

ARTICLE



Racial differences in the impact of maternal smoking on sudden unexpected infant death

Barbara M. Ostfeld¹, Ofira Schwartz-Soicher^{1,2}, Nancy E. Reichman¹ and Thomas Hegyi¹✉

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2022

BACKGROUND: Prenatal smoking increases the risk of Sudden Unexpected Infant Death (SUID). Whether exposure patterns and associations differ by race requires further study.

OBJECTIVES: Determine if patterns of exposure and associations between SUID and maternal smoking before and during pregnancy differ by race.

METHODS: Using U.S. National Center for Health Statistics linked birth/infant death files 2012–2013, we documented SUID by smoking duration and race. Maternal smoking history: never, pre-pregnancy only, and pre-pregnancy plus first, first, second, or all trimesters.

RESULTS: Smoking was more common in non-Hispanic White (NHW) than non-Hispanic Black (NHB) mothers and more evident for both in SUID cases. The most common exposure duration is from before and throughout pregnancy (SUID: 78.3% NHW, 66.9% NHB; Survivors: 60.22% and 53.96%, respectively). NHB vs. NHW SUID rates per 1000 live births were 1.07 vs. 0.34 for non-smokers and 3.06 and 1.79 for smokers, ORs trended upward for both with increasing smoking duration.

CONCLUSION: Fewer NHB mothers smoked, but both NHB and NHW groups exhibited a dose-response relationship between smoking duration and SUID. The most common duration was from before to the end of pregnancy, suggesting difficulty in quitting and a need for effective interventions.

Journal of Perinatology (2023) 43:345–349; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41372-022-01516-0>

INTRODUCTION

In the United States, ~480,000 deaths occur from causes attributable to smoking [1], estimated to include 22% of the ~3500 infants who die annually from Sudden Unexpected Infant Death (SUID) [2, 3]. SUID consists of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS), the third leading cause of infant mortality in the USA (www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/infantmortality.htm), ill-defined and unspecified causes of mortality (IUCM), and Accidental Suffocation and Strangulation in Bed (ASSB) [3, 4]. Although, by definition, SIDS and IUCM are deaths for which no cause has been found, the social, health, and behavioral factors that elevate risk are well-established and include exposure of the fetus and infant to smoking [2, 3]. Smoking in this context has been the focus of extensive study [5–16], which has documented its dose-dependent relationship with SUID [2], the increase in its adverse impact when occurring in conjunction with other established risk factors such as bed-sharing [17] and alcohol consumption [2, 6], and the mechanisms by which smoking elevates the risk of SUID [14, 18, 19].

Racial disparities in SUID also have been well-documented [20, 21]. Data from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention for 2019 report a USA SUID rate of 1.9 per 1000 live births in non-Hispanic Black (NHB) infants compared to 0.79 in non-Hispanic White (NHW) infants [22]. Higher rates of SUID in Black infants have been associated with disparities in adverse social, health, and

behavioral determinants [23, 24], preterm birth [25], unsafe sleep practices [26, 27], and the social networks that influence parental behavior [28]. Concerning smoking, although cigarette consumption levels are lower for the NHB community compared to the NHW community, the former had lower quit ratios [29] and a greater risk of exposure to passive smoking [30]. Moreover, a disproportionate representation of Black women has been found to have slower nicotine metabolism [31, 32] with adverse implications for birthweight and head circumference [33]. Therefore, given the association between smoking and SUID and racial disparities in SUID rates among smokers (6.35 vs. 3.14 per 1000 live births, respectively, for NHB and NHW infants in 2019) [22], we sought to compare smoking patterns of NHB and NHW mothers to identify how differences and similarities can inform future interventions.

METHODS

Study design and population

Linked infant birth and death certificate period files for 2012 and 2013 in the United States were accessed from the National Center for Health Statistics website (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data_access/Vitalstatsonline.htm). Data for the 7,907,113 births from this period were pooled. We focused on non-Hispanic white, and non-Hispanic black mothers with gestational age between 24 and 42 completed weeks and birth weight between 400 and 6000 g and for whom complete information was available for all analysis

¹Department of Pediatrics, Rutgers-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, NJ, USA. ²Princeton University Library, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA. ✉email: hegyith@rwjms.rutgers.edu

Table 1. Out-of-hospital sudden unexpected infant death (SUID) by timing of maternal smoking by race-ethnicity, United States, 2012–2013.

	Non-Hispanic White			Non-Hispanic Black		
	Non-SUID	SUID	Total	Non-SUID	SUID	Total
Ever smoked before or during pregnancy	532,351 (16.01)	957 (50.10)	533,308 (16.03)	82,643 (9.65)	254 (23.45)	82,897 (9.66)
Never smoked	2,792,925 (83.99)	953 (49.90)	2,793,878 (83.97)	774,128 (90.35)	829 (76.55)	774,957 (90.34)
Total	3,325,276 (100.00)	1,910 (100.00)	3,327,186 (100.00)	856,771 (100.00)	1,083 (100.00)	857,854 (100.00)
Levels of Smoke Exposure						
Smoked pre-pregnancy only	123,842 (23.26)	99 (10.34)	123,941 (23.24)	20,474 (24.77)	42 (16.53)	20,516 (24.75)
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1 st trimester only	52,635 (9.88)	55 (5.75)	52,690 (9.88)	9,880 (11.96)	19 (7.48)	9,899 (11.94)
Smoked pre-pregnancy, 1 st and 2 nd trimesters	21,115 (3.96)	33 (3.45)	21,148 (3.97)	4,004 (4.84)	14 (5.51)	4,018 (4.85)
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1 st , 2 nd , and 3 rd trimesters	320,568 (60.22)	749 (78.27)	321,317 (60.25)	44,586 (53.96)	170 (66.93)	44,756 (53.99)
Smoked intermittently	14,191 (2.66)	21 (2.19)	14,855 (2.79)	3,699 (4.48)	9 (3.54)	3,708 (4.47)
Total	532,351 (100.00)	957 (100.00)	533,308 (100.00)	82,643 (100.00)	254 (100.00)	82,897 (100.00)

Source: Linked Infant Birth and Death Certificate Period Files from the National Center for Health Statistics. Figures in cells are Ns, and column percentages are in brackets.

variables. We only included SUID cases when the infant died in the postnatal period at home and was autopsied. These restrictions led to analytic samples of 3,327,186 births to non-Hispanic white mothers and 857,854 births to non-Hispanic black mothers.

SUID was defined based on the International Classification of Disease – revision 10 (ICD-10) as an infant death at less than 365 days of age. It included ICD-10 codes of R95 (SIDS), R99 (Ill-defined and Unknown Causes), and W75 (ASSB), in keeping with the criteria for Healthy People 2020 [28]. We classified cases into six groups based on maternal smoking history: No pre-pregnancy or prenatal smoking, smoked pre-pregnancy only, smoked pre-pregnancy and during the first trimester, smoked pre-pregnancy and during the first and second trimesters, smoked pre-pregnancy and throughout pregnancy, and smoked intermittently with no clear pattern of timing.

Statistical analysis

First, we documented SUID rates per 1000 live births by smoking category and race-ethnicity. Second, we estimated unadjusted and adjusted logistic regressions of associations between smoking category and SUID, separately for non-Hispanic white and non-Hispanic black mothers. The analysis included maternal demographic and obstetric characteristics of marital status, age (<18, 18–35, >35 years), education (<high school, high school, >high school), gravida (1, 2–3, >3), infant gender, multiple births, mode of delivery (vaginal, caesarian), and gestational age (24–27, 28–31, 32–33, 34–36, 37–38, and 39–42 weeks). Because gestational age may mediate associations between exposure to maternal smoking and SUID but could also be a confounder, we estimated models both including and not including gestational age.

We used Stata 15.0 statistical software (StataCorp L.P., College Station, TX, USA) to conduct all analyses. This study met the Institutional Review Board standards for exempt review.

RESULTS

Smoking was more common in NHW than NHB mothers but more evident for both groups in SUID cases than survivors. In SUID cases, 50.1% and 23.5% of NHW and NHB mothers were smokers from the prenatal period through the third trimester, in contrast to 16.0% and 9.7%, respectively, for the non-SUID (survivor) group (Table 1).

Among mothers who ever smoked, the most common pattern was full exposure from pre-pregnancy through all three trimesters. (Table 1) This pattern of exposure in all four time periods was evident for both SUID and non-SUID cases in the NHW group (78.27% and 60.22%, respectively) and the NHB group (66.93%

Table 2. Out of hospital SUID death rate per 1000 live births by maternal race and smoking status^a.

Smoking status	Non-Hispanic White	Non-Hispanic Black
Never smoked	0.34	1.07
Smoked pre-pregnancy only	0.80	2.05
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1 st trimester only	1.04	1.92
Smoked pre-pregnancy, and 1 st and 2 nd trimesters	1.56	3.48
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1st, 2nd, and 3rd trimesters	2.33	3.80
Smoked intermittently	1.48	2.43

^aBased on cases included in all logistic regression models.

and 53.95%, respectively) and was more pronounced for the SUID cases.

Table 2 reports rates of SUID per 1000 live births by race and maternal smoking status. Rates trended upward as smoking duration increased. Moreover, racial disparity in rates was evident at each degree of exposure, with the NHB group consistently demonstrating the higher rate. For example, for cases with no smoking history, the NHB rate of 1.07 per 1000 live births was three times that of 0.34 for the NHW group. The rates for smoke exposure in all four periods were 3.80 vs. 2.33, respectively.

Tables 3 and 4 report odds ratios for SUID in the NHW and NHB groups associated with increasing duration of maternal smoking during the prenatal period. No smoking was the reference group. In both racial groups, the OR's trended upward with increasing duration of exposure. However, the NHB group, whose non-smoking reference group already had a higher rate than the NHW group, rose more slowly. For example, after adjusting for marital status and education (proxies for socioeconomic status), maternal age and education, gravidity, and gestational age, the aOR for the NHW group for smoking in all four time periods was 3.51 (95% CI: 3.14–3.92). The NHB group's adjusted OR for exposure in all four time periods was 2.50 (95% CI: 2.10–2.97).

Table 3. Associations between timing of maternal smoking and out-of-hospital sudden unexpected infant death, non-Hispanic Whites, United States, 2012–2013.

	Model 1 ^a OR (95% CI)	Model 2 ^b AOR (95% CI)	Model 3 ^c AOR (95% CI)
Did not smoke	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Smoked pre-pregnancy but not during pregnancy	2.34*** (1.90–2.88)	1.76*** (1.42–2.17)	1.77* (1.43–2.18)
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1 st trimester	3.06*** (2.33–4.02)	2.00*** (1.52–2.64)	2.00*** (1.52–2.63)
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1 st and 2 nd trimesters	4.58*** (3.24–6.48)	2.71*** (1.91–3.85)	2.58*** (1.81–3.66)
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1 st , 2 nd , and 3 rd trimesters	6.45*** (6.22–7.54)	3.61*** (3.23–4.03)	3.51*** (3.14–3.92)
Smoked intermittently	4.34*** (2.81–6.68)	2.51*** (1.62–3.88)	2.50*** (1.62–3.86)
<i>N</i>	3,327,186	3,327,186	3,327,186

*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$.

^aUnadjusted odds.

^bAdjusted for marital status, maternal age, maternal education, gravidity, infant sex, multiple birth, and Cesarean delivery.

^cAdjusted for week of gestational age in addition to all variables in Model 2.

Table 4. Associations between timing of maternal smoking and out-of-hospital sudden unexpected infant death, non-Hispanic Blacks, United States, 2012–2013.

	Model 1 ^a OR (95% CI)	Model 2 ^b AOR (95% CI)	Model 3 ^c AOR (95% CI)
Did not smoke	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Smoked pre-pregnancy but not during pregnancy	1.92*** (1.40–2.61)	1.65*** (1.21–2.25)	1.66*** (1.22–2.27)
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1 st trimester	1.80** (1.14–2.83)	1.48** (0.94–2.34)	1.48** (0.94–2.34)
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1 st and 2 nd trimesters	3.27*** (1.92–5.54)	2.46*** (1.45–4.19)	2.33*** (1.37–3.97)
Smoked pre-pregnancy and 1 st , 2 nd , and 3 rd trimesters	3.56*** (3.02–4.20)	2.55*** (2.15–3.03)	2.50*** (2.10–2.97)
Smoked intermittently	2.27** (1.18–4.39)	1.75** (0.90–3.38)	1.74** (0.90–3.37)
<i>N</i>	857,854	857,854	857,854

Linked Infant Birth and Death Certificate Period Files from the National Center for Health Statistics.

*** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $p < 0.05$.

^aUnadjusted odds.

^bAdjusted for marital status, maternal age, maternal education, gravidity, infant sex, multiple birth, and cesarean delivery.

^cAdjusted for gestational age in addition to all variables in Model 2.

DISCUSSION

In our study of a two-year national sample of USA births, we found that infants of both NHB and NHW mothers exhibited a dose-dependent relationship between SUID rates and duration of maternal smoking from prior to pregnancy through cumulative trimesters. These rates trended higher with increased exposure, demonstrating the significant contribution of smoke exposure to SUID in each racial group and the need to address this risk. A smaller percentage of NHB mothers smoked. However, among the NHB and NHW who smoked, the most common pattern was from pre-pregnancy through all trimesters, suggesting how difficult it is to quit and underscoring the need for effective interventions. Among smokers in the NHW and NHB SUID groups, 78.27% and 66.93% exhibited this pattern, as did smokers in the NHW and NHB non-SUID groups (60.22% and 53.96%, respectively). That smokers commonly continue to do so throughout the pregnancy

has been reported previously [2]. Such findings underscore the importance of the recent recommendation by Hauck et al. in a study of maternal smoking in Black women that called for a more comprehensive approach to facilitating efforts to quit smoking and other substances beyond individual education [6]. This recommendation is supported by the observations that the NHB community had the lowest quit ratios for smoking across all education levels [29] and that over half of mothers who smoked in pregnancy continued to do so [34]. Of note, our study showed that only ~25% of mothers who smoked prior to pregnancy were able to stop during pregnancy, a finding that pertained to both racial groups.

Smoking interventions have had variable outcomes [5]. Promising efficacy was found in person-to-person counseling compared to health education or other passive interventions [35]. However, despite 67.5% of NHW and 72.8% of NHB smokers in a National

Health Interview Survey conducted in the USA in 2015 expressing a desire to quit, only 6.9% and 7.6%, respectively, used counseling intervention [36]. Moreover, only 60.2% and 55.7% received a health care provider's advice to quit. In a recent national survey [37], we found that while over 90% of obstetricians inquired about a patient's smoking habits before the onset of pregnancy, fewer addressed a patient's progress in quitting. These focused interactions trended downward throughout the pregnancy. Even fewer obstetricians reported discussing the risks of second-hand smoke or the specific smoking status of other members of the household. These findings underscore the need for obstetricians to take a more active role in addressing smoking.

Telephone support services to facilitate quitting reach across racial groups, but their use remains low [38]. Moreover, during the COVID-19 pandemic, calls to these services were down 27% [39].

Alternative nicotine delivery, such as vaping, has received attention as a method to limit fetal exposure, but data are not yet clear regarding safety and efficacy [5]. The American Academy of Pediatrics 2022 updated policy statement on reducing the risk of sleep-related infant death indicates that while no evidence exists on the relationship between vaping or electronic cigarette use and SUID, these devices contain nicotine, a risk factor for SUID. Therefore, their guidance regarding avoidance of smoking is now expanded to avoidance of nicotine [3].

In examining the relationship between maternal smoking and SIDS, one has to consider the potential impact of passive smoke exposure. In a previous study, we observed a high concurrence between maternal and paternal smoking in SIDS cases [40]. Postpartum smoking by both parents more than doubled the risk of SIDS [41]. According to the National Health Interview Survey for 2015 [42], NHB males smoked more than all other racial and ethnic groups. The difference in smoking rates between men and women was greater in the NHB population, 20.9% vs. 13.5%, compared to the NHW population (17.3% vs. 16.0%, respectively), suggesting that the NHB male may be an additional source of smoke exposure and a vital recipient of smoke-ending services. In our study, data on passive smoking were unavailable. However, we speculate that the greater baseline SUID rate for infants of non-smoking NHB women may be due, in part, to such a difference in exposure. The higher baseline SUID mortality rate for infants of NHB vs. NHW non-smokers also likely reflects their historically higher burden of behavioral risk factors, including bed sharing [26] and prone sleep placement [43]. Smoking in combination with bed-sharing [6, 17] raises the odds of SUID compared with smoking in its absence. Bedsharing and other risk-elevating behaviors were unavailable in our study. However, we speculate that more unsafe sleep practices in the NHB group may account for the slower increase in odds ratio in that population. In contrast, the rapid increase in odds ratio in the NHW groups with increasing smoke exposure may reflect the toxic contribution of smoking compared to a baseline group with a lower burden of other risk factors.

The mechanisms by which tobacco smoke increases the risk for SUID include the adverse impact of nicotine on areas of the brain associated with neonatal hypoxia tolerance and the capacity to arouse in response to a hypoxic challenge [14, 18, 44, 45]. In addition, a slower nicotine metabolic rate prolonging exposure has been disproportionately found in NHB populations [19, 32].

A strength of our study is that it identified similarities and differences in prenatal smoking patterns by race, potentially improving intervention strategies. A limitation of our study was that while we could control for gestational age, marital status and education, and other maternal variables, we did not have data on the number of cigarettes, unsafe sleep practices, passive smoke exposure, and postnatal maternal smoking. However, the increasing SUID risk we found with greater exposure was consistent with previous studies [2, 6, 16]. We speculate that the higher SUID rates

for infants of non-smoking NHB vs. NHW mothers in the study reflect racial disparities in some of these unexamined variables.

In summary, NHB and NHW smokers exhibit a high likelihood of continuing to smoke throughout pregnancy and increasing odds ratios as usage continues through each trimester. Unique profiles in each group regarding interaction effects with other risk factors or underlying mechanisms by which exposure is prolonged may inform risk-reducing interventions. However, the overall finding is that exposure must be addressed with more effective smoke-ending interventions for both groups.

REFERENCES

1. The health consequences of smoking-50 years of progress: a report of the surgeon general. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (US); 2014.
2. Anderson TM, Lavista Ferres JM, Ren SY, Moon RY, Goldstein RD, Ramirez JM, et al. Maternal smoking before and during pregnancy and the risk of sudden unexpected infant death. *Pediatrics*. 2019;143. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-3325>.
3. Moon RY, Carlin RF, Hand I, Task Force On Sudden Infant Death S, The Committee On F, Newborn. Sleep-related infant deaths: updated 2022 recommendations for reducing infant deaths in the sleep environment. *Pediatrics*. 2022;150. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2022-057990>.
4. Matthews TJ, MacDorman MF, Thoma ME. Infant mortality statistics from the 2013 period linked birth/infant death data set. *Natl Vital Stat Rep*. 2015;64:1–30.
5. Scherman A, Tolosa JE, McEvoy C. Smoking cessation in pregnancy: a continuing challenge in the United States. *Ther Adv Drug Saf*. 2018;9:457–74. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2042098618775366>.
6. Hauck FR, Blackstone SR. Maternal smoking, alcohol and recreational drug use and the risk of SIDS among a U.S. Urban Black Population. *Front Pediatr*. 2022;10:809966. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2022.809966>.
7. Anderson ME, Johnson DC, Batal HA. Sudden Infant Death Syndrome and prenatal maternal smoking: rising attributed risk in the Back to Sleep era. *BMC Med*. 2005;3:4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-3-4>.
8. Andres RL, Day MC. Perinatal complications associated with maternal tobacco use. *Semin Neonatol*. 2000;5:231–41. <https://doi.org/10.1053/siny.2000.0025>.
9. Bajanowski T, Brinkmann B, Mitchell EA, Vennemann MM, Leukel HW, Larsch KP, et al. Nicotine and cotinine in infants dying from sudden infant death syndrome. *Int J Leg Med*. 2008;122:23–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-007-0155-9>.
10. Blair PS, Fleming PJ, Bensley D, Smith I, Bacon C, Taylor E, et al. Smoking and the sudden infant death syndrome: results from 1993-5 case-control study for confidential inquiry into stillbirths and deaths in infancy. Confidential Enquiry into Stillbirths and Deaths Regional Coordinators and Researchers. *BMJ*. 1996;313:195–8. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.313.7051.195>.
11. Mitchell EA, Ford RP, Stewart AW, Taylor BJ, Becroft DM, Thompson JM, et al. Smoking and the sudden infant death syndrome. *Pediatrics*. 1993;91:893–6.
12. Wisborg K, Kesmodel U, Henriksen TB, Olsen SF, Secher NJ. A prospective study of smoking during pregnancy and SIDS. *Arch Dis Child*. 2000;83:203–6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.83.3.203>.
13. Haglund B, Cnattingius S. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for sudden infant death syndrome: a population-based study. *Am J Public Health*. 1990;80:29–32. <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.80.1.29>.
14. Vivekanandarajah A, Nelson ME, Kinney HC, Elliott AJ, Folkert RD, Tran H, et al. Nicotinic receptors in the brainstem ascending arousal system in SIDS with analysis of prenatal exposures to maternal smoking and alcohol in high-risk populations of the safe passage study. *Front Neurol*. 2021;12:636668. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.636668>.
15. Zhang K, Wang X. Maternal smoking and increased risk of sudden infant death syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Leg Med*. 2013;15:115–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.legalmed.2012.10.007>.
16. Anderson HR, Cook DG. Passive smoking and sudden infant death syndrome: review of the epidemiological evidence. *Thorax*. 1997;52:1003–9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.52.11.1003>.
17. Mitchell EA, Thompson JM, Zuccollo J, MacFarlane M, Taylor B, Elder D, et al. The combination of bed sharing and maternal smoking leads to a greatly increased risk of sudden unexpected death in infancy: the New Zealand SUDI Nationwide Case Control Study. *N. Z. Med J*. 2017;130:52–64.
18. Slotkin TA, Lappi SE, McCook EC, Lorber BA, Seidler FJ. Loss of neonatal hypoxia tolerance after prenatal nicotine exposure: implications for sudden infant death syndrome. *Brain Res Bull*. 1995;38:69–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230\(95\)00073-n](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230(95)00073-n).

19. Perez-Stable EJ, Herrera B, Jacob P, 3rd, Benowitz NL. Nicotine metabolism and intake in black and white smokers. *JAMA*. 1998;280:152–6. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.280.2.152>.
20. Parks SE, Erck Lambert AB, Shapiro-Mendoza CK. Racial and ethnic trends in Sudden Unexpected Infant Deaths: United States, 1995–2013. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-3844>.
21. Boyer BT, Lowell GS, Roehler DR, Quinlan KP. Racial and ethnic disparities of sudden unexpected infant death in large U.S. cities: a descriptive epidemiological study. *Inj Epidemiol*. 2022;9:12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40621-022-00377-7>.
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. National Vital Statistics System, Linked Birth / Infant Deaths on CDC WONDER Online Database. Data are from the Linked Birth / Infant Deaths Records 2017–2019, as compiled from data provided by the 57 vital statistics jurisdictions through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. <http://wonder.cdc.gov/lbd-current-expanded.html>. Accessed 28 Jul 2022.
23. Bishop-Royse J, Lange-Maia B, Murray L, Shah RC, DeMaio F. Structural racism, socioeconomic marginalization, and infant mortality. *Public Health*. 2021;190:55–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2020.10.027>.
24. Shipstone RA, Young J, Kearney L, Thompson JMD. Applying a social exclusion framework to explore the relationship between Sudden Unexpected Deaths in Infancy (SUDI) and social vulnerability. *Front Public Health*. 2020;8:563573. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.563573>.
25. Ostfeld BM, Schwartz-Soicher O, Reichman NE, Teitler JO, Hegyi T. Prematurity and Sudden Unexpected Infant Deaths in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-3334>.
26. Colson ER, Willinger M, Rybin D, Heeren T, Smith LA, Lister G. et al. Trends and factors associated with infant bed sharing, 1993–2010: the National Infant Sleep Position Study. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2013;167:1032–7. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.2560>.
27. Hwang SS, Tong S, Smith RA, Barfield WD, Pyle L, Battaglia C. et al. Persistent Racial/ethnic disparities in supine sleep positioning among U.S. preterm infants, 2000–2015. *J Pediatr*. 2021;233:51–7 e3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2021.02.070>.
28. Moon RY, Carlin RF, Cornwell B, Mathews A, Oden RP, Cheng YI. et al. Implications of mothers' social networks for risky infant sleep practices. *J Pediatr*. 2019;212:151–8. e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.05.027>.
29. Nguyen-Grozavu FT, Pierce JP, Sakuma KK, Leas EC, McMenamin SB, Kealey S. et al. Widening disparities in cigarette smoking by race/ethnicity across education level in the United States. *Prev Med*. 2020;139:106220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2020.106220>.
30. Tsai J, Homa DM, Gentzke AS, Mahoney M, Sharapova SR, Sosnoff CS. et al. Exposure to secondhand smoke among nonsmokers - United States, 1988–2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2018;67:1342–6. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6748a3>.
31. Stroud LR, Papandonatos GD, Jao NC, Niaura R, Buka S, Benowitz NL. Maternal nicotine metabolism moderates the impact of maternal cigarette smoking on infant birth weight: a Collaborative Perinatal Project investigation. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2022;233:109358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2022.109358>.
32. Kandel DB, Hu MC, Schaffran C, Udry JR, Benowitz NL. Urine nicotine metabolites and smoking behavior in a multiracial/multiethnic national sample of young adults. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007;165:901–10. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm010>.
33. Amyx MM, Sundaram R, Buck Louis GM, Gerlanc NM, Bever AM, Kannan K. et al. Association between early gestation passive smoke exposure and neonatal size among self-reported non-smoking women by race/ethnicity: a cohort study. *PLoS One*. 2021;16:e0256676. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256676>.
34. Filion KB, Abenhaim HA, Mottillo S, Joseph L, Gervais A, O'Loughlin J. et al. The effect of smoking cessation counselling in pregnant women: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BJOG*. 2011;118:1422–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2011.03065.x>.
35. Chamberlain C, O'Mara-Eves A, Porter J, Coleman T, Perlen SM, Thomas J. et al. Psychosocial interventions for supporting women to stop smoking in pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;2:CD001055. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001055.pub5>.
36. Babb S, Malarcher A, Schauer G, Asman K, Jamal A. Quitting smoking among adults - United States, 2000–2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2017;65:1457–64. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6525a1>.
37. Sontag JM, Singh B, Ostfeld BM, Hegyi T, Steinberg MB, Delnevo CD. Obstetricians' and gynecologists' communication practices around smoking cessation in pregnancy, secondhand smoke and Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS): a survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17082908>.
38. Marshall LL, Zhang L, Malarcher AM, Mann NH, King BA, Alexander RL. Race/ethnic variations in quitline use among U.S. adult tobacco users in 45 states, 2011–2013. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2017;19:1473–81. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ntn/ntw281>.
39. Report on the Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Smoking Cessation. Phoenix, AZ: North American Quitline Consortium (NAQC) 2021.
40. Ostfeld BM, Esposito L, Perl H, Hegyi T. Concurrent risks in sudden infant death syndrome. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125:447–53. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-0038>.
41. Liebrechts-Akkerman G, Lao O, Liu F, van Sleuwen BE, Engelberts AC, L'Hoir MP. et al. Postnatal parental smoking: an important risk factor for SIDS. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2011;170:1281–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-011-1433-6>.
42. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics. National Health Interview Survey, 2015. Analysis performed by the American Lung Association Epidemiology and Statistics Unit using SPSS software. Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2015.
43. Colson ER, Geller NL, Heeren T, Corwin MJ. Factors associated with choice of infant sleep position. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-0596>.
44. Kinney HC, Thach BT. The sudden infant death syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361:795–805. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMr0803836>.
45. Kinney HC, Haynes RL. The serotonin brainstem hypothesis for the Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. 2019;78:765–79. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nlz062>.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TH conceptualized, and designed the study, contributed to the analysis, drafted the initial, and approved the final manuscript. OS-S acquired the data, carried out the analyses, participated in drafting the article, and reviewed and approved the final manuscript as submitted. NER contributed to and reviewed the analyses, contributed to the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted. BO contributed to the conception and design, provided essential intellectual content and suggestions for analyses, collaborated in drafting the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

FUNDING

A portion of this study was supported by a Health Services Grant from the New Jersey Department of Health and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation through their support of the Child Health Institute of New Jersey (Grant #s 67038 and 74260). This work was also supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, a component of the National Institutes of Health under award number UL1TR003017 and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services/Health Resources and Service Administration under award number U3DMD32755.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Thomas Hegyi.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.