Check for updates

Assessing exposure and health consequences of chemicals in drinking water in the 21st Century

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2024

Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology (2024) 34:1–2; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-024-00639-0

Populations worldwide are exposed to a myriad of chemicals via drinking water, yet only a handful of chemicals have been extensively evaluated with regard to human exposures and health impacts [1, 2]. Many chemicals are generally "invisible" in that they do not alter the color or odor of drinking water, and many of the associated effects are not observable for decades, making linkages between exposure and disease difficult. The articles included in the Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology Special Topic "Assessing Exposure and Health Consequences of Chemicals in Drinking Water in the 21st Century" cover a range of topics, including: (i) new exposure and health research for regulated and emerging chemicals, (ii) new methods and tools for assessing exposure to drinking water contaminants, (iii) issues of equity and environmental justice, (iv) drinking water issues within the context of a changing climate. This Special Topic includes articles authored by experts across multiple disciplines including environmental engineering, hydrology, exposure science, epidemiology, toxicology, climate science, and others. Many of these papers emerged from an international symposium organized by ISGlobal and Yale scientists held in Barcelona in September 2022 [3].

REGULATED CHEMICALS

EDITORIAL

Chemicals that have been the focus of environmental health research include disinfection by-products (DBPs), nitrate, and metals. Although many of these chemicals are regulated, there is concern about low-dose exposures at concentrations below standards and guidelines, and risks of health endpoints not yet studied. Kaufman et al. explore new ways to assess DBP exposure, considering concentrations and specific toxicity potential in relation to birth defects risk [4]. Long-term exposure to DBPs and nitrate is addressed by Donat-Vargas et al. in relation to chronic lymphocytic leukaemia in Spain [5]. Friedman et al. examine temporal and spatial variability of manganese concentrations in a case study in the United States (US) [6]. Hefferon et al. evaluated sociodemographic inequalities in fluoride concentrations across the US [7]. Spaur et al. evaluate the contribution of water arsenic to biomarker levels in a prospective study in the US [8].

CHEMICALS OF EMERGING CONCERN

Many emerging chemicals, such as per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), microplastics, and 1,4-dioxane, have drinking water as the dominant exposure pathway for many populations. Yet, these remain largely unregulated or have standards and guidelines that vary widely across states and countries. Because only small percentages of the universe of contaminants are

regulated in drinking water, routine monitoring data for many chemicals of emerging concern is frequently absent or very limited. To advance understanding of drinking water exposures to PFAS, Cserbik et al. [9]. and Kotlarz et al. [10]. evaluate and compare PFAS in drinking water and blood serum samples in two different settings: an urban setting not impacted by PFAS pollution in Spain [9] and among well water users living near a fluorochemical facility in the US [10], respectively.

NEW METHODS AND TOOLS FOR EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

There is a need for improved tools, methods, and data to evaluate drinking water related exposures. These tools and techniques remain somewhat limited and lag behind those of other stressors (e.g., air pollution). Also, despite water contaminants occurring in mixtures, most of the evaluations (and policies and regulations) are conducted chemical by chemical, ignoring potential interactions. Schullehner et al. present case studies of three approaches of exposure assessment of drinking water quality: use of countrywide routine monitoring databases, wide-scope chemical analysis, and effect-based bioassay methods [11]. Luben et al. elaborate and compare different exposure assessment metrics to trihalomethanes in epidemiological analyses of reproductive and developmental outcomes [12]. Escher et al. present in vitro assays to evaluate biological responses of including neurotoxicity, oxidative stress, and cytotoxicity in different types of drinking water samples (tap, bottled, filtered) [13] Isaacs et al. present newly developed automated workflows to screen contaminants of concern based on toxicity and exposure potential [14]. Dorevitch et al. develop a novel method to improve detection of particulate lead spikes [15].

ISSUES OF EQUITY, ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE, AND VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

A substantial portion of the population (e.g., 20% in the United States) have private water supplies (e.g., a household domestic drinking water well), which are not subject to any federal regulatory oversight or monitoring [16]. This presents an equity issue in access to data on drinking water quality, as discussed in Levin et al. [2]. and heterogeneity in state-based policies for drinking water prevention, as discussed by Schmitt et al. [17]. Spaur et al. [8], observed that water from unregulated private wells and regulated municipal water supplies contributes substantially to overall exposures (as measured by urinary arsenic and uranium concentrations) in both rural, American Indian populations and urban, racially/ethnically diverse populations nationwide. Hefferon et al. evaluated environmental justice issues with respect to fluoride and found that 2.9 million US residents are served by public water systems with average fluoride concentrations exceeding the World Health Organization's guidance limit [7]. Friedman et al. show that manganese in drinking water

Received: 17 November 2023 Revised: 27 December 2023 Accepted: 3 January 2024

frequently exceeds current guidelines in the US, and occur at concentrations shown to be associated with adverse health outcomes, especially for vulnerable and susceptible populations like children [6].

Chemical contamination may also pose a serious threat in the developing world. Today, around 2.2 billion people – or 1 in 4 – still lack safely managed drinking water at home [18]. In most of the world, microbial contamination is the biggest challenge. Because it has been understudied, the chemical risks remain obscure [19], and regulators often require local data to take action. Praveena et al. reviews the quality of different drinking water types in Malaysia (tap water, ground water, gravity feed system) and its implications on policy, human health, management, and future research [20].

WATER QUALITY IN A CHANGING CLIMATE

There is an urgent need to anticipate and prepare for current and future challenges in a rapidly changing world. We also need to foresee new challenges to address issues of water scarcity (e.g., increasing desalination, use of treated wastewater in densely populated urban areas to meet water use demands), and aging infrastructure for many middle- and high-income countries constructed in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. The impacts of climate change on the water cycle are direct and observable, such as more frequent droughts and floods, sea level rise, and ice/snow melt. These events will challenge drinking water quality and availability through direct and indirect mechanisms [21]. There is still very limited knowledge on how climate events will affect the quality of finished drinking water. In our special issue, Oliveras et al. conducts a new analysis on the impacts of drought and heavy rain surrogates on the quality of drinking water in Barcelona, Spain [22].

CONCLUSION

Chemical contamination of drinking water is widespread. Although our knowledge on chemical risks in drinking water is increasing, there are knowledge gaps that make a slow translation to public health protection. We hope this issue highlights, elevates, and motivates research on chemical exposures via drinking water.

Nicole C. Deziel ^{1,2 ⊠} and Cristina M. Villanueva² ¹Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT, USA. ²ISGlobal, Barcelona, Spain. [⊠]email: nicole.deziel@yale.edu

REFERENCES

- Villanueva CM, Kogevinas M, Cordier S, Templeton MR, Vermeulen R, Nuckols JR, et al. Assessing exposure and health consequences of chemicals in drinking water: current state of knowledge and research needs. Environ health Perspect. 2014;122:213–21.
- Levin R, Villanueva CM, Beene D, Cradock AL, Donat-Vargas C, Lewis J, et al. US drinking water quality: exposure risk profiles for seven legacy and emerging contaminants. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:3–22.
- ISGlobal. https://www.isglobal.org/en/-/advancing-the-science-for-drinkingwater-chemical-exposure-assessment-and-health-research; 2022.
- 4. Kaufman JA, Wright JM, Evans A, Rivera-Núñez Z, Meyer A, Reckhow DA, et al. Risks of obstructive genitourinary birth defects in relation to trihalomethane and haloacetic acid exposures: expanding disinfection byproduct mixtures analyses using relative potency factors. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:34–46.

- Donat-Vargas C, Kogevinas M, Benavente Y, Costas L, Campo E, Castaño-Vinyals G, et al. Lifetime exposure to brominated trihalomethanes in drinking water and swimming pool attendance are associated with chronic lymphocytic leukemia: a Multicase-Control Study in Spain (MCC-Spain). J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:47–57.
- Friedman A, Boselli E, Ogneva-Himmelberger Y, Heiger-Bernays W, Brochu P, Burgess M, et al. Manganese in residential drinking water from a communityinitiated case study in Massachusetts. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:58–67.
- Hefferon R, Goin DE, Sarnat JA, Nigra AE. Regional and racial/ethnic inequalities in public drinking water fluoride concentrations across the US. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:68–76.
- Spaur M, Glabonjat RA, Schilling K, Lombard MA, Galvez-Fernandez M, Lieberman-Cribbin W, et al. Contribution of arsenic and uranium in private wells and community water systems to urinary biomarkers in US adults: the strong heart study and the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:77–89.
- Cserbik D, Casas M, Flores C, Paraian A, Haug LS, Rivas I, et al. Concentrations of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in paired tap water and blood samples during pregnancy. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:90–6.
- Kotlarz N, Guillette T, Critchley C, Collier D, Lea CS, McCord J, et al. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl ether acids in well water and blood serum from private well users residing by a fluorochemical facility near Fayetteville, North Carolina. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:97–107.
- Schullehner J, Cserbik D, Gago-Ferrero P, Lundqvist J, Nuckols JR. Integrating different tools and technologies to advance drinking water quality exposure assessments. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:108–14.
- Luben TJ, Shaffer RM, Kenyon E, Nembhard N, Weber KA, Nuckols J, et al. Comparison of Trihalomethane exposure assessment metrics in epidemiologic analyses of reproductive and developmental outcomes. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:115–25.
- Escher BI, Blanco J, Caixach J, Cserbik D, Farré MJ, Flores C, et al. In vitro bioassays for monitoring drinking water quality of tap water, domestic filtration and bottled water. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:126–35.
- Isaacs KK, Wall JT, Paul Friedman K, Franzosa JA, Goeden H, Williams AJ, et al. Screening for drinking water contaminants of concern using an automated exposure-focused workflow. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:136–47.
- Dorevitch S, Geiger SD, Kelly W, Jacobs DE, Demirtas H. Repeated home drinking water sampling to improve detection of particulate lead spikes: a simulation study. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:148–54.
- Lee D, Murphy HM. Private wells and rural health: groundwater contaminants of emerging concern. Curr Environ Health Rep. 2020;7:129–39.
- Mary Ward DM, Schmitt K, Loeb S. A state-by-state comparison of policies that protect private well users. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:155–60.
- UNICEF. Progress on household drinking water, sanitation and hygiene 2000-2022: Special focus on gender. Avaialable at: https://data.unicef.org/resources/ jmp-report-2023/; 2023.
- 19. Damania R, Desbureaux S, Rodella A-S, Russ J, Zaveri E. Quality unknown: the invisible water crisis: World Bank Publications; 2019.
- Praveena SM, Aris AZ, Hashim Z, Hashim JH. Drinking water quality status in Malaysia: a scoping review of occurrence, human health exposure, and potential needs. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:161–74.
- 21. United Nations. Water and climate change. The United Nations World Water Development Report. 2020.
- Benítez-Cano D, González-Marín P, Gómez-Gutiérrez A, Marí-Dell'Olmo M, Oliveras L. Association of drought conditions and heavy rainfalls with the quality of drinking water in Barcelona (2010–2022). J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2024;34:175–83.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NCD: writing (original draft, review, and editing), CMV: writing (original draft, review, editing).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.