ARTICLE

Open Access

$SCF^{\beta-TrCP}$ -mediated degradation of TOP2 β promotes cancer cell survival in response to chemotherapeutic drugs targeting topoisomerase II

Jianfeng Shu^{1,2}, Danrui Cui^{1,2}, Ying Ma^{1,2}, Xiufang Xiong^{2,3}, Yi Sun^{2,3} and Yongchao Zhao^{1,2}

Abstract

Topoisomerase II (TOP2)-targeting anticancer chemotherapeutic drugs, termed TOP2 poisons, are widely used and effective in the clinic by stabilizing TOP2-DNA covalent complexes to induce DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) and ultimately, cause cell death. The stabilized TOP2-DNA complex is known to be degraded by proteasome, whereas the underlying mechanism for instant TOP2 β degradation in response to TOP2 poisons and the subsequent biological consequence remain elusive. Here, we reported that TOP2 poison-induced TOP2 β degradation is mediated by SCF^{β -TrCP} ubiquitin ligase. Specifically, DNA damage signal, triggered by teniposide (VM-26) treatment, activates ATM, cooperating with CK1 to phosphorylate TOP2 β on Ser1134 and Ser1130, respectively, in a canonical degron motif to facilitate β -TrCP binding and subsequent degradation. Inactivation of ATM, CK1 or SCF^{β -TrCP} by small molecular inhibitors or genetic knockdown/knockout abrogates TOP2 β degradation. Biologically, blockage of TOP2 β degradation in combination with VM-26 treatment impairs DNA damage response and repair, leading to an accelerated cell death via apoptosis. Thus, it appears that TOP2 β degradation is a cellular defensive mechanism to facilitate the exposure of DSBs to trigger DNA damage response and repair. Collectively, our findings reveal a new strategy to improve the efficacy of TOP2 poisons in combination with small-molecule inhibitors against TOP2 β degradation.

Introduction

Type II DNA topoisomerase (TOP2) modulates DNA topology during DNA replication, transcription, repair, recombination, and chromosome remodeling and segregation^{1–3}. TOP2 covalently binds to DNA, forming TOP2-DNA cleavable complexes, generates transient double-strand breaks (DSBs), which enables another duplex DNA to pass through the breaks, and finally, reseals the broken DNA strands^{3,4}. Mammalian cells express two TOP2 isoforms, known as TOP2 α and

© The Author(s) 2020

TOP2 β . These two TOP2 isozymes share ~70% sequence identity and have similar catalytic activities and structural features but play distinct roles in biological processes^{1,3}. TOP2 α is primarily involved in regulating cell proliferation, whereas TOP2 β is mainly associated with cell differentiation and transcription⁵. Both TOP2 isozymes have been shown to be cellular targets by numerous TOP2targeting anticancer drugs in clinical use^{6,7}.

A class of anticancer drugs that target TOP2, termed TOP2 poisons, including etoposide (VP-16)⁸, teniposide (VM-26)⁶, doxorubicin (DOX)⁹, and mitoxantrone¹⁰, are widely used and rather effective in clinical cancer treatment. TOP2 poisons stabilize TOP2-DNA complexes to disrupt TOP2-mediated religation of the broken strands, which blocks DNA replication and transcription and induces DNA DSBs and ultimately causes cell death⁷. However, to repair

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Correspondence: Yongchao Zhao (yongchao@zju.edu.cn)

¹Key Laboratory of Combined Multi-Organ Transplantation, Ministry of Public Health, First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China

²Institute of Translational Medicine, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article.

DSBs induced by TOP2 poisons, cancer cells have developed mechanisms by which TOP2 is removed from the TOP2-DNA adducts to expose TOP2-concealed DNA DSBs, thereby triggering DNA damage response and DNA repair, leading to enhanced survival^{7,11}. Previous studies have shown that TOP2 poisons lead to TOP2 degradation in a ubiquitin-proteasome dependent manner^{12–15}, and TOP2 β is preferentially degraded over TOP2 α^{14} . However, which E3 ligase is responsible for TOP2 β ubiquitination and degradation in response to TOP2 poisons, and what is the biological consequence of TOP2 β degradation, are previously unknown.

Cullin-RING ligase E3 ubiquitin ligases (CRLs) are the largest family of E3 ubiquitin ligases and are responsible for the ubiquitination of approximately 20% of cellular proteins that are degraded through the ubiquitinproteasome system¹⁶. Of all CRL ligases, CRL1, also known as SCF (SKP1-Cullin 1-F box protein), is the best studied member, in which Cullin 1 (CUL1) acts as a scaffold protein to bring together other components, including the adaptor protein SKP1, the substrate recognition receptor F-box protein, and the RING subunit RBX1¹⁶. β-TrCP, one of well-established F-box proteins that recognize and specifically bind to their substrates, promotes the polyubiquitination and degradation of many key signal proteins, such as CDC25A, WEE1, ΙκBα, β -catenin, DEPTOR. Thus, β -TrCP plays a critical role in the regulation of a vast array of cellular processes, including cell cycle regulation, signal transduction, gene transcription and translation, apoptosis, migration, etc.^{17–19} However, whether and how $SCF^{\beta-TrCP}$ ubiquitin ligase regulates DNA damage response and DNA repair by modulating TOP2β levels is previously unknown.

In this study, we demonstrated that VM-26-induced TOP2 β degradation is mediated by SCF^{β -TrCP} E3 ubiquitin ligase. Upon VM-26 stimulation, CK1 and activated ATM mediate TOP2β phosphorylation at Ser1130 and Ser1134, respectively, within its consensus β -TrCP degron motif, which facilitates β -TrCP-TOP2 β binding and subsequent polyubiquitination for targeted degradation. Inactivating β -TrCP via genetic depletion and pharmacological approaches not only extends the protein half-life of TOP2 β but also impairs DNA damage response. Consequently, depletion of β -TrCP or ectopic expression of β -TrCP-resistant TOP2 β mutants sensitizes cancer cells to VM-26 by promoting cell apoptosis. Our study provides a new strategy to improve the efficacy of TOP2targeted anticancer drugs by combination with smallmolecule inhibitors against TOP2B degradation.

Results

TOP2 β is a novel substrate of CRL E3 ligases

Several studies have demonstrated that TOP2 poisons induce TOP2 β degradation via the 26S proteasome¹²⁻¹⁵.

However, the mechanism by which TOP2 β protein stability is regulated in vivo remains poorly understood. Consistent with previous studies, we also found that the proteasome inhibitor MG132 caused an accumulation of TOP2 β protein in a time-dependent manner (Fig. S1A). TOP2 poisons, including VP-16, VM-26, m-AMSA, and DOX, indeed induced significant degradation of TOP2 β with minimal, if any, effect on TOP2 α (Fig. S1B). Moreover, MG132 blocked VM-26-induced TOP2 β degradation, indicating 26S proteasome-mediated degradation (Fig. S1C).

Given that CRLs are the largest family of E3 ubiquitin ligases, to determine whether CRL E3 ubiquitin ligases are involved in TOP2 poison-induced TOP2β degradation, we treated cells with VM-26 in combination with MLN4924, a small-molecule inhibitor of the NEDD8activating enzyme (NAE) that inactivates cullin neddylation to block CRL activation²⁰. We found that the downregulation of TOP2B levels upon VM-26 treatment was abrogated by MLN4924 in human breast cancer SK-BR3, MDA-MB231, and MCF7 cells (Fig. 1a). Moreover, MLN4924 caused a dose-dependent accumulation of TOP2 β in all tested cells (Fig. 1b). Similar to MG132, MLN4924 also significantly extended the protein half-life of TOP2β upon VM-26 treatment (Fig. 1c and Fig. S1C). Consistently, the polyubiquitination of TOP2 β induced by VM-26 was remarkably inhibited by MLN4924 treatment (Fig. 1d). To further demonstrate that CRLs are involved in TOP2B degradation, we overexpressed FLAG-RBX1, one of two RING components in CRL ligases¹⁶, in HEK293 cells and found that RBX1 bound to endogenous TOP2 β (Fig. 1e). As expected, CUL1 and β -TrCP1, two components of CRL1 ligase, were also pulled down by RBX1 (Fig. 1e). Together, these results suggest that TOP2β is targeted by CRL E3 ubiquitin ligase for ubiquitination and degradation upon VM-26 treatment.

$\beta\text{-}TrCP$ binds to TOP2 β and negatively regulates TOP2 β levels

We next determined whether CRL1 is the E3 ligase that mediated VM-26-induced TOP2 β degradation by measuring the protein half-life of TOP2 β upon CUL1 knockdown via siRNA oligos and found that CUL1 silencing extended the protein half-life of TOP2 β upon VM-26 treatment (Fig. S2A), suggesting that CRL1/SCF ubiquitin ligase is responsible for TOP2 β degradation.

To further define which F-box protein specifically binds to TOP2 β and targets it for ubiquitination, we examined the TOP2 β protein sequence for the consensus binding motifs required for F-box protein binding, and identified two evolutionarily conserved putative binding motifs (¹¹²⁹DSGTPS¹¹³⁴ and ¹³¹⁵SSGKPS¹³²⁰) for β -TrCP (DSGxxS) (Fig. 2a)¹⁷. Knocking down β -TrCP by siRNA oligos suppressed VM-26-induced TOP2 β degradation (Fig. 2b) and



caused a time-dependent accumulation of TOP2 β with minimal, if any, effect on TOP2 α (Fig. 2c). Consistently, β -TrCP silencing by siRNA oligos or by CRISPR-Cas9mediated β -TrCP1 knockout significantly extended the protein half-life of TOP2 β in all tested cells (Fig. 2d and Fig. S2B), suggesting that TOP2 β degradation induced by VM-26 is mediated by β -TrCP. In addition, a coimmunoprecipitation assay using ectopically expressed FLAG- β -TrCP1 confirmed an interaction between β -TrCP1 and TOP2 β , which was increased upon VM-26 treatment (Fig. 2e). Moreover, polyubiquitinated TOP2 β can be readily detected in immune precipitates by β -TrCP1 (Fig. 2f). In addition, an in vivo ubiquitination assay further confirmed that β-TrCP1 markedly promoted TOP2β polyubiquitination induced by VM-26 treatment (Fig. 2g). Taken together, these results clearly demonstrate an interaction between TOP2β and β-TrCP1 in vivo and suggest that SCF^{β-TrCP} E3 ligase mediates ubiquitination and degradation of TOP2β induced by VM-26 treatment.

Turnover of TOP2 β is dependent on its $\beta\text{-TrCP}$ degron motif

It is well established that the phosphorylation of the key residues on the degron motif is a prerequisite for the



HEK293 cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were left untreated or treated with VM-26 and MG132 (20 μ M) for 5 h. Cells were then harvested for IP with anti-FLAG beads (top) and direct IB with the indicated Abs (bottom). **f** β -TrCP1 binds to endogenous TOP2 β . HeLa cells were lysed and subjected to IP with β -TrCP1 antibody or normal rabbit immunoglobulin (IgG), and then, IB was undertaken with anti-TOP2 β Ab (top). Cell lysate was also directly immunoblotted with the indicated Abs (bottom). **g** β -TrCP1 promotes TOP2 β polyubiquitination in vivo. HEK293 cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were treated with MG132 and VM-26 for 5 h, and then, IP was conducted with anti-HA beads (top), and direct IB was undertaken with the indicated Abs (bottom).

binding of a substrate to an F-box protein for subsequent ubiquitination and degradation by SCF ubiquitin ligases²¹. Specifically, the two serine residues of the β -TrCP degron motif (DSGxxS) must be phosphorylated. To determine whether the two putative β -TrCP degron motifs affected TOP2 β - β -TrCP binding and TOP2 β stability, we generated three TOP2 β mutations in the β -TrCP degron motifs. Specifically, three serine residues were replaced with alanine, which cannot be phosphorylated: S1130A, S1134A, and S1316A (Fig. 3a). Immunoblotting assays revealed that the half-lives of proteins with the S1130A and S1134A mutations in degron motif-1 (¹¹²⁹DSGTPS¹¹³⁴) were obviously extended compared with that of wild-type TOP2 β , whereas the S1316A mutation in degron motif-2 (¹³¹⁵SSGKPS¹³²⁰) was not, but shortened the TOP2β protein half-life (Fig. 3b). These results indicated that β-TrCP degron motif-1 may be subjected to β-TrCP recognition and interaction. Indeed, an IP-based pull-down assay confirmed that S1130A and S1134A mutations disrupted the interaction between TOP2β and β-TrCP1 (Fig. 3c). Consequently, these two mutations in degron motif-1 significantly inhibited the poly-ubiquitination of TOP2β induced by VM-26 treatment in an in vivo ubiquitination assay (Fig. 3d). Thus, β-TrCP binds to and targets TOP2β for ubiquitination and degradation in a manner dependent on the phosphorylation of both serine residues in the β-TrCP degron motif-1, suggesting that TOP2β is a *bona fide* substrate of SCF^{β-TrCP} ubiquitin ligase.



ATM binds to and phosphorylates TOP2 β at Ser1134 to promote TOP2 β degradation

It is well known that chemotherapeutic drugs targeting topoisomerases induce DNA damage⁷ and the three key kinases, including ATM, ATR, and DNA-PK, activated by DNA damage signals mediate DNA damage response to induce cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, and apoptosis²². To determine which VM-26-activated kinase (or kinases) mediates the phosphorylation of TOP2β at the serine residues of the consensus binding motif, thereby leading to its degradation, we used small-molecule inhibitors to inactivate ATM, ATR, or DNA-PK, respectively, and determined their effects on TOP2β protein levels. We found that VM-26-induced TOP2β reduction was

significantly abolished by KU60019, an ATM inhibitor²³, but not by the ATR inhibitor AZD6738²⁴ or the DNA-PK inhibitor LTURM34²⁵ (Fig. 4a). Consistently, VM-26, indeed, significantly activated ATM in a time-dependent manner, as reflected by the increase in the phosphorylation of ATM at Ser1981 (Fig. S3A). Moreover, the protein half-life of TOP2 β was significantly extended in the presence of KU60019 but not AZD6738 or LTURM34 (Fig. 4b and Fig. S3B, C). More specifically, ATM knockdown via siRNA oligos in breast cancer SK-BR3 and MDA-MB231 cells (Fig. 4c) or ATM knockout in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Fig. 4d) extended TOP2 β protein half-life upon VM-26 treatment (Fig. 4c, d). In addition, ATM was readily detected in



immune precipitates by FLAG-tagged TOP2 β (Fig. 4e), indicating that ATM can bind to and phosphorylate TOP2 β .

To identify which residue is phosphorylated by ATM, we examined the TOP2 β protein sequence and found two putative ATM phosphorylation sites close to the consensus β-TrCP degron motif, Thr1119 and Ser1134, as predicted by computer-aided algorithms in GSP 2.0 (http://gps.biocuckoo.org) and KinasePhos 2.0²⁶, respectively (Fig. 4f). Although both Ser/Thr residues are evolutionarily conserved (Fig. 4f), only the S1134A mutant significantly abrogated the phosphorylation of TOP2β, whereas the phosphorylation-dead mutations of Thr1119 or Ser1130, the other key serine residue in the β -TrCP degron motif, had no effects on the phosphorylation levels of TOP2B, as shown by the phosphorylation levels of immune precipitates by FLAG-tagged TOP2β using p-S/T antibody (Fig. 4g). Together, our results demonstrate that ATM binds to TOP2β and phosphorylates Ser1134 for targeted TOP2β degradation.

CK1 phosphorylates TOP2 β at Ser1130 to promote its degradation induced by VM-26

Given that ATM is unable to phosphorylate TOP2 β at Ser1130, which is essential for its degradation, we next explored other possible kinases involved in regulating TOP2β degradation with the focused on GSK3, CK1, and CK2, which often play roles in β-TrCP-mediated protein degradation²⁷. Notably, inactivation of CK1 by D4476, a CK1 inhibitor²⁸, blocked the degradation of TOP2 β in response to VM-26 treatment, whereas inactivation of CK2 and GSK3 by CK2 inhibitor CX-4945²⁹ and GSK3 inhibitor GSK3i-IX³⁰, respectively, had no such effect (Fig. 5a). Consistently, the protein half-life of TOP2B was extended by D4476 treatment but not by CX-4945 or GSK3i-IX treatment (Fig. 5b and Fig. S4A, B). Furthermore, we determined that the δ isoform, and ϵ isoform to a lesser extent, but not α isoform, of CK1 were involved in degrading TOP2 β , as evidenced by the blockage of TOP2 β degradation and the extension of its protein half-life upon CK1δ silencing via siRNA oligos (Fig. 5c, d, and Fig. S4C, D).



Similar to ATM, we found an interaction between CK18 and TOP2 β , implying that CK18 can directly bind to and phosphorylate TOP2 β . Furthermore, this interaction was significantly increased in response to VM-26 treatment (Fig. 5e), suggesting that phosphorylation of TOP2 β at Ser1134 induced by VM-26-activated ATM may promote CK18 to phosphorylate TOP2 β at Ser1130. Indeed, overexpression of CK18 promoted the phosphorylation of TOP2 β but had no effect on the S1130A mutant (Fig. 5f). Additionally, several studies showed that upon DNA

damage, CK1 δ is translocated from cytoplasm to nucleus^{31,32}. Taken together, these results indicate that CK1 mediates TOP2 β phosphorylation at Ser1130 to promote subsequent degradation in response to VM-26 treatment.

Inactivation of $\mathsf{SCF}^{\beta\text{-}\mathsf{TrCP}}$ ubiquitin ligase impairs DNA damage response by concealing DSBs induced by VM-26 treatment

It has been reported that proteasome-mediated degradation of TOP2 cleavage complexes transforms TOP2

concealed DNA strand breaks into recognizable DSBs that trigger DNA damage signals, including ATM autophosphorylation at Ser1981 and the formation of yH2AX foci to recruit DNA repair machinery⁷. To determine whether $SCF^{\beta-TrCP}$ ubiquitin ligase-mediated TOP2 β degradation plays a physiological role in the VM-26-induced DNA damage response, we examined foci formation and the levels of γ H2AX, a marker for DNA damage signaling³³, in the presence of MLN4924 to block the activation of $SCF^{\bar{\beta}-TrCP}$ ubiquitin ligase. As expected, VM-26 significantly induced foci formation and increased the levels of yH2AX in SK-BR3 (Figs. 6a, b) and MDA-MB231 cells (Figs. S5A, B), indicating the induction of DNA damage signaling by VM-26. Notably, co-treatment of MLN4924, which inhibited TOP2β degradation (Fig. 6b and Fig. S5B), remarkably attenuated the foci formation and levels of yH2AX (Fig. 6a, b and Fig. S5A, B). Likewise, ATM autophosphorylation at Ser1981 triggered by VM-26 treatment was also reduced in the presence of MLN4924 (Fig. 6b and Fig. S5B). In addition, similar results were obtained when $SCF^{\beta-TrCP}$ was specifically inactivated by siRNA-based knockdown (Fig. 6c, d and Fig. S5C, D). These results suggest that $SCF^{\beta-TrCP}$ -mediated TOP2 β degradation plays vital roles in the transformation of TOP2-DNA covalent complexes into DSBs that are recognized by DNA damage signaling.

Next, to further support this notion, we used a neutral comet assay³⁴ to measure the amount of DSBs. Notably, the comet tail moment identified by neutral comet assay reflected the total levels of TOP2 concealed DSBs and protein-free DSBs, as protease K was utilized in the lysis buffer to digest TOP2 in TOP2β-DNA covalent complexes to reveal DSBs concealed by TOP2β. As shown in Fig. 6e-h, VM-26 treatment, indeed, significantly increased the comet tail moment, indicating that DSBs were induced by VM-26, a finding that is consistent with the role of VM-26 in stabilizing TOP2β-DNA covalent complexes to subsequently induce DSBs. Co-treatment with MLN4924 (Fig. 6e, f) or β -TrCP1 depletion (Fig. 6g, h) caused a significant increase in DSBs compared with the treatment of VM-26 alone or with sgCtrl. This result implied that 1) blocking TOP2β degradation by inactivating SCF^{β-TrCP} stabilizes TOP2β-DNA covalent complexes and conceals DSBs, which impairs the recognition of damaged DNA to trigger DNA damage response and subsequent DNA repair, resulting in the increased DSBs observed in the neutral comet assay; 2) the attenuation of DNA damage response upon inactivation of $SCF^{\beta-TrCP}$ by MLN4924 or β-TrCP depletion (Fig. 6a-d) was not caused by the reduction in DSBs. Taken together, our results clearly demonstrate that inactivation of $SCF^{\beta\text{-}TrCP}$ ubiquitin ligase blocks TOP2B degradation induced by VM-26 treatment to conceal DSBs and subsequently attenuates DNA damage response.

Depletion of β -TrCP or overexpression of β -TrCP-resistant TOP2 β mutants sensitizes cancer cells to VM-26 treatment by promoting apoptosis

Several lines of evidence have shown that chemotherapeutic drugs targeting topoisomerases induce DNA DSBs, leading to rapid TOP2β degradation and apoptotic cell death⁷. However, it is unknown whether TOP2^β degradation induced by these chemotherapeutic drugs contributes to the killing of tumor cells. To this end, we determined the biological consequence of disrupting $SCF^{\beta-TrCP}$ -mediated TOP2 β degradation triggered by VM-26 treatment. We first determined whether MLN4924, a small-molecule inhibitor of neddylation currently at the Phase I/II clinical trials against a number of human malignancies that inactivates all CRL ligases²⁰ would enhance the killing of tumor cells by VM-26 treatment. We used an IC₂₀ concentration of MLN4924 (Fig. S6A) in combination with various concentrations of VM-26 to determine the IC₅₀ values of VM-26 with or without MLN4924. The ATPlite cell viability assay showed that MLN4924 caused a significant decrease in the IC₅₀ values of VM-26 in SK-BR3 and H1299 cells from 107.1 nM to 49.9 nM and from 84.9 nM to 42.2 nM, respectively (Fig. S6B). More specifically, β -TrCP1 depletion caused a significant decrease in the IC₅₀ values of VM-26 in SK-BR3 and H1299 cells from 255.6 nM to 124.2 nM and from 94.4 nM to 58.6 nM (Fig. 7a and Fig. S7A), respectively, indicating that cancer cells are more sensitive to VM-26 treatment after β-TrCP1 depletion. Mechanistically, the percentage of apoptotic cells, as reflected by Annexin V⁺ cells assessed by flow cytometry, was significantly increased when VM-26 treatment was combined with β -TrCP1 depletion (Fig. 7b and Fig. S7B). Likewise, VM-26 treatment markedly increased the cleavage of caspase-3 and PARP, two hallmarks of apoptosis, in β -TrCP1-depleted cells (Fig. 7c and Fig. S7C), suggesting that β -TrCP depletion sensitizes cancer cells to VM-26 by promoting apoptosis. Concomitantly, VM-26induced TOP2^β degradation and H2AX phosphorylation were also reduced in β-TrCP1-depleted cells, which suggested that blocking TOP2^β degradation attenuates DNA damage response and DNA repair, ultimately leading to apoptosis induction (Fig. 7c and Fig. S7C).

To rule out the possible involvement of other β -TrCP substrates in VM-26 sensitization, we stably expressed wild-type (WT) TOP2 β or TOP2 β (S1130A) and TOP2 β (S1134A), two TOP2 β mutants resistant to SCF^{β -TrCP-</sub> mediated degradation, in cells with depletion of endogenous TOP2 β by the CRISPR-Cas9 approach. We found that ectopic expression of β -TrCP-resistant TOP2 β mutants sensitized cells to VM-26 treatment, as evidenced by (1) the decrease in IC₅₀ values (Fig. 7d and Fig. S7D) and clonogenic cell survival (Fig. 7e and Fig. S7F), and (2) the increase in apoptosis (Fig. 7f and Fig. S7F) in cells}





expressing TOP2 β -S1130A or TOP2 β -S1134A compared to those in cells expressing TOP2 β -WT. Taken together, our results demonstrate that blocking β -TrCP-mediated TOP2 β degradation attenuates DNA damage response to impair DNA repair, leading to enhanced apoptosis and greater sensitivity to VM-26 treatment.

Discussion

In this study, we identified and characterized TOP2 β , a type II topoisomerase, as a physiological substrate of SCF^{β -TrCP} E3 ubiquitin ligase. Our conclusion is

supported by the following lines of evidence: (1) inactivating SCF E3 ubiquitin ligases by MLN4924 blocks TOP2 β degradation induced by VM-26 treatment, extends the TOP2 β half-life, and reduces TOP2 β polyubiquitination; (2) TOP2 β binding to β -TrCP, which is dependent on an evolutionarily conserved β -TrCP binding motif on TOP2 β , is enhanced significantly upon VM-26 treatment, leading to TOP2 β polyubiquitination; (3) inactivating SCF^{β -TrCP} E3 ubiquitin ligase by silencing CUL1 or β -TrCP blocks TOP2 β degradation and extends its protein half-life; (4) ATM and CK1 phosphorylate

TOP2 β at Ser1134 and Ser1130 within the β -TrCP binding motif, respectively; and (5) inactivating ATM or CK1 by siRNA knockdown, gene knockout and small-molecule inhibitor blocks TOP2 β degradation and extends its protein half-life. Biologically, we demonstrated that SCF^{β -TrCP}-mediated TOP2 β degradation promotes cancer cell survival by promoting DNA damage signals that facilitate DNA repair, whereas blockage of this degradation promotes cell killing via enhancing apoptosis (Fig. 7g).

A previous study showed that proteasomal inhibitors significantly enhance the growth inhibition of drugs targeting DNA topoisomerase II, indicating that blocking TOP2 degradation is an attractive strategy to sensitize cancer cells to TOP2-targeting chemotherapeutic drugs (TOP2 poisons)³⁵. Although TOP2 poisons induce the degradation of both TOP2 α and TOP2 β , the rate and extent of degradation are much greater for TOP2^β than for TOP2 α (Fig. 2c and Fig. S1B)¹³, a finding that provides novel insight that may explain why the cytotoxic effects of most TOP2 poisons in tumor cells appear to be mainly TOP2α-dependent. Therefore, specifically blocking the degradation of TOP2B would be a better choice for effectively enhancing the anticancer efficacy of TOP2 poisons. However, the proteasomal inhibitors used in a previous study are associated with high normal cell toxicity, as a result of the global inhibition of protein degradation through the 26 S proteasome³⁵. In this study, we revealed the precise mechanism by which TOP2 poisons induce TOP2^β degradation and demonstrated that specifically blocking TOP2β degradation remarkably sensitizes cancer cells to VM-26 treatment (Fig. 7). Thus, our study suggests a novel strategic drug combination of TOP2 poisons with an inhibitor targeting TOP2β degradation for maximal killing efficiency of tumor cells.

Chemotherapeutic drugs targeting topoisomerases are widely used in anticancer treatment in the clinic⁶. For example, etoposide (VP-16) is approved to treat lymphomas, nonlymphocytic leukemia, and solid tumors⁸; teniposide (VM-26) is used in the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) in children, lymphoma, and brain cancer⁶; and doxorubicin acts as a potent anticancer drug and is approved for the treatment of many human cancers, including solid tumors (such as breast, lung, ovarian, bladder, liver, and thyroid), lymphomas, and ALL⁹. Unfortunately, these drugs are associated at a high incidence with development of secondary malignancies. For example, a specific type of leukemia was developed in patients treated with etoposide or doxorubicin, likely due to induced chromosomal translocations³⁶. Recent studies have shown that TOP2β-DNA cleavage complexes have a preferential role in the generation of leukemia chromosomal break points^{14,36}. Thus, at least in theory, small inhibitors targeting TOP2 β degradation will reduce the leukemogenic potential of TOP2 poisons. Therefore, the mechanism underlying TOP2 β degradation elucidated in our study may help to uncover a new way to decrease the incidence of secondary malignancies induced by the treatment of TOP2 poisons, while enhancing their anticancer efficacy.

Few recent studies have shown that TOP2B-mediated DNA DSBs that subsequently induce DNA damage signals contribute to the cardiotoxicity induced by doxorubicin^{37,38}. Proteasomal degradation of TOP2β exposes the TOP2β-concealed DNA DSBs that results in genomic instability, which could play an important role in the development of this side effect. Thus, proteasome inhibitors that block TOP2B degradation to reduce DNA damage signals or a knockout of TOP2B would likely prevent doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. Indeed, mice carrying cardiomyocyte-specific deletion of Top2ß were found to be significantly protected from the development of doxorubicin-induced progressive heart failure³⁸, indicating that TOP2 β is a promising molecular target for preventing cardiotoxicity induced by doxorubicin or other TOP2 poisons $^{39-41}$. Our findings reported in this study, therefore, have a translational implication by providing a more precise strategy to prevent doxorubicin cardiotoxicity through blockage of TOP2 β degradation.

In summary, our study established a feed-forward loop by which TOP2 poisons trigger DNA damage signal for instant TOP2β degradation, which then fully expose damaged DNA to triggers more DNA damage response and repair. Specifically, upon exposure to TOP2 poisons, TOP2B-DNA complexes were stabilized which disrupted TOP2-mediated religation of the broken strands, leading to ATM activation. Activated ATM then phosphorylated TOP2β at Ser1134 to trigger CK1 phosphorylation of TOP2 β at Ser1130 on the β -TrCP degron motif, which is then recognized by β -TrCP for binding and subsequent ubiquitination by SCF E3 ligase for proteasomal degradation. The degradation of TOP2ß exposes TOP2β-concealed DNA DSBs to enhance DNA damage signals that further activates ATM and initiates DNA repair for cell survival. Thus, the small molecules that inhibit TOP2^β degradation would disrupt this feed-forward loop to reduce DNA damage response and repair for enhanced cancer cell killing. At the same time, termination of this feed-forward loop may also reduce cardiotoxicity and secondary malignancies induced by TOP2 poisons (Fig. 7g).

Materials and methods

Cell lines and chemicals

All cell lines, SK-BR3, MDA-MB231, MCF7, HEK293, and H1299 were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium, supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2 . The following chemicals were obtained from commercial sources: MLN4924 (Apexbio), MG132 (Cayman), CHX (Sigma), VM-26 (Sigma), KU60019 (MCE), AZD6738 (MCE), LTURM34 (MCE), D4476 (Selleck), GSK3i-IX (Selleck), and CX-4945 (Selleck).

Cell transfection and siRNA silencing

For cell transfection, sub-confluent cells were transfected with the corresponding plasmids or siRNA oligos using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequences of siRNA oligos are as follows: siCtrl: 5'-ATT GTA TGC GAT CGC AGA C-3'; siGUL1: 5'-GGT CGC TTC ATA AAC AAC A-3'; si β TrCP1 + 2: 5'-AAG TGG AAT TTG TGG AAC ATC-3'; siATM: 5'-AAC ATA CTA CTC AAA GAC A TT-3'; siCK1 α : 5-GGC TAA AGG CTG CAA CAA A-3'; siCK1 δ : 5'-CCA AGA GAC AGA AAT ACG AA A-3'; siCK1 ϵ : 5'-GCG ACT ACA ACG TGA TGG T-3'.

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout

Human breast cancer SK-BR3 and MDA-MB231 cells and human lung cancer H1299 cells with β -TrCP1 or TOP2 β knockout were generated by the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Briefly, single-guide RNA (sgRNA) against β -TrCP1 or TOP2 β was subcloned into the plasmid pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459). Cells were transfected with the construct and selected with puromycin for 3 days, and single clones were selected under a microscope.

Immunoblotting and immunoprecipitation

For immunoblotting (IB), cells were lysed in buffer supplemented with phosphatase inhibitors and protease inhibitors, followed by ultrasound. The lysates were then separated on SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies (Abs). For immunoprecipitation (IP), whole-cell extract was incubated with the corresponding antibody in a rotating incubator for 3-5 h at 4 °C, to which Protein A/G Sepharose beads were added, and the incubation continued for an additional 2-4 h. The immunoprecipitates were then washed four times with lysis buffer and subjected to IB with the indicated Abs, as previously described⁴².

The following antibodies were used: TOP2 β (611493, BD Biosciences, 1:1000), TOP2 α (12286, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000), CUL1 (sc-11384, Santa Cruz, 1:1000), ACTIN (A5441, Sigma, 1:10000), NEDD8 (ab81264, Abcam, 1:4000), β -TrCP1 (4394, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000), FLAG (F1804, Sigma, 1:2000), p-AKT (4060, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:2000), AKT (4691, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:2000), p-ATR (2853, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000), p-ATM (200-301-400S, Rockland, 1:1000), ATM (2873, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000), β -Catenin

(9582, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000), CK1 δ (12417, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:500), γ H2AX (05-636, Millipore, 1:10000), PARP (9542, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000), Caspase 3 (9665, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000), p-CHK1 (2348, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:2000), CHK1 (sc-8408, Santa Cruz, 1:1000), and p-S/T (9631, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1000).

Flow cytometry

Cells were treated with VM-26 for various time periods and then stained with an annexin V-FITC/propidium iodide (PI) apoptosis detection kit (Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, China), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and then counted by flow cytometry, as described previously⁴³.

In vivo ubiquitination assay

HEK293 cells were transfected with various plasmids with PolyJet (SignaGen Laboratories), according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 48 h, cells were treated with VM-26 or MLN4924, along with MG132, as described. Cells were then lysed in buffer containing phosphatase inhibitors and protease inhibitors, pulled down with HA beads and subjected to direct IB using anti-TOP2 β or anti-FLAG antibody.

ATPlite assay

A total of 2000 cells were seeded in triplicate in 96-well plates and treated with VM-26 at various concentrations for 72 h and then assayed for viability with ATPlite, according to the manufacturer's instructions for use of the ATPlite 1 step Luminescence Assay System (PerkinElmer), as previously described⁴⁴. The results obtained from three independent experiments were plotted.

Neutral comet assay

Neutral comet assays were performed as previously described³⁴. In brief, cells were cultured in 60-mm dishes and treated with 2 mM thymidine. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were washed once with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated for additional 1 h with VM-26 or MLN4924 alone or in combination. Cells were then harvested and coated onto the slide. For cellular lysis, slides were immersed in neutral N1 lysis solution (0.5 mg/ml proteinase K, 0.5 M EDTA, 2% sarkosyl, pH 8.0) overnight at 37 °C. Then, the slides were stained with 10 µg/ml propidium iodide (PI) for 20 min, and the cells were subjected to electrophoresis at 15 V for 25 min (0.6 V/cm) and viewed using a fluorescence microscope. The comet tail moment was analyzed by the CometScore software.

Immunofluorescence

Following treatment, cells were fixed and stained with anti- γ H2AX antibody and DAPI as previously described⁴⁵.

Cells were then photographed with a Nikon A1 Ti confocal microscope (Nikon, Japan) using a ×60 oil objective lens.

Statistical analysis

The data from three independent experiments are presented as the mean \pm SEM. A two-tailed Student's *t* test for statistical analysis was performed with the Prism software (GraphPad). Statistical significance was determined for differences with p < 0.05.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Wenyi Wei at Harvard Medical School for stimulating discussion. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2016YFA0501800 to Y.Z., X.X. and Y.S.), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81672728, 81972591, 31470753 and 81721091 to Y.Z., 81572708 and 31501129 to X.X., 81572718 and 81630076 to Y.S.), and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LR16C050001 to Y.Z.).

Author details

¹Key Laboratory of Combined Multi-Organ Transplantation, Ministry of Public Health, First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China. ²Institute of Translational Medicine, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China. ³Cancer Institute of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China

Author contributions

J.S., acquisition and analysis of the data, drafting the manuscript; D.C.and Y.M., acquisition of data; X.X. and Y.S., analysis and interpretation of the data, revising the manuscript; and Y.Z., conception and design, analysis and interpretation of the data, drafting and revising the manuscript. All the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at (https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41389-020-0196-1).

Received: 17 October 2019 Revised: 10 December 2019 Accepted: 10 January 2020

Published online: 03 February 2020

References

- Nitiss, J. L. DNA topoisomerase II and its growing repertoire of biological functions. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 9, 327–337 (2009).
- Roca, J. Topoisomerase II: a fitted mechanism for the chromatin landscape. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, 721–730 (2009).
- Chen, S. H., Chan, N. L. & Hsieh, T. S. New mechanistic and functional insights into DNA topoisomerases. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 82, 139–170 (2013).
- Yan, H., Tammaro, M. & Liao, S. Collision of trapped topoisomerase 2 with transcription and replication: generation and repair of dna double-strand breaks with 5' adducts. *Genes (Basel)* 7, E32 (2016).
- Kozuki, T. et al. Roles of the C-terminal domains of topoisomerase llalpha and topoisomerase llbeta in regulation of the decatenation checkpoint. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 5995–6010 (2017).
- Delgado, J. L., Hsieh, C. M., Chan, N. L. & Hiasa, H. Topoisomerases as anticancer targets. *Biochem. J.* 475, 373–398 (2018).
- Nitiss, J. L. Targeting DNA topoisomerase II in cancer chemotherapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 9, 338–350 (2009).
- Baldwin, E. L. & Osheroff, N. Etoposide, topoisomerase II and cancer. *Curr. Med. Chem. Anticancer Agents* 5, 363–372 (2005).

- Tacar, O., Sriamornsak, P. & Dass, C. R. Doxorubicin: an update on anticancer molecular action, toxicity and novel drug delivery systems. *J. Pharm. Pharm.* 65, 157–170 (2013).
- Evison, B. J., Sleebs, B. E., Watson, K. G., Phillips, D. R. & Cutts, S. M. Mitoxantrone, more than just another topoisomerase II poison. *Med Res Rev.* 36, 248–299 (2016).
- Fan, J. R. et al. Cellular processing pathways contribute to the activation of etoposide-induced DNA damage responses. *DNA Repair (Amsterdam)* 7, 452–463 (2008).
- Xiao, H. et al. The topoisomerase llbeta circular clamp arrests transcription and signals a 26S proteasome pathway. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 3239–3244 (2003).
- Zhang, A. et al. A protease pathway for the repair of topoisomerase II-DNA covalent complexes. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 35997–36003 (2006).
- Azarova, A. M. et al. Roles of DNA topoisomerase II isozymes in chemotherapy and secondary malignancies. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 11014–11019 (2007).
- Mao, Y., Desai, S. D., Ting, C. Y., Hwang, J. & Liu, L. F. 26 S proteasome-mediated degradation of topoisomerase II cleavable complexes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 40652–40658 (2001).
- Zhao, Y. & Sun, Y. Cullin-RING ligases as attractive anti-cancer targets. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 19, 3215–3225 (2013).
- Frescas, D. & Pagano, M. Deregulated proteolysis by the F-box proteins SKP2 and beta-TrCP: tipping the scales of cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 8, 438–449 (2008).
- Lau, A. W., Fukushima, H. & Wei, W. The Fbw7 and betaTRCP E3 ubiquitin ligases and their roles in tumorigenesis. *Front Biosci. (Landmark Ed.)* 17, 2197–2212 (2012).
- Cui D. et al. The cross talk of two family members of beta-TrCP in the regulation of cell autophagy and growth. *Cell Death Differ*. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41418-019-0402-x. (2019).
- Zhao, Y., Morgan, M. A. & Sun, Y. Targeting neddylation pathways to inactivate cullin-RING ligases for anticancer therapy. *Antioxid. Redox Signal* 21, 2383–2400 (2014).
- 21. Wang, Z., Liu, P., Inuzuka, H. & Wei, W. Roles of F-box proteins in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **14**, 233–247 (2014).
- Blackford, A. N. & Jackson, S. P. ATM, ATR, and DNA-PK: the trinity at the heart of the DNA damage response. *Mol. Cell* 66, 801–817 (2017).
- Golding, S. E. et al. Improved ATM kinase inhibitor KU-60019 radiosensitizes glioma cells, compromises insulin, AKT and ERK prosurvival signaling, and inhibits migration and invasion. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 8, 2894–2902 (2009).
- 24. Karnitz, L. M. & Zou, L. Molecular pathways: targeting ATR in cancer therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res* **21**, 4780–4785 (2015).
- Morrison, R., Al-Rawi, J. M., Jennings, I. G., Thompson, P. E. & Angove, M. J. Synthesis, structure elucidation, DNA-PK and PI3K and anti-cancer activity of 8and 6-aryl-substituted-1-3-benzoxazines. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **110**, 326–339 (2016).
- Wong, Y. H. et al. KinasePhos 2.0: a web server for identifying protein kinasespecific phosphorylation sites based on sequences and coupling patterns. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, W588–W594 (2007).
- 27. Skaar, J. R., D'Angiolella, V., Pagan, J. K. & Pagano, M. SnapShot: F box proteins II. *Cell* **137**, 1358 (2009). 1358 e1351.
- Rena, G., Bain, J., Elliott, M. & Cohen, P. D4476, a cell-permeant inhibitor of CK1, suppresses the site-specific phosphorylation and nuclear exclusion of FOXO1a. *EMBO Rep.* 5, 60–65 (2004).
- Siddiqui-Jain, A. et al. CX-4945, an orally bioavailable selective inhibitor of protein kinase CK2, inhibits prosurvival and angiogenic signaling and exhibits antitumor efficacy. *Cancer Res.* **70**, 10288–10298 (2010).
- Busino, L. et al. Fbxw7alpha- and GSK3-mediated degradation of p100 is a prosurvival mechanism in multiple myeloma. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 14, 375–385 (2012).
- Inuzuka, H. et al. Phosphorylation by casein kinase I promotes the turnover of the Mdm2 oncoprotein via the SCFβ-TRCP ubiquitin ligase. *Cancer Cell* 18, 147–159 (2010).
- Wang, Z. et al. DNA damage-induced activation of ATM promotes beta-TRCPmediated Mdm2 ubiquitination and destruction. *Oncotarget* 3, 1026–1035 (2012).
- Kuo, L. J. & Yang, L. X. Gamma-H2AX—a novel biomarker for DNA doublestrand breaks. *Vivo* 22, 305–309 (2008).
- Olive, P. L. & Banath, J. P. The comet assay: a method to measure DNA damage in individual cells. *Nat. Protoc.* 1, 23–29 (2006).
- Lee, K. C., Bramley, R. L., Cowell, I. G., Jackson, G. H. & Austin, C. A. Proteasomal inhibition potentiates drugs targeting DNA topoisomerase II. *Biochem. Pharm.* 103, 29–39 (2016).

- Pendleton, M., Lindsey, R. H. Jr., Felix, C. A., Grimwade, D. & Osheroff, N. Topoisomerase II and leukemia. *Ann. N. Y Acad. Sci.* **1310**, 98–110 (2014).
- Lyu, Y. L. et al. Topoisomerase Ilbeta mediated DNA double-strand breaks: implications in doxorubicin cardiotoxicity and prevention by dexrazoxane. *Cancer Res.* 67, 8839–8846 (2007).
- Zhang, S. et al. Identification of the molecular basis of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. *Nat. Med.* 18, 1639–1642 (2012).
- Vejpongsa, P. & Yeh, E. T. Topoisomerase 2beta: a promising molecular target for primary prevention of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. *Clin. Pharm. Ther.* **95**, 45–52 (2014).
- Renu, K, V, G. A., P, B. T. & Arunachalam, S. Molecular mechanism of doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy - An update. *Eur. J. Pharm.* 818, 241–253 (2018).
- 41. Henriksen, P. A. Anthracycline cardiotoxicity: an update on mechanisms, monitoring and prevention. *Heart* **104**, 971–977 (2018).
- 42. Ma, Y. et al. SCFbeta-TrCP ubiquitinates CHK1 in an AMPK-dependent manner in response to glucose deprivation. *Mol. Oncol.* **13**, 307–321 (2019).
- Wang, J. et al. Autophagy regulates apoptosis by targeting NOXA for degradation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)–Mol. Cell Res.* 1865, 1105–1113 (2018).
- Chen, X. et al. AKT inhibitor MK-2206 sensitizes breast cancer cells to MLN4924, a first-in-class NEDD8-activating enzyme (NAE) inhibitor. *Cell Cycle* 17, 2069–2079 (2018).
- Xiong, X. et al. Ribosomal protein S27-like is a physiological regulator of p53 that suppresses genomic instability and tumorigenesis. *Elife* 3, e02236 (2014).