑		Dong ²⁶	DM	2.40	Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus ↑
Noorlander ⁴⁹ DM 0.40 Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS McArthur ⁵³ DM 1.30 Caspace 3 ^c —Substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area † paminergic neuron quantification (n = 11) Rat (n = 10) Muneoka ⁴⁵ DM 0.15 Dopamine/DOPAC ^d —Hypothalamus ↓, striatum, neocortex McArthur ⁴⁶ DM 0.10 Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmental generations		Mouse $(n = 2)$			
McArthur ⁵³ DM 1.30 Caspace 3 ^c —Substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area † paminergic neuron quantification (n = 11) Rat (n = 10) Muneoka ⁴⁵ DM 0.15 Dopamine/DOPAC ^d —Hypothalamus J, striatum, neocortex McArthur ⁴⁶ DM 0.10 Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra †, ventral tegmental area †		Noorlander ⁴⁹	DM	0.40	Caspace 3 ^c —Hippocampus NS
ppaminergic neuron quantification (n = 11) Rat (n = 10) Muneoka ⁴⁵ MCArthur ⁴⁶ DM 0.15 DM 0.15 Dopamine/DOPAC ^d —Hypothalamus ↓, striatum, neocortex MCArthur ⁴⁶ DM 0.10 Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmer area ↑		McArthur ⁵³	DM	1.30	Caspace 3 ^c —Substantia nigra, ventral tegmental area ↑
Muneoka ⁴⁵ DM 0.15 Dopamine/DOPAC ^d —Hypothalamus ↓, striatum, neocortex McArthur ⁴⁶ DM 0.10 Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra ↑, ventral tegmer	paminergic neuron quantification $(n = 11)$	Rat (<i>n</i> = 10)			
Microsol DM 0.15 Dopannie DORC		Muneoka ⁴⁵	DM	0.15	Donamine/DOPAC ^d —Hypothalamus striatum possortey
ivicarituur אים עוויע טוט iyrosine nyaroxyiase —substantia nigra †, ventrai tegmer area *		McArthur ⁴⁶	DM	0.15	Turocino hydroxylaco ^C Substantia nievo t yontral to anot
		WICATUTU		0.10	area ↑
McArthur ⁸⁶ DM 020 Turation budened Colorisation to a standard to an		McArthur ⁸⁶	DM	0.30	Turocine hydroxylase ^c Substantia nieva A ventral terre-

1164

Neuropathological outcome	Reported studies per species (n)	Corticosteroid	Total dose (mg/kg)	Effect per outcome assessed and region of interest
	Leão ⁵⁵	DM	0.20	Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Ventral tegmental area \downarrow , nucleus accumbens \downarrow
	Oliveira ⁵⁶	DM	2.00	Dopamine ^{a,d} —Hypothalamus, nucleus accumbens \downarrow
	Rodrigues ⁵⁷	DM	2.00	Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Nucleus accumbens \downarrow
	Oliveira ⁹⁴	DM	2.00	Dopamine ^a —Amygdala↓
	Borges ⁵⁸	DM	2.00	Dopamine ^d —Amygdala, nucleus accumbens ↓
	Virdee ⁹⁶	DM	0.20	Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra \uparrow , ventral tegmental area, striatum \uparrow
	Virdee ⁹⁹	DM	0.30	Dopamine ^d —Prefrontal cortex NS, striatum NS
	Mouse $(n = 1)$			
	McArthur ⁵³	DM	1.30	Tyrosine hydroxylase ^c —Substantia nigra†, ventral tegmental area ↑
Serotonergic neuron quantification ($n = 5$)	Rat (n = 5)			
	Muneoka ⁴⁵	DM	0.15	5-HT ^d —Hypothalamus ↓
	Oliveira ⁵⁶	DM	2.00	5-HT ^d —Hypothalamus, nucleus accumbens \downarrow
	Nagano ⁶²	DM	0.30	5-HT ^a —Prefrontal cortex, hippocampus \downarrow
	Hiroi ⁵⁹	DM	2.00	TpH2 ^a —Dorsal raphe nucleus \downarrow (females)
	Virdee ⁹⁹	DM	0.30	5-HT ^d —Prefrontal cortex NS, striatum NS
GABAergic interneurons ($n = 2$)	Rat (n = 2)			
	Zuloaga ⁹⁵	DM	2.00	Calretinin ^c —Amygdala ↓ (females)
	Lui ³⁴	DM	0.80	Reelin ^a —Hippocampus ↓
Neurotransmitter ($n = 2$)	Rat (n = 2)			
	Velísek ²⁹	BM	0.80	Neuropeptide Y ^c —Hippocampus ↑
	lwasa ²⁵	DM	1.35	Neuropeptide Y ^a —Hypothalamus↓
Other $(n = 3)$	Rat (n = 3)			
	Neigh ⁹¹	DM	0.70	von Willebrand factor ^c —Hippocampus ↓, amygdala NS
	Frahm ⁹⁷	DM	0.70	Desmin ^c —Paraventricular nucleus ↑
	Liu ²⁷	DM	1.04	O-GlcNAc transferase ^{a,b} —Hippocampus ↓

Results given as number (n) with statistically significant effect indicated as increased \uparrow , decreased \downarrow , or not significant NS

BM betamethasone, DM dexamethasone

^aPolymerase chain reaction or in situ hybridization

^bWestern blot

^cImmunocytochemistry

^dChromatography

proliferation^{48,49,54,55} being reported. Furthermore, the protective negative feedback loop of the HPA axis is mediated by cortisol binding to receptors in especially the hypothalamus, hippocampus, and prefrontal cortex. It was foreseeable that most studies in this review noted alterations in these specific regions.

Inhibiting or turning off the HPA response axis can lead to a direct effect on the dopaminergic and serotonergic systems. Studies noted that ACS exposure was associated with less dopaminergic cells in multiple brain regions including the amygdala and hypothalamus^{45,55–59} that also has an effect on the central norepinephrine and peripheral activation of the sympathetic nervous system.⁶⁰ The meso-cortico-limbic system, mediated by dopamine release especially from the nucleus accumbens and ventral tegmental area, encodes the rewarding and reinforcing properties of natural reward behavior.⁶¹ Evidently any dysfunction of this system could lead to multiple neuropsychiatric conditions.

Moreover, the reprogramming of the HPA axis by ACS is also associated with lower neuron expression in the serotonergic system especially in the hypothalamus, hippocampus, and frontal cortex.^{45,58,62} The serotoninergic system, a neurotransmitter system implicated in stress regulation and etiology of affective disorders is therefore another target for ACS exposure.⁵⁹ This review confirms the alterations in serotonin receptors (5-HT1A and 5-HT2A) and transporters secondary to hippocampal and hypothalamus GR programming.

In addition, ACS can also lead to altered glial astrocyte function. Astrocytes assume multiple roles in maintaining an optimally suited milieu for neuronal function from the production of trophic factors, regulation of neurotransmitters and ion concentrations to the removal of toxins and debris from the cerebrospinal fluid.⁶³ Impairments in these and other functions, as well as physiological reactions of astrocytes to injury, can trigger or exacerbate neuronal dysfunction. In this review, multiple studies noted the long-term dysregulation of neuroglia, especially astrocytes.^{53,64,65}

So far, it is clear that the developing brain, with the mesocortico-limbic system as a focus point, is particularly sensitive to exogenous GCs. The hippocampus, which plays a central role in this system, has a myriad of complex functions within the brain. These include cognition, behavior, memory, coordination of the autonomic activity, and regulation of a number of endocrine systems.^{66,67} Given this wide spectrum of regulatory roles, it is apparent that it will have a profound impact in postnatal and adult life. In rats, prenatal DM exposure resulted in more anxiety-like behavior,^{22,31,45} sex-specific alterations in motor activity and sexual behavior,^{15,59} and impaired spatial memory.^{17,20} While in mice, maternal administration of ACS resulted in delayed development and impaired motoric function in the offspring. Even a single course of ACS resulted in affecting anxiety, memory, and socialization behaviors.^{32,65} In NHP, there was reduced sociability and increased motivation reward behavior⁶⁹ with dramatic differences in the hippocampal structure and developmental as quantified by MRI.³

These results indicate that both DM and BM interfere with the developing brain but it remains unclear from clinical trials whether one corticosteroid (or one particular regimen) has advantages over another.⁷⁰ Both cross the placenta in their active form, and both have similar biologic activity with neither acting as a mineralocorticoid and both having weak immunosuppressive short-term effects.⁶⁸ BM and DM differ only in the configuration of a single methyl group with subsequent

eported studies per species (n)	Neurobehavioral assessment (n)	Corticosteroid	Total dose (mg/kg)	Reported significant outcome
upon fold behavior $(n-22)$	$P_{2} + (n - 20)$			
per field behavior $(n - 22)$	Smith ⁸³	DM	0.85	↑ Stress
	Mupeoka ⁴⁵	DM	0.05	Ambulation rearing
	Walkers ¹⁷	DM	0.15	↓ Ambulation, ↓ rearing
	Weiberg	DIVI	0.70	Ambulation, Fearing
	Bruschettini Oliverine ²⁰	BIVI	0.34	No difference
	Oliveira-	DM	2.00	↓ Locomotion, ↓ explore
	Velisek	BM	0.80	↓ Rearing
	Nagano ²²	DM	0.25	\downarrow Ambulation, \downarrow rearing
	Hauser ²³	DM	0.70	No difference
	Neigh ⁹¹	DM	0.70	↓ Locomotion
	Liu ²⁴	DM	1.04	↓ Ambulation, ↓rearing
	Nagano ⁶²	DM	0.30	\downarrow Ambulation, \downarrow rearing
	Rodrigues ⁵⁷	DM	2.00	↓ Ambulation
	Pascual ³¹	BM	0.34	\downarrow Locomotion, \downarrow explore
	Virdee ⁹⁶	DM	0.20	No difference
	Zeng ⁹⁸	DM	0.70	No difference
	Hiroi ⁵⁹	DM	2.00	\downarrow Locomotion, \downarrow center time
	Virdee ⁹⁹	DM	0.30	No difference
	Caetano ⁶⁴	DM	2.00	No difference
	Dong ²⁶	DM	2.00	No difference
	Liu ²⁷	DM	1.04	
		DIVI	1.04	↓ Ambulation, ↓ rearing
	Mouse $(n = 2)$			
	Tsiarli ²²	DM	0.40	No difference,↑ center time
	Frahm ⁶⁵	DM	0.70	No difference
vated plus maze ($n = 13$)	Rat (n = 11)			
	Welberg ¹⁷	DM	0.70	↓ Open arm entries
	Oliveira ²⁰	DM	2.00	↑ Anxiety
	Velísek ²⁹	BM	0.80	↑ Latency
	Hossain ⁸⁹	DM	0.70	↓ Open arm entries (males)
	Hauser ²³	DM	0.70	No difference
	Oliveira ⁹⁴	DM	2.00	Open arm entries
	Pascual ⁷³	BM	0.34	
	7000 ⁹⁸	DM	0.34	No difference
	zeng	DIVI	0.70	No difference
	Pascual	BM	0.34	No difference
	Caetano	DM	2.00	↓ lime open arms
	Dong ²⁰	DM	2.40	No difference
	Mouse $(n = 2)$			
	Rayburn ⁶⁸	BM+DM	2.00	↑ Time open arms (BM only)
	Tsiarli ³²	DM	0.40	↑ Time open arms
ced swim test ($n = 13$)	Rat (n = 10)			
	Welberg ¹⁷	DM	0.70	↑ Immobile
	Burlet ⁸⁵	DM	0.50	No difference
	Oliveira ²⁰	DM	2.00	↑ Immobile
	Nagano ²²	DM	0.25	No difference
	Hauser ²³	DM	0.20	temple fleating time
	Degue ⁹³	DM	2.00	
	Roque	DM	2.00	i immobile
	Borges	DM	2.00	Timmobile, U climbing
	Hiroi	DM	2.00	↑ Immobile
	Caetano ⁶⁴	DM	2.00	No difference
	Liu ²⁷	DM	1.04	↑ Immobile
	Mouse $(n = 3)$			
	Rayburn ⁶⁸	BM+DM	2.00	No difference
	Conti ¹⁰⁰	DM	0.25	↑ Immobile
	Tsiarli ³²	DM	0.40	↓ Immobile
rris water maze ($n = 9$)	Rat (n = 7)			
	Brabham ¹⁶	DM	1.89	↑ Trial blocks
	Bruschettini ²⁸	BM	0.34	No difference
	Oliveira ²⁰	DM	2.00	No difference
	Hauser ²³	DM	0.70	No difference
	1 ³⁴	DM	0.70	No difference
	LUI 		0.00	
	∠eng ^{so}	DM	0.70	No difference
	Dong ²⁰	DM	2.40	\uparrow Latency, \uparrow distance
	Mouse $(n = 2)$			
	Christensen ⁸⁴	BM	8.00	No difference
	Noorlander ⁴⁸	DM	0.40	↑ Latency, ↑ distance
pustic startle ($n = 7$)	Rat (<i>n</i> = 6)			
·	Hougaard ¹⁸	DM	0.80	↑ Response
	Hereix ⁸⁹	DM	0.70	↑ Startlo amolitud-

Reported studies per species (n)	Neurobehavioral assessment (n)	Corticosteroid	Total dose (mg/kg)	Reported significant outcome
	Kleinhaus ⁹⁰	DM	6.00	↓ Startle amplitude
	Kjaer ⁹²	DM	1.60	↑ Startle amplitude
	Oliveira ²⁶	DM	2.00	↑ Startle amplitude
	Virdee	DM	0.20	No difference
	Rayburn ⁶⁸		2.00	Besponse (DM only)
Prepulse inhibition $(n-6)$	Bat $(n - 6)$	DINT	2.00	
	Hougaard ¹⁸	DM	0.80	No difference
	Hauser ¹⁹	DM	0.70	No difference
	Kleinhaus ⁹⁰	DM	6.00	↑ Level, ↑ efficiency
	Kjaer ⁹²	DM	1.60	No difference
	Oliveira ⁹⁴	DM	2.00	No difference
	Virdee ⁹⁶	DM	0.20	↑ Response in males
Sucrose preference test ($n = 5$)	Rat (n = 5)			
	Neigh ⁹¹	DM	0.70	↓ Preference
	Roque ⁹³	DM	2.00	↓ Preference
	Liu ²⁴	DM	1.04	↓ Preference
	Borges ⁵⁸	DM	2.00	↓ Preference
	Liu ²⁷	DM	1.04	↓ Preference
Γ-maze ($n = 2$)	Rat (<i>n</i> = 1)			
	Virdee ⁹⁹	DM	0.30	No difference
	Mouse $(n = 1)$			
	Rayburn ⁶⁸	BM+DM	2.00	No difference
Light dark choice $(n = 3)$	Rat (n = 3)			
	Nagano ²²	DM	0.25	\downarrow Time in light box
	Nagano ⁶²	DM	0.30	↓ Transitions
	Hiroi ⁵⁹	DM	2.00	No difference
Гube runway (n = 2)	Mouse $(n = 2)$			
	Rayburn ⁶⁸	BM+DM	2.00	No difference
	Christensen ⁸⁴	BM	8.00	No difference
Social play $(n = 2)$	Rat $(n = 2)$			
	Kleinhaus	DM	6.00	↓ Walkovers
	Borges	DM	2.00	Neg
Tail suspension $(n = 2)$	Rat $(n = 1)$			
		DM	1.04	↑ Immobile
	Mouse $(n = 1)$	DM	0.70	
Source hohavior analysis (n 2)		DIW	0.70	
Sexual behavior analysis $(n = 2)$	Rat (n = 2)	DM	0.80	No difference
	Olivoiro ⁵⁶	DM	0.80	No difference
Object recognition test $(n-1)$	Bat (n-1)	DIW	2.00	
object recognition test (n = 1)	Bruschettini ²⁸	BM	0 34	No difference
Y-maze $(n-1)$	Bat $(n-1)$	DIM	0.54	No unerence
	Bustamante ³⁰	BM	0 34	Novel arm entries
Marble burying $(n = 1)$	Bat $(n = 1)$	5	0.01	
	Pascual ⁷³	BM	0.34	Increased
Grasping test $(n = 1)$	Rat $(n = 1)$			
	Burlet ⁸⁵	DM	0.50	↓ Grasping time
Ultrasound vocalization $(n = 1)$	Rat (<i>n</i> = 1)			
	Borges ⁵⁸	DM	2.00	No difference
Righting reflex ($n = 1$)	Rat $(n = 1)$			
	Burlet ⁸⁵	DM	0.50	↑ Duration
Horizontal bar ($n = 1$)	Rat (n = 1)			
	Velísek ²⁹	BM	0.80	No difference
Radial 8 arm ($n = 1$)	Mouse $(n = 1)$			
	Rayburn ⁶⁸	BM+DM	2.00	No difference
Negative geotaxis ($n = 1$)	Mouse $(n = 1)$			
	Rayburn ⁶⁸	BM+DM	2.00	No difference
Ethanol consumption ($n = 1$)	Rat (n = 1)			
	Rodrigues ⁵⁷	DM	2.00	↑ Consumption
Home cage behavior ($n = 3$)	Rat (n = 1)			
	Borges ⁵⁸	DM	2.00	↓ Social behavior
	Non-human primate ($n = 2$)			
	Hauser ¹⁴	DM	70.00	↑ Mobile, solitary play
	Hauser ⁶⁹	DM	35.00	\uparrow Mobile, \downarrow social play, \downarrow explorati
Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery $(n = 1)$	Non-human primate ($n = 1$)			



Fig. 4 The regulation of the maternal and fetal HPA axis during pregnancy. The human placenta expresses the genes for proopiomelanocortin and the major stress hormone, corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH). As pregnancy progresses, these stress hormones including maternal cortisol, increase dramatically. Because of the positive feedback between GCs and placental CRH, the effects of excess endogenous or synthetic GCs may be amplified with potentially negative consequences for the developing fetus. The consequences of prenatal treatment with BM or DM may be more profound as they cross the placenta more easily because they are not readily metabolized by the placental enzyme, 11β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (11β -HSD2), that protects the fetus from maternal cortisol.^{81,82} These synthetic GCs can gain direct access to glucocorticoid receptors without significant reduction in their circulating or tissue levels due to local oxidation. These endocrine changes are important for fetal maturation, but if the levels are altered (e.g., ACS exposure), they influence (program) the fetal nervous system, especially the meso-cortico-limbic system with long-term consequences.

different pharmacokinetics; BM has a larger volume of distribution and decreased clearance and thus a longer half-life.⁷¹ In addition, the commonly available DM preparation contains a sodium metabisulfite preservative, and sulfite is neurotoxic. However, prenatal exposure to sulfite is likely to be low (because it is administered to the mother and may not reach the fetus at the same dose).⁷² From this review, both BM and DM resulted in longterm sequelae, with no clear benefit of one over another. As previously stated, most investigations used DM and mostly multiple dosing or courses, but even low dose or single courses of BM^{28,30,31,47,50,73} and DM^{32,48,49,55} resulted in clear neuropathological and neurobehavioral deficits.

This systematic review and the assimilated research have limitations mostly due to the administration regimes chosen, the lack of stringent methodological approach, and non-standardized reporting. The dosing regimens are not clearly "clinical equivalent" using mostly multiple dosages over multiple days. The true fetal exposure is not quantified and therefore the differences that each species' metabolism bring is not addressed. Most of the studies investigate DM while BM is more in clinical use. There was also a high bias risk due the lack of randomization, allocational and treatment concealment, and ultimately selective/incomplete outcome reporting limit the interpretation of these results. Pseudoreplication is another critical methodological issue in animal behavioral research. Although many articles controlled for baseline characteristics, at least in some part, very few clearly stated how litter allocation was managed in their study. As with all translational research there is inherent risk that the risk or benefit can be overestimated due to publication bias. Ultimately, there is always the problem of species-specific factors that influence the translational value of the relevant research.

To grasp the effect of ACS on the neuroendocrine maturation, the timing of maturation of the HPA axis relative to birth needs to be clearly comprehended. In animals that give birth to mature young (sheep, guinea pigs, and primates), maximal brain growth and a large proportion of neuroendocrine maturation (including corticosteroid receptor development) takes place in utero.^{74,75} In contrast, in species that give birth to immature young (rats, rabbits, and mice), much neuroendocrine development occurs in the postnatal period.⁷⁶ Therefore, maternal GC treatment in late gestation will impact on different stages of brain and HPA development depending on the species studied. Another important consideration when extrapolating among different studies and species is that of receptor sensitivity. Mice and rats are corticosensitive (high receptor affinity for GCs) compared with other species, such as guinea pigs and primates, which are considered corticoresistant.⁷⁷

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, many animal models have been used to highlight the efficacy and potential adverse effects of ACS. In this review, a general pattern is observed of consistent neurocognitive sequelae that ultimately lead to modulated fetal programming, the socalled Developmental Origins of Health and Disease hypothesis. The mechanistic view of an intrauterine factor mediating brain growth and neurocognitive development at a vulnerable time in gestation while subsequently resulting in permanent alterations is one that has been included in many neurocognitive and psychiatric conditions. Current research pertaining to the neurocognitive effects of ACS consisted mostly of DM using repetitive and high dosages in rodent species. There is a new focus on ACS

since the role and indication for ACS has recently rapidly expanded to include rescue and repeated dosages and late preterm birth.⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰

Preclinical research could help in defining the efficacy and longterm outcomes in future ACS research, but models needs to be standardized to help address the barriers in translational neuroscience research. Principles that could be followed are:

- 1. Adequate and appropriate dosages that could include dose–response curves;
- 2. Define the time and gestational age window of exposure in a well-characterized model;
- 3. Blinded, physiologically controlled studies; and
- 4. Histological and functional outcomes assessed acutely and long term.

Furthermore imaging, especially MRI, could help characterize the insults even better, especially in longitudinal models where the long-term impact needs to be defined. So far, this has been underutilized in this area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

J.L.v.d.M. is funded with support of the Erasmus+Programme of the European Union (Framework Agreement Number: 2013-0040). This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors have contributed to the writing of this paper. J.L.v.d.M. and A.S. performed the search and extracted the data. J.T. and J.D. guided the results and merged and corrected the work.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41390-019-0712-1) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

REFERENCES

- Fowden, A. L., Li, J. & Forhead, A. J. Glucocorticoids and the preparation for life after birth: are there long-term consequences of the life insurance? *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 57, 113–122 (1998).
- Meyer, J. S. Early adrenalectomy stimulates subsequent growth and development of the rat brain. *Exp. Neurol.* 82, 432–446 (1983).
- Bohn, M. C. in *Neurobehavioural Teratology* (ed. Yanai, J.) 365–387 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984).
- Effect of corticosteroids for fetal maturation on perinatal outcomes. NIH Consensus Development Panel on the Effect of Corticosteroids for Fetal Maturation on Perinatal Outcomes. JAMA 273, 413–418 (1995).
- Roberts, D., Brown, J., Medley, N. & Dalziel, S. R. Antenatal corticosteroids for accelerating fetal lung maturation for women at risk of preterm birth. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 3, CD004454 (2017).
- Sotiriadis, A. et al. Neurodevelopmental outcome after a single course of antenatal steroids in children born preterm: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **125**, 1385 (2015).
- Ilg, L. et al. Persistent effects of antenatal synthetic glucocorticoids on endocrine stress reactivity from childhood to adolescence. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 104, 827–834 (2019).
- 8. Aghajafari, F. et al. Repeated doses of antenatal corticosteroids in animals: a systematic review. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. **186**, 843–849 (2002).
- 9. Jobe, A. H. Animal models of antenatal corticosteroids: clinical implications. *Clin. Obstet. Gynecol.* **46**, 174 (2003).
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J. & Altman, D. G. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Int. J. Surg.* 8, 336–341 (2010).

- Kemp, M. W., Schmidt, A. F., & Jobe, A. H. Optimizing antenatal corticosteroid therapy. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. 24, 176–181 (2019).
- 12. Reagan-Shaw, S., Nihal, M., Ahmad, N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. *FASEB J.* **22**, 659–661 (2008).
- Hooijmans, C. R. et al. SYRCLE's risk of bias tool for animal studies. BMC Med. Res. Methodol. 14, 43 (2014).
- Hauser, J. et al. Effects of prenatal dexamethasone treatment on postnatal physical, endocrine, and social development in the common marmoset monkey. *Endocrinology* 148, 1813–1822 (2007).
- Holson, R. R., Gough, B., Sullivan, P., Badger, T. & Sheehan, D. M. Prenatal dexamethasone or stress but not ACTH or corticosterone alter sexual behavior in male rats. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 17, 393–401 (1995).
- Brabham, T. et al. Effects of prenatal dexamethasone on spatial learning and response to stress is influenced by maternal factors. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 279, R1899–R1909 (2000).
- Welberg, L. A., Seckl, J. R. & Holmes, M. C. Prenatal glucocorticoid programming of brain corticosteroid receptors and corticotrophin-releasing hormone: possible implications for behaviour. *Neuroscience* **104**, 71–79 (2001).
- Hougaard, K. S. et al. Prenatal stress may increase vulnerability to life events: comparison with the effects of prenatal dexamethasone. *Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res.* 159, 55–63 (2005).
- Hauser, J., Feldon, J. & Pryce, C. R. Prenatal dexamethasone exposure, postnatal development, and adulthood prepulse inhibition and latent inhibition in Wistar rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 175, 51–61 (2006).
- Oliveira, M. et al. Induction of a hyperanxious state by antenatal dexamethasone: a case for less detrimental natural corticosteroids. *Biol. Psychiatry* 59, 844–852 (2006).
- Shoener, J. A., Baig, R. & Page, K. C. Prenatal exposure to dexamethasone alters hippocampal drive on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity in adult male rats. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 290, R1366–R1373 (2006).
- Nagano, M., Ozawa, H. & Suzuki, H. Prenatal dexamethasone exposure affects anxiety-like behaviour and neuroendocrine systems in an age-dependent manner. *Neurosci. Res.* 60, 364–371 (2008).
- Hauser, J., Feldon, J. & Pryce, C. R. Direct and dam-mediated effects of prenatal dexamethasone on emotionality, cognition and HPA axis in adult Wistar rats. *Horm. Behav.* 56, 364–375 (2009).
- Liu, W. et al. Swimming exercise ameliorates depression-like behaviors induced by prenatal exposure to glucocorticoids in rats. *Neurosci. Lett.* 524, 119–123 (2012).
- Iwasa, T. et al. Prenatal exposure to glucocorticoids affects body weight, serum leptin levels, and hypothalamic neuropeptide-Y expression in pre-pubertal female rat offspring. *Int. J. Dev. Neurosci.* 36, 1–4 (2014).
- Dong, W. et al. Low-functional programming of the CREB/BDNF/TrkB pathway mediates cognitive impairment in male offspring after prenatal dexamethasone exposure. *Toxicol. Lett.* 283, 1–12 (2018).
- Liu, W. et al. OGT-related mitochondrial motility is associated with sex differences and exercise effects in depression induced by prenatal exposure to glucocorticoids. J. Affect. Disord. 226, 203–215 (2018).
- Bruschettini, M. et al. Cognition- and anxiety-related behavior, synaptophysin and MAP2 immunoreactivity in the adult rat treated with a single course of antenatal betamethasone. *Pediatr. Res.* **60**, 50–54 (2006).
- 29. Velísek, L. Prenatal exposure to betamethasone decreases anxiety in developing rats: hippocampal neuropeptide y as a target molecule. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **31**, 2140–2149 (2006).
- Bustamante, C. et al. Effects of a single course of prenatal betamethasone on dendritic development in dentate gyrus granular neurons and on spatial memory in rat offspring. *Neuropediatrics* 45, 354–361 (2014).
- Pascual, R., Valencia, M., Larrea, S. & Bustamante, C. Single course of antenatal betamethasone produces delayed changes in morphology and calbindin-D28k expression in a rat's cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Acta Neurobiol. Exp. (Wars.)* 74, 415–423 (2014).
- 32. Tsiarli, M. A. et al. Antenatal dexamethasone exposure differentially affects distinct cortical neural progenitor cells and triggers long-term changes in murine cerebral architecture and behavior. *Transl. Psychiatry* 7, e1153 (2017).
- Shende, V. H., McArthur, S., Gillies, G. E. & Opacka-Juffry, J. Astroglial plasticity is implicated in hippocampal remodelling in adult rats exposed to antenatal dexamethasone. *Neural Plast.* 2015, 694347 (2015).
- Lui, C.-C. et al. Effects of melatonin on prenatal dexamethasone-induced epigenetic alterations in hippocampal morphology and reelin and glutamic acid decarboxylase 67 levels. *Dev. Neurosci.* 37, 105–114 (2015).
- Uno, H. et al. Neurotoxicity of glucocorticoids in the primate brain. *Horm. Behav.* 28, 336–348 (1994).
- Dodic, M., Peers, A., Moritz, K., Hantzis, V. & Wintour, E. M. No evidence for HPA reset in adult sheep with high blood pressure due to short prenatal exposure to dexamethasone. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 282, R343–R350 (2002).

- Banjanin, S., Kapoor, A. & Matthews, S. G. Prenatal glucocorticoid exposure alters hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal function and blood pressure in mature male guinea pigs. J. Physiol. 558, 305–318 (2004).
- Sloboda, D. M. et al. Expression of glucocorticoid receptor, mineralocorticoid receptor, and 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1 and 2 in the fetal and postnatal ovine hippocampus: ontogeny and effects of prenatal glucocorticoid exposure. J. Endocrinol. 197, 213–220 (2008).
- 39. Li, S. et al. The effects of dexamethasone treatment in early gestation on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses and gene expression at 7 months of postnatal age in sheep. *Reprod. Sci.* **19**, 260–270 (2012).
- 40. Diaz Heijtz, R., Fuchs, E., Feldon, J., Pryce, C. R. & Forssberg, H. Effects of antenatal dexamethasone treatment on glucocorticoid receptor and calcyon gene expression in the prefrontal cortex of neonatal and adult common marmoset monkeys. *Behav. Brain Funct.* **6**, 18 (2010).
- Sandman, C. A., Davis, E. P., Buss, C. & Glynn, L. M. Prenatal programming of human neurological function. *Int. J. Pept.* 2011, 837596 (2011).
- Matthews, S. G. Antenatal glucocorticoids and programming of the developing CNS. *Pediatr. Res.* 47, 291–300 (2000).
- Barrada, M. I., Blomquist, C. H. & Kotts, C. The effects of betamethasone on fetal development in the rabbit. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **136**, 234–238 (1980).
- Frank, L. & Roberts, R. J. Effects of low-dose prenatal corticosteroid administration on the premature rat. *Biol. Neonate* 36, 1–9 (1979).
- Muneoka, K. et al. Prenatal dexamethasone exposure alters brain monoamine metabolism and adrenocortical response in rat offspring. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 273, R1669–R1675 (1997).
- McArthur, S., McHale, E., Dalley, J. W., Buckingham, J. C. & Gillies, G. E. Altered mesencephalic dopaminergic populations in adulthood as a consequence of brief perinatal glucocorticoid exposure. J. Neuroendocrinol. 17, 475–482 (2005).
- Bruschettini, M., van den Hove, D. L. A., Gazzolo, D., Steinbusch, H. W. M. & Blanco, C. E. Lowering the dose of antenatal steroids: the effects of a single course of betamethasone on somatic growth and brain cell proliferation in the rat. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **194**, 1341–1346 (2006).
- Noorlander, C. W., Visser, G. H. A., Ramakers, G. M. J., Nikkels, P. G. J. & Graan, P. N. Ede Prenatal corticosteroid exposure affects hippocampal plasticity and reduces lifespan. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 68, 237–246 (2008).
- Noorlander, C. W. et al. Antenatal glucocorticoid treatment affects hippocampal development in mice. *PLoS ONE* 9, e85671 (2014).
- Pascual, R., Valencia, M. & Bustamante, C. Effect of antenatal betamethasone administration on rat cerebellar expression of type la metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRla) and anxiety-like behavior in the elevated plus maze. *Clin. Exp. Obstet. Gynecol.* **43**, 534–538 (2016).
- Moss, T. J. M. et al. Effects into adulthood of single or repeated antenatal corticosteroids in sheep. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 192, 146–152 (2005).
- Weinstock, M. The long-term behavioural consequences of prenatal stress. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 32, 1073–1086 (2008).
- McArthur, S., Pienaar, I. S., Siddiqi, S. M. & Gillies, G. E. Sex-specific disruption of murine midbrain astrocytic and dopaminergic developmental trajectories following antenatal GC treatment. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 221, 2459–2475 (2016).
- Korzhevskii, D. E., Gilerovich, E. G., Khozhai, L. I., Grigor'ev, I. P. & Otellin, V. A. Modification of histogenetic processes in rat nervous tissue after administration of dexamethasone during prenatal development. *Neurosci. Behav. Physiol.* 36, 537–539 (2006).
- Leão, P. et al. Programming effects of antenatal dexamethasone in the developing mesolimbic pathways. Synapse 61, 40–49 (2007).
- Oliveira, M. et al. Programming effects of antenatal corticosteroids exposure in male sexual behavior. J. Sex. Med. 8, 1965–1974 (2011).
- Rodrigues, A. J. et al. Mechanisms of initiation and reversal of drug-seeking behavior induced by prenatal exposure to glucocorticoids. *Mol. Psychiatry* 17, 1295–1305 (2012).
- Borges, S. et al. Dopaminergic modulation of affective and social deficits induced by prenatal glucocorticoid exposure. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 38, 2068–2079 (2013).
- Hiroi, R., Carbone, D. L., Zuloaga, D. G., Bimonte-Nelson, H. A. & Handa, R. J. Sexdependent programming effects of prenatal glucocorticoid treatment on the developing serotonin system and stress-related behaviors in adulthood. *Neuroscience* 320, 43–56 (2016).
- Rosen, J. B. & Schulkin, J. From normal fear to pathological anxiety. *Psychol. Rev.* 105, 325–350 (1998).
- Parnaudeau, S. et al. Glucocorticoid receptor gene inactivation in dopamineinnervated areas selectively decreases behavioral responses to amphetamine. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 8, 35 (2014).
- Nagano, M., Liu, M., Inagaki, H., Kawada, T. & Suzuki, H. Early intervention with fluoxetine reverses abnormalities in the serotonergic system and behavior of rats exposed prenatally to dexamethasone. *Neuropharmacology* 63, 292–300 (2012).

- Sidoryk-Wegrzynowicz, M., Wegrzynowicz, M., Lee, E., Bowman, A. B. & Aschner, M. Role of astrocytes in brain function and disease. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **39**, 115–123 (2011).
- Caetano, L. et al. Adenosine A2A receptor regulation of microglia morphological remodeling-gender bias in physiology and in a model of chronic anxiety. *Mol. Psychiatry* 22, 1035–1043 (2017).
- Frahm, K. A., Handa, R. J. & Tobet, S. A. Embryonic exposure to dexamethasone affects nonneuronal cells in the adult paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. J. Endocr. Soc. 2, 140–153 (2018).
- De Kloet, E. R., Vreugdenhil, E., Oitzl, M. S. & Joëls, M. Brain corticosteroid receptor balance in health and disease. *Endocr. Rev.* 19, 269–301 (1998).
- Jacobson, L. & Sapolsky, R. The role of the hippocampus in feedback regulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. *Endocr. Rev.* 12, 118–134 (1991).
- Rayburn, W. F., Christensen, H. D. & Gonzalez, C. L. A placebo-controlled comparison between betamethasone and dexamethasone for fetal maturation: differences in neurobehavioral development of mice offspring. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **176**, 842–851 (1997).
- 69. Hauser, J. et al. Effects of prenatal dexamethasone treatment on physical growth, pituitary-adrenal hormones, and performance of motor, motivational, and cognitive tasks in juvenile and adolescent common marmoset monkeys. *Endocrinology* **149**, 6343–6355 (2008).
- Brownfoot, F. C., Gagliardi, D. I., Bain, E., Middleton, P. & Crowther, C. A. Different corticosteroids and regimens for accelerating fetal lung maturation for women at risk of preterm birth. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* CD006764 (2013).
- Ballard, P. L. & Ballard, R. A. Scientific basis and therapeutic regimens for use of antenatal glucocorticoids. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 173, 254–262 (1995).
- Lee, B. H., Stoll, B. J., McDonald, S. A., Higgins, R. D. & National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Adverse neonatal outcomes associated with antenatal dexamethasone versus antenatal betamethasone. *Pediatrics* **117**, 1503–1510 (2006).
- Pascual, R., Valencia, M. & Bustamante, C. Antenatal betamethasone produces protracted changes in anxiety-like behaviors and in the expression of microtubule-associated protein 2, brain-derived neurotrophic factor and the tyrosine kinase B receptor in the rat cerebellar cortex. *Int. J. Dev. Neurosci.* 43, 78–85 (2015).
- Matthews, S. G. & Challis, J. R. G. Regulation of the hypothalamo-pituitaryadrenocortical axis in fetal sheep. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 7, 239–246 (1996).
- Matthews, S. G. Dynamic changes in glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptor mRNA in the developing guinea pig brain. *Dev. Brain Res.* **107**, 123–132 (1998).
- Sapolsky, R. M. & Meaney, M. J. Maturation of the adrenocortical stress response: neuroendocrine control mechanisms and the stress hyporesponsive period. *Brain Res.* **396**, 64–76 (1986).
- 77. Claman, H. N. Corticosteroids and lymphoid cells. N. Engl. J. Med. 287, 388–397 (1972).
- Saccone, G. & Berghella, V. Antenatal corticosteroids for maturity of term or near term fetuses: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMJ* 355, i5044 (2016).
- NICE. Preterm labour and birth | Guidance | Recommendations. https://www. nice.org.uk/guidance/ng25/chapter/Recommendations#maternalcorticosteroids [cited 19 May 2019].
- Committee on Obstetric Practice. Committee Opinion No. 713: Antenatal Corticosteroid Therapy for Fetal Maturation. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 130, e102–e109 (2017).
- Brown, R. W. et al. The ontogeny of 11 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 and mineralocorticoid receptor gene expression reveal intricate control of glucocorticoid action in development. *Endocrinology* **137**, 794–797 (1996).
- Murphy, V. E. & Clifton, V. L. Alterations in human placental 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 and 2 with gestational age and labour. *Placenta* 24, 739–744 (2003).
- Smith, D. J., Joffe, J. M. & Heseltine, G. F. Modification of prenatal stress effects in rats by adrenalectomy, dexamethasone and chlorpromazine. *Physiol. Behav.* 15, 461–469 (1975).
- Christensen, H. D., Gonzalez, C. L., Stewart, J. D. & Rayburn, W. F. Multiple courses of antenatal betamethasone and cognitive development of mice offspring. *J. Matern. Fetal Med.* **10**, 269–276 (2001).
- Burlet, G. et al. Antenatal glucocorticoids blunt the functioning of the hypothialamic-pituitary-adrenal axis of neonates and disturb some behaviors in juveniles. *Neuroscience* 133, 221–230 (2005).
- McArthur, S., McHale, E. & Gillies, G. E. The size and distribution of midbrain dopaminergic populations are permanently altered by perinatal glucocorticoid exposure in a sex- region- and time-specific manner. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 32, 1462–1476 (2007).

- Owen, D. & Matthews, S. G. Prenatal glucocorticoid exposure alters hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal function in juvenile guinea pigs. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* **19**, 172–180 (2007).
- Setiawan, E., Jackson, M. F., MacDonald, J. F. & Matthews, S. G. Effects of repeated prenatal glucocorticoid exposure on long-term potentiation in the juvenile guinea-pig hippocampus. J. Physiol. 581, 1033–1042 (2007).
- Hossain, A. et al. Prenatal dexamethasone impairs behavior and the activation of the BDNF exon IV promoter in the paraventricular nucleus in adult offspring. *Endocrinology* 149, 6356–6365 (2008).
- Kleinhaus, K. et al. Effects of excessive glucocorticoid receptor stimulation during early gestation on psychomotor and social behavior in the rat. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 52, 121–132 (2010).
- Neigh, G. N., Owens, M. J., Taylor, W. R. & Nemeroff, C. B. Changes in the vascular area fraction of the hippocampus and amygdala are induced by prenatal dexamethasone and/or adult stress. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow. Metab.* **30**, 1100–1104 (2010).
- Kjaer, S. L. et al. Influence of diurnal phase on startle response in adult rats exposed to dexamethasone in utero. *Physiol. Behav.* 102, 444–452 (2011).
- 93. Roque, S. et al. Interplay between depressive-like behavior and the immune system in an animal model of prenatal dexamethasone administration. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* **5**, 4 (2011).

- Oliveira, M. et al. The bed nucleus of stria terminalis and the amygdala as targets of antenatal glucocorticoids: implications for fear and anxiety responses. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.*) **220**, 443–453 (2012).
- Zuloaga, D. G., Carbone, D. L. & Handa, R. J. Prenatal dexamethasone selectively decreases calretinin expression in the adult female lateral amygdala. *Neurosci. Lett.* 521, 109–114 (2012).
- Virdee, K. et al. Antenatal glucocorticoid treatment induces adaptations in adult midbrain dopamine neurons, which underpin sexually dimorphic behavioral resilience. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **39**, 339–350 (2014).
- Frahm, K. A. & Tobet, S. A. Development of the blood-brain barrier within the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus: influence of fetal glucocorticoid excess. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 220, 2225–2234 (2015).
- Zeng, Y., Brydges, N. M., Wood, E. R., Drake, A. J. & Hall, J. Prenatal glucocorticoid exposure in rats: programming effects on stress reactivity and cognition in adult offspring. *Stress* 18, 353–361 (2015).
- Virdee, K. et al. Counteractive effects of antenatal glucocorticoid treatment on D1 receptor modulation of spatial working memory. *Psychopharmacology (Berl.)* 233, 3751–3761 (2016).
- Conti, M., Spulber, S., Raciti, M. & Ceccatelli, S. Depressive-like phenotype induced by prenatal dexamethasone in mice is reversed by desipramine. *Neuropharmacology* **126**, 242–249 (2017).