### ARTICLE

drastic expansion of the related research, including instrumental developments<sup>7–11</sup> and biological applica-

tions<sup>12,13</sup>, particularly live-cell analysis. On the other

hand, MIR absorption imaging is rarely used for detailed

observation of single cells because of the low spatial

resolution of 2–10 µm restricted by the diffraction limit of

MIR light and the strong background absorption by the

water surrounding the cells. However, MIR absorption

imaging has great potential for life science due to the large

absorption cross-section of the MIR absorption process

 $(\sim 10^8$  times higher than that of Raman scattering) with

low photo-damage to biomolecules. CRS imaging, in

contrast, exploits tightly focused ultrashort laser pulses to

trigger nonlinear optical effects to perform sensitive

measurements, causing undesired multiphoton electronic transitions that can cause deleterious effects to live cells<sup>14</sup>. MIR absorption avoids this problem because it is a single-

photon linear absorption process with low photon energy.

In addition, imaging with MIR light holds a possibility of

obtaining information that Raman spectra have not

#### Open Access

# Label-free mid-infrared photothermal live-cell imaging beyond video rate

Genki Ishigane<sup>1</sup>, Keiichiro Toda<sup>1,2</sup>, Miu Tamamitsu<sup>1,2</sup>, Hiroyuki Shimada<sup>2</sup>, Venkata Ramaiah Badarla<sup>2</sup> and Takuro Ideguchi <sup>1,2⊠</sup>

#### Abstract

Advancement in mid-infrared (MIR) technology has led to promising biomedical applications of MIR spectroscopy, such as liquid biopsy or breath diagnosis. On the contrary, MIR microscopy has been rarely used for live biological samples in an aqueous environment due to the lack of spatial resolution and the large water absorption background. Recently, mid-infrared photothermal (MIP) imaging has proven to be applicable to 2D and 3D single-cell imaging with high spatial resolution inherited from visible light. However, the maximum measurement rate has been limited to several frames s<sup>-1</sup>, limiting its range of use. Here, we develop a significantly improved wide-field MIP quantitative phase microscope with two orders-of-magnitude higher signal-to-noise ratio than previous MIP imaging techniques and demonstrate live-cell imaging beyond video rate. We first derive optimal system design by numerically simulating thermal conduction following the photothermal effect. Then, we develop the designed system with a homemade nanosecond MIR optical parametric oscillator and a high full-well-capacity image sensor. Our high-speed and high-spatial-resolution MIR microscope has great potential to become a new tool for life science, in particular for live-cell analysis.

#### Introduction

Vibrational imaging such as Raman scattering and midinfrared (MIR) absorption imaging has attracted attention in life science<sup>1,2</sup>, e.g., in the field of single-cell biology, because its label-free capability can solve the problems associated with fluorescence imaging, such as cell damage or death due to cytotoxicity, difficulty in continuous and quantitative measurements due to photobleaching, and undesired functional modification of the labeled intracellular biomolecules<sup>3,4</sup>. Most single-cell vibrational imaging techniques exploit Raman scattering, and the stateof-the-art coherent Raman scattering (CRS) microscopes have achieved high-speed imaging at video rates<sup>5,6</sup>. These high-speed CRS imaging systems have made significant impacts in the field of vibrational imaging and triggered

© The Author(s) 2023

Correspondence: Takuro Ideguchi (ideguchi@ipst.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{Institute}$  for Photon Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

These authors contributed equally: Genki Ishigane, Keiichiro Toda

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

revealed until now. MIR spectra provide rich information on biomolecules that dominantly exist in a cell, such as proteins and water, more specifically, e.g., the secondary structure of proteins via the amide band<sup>15–17</sup> and the state of water molecules via the OH band<sup>18</sup>.

MIR photothermal (MIP) imaging is an emerging technique that has been studied in the last several years<sup>19–32</sup>, which can solve the above-mentioned problems and enables single-cell MIR absorption imaging. In this technique, MIR molecular absorption induces local heating in the sample, and the resulting change in refractive index is detected as changes in optical parameters such as phase<sup>22–24,26</sup>, reflectance<sup>21,25,29</sup>, or scattering intensity<sup>19,20,27</sup> of visible probe light. Hence, one can obtain information on the spatial distribution of MIR absorption with a sub- $\mu$ m resolution. Moreover, as long as the MIR light reaches the cell, it is possible to capture the intracellular change in refractive index due to the transparency of visible light in biological samples, even if the MIR light is subsequently absorbed by the water behind the cell.

However, the performance, particularly the frame rate, of current MIP imaging systems has not yet reached the level of the state-of-the-art CRS imaging systems. MIP imaging techniques can be classified into "point-scanning" or "wide-field" configurations. The pioneering work on single-cell imaging was demonstrated based on the pointscanning configuration, in which MIR and visible light emitted from a pulsed quantum cascade laser (QCL) and a CW laser diode, respectively, were coaxially focused on a sample. In this configuration, images were taken by scanning the sample stage, and the maximum frame rate was limited to  $\sim 0.1$  Hz for taking 100 pixels  $\times$  100 pixels due to the low scanning speed of the stage and low detection efficiency of the photothermal signals. Widefield configurations have solved this problem<sup>21-27,29</sup>, in which the entire field of view (FOV) is irradiated with MIR and visible light, and the wide-field photothermal signals in the FOV are detected at once with a CMOS image sensor. Since the maximum image-acquisition rate is determined as half the frame rate of the image sensor, molecular vibrational imaging beyond video rate can be realized if a high SNR is achieved. However, the imageacquisition rate in cell measurement with sub-µm spatial resolution remains in the range of 0.1 to 2 Hz<sup>21,23,26,27</sup> for the state-of-the-art wide-field systems because of their low SNR due to the following reasons: (1) the low photothermal signal owing to the decrease in MIR fluence caused by wide-field illumination and the signal saturation caused by thermal diffusion, and (2) the low detection sensitivity of wide-field microscopes limited by optical shot noise due to the use of CMOS image sensors with low full-well capacity.

In this work, we develop a MIP imaging system with a high-intensity MIR nanosecond optical parametric

oscillator (OPO) and highly sensitive quantitative phase imaging (OPI)<sup>33</sup> using a high full-well-capacity CMOS image sensor, with which we, for the first time, realize live-cell MIP imaging beyond video rate. We employ OPI for visible detection because it enables quantitative measures of the induced phase shift. First, we perform thermal conduction simulations to derive the optimal pulse duration and repetition rate of MIR and visible light for wide-field MIP imaging: <10 ns and ~1 kHz, respectively. Then, we develop a wavelength-tunable MIR nanosecond OPO with a periodically poled lithium niobate (PPLN) crystal that meets these requirements with ~10-µJ pulses (~100-times higher pulse energy compared to that of a QCL in our previous study<sup>24</sup>) in the wavenumber region of  $2600-3450 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Next, we employ a high-full-well capacity CMOS image sensor for quantitative phase measurements, capturing ~100-times more photons than conventional image sensors. The SNR of our system is evaluated to be ~210-times higher than that of the previous work<sup>23</sup> with the state-of-the-art wide-field MIP-QPI system (see Discussion for details). Note that we assume a situation where compared systems measure the same molecular vibration at the same wavenumber for evaluating their SNRs. With the developed system, we perform MIP imaging of live COS7 cells in the 2925-cm<sup>-1</sup> band with a high SNR of 89 at a record rate of 50 fps. The high-SNR and high-speed capabilities of our microscope are expected to be beneficial in video-rate observation of intracellular dynamics and for high-speed broadband MIR spectral image acquisition over several hundred  $cm^{-1}$  in less than 1 s.

#### Results

#### Derivation of the optimal pulse duration and repetition rate of MIR and visible light by thermal conduction simulations

We consider the optimal pulse duration and repetition rate of MIR and visible light for wide-field MIP imaging by exploiting thermal conduction simulations (see Methods for details). Thermal diffusion causes degradation of spatial resolution and saturation/decay of the amount of signals in the MIP imaging. The change in optical phase-delay of visible light due to the local temperature rise, which we call the MIP phase change, is expressed as

$$\Delta\theta(x, y, t) \sim \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}T} \int \Delta T(x, y, z, t) \mathrm{d}z \tag{1}$$

where *x*, *y*, *z* denote spatial coordinates, *t* the time,  $\lambda$  the wavelength of visible light, dn/dT the thermo-optic coefficient of the sample, and  $\Delta T$  the local temperature change. The temporal evolution of the MIP phase change under various MIR excitation conditions in an aqueous environment is calculated by solving the 3D heat



#### conduction equation,

$$\frac{\partial \Delta T(x,y,z,t)}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right) \nu \Delta T(x,y,z) + \frac{I(x,y,z,t)\alpha(x,y,z)}{\rho c_{\rm p}}$$
(2)

exponential function along the z-axis that decays after 16 μm, which is derived from the Lambert-Beer law

where  $\nu$  denotes the thermal diffusivity, *I* the pulse fluence per unit time,  $\alpha$  the absorbance,  $\rho$  the density,  $c_{\rm p}$  the specific heat capacity.

To derive the optimal pulse duration of MIR light, we calculate the spread of the spatial profile (Fig. 1a) and the phase change (Fig. 1b) in the MIP phase change image with respect to the pulse duration of MIR light. We assume the initial heat spots (target objects) are spheres

with a diameter of 500 nm, 2  $\mu$ m, and 10  $\mu$ m in aqueous environments. They have the same thermal diffusivity as water and are continuously heated by the MIR pulse with constant peak power, which represents a situation using a QCL, illustrating the disadvantages of using long pulses. We assume that the visible probe pulse is sufficiently shorter than the MIR pulse and illuminated at the end of the MIR pulse. Figure 1e shows the timing chart of the MIR and visible pulses. The results show that a longer pulse builds up the MIP phase change, but too much elongation leads to degradation of the spatial resolution and signal saturation due to thermal diffusion, particularly for small objects with a large surface/volume ratio. The saturation time is proportional to the square of its radius. For example, when observing the 500-nm object, a 100-ns MIR pulse deteriorates the spatial resolution by a factor of 1.3 (Fig. 1a). Some works exploit a CW MIR light source<sup>22,25</sup> for continuous heating. However, in such cases, the thermal spread is ~4.8-times larger than the actual size of the target, and the MIP phase change of the 500 nm object remains constant after 100 ns. In addition, it causes a lack of quantitative capability due to the discrepancy in saturation time dependent on the object size (Fig. 1b). To better illustrate a situation employing a ns-OPO, we also conduct the same simulation for constant pulse energy (see Supplementary Note 2). These results demonstrate that MIR pulses of ~10 ns or shorter are desirable for quantitative imaging by confining the generated heat within a near-diffraction-limited spot of visible light, promoting the use of a ns-OPO with a high pulse energy instead of a long-pulsed or a CW QCL.

Next, to derive the optimal pulse duration and delay of the visible light, we calculate the spread of the spatial profile (Fig. 1c) and the phase change (Fig. 1d) in the MIP phase change image with respect to the delay of the visible probe light from the end of the MIR excitation. In this calculation, the MIR pulse duration is 10 ns, and the visible probe pulse is sufficiently shorter than the MIR pulse. The results show that the probe delays longer than 10 ns cause degrading the spatial resolution (Fig. 1c) and decreasing the phase change (Fig. 1d) of the MIP phase change image. For example, when observing the 500-nm object with a delay of 100 ns, the radius of the MIP phase change becomes 1.9-times larger than the object, and the MIP phase change decays to 50% of that with a delay of 0 s. This simulation shows that it is desirable for visible probe pulses to have a similar or shorter pulse duration than MIR pulses, i.e., <10 ns, with the delay time shorter than the pulse duration. This result indicates that visible light sources that pose difficulties in ensuring pulse energy, such as LEDs, are not the optimal probes for the MIP effect.

Finally, to derive the optimal pulse repetition rate, we calculate the thermal diffusion time of a heated spot with an FWHM diameter of 91  $\mu$ m in an aqueous environment which reflects the condition of our following experiment (Fig. 1f). Note that, when measuring cells, the thermal diffusion time over the entire FOV does not depend on the size of the target objects but on the spot size of MIR light due to water absorption. To avoid potential thermal damage to samples due to a thermal pile-up, the induced photothermal heat should be diffused off when the next MIR pulse arrives at the sample. The result shows that this condition is sufficiently achieved at 1 ms after the arrival of the first MIR pulse. Hence, it is desirable for wide-field single-cell imaging to exploit a pulse repetition rate of ~1 kHz.

Supplementary Note 1 compiles the parameters of existing wide-field systems, which allows for comparative

analyses. For example, the MIR pulse energies in Table S1 can be used for estimating MIP phase change induced by different MIR light sources, such as a pulsed OPO, a pulsed QCL, and a CW QCL, based on the knowledge provided by the simulation results shown in Fig. 1b (see Supplementary Note 1 for details). Table S1 shows that the previously demonstrated systems do not satisfy the optimal condition determined by our simulations.

#### Highly sensitive MIP-QPI system

The principle and the schematic of our newly developed MIP-QPI are shown in Fig. 2. MIR light with a narrow spectral width at a certain wavenumber is irradiated widely over the sample. Resonant molecules absorb the MIR light and are excited to their vibrational states. The molecular vibrations relax by transferring their energy to the surrounding medium in the form of heat, causing thermal expansion and thus a change in local density. The resulting change in refractive index in the vicinity of the target molecules (i.e., MIP effect) is captured as a change in optical phase delay of the transmitted visible light in the QPI system<sup>33</sup>. As shown in Fig. 2a, a MIP image is generated by taking the difference between the phase images captured in the MIR-ON and -OFF states. Amongst the available detection methods of the MIP effect, OPI is the optimal method for the quantitative measurement of intracellular molecular distributions. For example, phasecontrast microscopy<sup>34</sup> suffers from image artifacts such as halos, while dark-field<sup>35</sup> and interferometric scattering (iSCAT)<sup>36</sup> microscopes sacrifice a part of the spatialfrequency information. QPI does not have those drawbacks and provides quantitative MIP images. In addition, detailed morphology with dry-mass information can also be obtained from the quantitative phase image in the MIR-OFF state. Therefore, one can make a correlation analysis between the spatial distribution of target molecules and cell organelles.

Figure 2b shows the schematic of our MIP-QPI system developed in this study. Two Nd:YAG Q-switched lasers (1064-nm wavelength, 1-kHz repetition rate, 6-ns pulse duration) (NL204, Ekspla) are used to generate visible and MIR pulses via nonlinear wavelength conversions. The visible light pulses (532-nm wavelength, 1-kHz repetition rate, 5-ns pulse duration) are provided by second harmonic generation (SHG) with a 15-mm-long LBO crystal. The MIR light pulses  $(2800-3250 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ wavenumber})$ tunable, 1-kHz repetition rate, 9-ns pulse duration, ~10µJ pulse energy) are obtained as idler pulses of a homemade high-intensity nanosecond OPO with a fan-out PPLN crystal<sup>37</sup> (HC Photonics Corporation). The visible pulse is electronically synchronized with the MIR pulse using a function generator. In our current system, we set a delay of ~100 ns between the MIR and visible pulses, which is longer than the theoretical optimum because



there is a timing jitter up to 50 ns between the pump and probe pulses. The 100-ns delay guarantees the visible probe pulses come after the MIR pump pulses under this amount of jitter. Note that we can suppress the jitter down to ~1 ns, which is specified in a product specification sheet of our lasers, by appropriate synchronization. The MIR light is intensity-modulated by a mechanical chopper at 50 Hz synchronized with the image sensor frames such that the sensor alternately acquires MIR-ON and -OFF frames (Fig. 2c). The MIR light pulses are loosely focused onto the sample with a spot size of  $\sim$ 80 µm  $\times$  80 µm with a ZnSe lens. The visible light pulses from the single-mode fiber are collimated and irradiated onto the sample with a peak fluence of ~30 pJ  $\mu$ m<sup>-2</sup> (~400 nJ over  $110 \,\mu\text{m} \times 110 \,\mu\text{m}$ ). Common-path off-axis digital holography is used as QPI<sup>38</sup>. The light transmitted through the sample is replicated by a diffraction grating, and the zeroth-order diffraction light is low-pass filtered with a pinhole placed in the Fourier plane, thus, converted to a quasi-plane wave that acts as the reference light. The first-order diffraction light is used as the object light, which contains information on the optical phase delay induced by the sample. Interference fringes between the two lights are captured as an off-axis hologram with the high-full-well-capacity image sensor (Q-2HFW, Adimec Advanced Image Systems) after relay lenses in 4f configuration, from which the phase image is numerically reconstructed. The experimentally evaluated spatial resolution of QPI is 440 nm, determined by the NA of the objective lens (LUCPLFLN40X, Olympus). Our system is resistant to speckle noises due to the use of a temporally low-coherent ns-visible light with a bandwidth of a few nm in the temporal differential measurement.

#### High-intensity nanosecond MIR light source

We describe the performance of our homemade ns-PPLN-OPO (see Supplementary Note 3 for details). The crystal is a 50-mm-long fan-out PPLN with a poling period varying from 27.5 to 31.6 µm stabilized at 40 °C. The pulse energy of the pump light from the Nd:YAG Q-switched laser is ~100 µJ. The OPO cavity is resonant with the NIR signal pulses (5950–6800  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  tunable), and only the MIR idler pulses (2600-3450 cm<sup>-1</sup> tunable) are extracted with a long-pass filter after the cavity. Figure 3a shows the relationship between the MIR wavenumber and the idler pulse energy, which is  $\sim 10 \ \mu$ J between 2800 and  $3250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Figure 3b shows the spectrum of MIR light measured by a homemade FTIR spectrometer. The FWHM of the spectrum is  $\sim 10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at each MIR wavenumber, which determines the spectral resolution and is sufficient to resolve absorption peaks of CH<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching modes (the modes are  $20 \sim 30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  apart from each other)<sup>39</sup>, which are the major signatures of analyzing biological samples in this wavenumber range. Figure 3c shows how the MIP phase change of water changes with respect to the MIR pulse energy under the condition of the MIR wavenumber of  $2918 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the spot size of  $69 \,\mu\text{m} \times 69 \,\mu\text{m}$ , and the pulse energy ranging from 1.3 to  $8.9 \mu$ J. The values of the MIP phase change in the graph are averages of 20 pixels × 20 pixels around the center of the MIR spot. The results show that the MIP phase change increases linearly with the MIR pulse energy over



the entire range, which indicates that our OPO yields a ~100-times larger MIP phase change compared to the previous work with a QCL<sup>24</sup>. Finally, the temporal decay of the MIP phase change in water is measured by scanning the time delay between the MIR and visible light pulses (Fig. 3d). One can see that the MIP phase change decays to 1/e at 74  $\mu$ s and to 3/100 at 500  $\mu$ s, which is consistent with the results derived from the thermal conduction equation (1/e at 57  $\mu$ s). Since it is desirable to observe live cells under a similar or larger MIR illumination spot size, a repetition rate of 1 kHz is low enough to avoid potential sample damage induced by a thermal pile-up.

#### High-precision QPI system

We discuss noise reduction in phase measurement with QPI by employing a high full-well-capacity image sensor and a high-intensity ns visible light. If the system is mechanically stable enough, the temporal phase noise in QPI can be dominated by optical shot noise. Thus, the precision becomes higher when more light enters the image sensor. The full-well capacity of the image sensor used in our system is  $2 \text{ Me}^-$  pixel<sup>-1</sup> (Q-2HFW, Adimec), which is 200 times larger than that of a conventional sensor pixel<sup>-1</sup>, CMOS image (10 ke<sup>-</sup> e.g., acA2440-75um, Basler). We perform the following evaluations of the noise reduction.

We examine the dependence of temporal phase noise on the average number of electrons contributing to the reconstruction of the phase images  $(=N_{electron})$  per sensor's pixel (Fig. 4a), that is, the average number of electrons in the holograms (see Supplementary Note 4 for the calculation method). The maximum value of  $N_{\text{electron}}$  is determined as half the full-well capacity of the image sensor. Note that  $N_{\text{electron}}$  equals the number of incident photons multiplied by the quantum efficiency of the image sensor. We record 100 holograms without a sample and calculate the differences in phase images between adjacent frames. Then, the temporal standard deviation (STD) of the 50 differential images is calculated at each pixel, and the average value of 80 pixels  $\times$  80 pixels in the temporal STD map is evaluated as the temporal phase noise. The number of electrons per pixel is estimated from the sensor's digital output value and the full-well capacity. The data points on the left side of the graph are the measurement results using the conventional 10k-e<sup>-</sup> image sensor, which is in good agreement with the theoretically estimated phase noise limited by optical shot noise (orange line)<sup>40</sup> (see Eq. 3 in "Methods" for details). This indicates that the phase noise can be reduced by detecting more light. The data points on the right are the results using the high-full-well-capacity 2M-e<sup>-</sup> image sensor. One can see that the maximum number of detected electrons is ~100-times larger than that



capacity 2M-e<sup>-</sup> image sensor (Q-2HFW, Adimec) on the right side, respectively. Orange and green lines are theoretically estimated phase noise from Eq. 3 in "Methods" with sensor noise  $\sigma_{sensor} = 0$ , and the purple line is that with  $\sigma_{sensor} = 572 \text{ e}^-$  (detailed parameters are written in Methods). **b** Comparison between MIP images taken with the two sensors: phase images (top) and MIP phase change images (bottom) of live COS7 cells taken with 10k-e<sup>-</sup> (left) and 2M-e<sup>-</sup> (right) sensors. The number of electrons per pixel'  $N_{electron}$ , is 2.82 × 10<sup>3</sup> e<sup>-</sup> and 2.34 × 10<sup>5</sup> e<sup>-</sup> with 10k-e<sup>-</sup> and 2M-e<sup>-</sup> sensors, respectively. MIR light at a wavenumber of 2975 cm<sup>-1</sup> is irradiated on a spot size of 80 µm × 80 µm with a fluence of 1.1 nJ µm<sup>-2</sup>

measured with the  $10\text{k-e}^-$  image sensor, resulting in a reduction of the phase noise by a factor of 7.9 (corresponding to 0.9 mrad with  $N_{\text{electron}} = 3.6 \times 10^5 \text{ e}^-$ ). However, this phase noise is larger than that determined by optical shot noise and is in good agreement with the estimated value (purple line) that includes the effect of sensor noise ( $\sigma_{\text{sensor}} = 572 \text{ e}^-$ ), measured by turning off the laser. It is expected that near optical shot-noise-limited measurement (0.4 mrad of the phase noise) is feasible when the sensor's full-well capacity is used to the maximum extent ( $N_{\text{electron}} = 1 \times 10^6 \text{ e}^-$ ).

Next, we compare the SNR of single-frame MIP phase change images of live cells measured with the two image sensors. Figure 4b shows results for the observation of COS7 cells exploiting MIR light with a wavenumber of  $2975 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , a spot size of 80  $\mu$ m  $\times$  80  $\mu$ m, and pulse energy of 7.1  $\mu$ J. The background MIP phase change image of water without cells is subtracted to make the intracellular structures more visible. The spatial STD of 20 pixels  $\times$  20 pixels inside the blue box is defined as the phase noise. Note that Fig. 4b has  $\sim \sqrt{2}$ -times larger noise than the temporal phase noise in Fig. 4a due to the background subtraction process. In the case of the 10k-e<sup>-</sup> sensor, the MIP phase change is buried in the phase noise, whereas in the case of the 2M-e<sup>-</sup> sensor, intracellular structures such as nucleoli and lipid droplets are clearly seen. The phase noise is 12.2 mrad for the former sensor and 1.6 mrad for the latter (8.6 mrad and 1.1 mrad without water background subtraction, respectively). We verify that the high full-well capacity sensor provides ~7.6times reduction in phase noise with 85-times higher power of visible light for the live-cell imaging.

#### Video-rate MIP imaging of a single live cell

We demonstrate MIP imaging of a live COS7 cell at 50 fps. Figure 5a is a phase image measured in MIR-OFF state, and 5b and c are MIP phase change images with water background subtraction, excited at 2925, and  $3188 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  MIR wavenumbers, respectively. Note that they are all single-frame images without averaging. The MIR pulse energy at the sample plane is  $\sim 6.5 \ \mu$ J with a spot size of 87  $\mu$ m × 87  $\mu$ m. The image at 2925 cm<sup>-1</sup> contains strong signals mainly from CH<sub>2</sub> bonds of lipid droplets indicated by the white arrow, while the image at  $3188 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  shows signals that hardly reflect intracellular structures. Thus, different contrasts are observed at different MIR wavenumbers at an unprecedentedly high measurement rate of 50 Hz (20 ms measurement time per image). The phase noise, i.e., the spatial STD of 20 pixels  $\times$  20 pixels inside the blue box in Fig. 5b, is evaluated as 1.6 mrad with water background subtraction by following the procedure used in Fig. 4. Since the signal from the lipid droplets is ~100 mrad, the SNR is 63 (89 without water background subtraction). Hence, high-SNR live-cell MIP imaging beyond video rate is achieved for the first time.

#### Video-rate MIP imaging of sub-second cellular dynamics

To exemplify the capabilities of high-speed MIP imaging for more practical cellular dynamics, we observe cellular dynamics on a sub-second scale, specifically, the transfer of water molecules through aquaporins—membrane proteins that function as water-selective channels and control the intracellular water content<sup>41</sup>. Its study has



been challenged by the difficulty in accurately measuring the flux of water into and out of living cells. In this experiment, we assess the transient changes in intracellular MIP effect originating from  $H_2O$  when  $D_2O$  and  $H_2O$  are rapidly interchanged, analogous to previous CRS studies<sup>42</sup>. As noted in the introduction, MIP imaging is expected to be an ideal method for this application owing to the large MIR absorption cross-section of a water molecule.

Figure 6a illustrates a schematic diagram of the measurement platform, wherein a capillary filled with H<sub>2</sub>O-based phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) is exchanged for D<sub>2</sub>O-based PBS via a syringe pump within a time frame shorter than the sensor's frame interval. We present a series of images depicting temporal evolutions in MIP phase change recorded at 50 fps (Fig. 6b). The measured movie can be seen in Supplementary Video 1. Between -100 and 0 ms, an H<sub>2</sub>O-induced MIP phase change reflecting the MIR spot is visibly apparent. From 0 to 300 ms, the extracellular signal promptly declines upon substitution with D<sub>2</sub>O-based PBS, and only the intracellular MIP signal produced by H<sub>2</sub>O molecules remains, which eventually decays over the course of several hundred milliseconds. Figure 6c, d display the phase image of the cell in the MIR OFF state and the temporal decay of MIP phase changes at various sites indicated in Fig. 6c, respectively. The signal fluctuation around 100 ms in Fig. 6d is an artifact caused by slight agitation of the capillary during the liquid exchange process, which can be resolved through refinement of the capillary fixation. The sites exhibiting larger phases, i.e., thicker cellular sites, manifest slower decay, as the imaging was performed in the presence of both water and cells in the z-direction, resulting in different ratios of the two signal types (dot squares in Fig. 6a). In order to estimate the intracellular decay time at each site, the height distribution inferred from the phase information and the extracellular decay time are substituted for Eq. 4, described in Methods, prior to the fitting procedure. The intracellular decay time is found to be nearly constant within the central portions of the cells, with an average of 420 ms within the square region depicted in Fig. 6e. When investigating the function of aquaporins, it is important to determine the velocity of water molecules traversing the cell membrane,  $P_{d}$ , because the decay time,  $\tau$ , varies in accordance with the shape and size of the cell. In the previous study<sup>42</sup>, these values were obtained through separate measurements using a confocal fluorescence microscope, whereas our microscope possesses the advantage of being able to estimate the height and surface area from the morphological information acquired by QPI in the MIR OFF state (see Methods for details). Upon substituting these values into Eq. 8,  $P_d$  is calculated to be  $6.4 \times 10^{-4}$  cm s<sup>-1</sup>, which agrees well with prior observations in HeLa cells<sup>42</sup>. This demonstration verifies the ability to observe intracellular phenomena on a sub-second scale by leveraging the high measurement speed of our microscope.

#### Broadband MIP spectro-imaging of a single live cell

We measure spectra of a single live COS7 cell and perform multivariate analysis as one of the applications utilizing the high SNR of our system. By scanning the wavenumber of the MIR light, 40 MIP phase change images are acquired in the range of  $2800 \sim 3250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The MIR pulse energy at the sample plane is ~6.5 µJ with a spot size of 85 µm × 85 µm. The total acquisition time is ~10 min for 500 MIP phase change images averaged at each wavenumber, which is limited by the performance of the controlling system for spectral acquisition (see Discussion for more details). The hyperspectral data are subjected to multivariate analysis (Multivariate curve resolution, MCR)<sup>43</sup> to extract characteristic components (see "Methods" for details). Three clearly interpretable components are chosen for the analysis.

Figure 7a–c, d, e, and f shows spatial distributions of the three MCR components, a phase image in a MIR-OFF state, a merged image of the three MCR images, and spectra of the three MCR components, respectively. In MCR1, the MIP contrasts are localized at extranuclear lipid droplets, and two peaks at  $2854 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $2925 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 



corresponding to symmetric and asymmetric stretching vibrations of CH<sub>2</sub> bonds appear in the spectrum, indicating that MCR1 mainly consists of lipids. In MCR2, the MIP contrasts are localized at the nucleus and nucleolus, and the spectrum is heavily influenced by the peak attributed to CH<sub>3</sub>, indicating that it is a component with equal contributions of CH<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub> bonds, which can be mainly attributed to proteins. In MCR3, uniformly distributed contrasts outside the cell can be recognized, and its spectrum resembles that of OH bonds, which have an absorption peak around  $3400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and monotonically increasing absorption towards higher wavenumbers in the observed wavenumber region<sup>18</sup>, indicating that water is the main contributor. Thus, based on the vibrational modes of CH<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>, and OH, we are able to separate the three basic components of the cell. The spectral shapes are slightly unnatural in the sense that the high wavenumber side of MCR1 is elevated, and the CH<sub>3</sub> absorption peak of MCR2 is ambiguous. However, the problem does not occur in a similar measurement where the medium is replaced with  $D_2O$ -based PBS to eliminate the effect of absorption by OH bonds (see Supplementary Note 5 and Fig. S4). Hence, it can be considered that the spectra are slightly distorted during MCR analysis due to the stronger absorption of water compared to other components, which is discussed more in the discussion section.

The MCR1 component at  $2925 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (CH<sub>2</sub> peak) induces the MIP phase changes of 40 mrad at the lipid droplets, while the MCR2 component at 2945 cm<sup>-1</sup> (CH<sub>3</sub> peak) induces only 14 mrad changes in the nucleolus, and 3 mrad changes in the cytoplasm, which is calculated with the procedure shown in Methods. Since the phase noise of our QPI is ~1.1 mrad, even smaller phase changes can be detected without averaging. The estimated maximum temperature rise of this measurement is ~8 K for a lipid droplet (a sphere with a diameter of 3 µm) and ~2 K for a nucleolus (a sphere with a diameter of 5 µm), which quickly decays within ~2 and ~7 µs, respectively. These amounts of transient temperature rise have been proven to be safe for live cells<sup>44</sup>.



#### Discussions

We make an SNR comparison between our system and the previous state-of-the-art wide-field MIP imaging system based on QPI<sup>23</sup>. Here, we assume a situation where the compared systems measure the same molecular vibration at the same wavenumber for evaluating their SNRs. The MIR pulse energy of our system is 6.5 µJ, while that of the previous work is 110 nJ, enabling ~59-times higher MIP phase change generation with our system. For visible imaging, the sensor's full-well capacity of our system is 2 Me<sup>-</sup>, while that of the previous work is 30 ke<sup>-</sup>. Considering the sensor and shot noises, ~7.1-times higher SNR is achievable with our system. In the current system, however, we only use  $N_{\text{electron}} = 360 \text{ ke}^-$  due to limitation of the photon budget that can be coupled to the singlemode optical fiber without damage, which gives ~3.5-times higher SNR if we assume the previous work fully uses the sensor's capacity ( $N_{\text{electron}} = 15 \text{ ke}^-$ ). In total, our system can provide a higher SNR than the previous work by up to ~420 times if using the full capability of the system or by ~210 times with the current demonstration with the limited visible photon budget. We note that this comparison is based upon the same conditions with the pulse repetition rate of 1 kHz and the sensor's frame rate of 100 Hz.

Next, we discuss the spectral distortion in the MCR analysis, which is observed on the higher wavenumber side. This could be due to the low SNR around  $3200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  due to the large water absorption. This issue could be

mitigated by omitting the spectral range where absorption is primarily due to the water. In our proof-of-concept demonstration, however, we include this range to show the broad spectral coverage of our system. Another potential cause of the spectral distortion would be the imperfection of intensity calibration of MIR light. If the MIR pulse energy of each wavenumber is not accurately calibrated, a spectral distortion could occur in the MCR analysis because potentially weak signals from biomolecules can be overlapped with the larger water absorption background. It could be resolved by accurate calibration by monitoring the MIR pulse energy for every measurement.

There is room for further technical improvements in our microscope. The first is to broaden the tunable spectral range of the MIR nanosecond OPO, covering the molecular fingerprint region by using other nonlinear crystals such as AGS<sup>45</sup>, BGSe<sup>46</sup>, or OP-GaP. This could enable ultra-broadband MIR spectroscopic imaging in the range of  $600-3700 \text{ cm}^{-146}$ . The second is to speed up spectral imaging. In the experiment of Fig. 7, the measurement speed is limited by the data writing speed of the acquired images to a storage medium. Therefore, the overall acquisition time is unchanged even when averaging over 500 images with the current system. This issue can be resolved by direct storage of image data in the camera, which enables high-speed data acquisition of 50 spectral images within one second. The third is to further improve the detection sensitivity and imaging speed. In this

experiment, the sensor's full-well capacity (2 Me<sup>-</sup>) and maximum frame rate (500 fps) are not used to the full extent due to insufficient light intensity. This is because the intensity of irradiated visible light is limited by the damage threshold of the single-mode fiber. This can be solved by using a large-core single-mode fiber often used for high-power lasers. The intensity of visible light can be increased by a factor of ~20, which enables ultrafast MIP imaging at a maximum imaging rate of 250 fps (limited by the frame rate of our image sensor) with an improved SNR up to 250. It could also be possible to further increase the detection sensitivity by combining our system with a highly sensitive QPI using a wavefront shaping technique (ADRIFT-QPI)<sup>26</sup>. The fourth is an extension to high-speed 3D imaging. QPI can be extended to optical diffraction tomography (ODT), in which 3D refractive index distributions can be obtained by imaging with, e.g., multiple illuminations at different angles. With a commercially available high-speed spatial light modulator (SLM), which can change the illumination pattern at ~500 Hz, it could be possible to perform the world's first 3D vibrational imaging at a video rate that has not yet been achieved even with coherent Raman imaging.

Finally, we examine the potential applications that could be achieved with the current and improved systems. The observable bandwidth of the current system lies in the range of  $2800-3400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , enabling spectroscopic imaging of CH, amide A and B bands, and OH bands. For instance, it is feasible to visualize intracellular dynamics of water through differential spectra of  $H_2O$  and  $D_2O$  (depicted in Fig. 6), to evaluate cellular senescence by observing the temporal variations in the CH<sub>2</sub> signal<sup>47</sup>, and to visualize specific carbohydrates such as paramylon present in euglena gracilis<sup>48</sup>. However, comprehensive observation of proteins, DNA, RNA, and glucose is challenging with the current system. The use of a broadband-tunable MIR light source in the molecular fingerprint region would be advisable for extensive analysis of various intracellular molecules, such as molecular-specific mass imaging enabled by correlation analysis with quantitative phase and MIP images<sup>49</sup>. Other promising applications with high-speed imaging are, e.g., video-rate observations of sub-second biological phenomena such as cell signaling<sup>50</sup> via amide bands  $(1500-1700 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ , bacterial spore germination<sup>51</sup> via calcium dipicolinate (CaDPA)-dominated band ( $\sim 1010 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ).

#### Methods

#### Preparation of biological samples

COS7 cells are cultured on a CaF<sub>2</sub> substrate with a thickness of 500  $\mu$ m in high glucose Dulbecco's modified eagle medium with L-glutamine, phenol red, and HEPES (FUJIFILM Wako) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Cosmo Bio) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin-L-glutamine solution (FUJIFILM Wako) at 37 °C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>,

and are sandwiched with another CaF2 substrate before imaging. For live-cell imaging in  $D_2O$  environment (Fig. S4), the medium is replaced by  $D_2O$ -based PBS. Note that MIP imaging with glass substrates is feasible in the spectral range observed in this work.

#### Thermal conduction simulations

For Fig. 1a-d, the spherically symmetric 3-D thermal conduction equation is exploited. We use a self-made program based on the Forward Time Centered Space (FTCS) method, implemented by C programming language. We assume that the thermo-optic coefficient and heat capacity of the objects are equivalent to water because potential heat sources in cells are predominantly composed of water (~80%). We also assume that the thermo-optic coefficient does not depend on temperature. The background water absorption with a profile of  $\sim$ 100 µm  $\times$  100 µm is not taken into account in Fig. 1a, b because it generates a temperature change profile with a much shallower gradient compared to that of the smaller target object. We do not take into account the spatial resolution of the microscope for plotting the phase changes in Fig. 1.

For Fig. 1f, Eq. 2 is used with the boundary conditions between water and  $CaF_2$  substrates given by

$$K_{\text{water}}\left(\frac{\partial T_{\text{water}}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z}\right)_{z=\text{boundary}} = K_{\text{CaF}_2}\left(\frac{\partial T_{\text{CaF}_2}(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z}\right)_{z=\text{boundary}}$$

where  $K_{\text{water}}$  and  $K_{\text{CaF}_2}$  are the thermal conductivities of water and CaF<sub>2</sub>, respectively. In the calculations, the thermal diffusivities and thermal conductivities of water and CaF<sub>2</sub> substrates are 0.146 and 2.92  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>  $\mu$ s<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.618 and 9.71 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, respectively<sup>52,53</sup>.

#### Parameters in phase sensitivity evaluation

The temporal phase noise,  $\sigma_{\text{phase}}$ , can be described as,

$$\sigma_{\rm phase} = 2\sqrt{\frac{[N_{\rm electron} + (\sigma_{\rm sensor})^2]A_{\rm aperture}}{\nu^2 (N_{\rm electron})^2 A_{\rm sensor}}} \tag{3}$$

where  $\sigma_{\text{sensor}}$  denotes the sensor noise,  $\nu$  the visibility of the hologram,  $A_{\text{sensor}}$  and  $A_{\text{aperture}}$  the numbers of pixels in total and cropped areas in the spatial frequency space. The number of electrons contributing to the reconstruction of a phase image,  $N_{\text{electron}}$ , is calculated from the image sensor output value with sensor's parameters of full-well capacity, bit depth (2M-e<sup>-</sup> sensor: 11 bit, 10k-e<sup>-</sup> sensor: 16 bit), and gain (2M-e<sup>-</sup> sensor: 1.73, 10k-e<sup>-</sup> sensor: 1). To obtain  $\sigma_{\text{sensor}}$ , a series of images are taken without light, and the temporal standard deviation of the difference images between adjacent frames is calculated, which is converted to the number of electrons. The visibility  $\nu$  is evaluated by the procedure described in Supplementary Note 4. The numbers of pixels  $A_{\text{sensor}}$  and  $A_{\text{aperture}}$  are 2,073,600 (1440 pixels × 1440 pixels) and 47,144 ( $\pi/4 \times 245$  pixels × 245 pixels) for the 2M-e<sup>-</sup> sensor, and 1,046,529 (1023 pixels × 1023 pixels) and 31,731 ( $\pi/4 \times 201$  pixels × 201 pixels) for the 10k-e<sup>-</sup> sensor, respectively. Note that the reduction in the number of pixels occurred in the phase reconstruction process ( $A_{\text{sensor}} \rightarrow A_{\text{aperture}}$ ) results in a reduction of phase noise due to the spatial averaging effect.

# Procedure in $H_2O/D_2O$ exchange observation in a live COS7 cell

A 20-µm-thick borosilicate glass capillary (VitroTubes 5002, VitroCom) is connected to a syringe via a PEEK tube (1/32 "OD × 0.02 "ID, Trajan) on one side. A droplet of D<sub>2</sub>O-based PBS is placed on the other end of the capillary, and the liquid inside is replaced by pulling on the syringe.

The function of the curve fitting of the measured temporal data shown in Fig. 6d is written as

$$I = A(h) \exp\left(-\frac{t-99}{82}\right) + (1 - A(h)) \exp\left(-\frac{t-99}{\tau}\right)$$
(4)

where *h* and  $\tau$  denote the height of the cell and intracellular decay time, respectively. Here, the unit of height and time are  $\mu$ m and ms, respectively. The first and second terms represent the extracellular and intracellular temporal decay, respectively, which are linearly combined using the contribution ratio *A*(*h*). The time origin of the decay (99 ms) and the extracellular decay time (82 ms) are predetermined by a data fitting of the extracellular MIP phase change by substituting *A*(*h*) = 1. The contribution ratio *A*(*h*) is written as

$$A(h) = \frac{S_{\text{water}}}{S_{\text{water}} + S_{\text{cell}}}$$
(5)

where  $S_{\text{cell}}$  and  $S_{\text{water}}$  describe the MIP phase changes, which can be represented by the Lambert-Beer law as

$$S_{\text{cell}} = \int_{0}^{h} \exp\left(\frac{-z}{D_z}\right) dz \tag{6}$$

$$S_{
m water} = \int_{-h}^{20} \exp{\left(rac{-z}{D_z}
ight)} dz$$

where  $D_z$  is the attenuation length along the z-axis (6.73 µm at 3014 cm<sup>-1 54</sup>), and 20 is the capillary thickness in µm. By substituting Eqs. 6 and 7 into Eq. 5, A(h) is written as

$$A(h) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-h}{D_z}\right) - \exp\left(\frac{-20}{D_z}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(\frac{-20}{D_z}\right)}$$

Here, the spatial distribution of the cell height h is estimated by a low-pass filtered phase image, which

provides a global feature of a cell, and a literature value of refractive index difference between the inside and outside of a cell  $(0.0323^{55-57})$ .

The velocity of water molecules passing through the cell membrane can be expressed as

$$P_d = 1/(\tau(S/V)) \tag{8}$$

with the surface area S and volume V of a cell, which can be calculated from the following equations,

$$S = \sum_{\text{all pixels}} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}\right)^2} \Delta x \Delta y$$

and

$$V = \sum_{\text{all pixels}} h(x, y) \Delta x \Delta y$$

respectively, where  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  are the lengths of a pixel in the x and y directions. The  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  are 0.44 µm in this study. The resulting values of *S* and *V* are 1404 µm<sup>2</sup> and 3779 µm<sup>3</sup>, respectively.

#### MCR analysis

Prior to MCR analysis, the spatial MIP phase change contrasts reflecting the MIR beam profile are corrected by dividing the MIP phase change images of cells by normalized MIP phase change images of water without cells. Also, the wavenumber-dependent power variation of the MIR light is normalized with the data shown in Fig. 3a. MCR analysis is performed using pyMCR developed by NIST with a non-negativity constrained least-squares regressor. The spectral data with water background subtraction at the nucleolus and lipid droplets and those without water background subtraction outside the cell are used for the initial input spectra in MCR.

We calculate the MIP phase change contributed by each MCR component by the following procedure. MCR decomposes the hyperspectral data into matrices of the concentration distribution C and the pure spectra S for each MCR component i,

$$H(\boldsymbol{x},k) = \boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{S} = \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{C}_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) S_{i}(k)$$

where x and k denote the location and the MIR wavenumber, respectively. Each component's contribution to the MIP phase change at (x, k) can be calculated as

$$R_{i}(x,k) = \frac{C_{i}(x)S_{i}(k)}{\sum_{i}C_{i}(x)S_{i}(k)} \times \Delta \emptyset(x, k)$$

where  $\Delta \emptyset(x, k)$  is the raw MIP phase change at (x, k).

#### Acknowledgements

(7)

This work was financially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (20H00125, 23H00273), JST PRESTO (JPMJPR17G2), Precise

Measurement Technology Promotion Foundation, Research Foundation for Opto-Science and Technology, Nakatani Foundation, and UTEC-UTokyo FSI Research Grant. We thank Masaki Yumoto for his advice about nanosecond MIR lasers and Akira Kamijo and Kohki Horie for their manuscript review.

#### Author contributions

M.T. and V.R.B. designed and constructed the optical systems. H.S. wrote a program to control the systems. G.I. and K.T. performed the experiments and analyzed the data. T.I. supervised the entire work. G.I., K.T., and T.I. wrote the manuscript with inputs from the other authors.

#### Data availability

The data provided in the manuscript is available from T.I. upon request.

#### Conflict of interest

K.T., M.T., and T.I. are inventors of patents related to MIP-QPI.

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-023-01214-2.

## Received: 16 March 2023 Revised: 14 June 2023 Accepted: 21 June 2023 Published online: 19 July 2023

#### References

- Shipp, D. W., Sinjab, F. & Notingher, I. Raman spectroscopy: techniques and applications in the life sciences. *Adv. Opt. Photonics* 9, 315–428 (2017).
- Wrobel, T. P. & Bhargava, R. Infrared spectroscopic imaging advances as an analytical technology for biomedical sciences. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 1444–1463 (2018).
- Min, W. et al. Coherent nonlinear optical imaging: beyond fluorescence microscopy. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 62, 507–530 (2011).
- Hoebe, R. A. et al. Controlled light-exposure microscopy reduces photobleaching and phototoxicity in fluorescence live-cell imaging. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 25, 249–253 (2007).
- Saar, B. G. et al. Video-rate molecular imaging in vivo with stimulated Raman scattering. *Science* 330, 1368–1370 (2010).
- Evans, C. L. et al. Chemical imaging of tissue in vivo with video-rate coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering microscopy. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 16807–16812 (2005).
- Camp, C. H. Jr. & Cicerone, M. T. Chemically sensitive bioimaging with coherent Raman scattering. *Nat. Photonics* 9, 295–305 (2015).
- Camp, C. H. Jr. et al. High-speed coherent Raman fingerprint imaging of biological tissues. *Nat. Photonics* 8, 627–634 (2014).
- 9. Ozeki, Y. et al. High-speed molecular spectral imaging of tissue with stimulated Raman scattering. *Nat. Photonics* **6**, 845–851 (2012).
- 10. Wei, L. et al. Super-multiplex vibrational imaging. Nature 544, 465–470 (2017).
- Casacio, C. A. et al. Quantum-enhanced nonlinear microscopy. Nature 594, 201–206 (2021).
- Cheng, J. X. & Xie, X. S. Vibrational spectroscopic imaging of living systems: an emerging platform for biology and medicine. *Science* **350**, aaa8870 (2015).
- Hu, F. H., Shi, L. X. & Min, W. Biological imaging of chemical bonds by stimulated Raman scattering microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 16, 830–842 (2019).
- Fu, Y. et al. Characterization of photodamage in coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering microscopy. *Opt. Express* 14, 3942–3951 (2006).
- Byler, D. M. & Susi, H. Examination of the secondary structure of proteins by deconvolved FTIR spectra. *Biopolymers* 25, 469–487 (1986).
- López-Lorente, Á. I. & Mizaikoff, B. Mid-infrared spectroscopy for protein analysis: potential and challenges. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 408, 2875–2889 (2016).
- Samolis, P. D. et al. Label-free imaging of fibroblast membrane interfaces and protein signatures with vibrational infrared photothermal and phase signals: publisher's note. *Biomed. Opt. Express* 12, 5400 (2021).
- Brubach, J. B. et al. Signatures of the hydrogen bonding in the infrared bands of water. J. Chem. Phys. 122, 184509 (2005).
- Zhang, D. L. et al. Depth-resolved mid-infrared photothermal imaging of living cells and organisms with submicrometer spatial resolution. *Sci. Adv.* 2, e1600521 (2016).

- Lim, J. M. et al. Cytoplasmic protein imaging with mid-infrared photothermal microscopy: cellular dynamics of live neurons and oligodendrocytes. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 10, 2857–2861 (2019).
- Bai, Y. R. et al. Ultrafast chemical imaging by widefield photothermal sensing of infrared absorption. *Sci. Adv.* 5, eaav7127 (2019).
- Toda, K. et al. Molecular contrast on phase-contrast microscope. Sci. Rep. 9, 9957 (2019).
- 23. Zhang, D. L. et al. Bond-selective transient phase imaging via sensing of the infrared photothermal effect. *Light Sci. Appl.* **8**, 116 (2019).
- 24. Tamamitsu, M. et al. Label-free biochemical quantitative phase imaging with mid-infrared photothermal effect. *Optica* **7**, 359–366 (2020).
- Schnell, M. et al. All-digital histopathology by infrared-optical hybrid microscopy. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 117, 3388–3396 (2020).
- Toda, K., Tamamitsu, M. & Ideguchi, T. Adaptive dynamic range shift (ADRIFT) quantitative phase imaging. *Light Sci. Appl.* **10**, 1 (2021).
- Zong, H. N. et al. Background-suppressed high-throughput mid-infrared photothermal microscopy via pupil engineering. ACS Photonics 8, 3323–3336 (2021).
- Zhang, S. et al. Deep image restoration for infrared photothermal heterodyne imaging. J. Chem. Phys. 155, 214202 (2021).
- 29. Paiva, E. M. & Schmidt, F. M. Ultrafast widefield mid-infrared photothermal heterodyne imaging. *Anal. Chem.* **94**, 14242–14250 (2022).
- Bai, Y. R., Yin, J. Z. & Cheng, J. X. Bond-selective imaging by optically sensing the mid-infrared photothermal effect. *Sci. Adv.* 7, eabg1559 (2021).
- Pavlovetc, I. M. et al. Approaches to mid-infrared, super-resolution imaging and spectroscopy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 22, 4313–4325 (2020).
- Adhikari, S. et al. Photothermal microscopy: imaging the optical absorption of single nanoparticles and single molecules. ACS Nano 14, 16414–16445 (2020).
- Park, Y., Depeursinge, C. & Popescu, G. Quantitative phase imaging in biomedicine. *Nat. Photonics* 12, 578–589 (2018).
- 34. Zernike, F. Phase contrast, a new method for the microscopic observation of transparent objects. *Physica* **9**, 686–698 (1942).
- Horio, T. & Hotani, H. Visualization of the dynamic instability of individual microtubules by dark-field microscopy. *Nature* **321**, 605–607 (1986).
- Young, G. & Kukura, P. Interferometric scattering microscopy. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 70, 301–322 (2019).
- 37. Kolker, D. B. et al. A nanosecond optical parametric oscillator in the mid-IR region with double-pass pump. *Instrum. Exp. Tech.* **55**, 263–267 (2012).
- Bhaduri, B. et al. Diffraction phase microscopy: principles and applications in materials and life sciences. Adv. Opt. Photonics 6, 57–119 (2014).
- Larkin, P. J. Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy: Principles and Spectral Interpretation. 2nd edn. (Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2018).
- Chen, S. C. et al. Phase sensitivity of off-axis digital holography. Opt. Lett. 43, 4993–4996 (2018).
- King, L. S., Kozono, D. & Agre, P. From structure to disease: the evolving tale of aquaporin biology. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 5, 687–698 (2004).
- Ibata, K et al. Analysis of aquaporin-mediated diffusional water permeability by coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering microscopy. *Biophys. J.* **101**, 2277–2283 (2011).
- Camp, C. H. Jr. pyMCR: a python library for multivariate curve resolution analysis with alternating regression (MCR-AR). J. Res. Natl Inst. Stand. Technol. 124, 124018 (2019).
- Simanovskii, D. M. et al. Cellular tolerance to pulsed hyperthermia. *Phys. Rev. E* 74, 011915 (2006).
- Vodopyanov, K L. et al. AgGaS<sub>2</sub> optical parametric oscillator continuously tunable from 3.9 to 11.3 μm. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **75**, 1204–1206 (1999).
- 46. Petrov, V. et al. Barium nonlinear optical crystals for the mid-IR: characterization and some applications. J. Optical Soc. Am. B **38**, B46–B58 (2021).
- Flor, A. C. et al. A signature of enhanced lipid metabolism, lipid peroxidation and aldehyde stress in therapy-induced senescence. *Cell Death Discov.* 3, 17075 (2017).
- Wakisaka, Y. et al. Probing the metabolic heterogeneity of live *Euglena gracilis* with stimulated Raman scattering microscopy. *Nat. Microbiol.* 1, 16124 (2016).
- Pavillon, N., Hobro, A. J. & Smith, N. I. Cell optical density and molecular composition revealed by simultaneous multimodal label-free imaging. *Biophys. J.* **105**, 1123–1132 (2013).
- Escribá, P. V. et al. Membranes: a meeting point for lipids, proteins and therapies. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 12, 829–875 (2008).

- Kong, L. B. et al. Characterization of bacterial spore germination using phasecontrast and fluorescence microscopy, Raman spectroscopy and optical tweezers. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 625–639 (2011).
- Ramires, M. L. V. et al. Standard reference data for the thermal conductivity of water. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 24, 1377–1381 (1995).
- Slack, G. A. Thermal conductivity of CaF<sub>2</sub>, MnF<sub>2</sub>, CoF<sub>2</sub>, and ZnF<sub>2</sub> crystals. *Phys. Rev. J. Arch.* **122**, 1451–1464 (1961).
- 54. Venyaminov, S. Y. & Prendergast, F. G. Water (H\_2O and D\_2O) molar absorptivity in the 1000-4000  $\rm cm^{-1}$  range and quantitative infrared

spectroscopy of aqueous solutions. *Anal. Biochem.* **248**, 234–245 (1997).

- Davies, H. G. & Wilkins, M. H. F. Interference microscopy and mass determination. *Nature* 169, 541 (1952).
- Zhao, H. Y., Brown, P. H. & Schuck, P. On the distribution of protein refractive index increments. *Biophys. J.* 100, 2309–2317 (2011).
- Oh, S. et al. Protein and lipid mass concentration measurement in tissues by stimulated Raman scattering microscopy. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **119**, e2117938119 (2022).