1415

A NEW SOCIAL CAPITAL SCALE: GEOGRAPHIC STABILITY COMPARED TO MOTHERS' PERSONAL SUPPORT AND DEPRESSIVE SYMPTOMS

J. Pascoe¹, C. McNicholas¹, S. Specht¹,
W. Spears¹, W. Looman²

¹Pediatrics, Wright State University, Dayton, OH,

²Nursing, University of Minnesota,
Minneapolis, MN, USA

Objective: To examine the geographic distribution of mothers' self-reported personal social support and depressive symptoms as well as their perception of their communities' social capital.

Design/methods: Birth mothers whose children were patients at practices within the Southwestern Ohio Ambulatory Research Network (SOAR-Net) and a developmental clinic completed a survey that included the Maternal Social Support Index (MSSI), the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) and the Social Capital Scale (SCS). Data were collected between 2006 and 2009. English-speaking mothers who lived in one of the seven study zipcodes (ZC) with at least 22 mothers were included in this analysis (included zipcodes{IZ}, N=195, excluded zipcodes{EZ}, N=415). Inferential statistics included chi square, t-test and one-way anova.

Results: Mothers from IZ were more likely to be from SOAR-Net practices (94.4% vs 80.7%, $p=0.001$), single (42.7% vs 29.4%, $p=0.001$) and have a child with public health insurance (69.5% vs 51.0%, $p=0.001$) compared to mothers from EZ. Children's mean age (MA) was identical for both subgroups (MA=6.3). None of the study variables (e.g., SCS, MSSI, CES-D) means were significantly different between the two subgroups (IZ and EZ). Though mean CES-D scores ranged from 9.4+/-6.4 to 15.0+/-12.1 across the seven IZ, they did not reach statistical significance ($p=0.148$). Mean MSSI scores ranged from 27.5+/-4.9 to 21.5+/-6.7, $p=0.025$. Mean SCS scores had a notably narrow range 73.7+/-9.7 to 70.7+/-9.7, $p=0.950$).

Conclusions: Mothers' personal social support and depressive symptoms varied geographically across seven ZC more than a new measure of social capital developed for families raising disabled children.

1416

AUDIT OF CHILD PROTECTION REFERRALS IN A TERTIARY CENTRE IN THE UNITED KINGDOM

V. Palanivel¹, M.A. Anjay², L. Preston³, E. Lewis⁴

¹Department of Neurosciences, Great
Ormond Street Hospital for Children, London,

²Department of Paediatrics, Addenbrookes
Hospital, ³Department of Community Paediatrics,
Addenbrooke's Hospital, ⁴Department of
Community Paediatrics, Addenbrookes Hospital,
Cambridge, UK

Background and aims: Following the tragic death of an 8 year old girl called Victoria Climbié in 2000 due to child abuse, the British Government ordered a public inquiry to be chaired by Lord Laming into the circumstances surrounding her contact with each of the agencies and "the gross failure of the system to protect her". The report was published in January 2003. This report had 108 recommendations. Recommendations 64-90 were healthcare related. We aimed to measure compliance with the Laming recommendations in a tertiary hospital in the United Kingdom (UK) and to compare the results with a previous similar audit.

Methods: Retrospective study of case notes. All child protection referrals to social services over a period of 4 months were reviewed and 17 relevant case notes were selected for final analysis. Out of the 27 health care related recommendations, 81-90 were not within our clinical remit. 79 and 80 were considered not auditable from the case notes. The remaining 15 recommendations were audited.

Results: See Image 1

No.	Recommendation	Previous compliance (%)	Current compliance (%)
64	Documentation of suspicion of deliberate harm	30	100
65	History directly from child	91	75
66	All concerns fully addressed, accounted for and documented	94	88
67	Record of discussions regarding difference of opinion	60	Not applicable*
68	Comprehensive and contemporaneous record of concerns	94	82
69	Record of discussions	97	94
70	Discharge authorised by senior medical staff	82	81
71	Clear follow up arrangements	65	75
72	Clear communication with general practitioner	41	94
73	Enquiry into previous medical history	97	76
74	Full physical examination within 24 hours of concerns raised	97	76
75	Consent for investigations obtained by senior medical staff	Not audited	76
76	Consultant responsible identified	91	100
77	Written statement provided to social services	35	100
78	Working from a single set of notes	Not audited	0

*No difference of opinion

[Image 1]