Functional and Molecular Characterization of Rat Intestinal Prolidase

MING HU, ZHENGQI CHENG, AND LIXING ZHENG

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164-6510, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

Genetic deficiency of prolidase can lead to severe problems in child development, including mental retardation. However, the exact pathogenesis of the disease is unclear. To understand the enzyme's physiologic functions, we studied the regulation of rat intestinal prolidase. The results indicated that 1) the activities of intestinal prolidase and its kinetic parameters (Km and Vmax) are site-dependent; 2) the jejunal prolidase activity was the most sensitive to the dietary restriction, and the duodenal and jejunal but not colonic kinetic parameters changed with dietary restriction; 3) the pH activity profile of jejunal prolidase at 24 h postfeeding was different from that at 48 h postfeeding, whereas the inhibition profiles of prolidase were qualitatively independent of dietary restriction; and 4) old-aged rats have lower prolidase activities in the small intestine. We also purified rat intestinal prolidase I to homogeneity. The characterization study indicated that the purified rat intestinal prolidase I is fairly similar to prolidase I from other species with a molecular weight of 116,000, which consisted of two monomers, 58,000 D each. The purified prolidase I has a K_m value of 178 μ M and a V_{max} value of 601 μ mol · min⁻¹ · mg protein⁻¹. Screening of a rat intestinal cDNA library produced a 1.8-kb fragment that encodes the rat intestinal prolidase. This enzyme has 494 deduced amino acid sequence, which is 96% or 86% identical to mouse or human erythrocyte prolidase I. This represents the first report of a successful attempt to purify and clone an intestinal prolidase and of investigation to study prolidase regulation by diet. (*Pediatr Res* 53: 905–914, 2003)

Abbreviations

HBSS, Hanks' balanced salt solution Pro, proline Gly-Pro, glycyl-proline Phe-Pro, phenylalanyl-proline SA, specific activities SEC, size exclusion column

Prolidase is essential for the digestion and metabolism of protein, because it is the enzyme responsible for the metabolism of the most abundant protein digest glycyl-proline (Gly-Pro) and other imino-dipeptides (1). It is also important for endogenous protein metabolism and amino acid recycling, because it participates in collagen metabolism (2). It plays an important role in cellular growth because Chinese hamster cells that lack proline biosynthesis capability could grow normally as long as Gly-Pro and prolidase were present (1). Genetic deficiency of prolidase can lead to severe problems in child development, including abnormal joints, skin lesion (3), skin cancer (4), and mental retardation (5-7). In addition, abnormal prolidase activities were reported to be associated with certain side effects of drugs (8), liver cirrhosis (9), and hepatoma (10). Finally, prolidase has been targeted as a prodrug converting enzymes by various research groups, primarily for the purpose

Received January 20, 2003; accepted February 3, 2003.

Correspondence: Dr. Ming Hu, College of Pharmacy, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-6510, U.S.A.; e-mail: minghu@wsu.edu

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant HD33157.

DOI: 10.1203/01.PDR.0000064903.33501.FB

of improving systemic or cellular bioavailability of poorly absorbed drugs (11, 12).

It is unclear how abnormal expression of prolidase contributes to the pathogenesis of various diseases associated with its deficiency. Previous studies have not generated a definitive cause for the pathogenesis of prolidase deficiency. For example, Dolenga and Hechtman (13) showed that fibroblasts obtained from symptomatic patients grew normally when medium was supplemented with proline. This result is inconsistent with clinical observation, where proline supplement therapy did not result in any significant improvement in skin lesions (6). In another study, high concentrations of X-Pro were shown to be nontoxic to Chinese hamster cells lacking proline biosynthesis capability (1), but clinical symptoms were associated with a higher level of circulatory Gly-Pro (6).

The above analysis suggests that *in vivo* models may be better suited for determining the physiologic functions of prolidase, which was the main reason that we chose the rat model. Because prolidase located in the intestinal epithelium will hydrolyze dietary dipeptides X-Pro as soon as they are absorbed into the cells, its characterization will help us to understand how it participates in the absorption and recycling of dietary as well as endogenous amino acids and how its functional expression is regulated in the intestine. In the present study, we systematically determined the prolidase activity along the intestinal tract, purified intestinal prolidase I to homogeneity, and then determined the kinetic properties of purified intestinal prolidase I. We further cloned intestinal prolidase I from a rat intestinal cDNA library and compared it with reported amino acid sequence from mouse and human erythrocyte prolidase I.

METHODS

Materials

Phenylalanyl-proline (Phe-Pro), Gly-Pro, Phe, MgCl₂, MnCl₂, mercaptoethanol, DTT, and Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS; powder form) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.). Resource-S and Resource-Q columns were purchased from Pharmacia (Uppsala, Sweden).

Preparation of Intestinal Segments

The animal experiment procedures were approved by the Washington State University Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee. Sprague Dawley rats were fasted for 24 or 48 h with free access to water. Rats that weighed between 250 and 350 g were killed, and the whole intestine was then removed, washed with ice-cold saline, and kept in an ice-cold HBSS buffer. The rat small intestine was segmented, 10 cm each, from duodenum to ileum. A 10-cm segment of colon immediately adjacent to the ileocolonic junction was also removed for enzyme preparation (note: The rat colon was approximately 14 cm in length). These segments were stored in an ice-cold HBSS buffer until use.

Crude Enzyme Fractions

The mucosal cells were removed by scraping with a spatula, and diluted to a solution that contains approximately 100 mg wet cells per milliliter of pH 7.4 HBSS supplemented with 1 mM of Mg⁺⁺ and Mn⁺⁺. The cells were then homogenized using an Omni Mixer (Sorvall, Norwalk, CT, U.S.A.) at approximately 8000 rpm for 3 min in a 4°C water bath. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 35,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was filtered with a 0.22-mm filter. The "filtered homogenate preparation" or "crude prolidase" was kept at 4°C until preparations from different segments were used for subsequent studies.

Enzyme Purification Procedures

Step 1. The filtered homogenate preparations from various segments were pooled and lyophilized overnight. The dried powder was then dissolved in HPLC water and dialyzed against a pH 8.0 Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM) overnight.

Step 2. The dialyzed sample was allowed to pass through a strong cation exchange Resource-S column using pH 4.5 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid buffer (50 mM) with increas-

ing concentration of NaCl *via* a Varian 5000 gradient liquid chromatography system (Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A.). Fractions that contained prolidase were then pooled again and lyophilized overnight.

Step 3. The dried powder was then dissolved in pH 8.0 Tris-HCl buffer and dialyzed overnight against a pH 8.0 Tris-HCl buffer that contained 1 mM each of DTT and Mn^{++} .

Step 4. The dialyzed sample was then passed through a strong anion exchange Resource-Q column. Prolidase was eluted using pH 8.0 Tris-HCl buffer with an increasing concentration of NaCl *via* the Varian 5000 gradient liquid chromatography system.

Step 5. Fractions that contain the highest prolidase activity were then loaded into a Centricon 10 (MW cutoff = 10,000) concentrator, and the samples were concentrated and washed with HPLC water that contained 1 mM each of DTT and Mn^{++} .

Step 6. The concentrated samples were injected into a size exclusion column (SEC-400S, BioRad) and eluted with pH 7.4 Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM) containing 150 mM NaCl and 0.5% (vol/vol) mercaptoethanol.

Kinetic Assay of Enzyme Activities

Enzyme stock. For crude prolidase preparations from the small intestine, the fraction was first diluted 1:40 to obtain a stock solution for enzyme assay. For crude prolidase fraction from the large intestine, the fraction was diluted 1:2 to obtain a stock solution for enzyme assay. For purified enzyme preparations that did not contain appropriate amounts of Mn^{++} , the enzyme stock solution (with mercaptoethanol) was incubated with 1 mM Mn^{++} for 10 min before the experiments to display maximum activity. The activity assay proceeded using an enzyme concentration that can hydrolyze approximately 20% of 50 μ M Phe-Pro solution in 10–15 min. This condition was used to allow optimal determination of initial rates of hydrolysis (or enzyme activity) with relatively good throughput and low relative variability in the sampling time.

Activity assay. A standard assay was performed by thoroughly mixing 50 μ L of 1 mM Phe-Pro, 50 μ L of enzyme assay stock solution, and 900 μ L HBSS buffer and letting the reaction proceed for 10 min at 37°C. At the end of the reaction time, 20 μ L of phosphoric acid (0.9 N) was added to quench the reaction to pH 2. The sample was then frozen at -20° C until assay.

Determination of factors affecting prolidase activity. Determination of saturability of an enzyme-catalyzed reaction is a necessary component of functional characterization. For measuring activities at different concentrations, the portion of enzyme stock solution was kept constant, whereas the portion of substrate buffer and/or HBSS buffer was changed so that the final condition was obtained as required.

HPLC Assay of Phe

The HPLC conditions for the assay of Phe, a product of Phe-Pro hydrolysis by prolidase, were as follows: column, Beckman Ultrasphere C-18, 5 mm, 0.4×25 cm; detector wavelength, 210 nm; mobile phase, 80% 50 mM NaH₂PO₄ (pH

5) plus 20% CH₃OH; flow rate, 0.8 mL/min; retention time, 6 min; sensitivity, 100 pmol.

Statistical Analysis

ANOVA or Student *t* test was used throughout the study to compare the difference between results of the controlled experiments and that of the test experiments (*e.g.* different pHs). A significance level of 5% (p = 0.05) was used as the criterion to determine whether the difference was statistically significant.

Protein assay

Bradford's method was used with BSA as standard (14). The reagent was purchased from BioRad, and protein was quantified per the manufacturer's suggestion.

Electrophoresis of Protein

Electrophoresis of prolidase was performed according to standard procedures (15–18). Separated proteins were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 in appropriate acidic buffer (16, 18).

Screening for Positive cDNA From Rat Intestinal cDNA Library

The rat intestinal cDNA library, lambda ZAP vector, was provided by Prof. Kazuhiro Okada (Department of Cell Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, U.S.A.). Recombinant plaques (200,000) were screened with a human prolidase cDNA probe that was a gift from Prof. Fumi Endo (National Children's Medical Research Center, Tokyo, Japan). The cDNA probe was randomly primed with the ³²P label. Nitrocellulose filters were prehybridized at 42°C in a hybridization solution from GIBCO BRL (Grand Island, NY, U.S.A.). Hybridization was carried out in the same solution containing ³²P-labeled cDNA probe at 42°C. The filters were washed at 42°C four times with 50% formamide and five times with 0.1% SDS in saline sodium citrate buffer and were exposed overnight at -70°C to Kodak X-Omat AR film (New Haven, CT, U.S.A.).

Sequence Analysis of Rat Intestinal Prolidase in puc19 Vector

The inserts released from the phage DNA of the lambda ZAP library were relegated into puc19 vector at the E.coR1-*KpnI* site. Sequencing of the insert cDNA was carried out by dideoxy chain termination technique. Both strands of the cDNA were manually sequenced by using synthetic primers, derived from the human prolidase cDNA sequence. Only three of 20 primers synthesized according to human prolidase cDNA sequence were not suitable for priming.

Northern Blot Analyses

Two rats were fasted (*i.e.* no food except water) for 24 and 48 h, respectively. Total RNAs were prepared from rat intestines, respectively, by TriZol reagent following the manufac-

turer's instruction (GIBCO BRL), separated on 1% agarose gel containing 2.2 M formaldehyde, and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. The probe for rat intestinal prolidase mRNA was the rat intestinal prolidase cDNA made from rat intestinal cDNA library in our laboratory and labeled using randomly primed DNA labeling kit and ³²PdCTP; specific activity was approximately 10⁸ cpm/ μ g of DNA. Hybridization was per-formed at 42°C for 18 h with the ³²P-cDNA probe and 2× prehybridization/hybridization solution following a procedure recommended by the manufacturer (GIBCO BRL). After the blot was exposed to an x-ray film overnight, densities of the dark bands were determined by cutting the area of the blot corresponding to the dark bands of the film and counting the radioactivity.

RESULTS

Time Course of Hydrolysis

The hydrolysis of Phe-Pro by the jejunal prolidase as measured by the appearance of Phe in the reaction media followed a linear relationship with time (up to 45 min, or approximately 35% hydrolyzed) under this condition (Fig. 1) using crude prolidase prepared from rats fasted for 24 and 48 h, respectively. This relationship was also observed in other intestinal segments tested. Therefore, later studies using homogenate all were performed for 10–15 min, resulting in approximately 10-15% hydrolysis.



Figure 1. Time course of hydrolysis of 50 μ M Phe-Pro by jejunal prolidase prepared from rats fasted for 24 h (\blacklozenge) and 48 h (\blacksquare). The experiments were performed at 37°C after samples containing the substrate and enzyme were thoroughly but not rigorously mixed. The prolidase activity was abolished at the end of experimental period using 0.9N H₃PO₄ to acidify the samples to pH 2, and the amount of product Phe was analyzed using HPLC. Each data point was the average of three determinations, and the error bar was the SD of the mean. The prolidase preparations were pooled from three rats. Effect of fasting time was compared at each data point. *Difference between the amounts of metabolite (Phe) formed using crude intestinal prolidase prepared from rats fasted for a different period of time was statistically significant according to an unpaired *t* test.

Prolidase Distribution

Prolidase activities in this and subsequent studies were normally expressed as specific activities (SA). When we determined SA of prolidase in different regions (or sites) of the intestine, the results indicated that the prolidase was predominantly presented in the small intestine, where its SA was 4- to 5-fold higher (p < 0.05) than colon (Fig. 2A). By taking total enterocyte protein into consideration, the total activities of enterocyte prolidase per centimeter of the small intestine are



Figure 2. Distribution patterns of prolidase along the intestine. Each segment represents approximately 10 cm of intestine. 1, duodenum; 2, duodenum+jejunum; 3-5, jejunum; 6, jejunum+ileum; 7 and 8, ileum; 9 colon immediately adjacent to the ileocolonic junction. This designation is based on the fact that you can localize duodenum knowing that it is 10-15 cm long and ends at the ligament of Treitz. The rest of the small intestine is approximately 60% jejunum and 40% ileum, although there is not a clear anatomical marking between the jejunum and the ileum. The enzyme was prepared according to methods described in "Methods." Prolidase activity was determined at 37°C using 50 µM Phe-Pro as the substrate. The results were then either normalized against protein content (per milligram; top) or the intestinal length (per centimeter; bottom). Each data point was the average of three determinations, and the error bar was the SD of the mean. The prolidase preparations were pooled from three rats. According to one-way analysis of the data, the differences in prolidase activities were significant between segments regardless of whether the rats were fasted for 24 h (•) or 48 h (•) or whether SA or total activities per centimeter were used. We also analyzed the effect of fasting time at each segment. *Significant differences in prolidase activities because of fasting.

10- to 20-fold (p < 0.05) higher than colon (Fig. 2*B*), because amounts of protein contained in the mucosal enterocyte homogenate from the small intestine are usually much higher than colonic enterocyte homogenate. As a reference point, the crude prolidase activity in the rat colon homogenate (15–30 nmol · min⁻¹ · mg protein⁻¹) was close to that in the Caco-2 cell lysate (30–50 nmol · min⁻¹ · mg protein⁻¹), a human intestinal cell line of colon adenocarcinoma (19).

Effect of Fasting

Animals that were fasted for 48 h had a significantly decreased SA in all segments of the intestine except duodenum. The effect was more pronounced in the middle part of the small intestine than in the colon (Fig. 2*A*). Interestingly, the total prolidase activities per centimeter, which are derived by multiplying the amount of protein present in each segment and dividing by 10 cm, showed differences mostly in the middle one half of the small intestine (Fig. 2*B*).

Kinetic Characterization of SA of Homogenates

Kinetic analysis of rate *versus* concentration curves (Fig. 3) yielded the apparent values of K_m and V_{max} for prolidasecatalyzed hydrolysis of Phe-Pro in duodenum (segment 1), jejunum (segment 4), and colon (segment 9; Table 1). These results indicated that both the V_{max} and K_m values were changed depending on the site and time postfeeding. However, the amount of total protein in each preparation did not change significantly with fasting (not shown).

pH Effect

Different optimal maximum pH has been reported for partially purified prolidase I and prolidase II, derived from leukocytes (20). The optimal pH for prolidase I was approximately 7.2 and for prolidase II was approximately 8.0 (20).

The pH activity profiles of rat intestinal prolidase after the rats were fasted for 24 and 48 h are shown in Figure 4. pH had a significant (p < 0.05) effect on the prolidase activities using homogenates prepared from all three regions of the rat intestine. The results indicated that optimal activity of prolidase is always at approximately pH 6, which did not change significantly with time postfeeding. However, the jejunal and clonal prolidase activities at pH 7 did change significantly (p < 0.05) with dietary restriction, whereas the duodenal prolidase did not. At all the pH tested, SA of jejunal prolidase was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than SA of duodenum and colon, except at pH 9, where duodenal SA was similar to jejunal SA in the 48-h postfeeding sample.

Effect of Inhibitors

Various potential inhibitors of prolidase were tested to determine their effects on enzyme-catalyzed Phe-Pro hydrolysis *via* crude prolidase (Fig. 5). The results indicated that captopril, ZnCl, and proline but not L-leucine significantly (p < 0.05) inhibited the hydrolysis of Phe-Pro using homogenates from duodenum, jejunum, and colon. In addition, the inhibition patterns by this set of inhibitors were qualitatively similar, but

Copyright © by International Pediatric Research Foundation, Inc. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited



Figure 3. Concentration-dependent hydrolysis of Phe-Pro by filtered homogenate preparations from duodenum or segment 1 (top left, labeled as duodenum), jejunum or segment 4 (bottom left), and colon or segment 9 (top right), using rats fasted for 24 h (\blacklozenge) and 48 h (\blacksquare). Experiments were performed and activities were measured following procedures described in "Methods." The concentrations used were between 25 and 1000 μ M. Each data point was the average of three determinations, and the error bar was the SD of the mean. The prolidase preparations were pooled from three rats. Also shown were representative Eadie-Hofstee plots using jejunum (segment 4; bottom right) at 24 h (\blacklozenge) and 48 h (\blacksquare) postfeeding time, respectively. A linear regression analysis of the data (line not shown) generated an R² of 0.82 for the 24-h curve and 0.73 for the 48-h curve.



Figure 4. The pH activity profiles of jejunal prolidase obtained from rats fasted for 24 and 48 h. The experiments were performed at 37°C for 10 min in HBSS buffers at different pHs. Each data point is the average of three determinations, and the error bar is the SD of the mean. The prolidase preparations were pooled from two rats. Duodenal (segment 1) prolidase after 24-h dietary restriction is designated as 24 h-duodenum (first slashed bars in each group), after 48 h dietary restriction is designated as 48 h-duodenum (dotted bars). Similarly, jejunal prolidase (segment 4) was designated as 24 h-jejunum (solid bars) and 48 h-jejunum (slashed brick bars), whereas colonic (segment 9) prolidase as 24 h-colon (fifth slashed bars) and 48 h-colon (stacked squares), respectively. According to a one-way ANOVA, the effects of pH were statistically significant.

they also had some quantitative differences. For example, after 24-h dietary restriction, duodenal and colonic prolidase was inhibited more by captopril (100% and 94%; p < 0.05) than by

ZnCl₂ (65% and 81%; p < 0.05), whereas jejunal prolidase was similarly inhibited by both (84% *versus* 89%).

Effect of Aging

Some elderly rats have poor nutrition and can lose weight. In the small intestine, aged rats (487 d old) had generally less prolidase activity (p < 0.05) than younger rats (64 d old). The difference in SA approached 1-fold at the upper intestine (Fig. 6) but was less in the colon (Fig. 6).

Rat Intestinal Prolidase I Purifications

This is a multistep procedure that produces the highest reported purity of the intestinal prolidase I. The purification efficiency and yield are presented in Table 2. The following are the results of individual elution step and their representative elution profiles.

Ion exchange columns. The results (Table 2) indicated that purification through Resource-S column increased the SA by 29 times when pooled fractions 3–5 were used (Fig. 7). This SA decreased when fraction 1 was also used. After the eluent portions containing the prolidase activities were pooled and processed as described, they were loaded onto a Resource-Q column. The results indicated that the Resource-Q column is by far the best for the purification of this enzyme (42 times; Fig. 8), as demonstrated by other investigators (16, 21).

Size exclusion column (SEC-400S). This step yielded an enzyme with a specific activity (510 U; Fig. 9). Using molec-

Table 1. Kinetic parameters	describing the hydroly	vsis of Phe-Pro by cri	ıde prolidase in	different segments	of the intestine following								
different postfeeding time													

Postfeeding		K _m (mM)		V _{max} (nmol/min/mg protein)						
time (h)	Duodenum	Jejunum	Colon	Duodenum	Jejunum	Colon				
24	50	190	126	38.1	183.9	28.1				
48	112	192	173	79.2	88.5	39.9				

The experiments were performed with 25–1,000 μ M Phe-Pro in pH 7.4 HBSS buffer for 10 min at 37°C. The kinetic parameters were determined using nonlinear regression analysis of the data such as those presented in Figure 3.



Figure 5. Effects of L-leucine (Leu; 2 mM), L-proline (Pro; 2 mM), captopril (Cap; 0.1 mM), and $ZnCl_2$ (2 mM) on the hydrolysis of Phe-Pro by jejunal prolidase obtained from rats that were fasted for 24 and 48 h. The experiments were performed at 37°C for 10 min in pH 7.4 HBSS buffers containing different inhibitors. According to a one-way ANOVA, the effects of inhibitors with exception of L-leucine were statistically significant. Each bar is the average of three determinations, and the error bar is the SD of the mean. The legend designation in this figure is the same as those presented in Figure 4.



Figure 6. Distribution of prolidase activities along the intestinal tract in young adult rats and aged rats after 24-h fasting. The method for generating the SA and activities per centimeter of intestine was the same as in Figure 2. The anatomical regions of the rat intestine were the same as those shown in Figure 2. According to one-way analysis of the data, the differences in prolidase activities were significant between segments regardless of whether the rats were young or old. We also analyzed the effect of age at each segment. *Significant difference because of age.

ular weight standard supplied by Bio-Rad and activity assay, the molecular weight of our enzyme was determined to be 116,000 D (using the peak activity time as the peak elution

Table 2.	Enrichment	and yield	of the	prolidase	purification						
procedure											

Preparations	SA*	Purification times	% Yield†			
Filtered homogenate‡	0.05	1	_			
Resource-S	1.44	28.8	50%			
Resource-Q	59.8	1196	15%			
SEC-400S	134	2680	6%			
	510 (calculated)					
Commercial§	33.4					
	127 (calculated))					

* SA were expressed as U or μ mol · min⁻¹ · mg protein⁻¹, which was equivalent to 1 μ mol Phe formed · min⁻¹ · mg protein⁻¹ using 50 μ M Phe-Pro as substrate at 37°C in pH 7.4 HBSS solution. Activity measured using 1 mM Phe-Pro will be significantly higher (see calculated activities).

 \dagger The % yield represents the total accumulative yield of the procedure up to a specific step.

 \ddagger Filtered homogenate were pooled from segments 1–8 (from duodenum to jejunum).

§ For purified prolidase I, the calculated value was based on a concentration of 1,000 μ M, K_m of 178 μ M, and V_{max} of 601 U (Fig. 9). The reason for using this calculated SA is that the SA reported by other investigators was obtained using 1,000 μ M Phe-Pro or Gly-Pro 15, 18, 22. For commercial prolidase, the calculated activities were obtained using the ratio between SA at 50 μ M and at 1,000 μ M calculated using purified rat prolidase I.

 \parallel Purchased from Sigma (catalog# P6675), labeled as having a maximum activity of 100–200 U at 37°C in pH 8.0 buffer.

time), similar to prolidase I reported in humans (16, 22). This molecular weight is achievable only when DTT is added to protect prolidase before the SEC purification step. In the absence of DTT, the enzymes break into two monomers (molecular weight = 58,000 determined by SEC). This latter molecular weight is consistent with a molecular weight of approximately 59,000 obtained from SDS-PAGE gel (not shown).

Kinetic Characterization of Purified Rat Prolidase I

The K_m and V_{max} values were determined using purified prolidase and found to be 178 μ M and 601 U or 601 μ mol · min⁻¹ · mg protein⁻¹ (Fig. 10). The Eddie-Hofstee plot of the data generated a straight line (R² = 0.979), suggesting that the enzyme preparation has one K_m value. The calculated rate of hydrolysis at 1 mM Phe-Pro concentration is 501 μ mol · min⁻¹ · mg protein⁻¹, which is the value reported in Table 2.

Cloning of Rat Intestinal Prolidase

Screening of rat intestinal cDNA library created 40 positive clones, of which 10 were purified. The inserts were released by E.coR1 and *Kpn*I digestion and separated on 1% agarose gel. The inserts have sizes of approximately 1.4, 1.6, and 1.8 kb,



Figure 7. A representative elution profile of prolidase preparation through the Resource-S column (1 mL). Mobile phase A was 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 4.5), and mobile phase B was mobile phase A plus 1 M NaCl. The dotted line represents percentage mobile phase B when running a gradient HPLC method. The absorbance profile (100% = 0.05 absorbance unit; &OV0049;) was generated using a wavelength of 280 nm and normalized as percentage of the maximal value in the run or %AFI, which is a measure of the relative absorbance value. The enzyme activity assay was performed at 37°C after a 50- μ L portion of the effluent fraction (upon appropriate dilution) was allowed to react with 50 μ M Phe-Pro for 10 min. Activities were again normalized against the highest activities (set at 100%) and plotted against fractions collected (\bullet). Each fraction is a 1-mL sample collected over 1 min.



Figure 9. Elution profile of prolidase preparation through the SEC-400 S column (0.6 cm \times 30 cm). Mobile phase A was Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4), and mobile phase B was A plus 1 M NaCl. The dotted line represents % mobile phase B. The absorbance values (100% = 0.025 absorbance unit) were obtained using a wavelength of 280 nm. The total activity assay was performed at 37°C after a 50- μ L portion of the effluent fraction was allowed to react with 50 μ M Phe-Pro for 10 min. The eluted prolidase has a molecular weight of approximately 58,000 D, consistent with a molecular weight of approximately 59,000 D determined from SDS-PAGE gel (not shown). Both absorbance (&OV0049;) and enzyme activities (\bullet) were normalized against the highest value as shown in Figure 7.



Figure 8. Elution profile of prolidase preparation through the Resource-Q column (1 mL). Mobile phase A was Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8), and mobile phase B was the same Tris-HCl buffer with 1 M NaCl. The dotted line represents % mobile phase B. The absorbance profile (100% = 0.05 absorbance unit) was obtained using a wavelength of 280 nm. The relative enzyme activity assay was performed at 37°C after a 50- μ L portion of the effluent fraction (upon appropriate dilution) was allowed to react with 50 μ M Phe-Pro for 10 min. Both absorbance (&OV0049;) and enzyme activities (\bullet) were normalized against the highest value as shown in Figure 7.

respectively. After the inserts were relegated, they were sequenced with primers derived from human intestinal cDNA sequence. A 1807 nucleotide sequence of rat intestinal prolidase cDNA has been determined (Fig. 11*A*), which covers



Figure 10. Effect of concentrations on the Phe-Pro hydrolysis by SEC-400S purified prolidase I. Experiments were performed at 37°C in pH 7.4 HBSS buffer that contains 1 mM Mn⁺⁺. The rate of hydrolysis was expressed as U, which is equivalent to 1 μ mol of Phe-Pro hydrolyzed per minute per milligram of protein. The K_m obtained was 178 μ M and V_{max} was 601 U, where 1 U is 1 μ mol \cdot min⁻¹ \cdot mg protein⁻¹. The Eadie-Hofstee plot of the data showed a straight line with an r² value of 0.979, suggesting the presence of a single K_m value. Each data point was the average of three determinations, and the error bars represent the SD of mean.

seven nucleotides upstream of initiating codon ATG and 318 nucleotides downstream from the stop codon TAG (as 1489 nucleotide). We then translated 1482 nucleotide sequence that codes 494 amino acids. Figure 11*B* shows the amino acid sequence derived from the nucleotide sequence of the rat intestinal prolidase 1.8 kb cDNA. When comparing it to the prolidase amino acid sequences of the mouse and human intestine, the sequence identity was found to be 96% and 86%, respectively.

1 Mouse Human Rat 51

н

V A

I.

0

Mouse

Human

Rat 101 Mouse Human

Rat 151

Mouse

Human

Rat 201

Mouse

Нитап Rat 251

Mouse

Human Rat 301

Mouse

Human

Rat 351

Mouse

Human Rat 401 Mouse

Human

Human

Rat 451

Α																			
Rat Mouse	5'	ATG GCG	E ICI	ACC T	<u>GTG</u>	<u>C</u> G <u>C</u>	CCI	TCC	TT <u>C</u>	T <u>CC</u>	CTG	GGG	6 AAT	GA <u>G</u>	ACC	СТG	AAG	GTG	54
Human			GG	G	ACC	GΑ	C	G	т	GG				A					
Rat Mouse Human		CC <u>G</u> CTC A	GC <u>/</u> C	A CTO CA A CO C	TT <u>C</u>	GCC	<u>C</u> TG	6 AAC	AGG C	G CAC	3 CG	ссто	G TGT	GAC	G C	G CT	G <u>A</u> G C	g aag	5 108
Rat		AA <u>T GGA</u>	GCT	GTG	CAG	GCC	GGG	с тс <u>а</u>	GC1	GT	<u>C</u> GT		G CAG	GGG	GG	G GA	A GA	G A <u>TC</u>	6 162
Mouse Human		ссст		A			С	С	ATC	; (3 (с					G	СТ	
Rat Mouse Human		CAA CGA G	TAC	TGC	ACC	GA <u>T</u>	ACC	<u>TCC</u> 666	ATC	ATC	TTC	с <u>ө</u> с т	C <u>CA</u> AG	GAG	тсс	: TTC	TTT	CAC	216
Rat		TGG GC	стто	GGT	GTC	ATC	GAG	TCA	660	: TGC	TAT	GGT	GTC	ATT	GAC	GTG	GAC	ACT	270
Mouse			<u>.</u>		0,0	G	0, (0	- 10/1	000				0.0	ċ	Ţ	-		,	2.0
Human			3			СТ		С						с 	Т	T			
Rat Mouse Human		GG <u>A</u> AAG A G	<u>a</u> tc <u>4</u> G		CTG	TTT	GTG	CCC	AGG	CTT	сст	G <u>CC</u> AT	AGC	IAI C C	GCC	ACC	TGG	ATG	324
Rat Mouse		GGA AAG	G ATC	CAT	тсс	AAG	GAG	TAT	ттс	AAG	GAG	AAG	TA <u>C</u>	GCA	GTG	GA <u>C</u> T	GAT	GTC	378
Human		040 T					~~~	C					1	0	000		C	0.10	100
Rat Mouse Human		UAG FAC	A A TA	<u>a</u> GAT	GAG	AIT	GCC	, ag <u>t</u> C	GIC	CIG	5 AC <u>T</u> C G	TCA	A C <u>G</u> G	аа <u>С</u> G	000	TCT	GIC	CIC	432
Rat		CTC ACT	TTG	сс <u>с</u>	GG <u>T</u>	GTC	ААС	AC <u>A</u>	GAC	AG <u>T</u>	GGC	AAT	GTC	TGC	AGG	GAG	GCI	тсс	486
Mouse Human				т	с			G		с		G G					Ċ		
Rat		TTC GAG	GGG	C ATT	AGC	AAG	TTC	ACT	GTC	AAC	AA <u>C</u>	AC <u>G</u>	ATT	CTT (CAC	CA	GAG /	ATC	540
Human		тс		С				GAA			т	С							
Rat Mouse		GT <u>G</u> GA <u>A</u>	<u>A</u> <u>T</u> GC	CGA	GTO	а тт <u>с</u>	AAG	ACA	GA <u>C</u>	ATG	GAC		GAG	GTT	ΤŢG	CGC	: TAC	AC <u>A</u>	594
Human		ΤG	βA			Т		G	Т						С		т	С	
Rat Mouse Human		AAT <u>CGG</u>	<u>à</u> atc	тсс	AG <u>T</u> C	GAG	GCC	CAC	CGT	GAC	3 GT/	ATG) aag	GCT	GTA	. ААА	GTG	GG <u>G</u>	648
Rat		ATG AAG	GAA	TAC	GAG	ATG	GAA	AGC	ста	TTC	CAG	CAC	TAT :	TGT	TAC	тст	ΑΑΑ	GGT	702
Mouse						_					±		T _				GG	ċ	
HumanA			۹ - ۰۰		G	1			0		G		C	C		C	CGG	C	
Rat Mouse Human		GGCAT	3 CG	CAC	G : A <u>C</u> C	стс <u>т</u> с	TAC	C ACC	TG <u>T</u> C	ATC	TGC	TGC T G	AG <u>C</u> T	GGT	GAG	AAC	GCA G T	GCC	756
Rat		GTG CTG	CAC	TAC	GG⊆	CA <u>T</u>	GCC	GGG	GC	<u>c</u> cc	C AA	I GA	c cg	<u>a</u> AC	G AT	C <u>A</u> A	G <u>G</u> A	T GG <u>A</u>	810
Mouse		^		т	^		٦		-	T		_				c	٨		2
Rat Mouse		GAT AT <u>T</u>	TGC	C⊺G	ттс	GAC	ATG	GG <u>T</u>	GG <u>A</u> C	GAG	I TAC	тас	т <u>GC</u>	IT <u>C</u>	GCT	TCI	GAC .	ATC	864
Human		G	6					С	Ť		Т		CT (G		С			
Rat Mouse Human		ACC TGC	стос	TT <u>C</u> A	CCI	<u>60</u> 0	AAT C	<u>G</u> G <u>C</u> C G	AAG	TTC	AC <u>A</u>	GAC	G <u>AC</u> G	CAG	AAG	GCC	C ATC	TA <u>C</u>	918
Rat		GAA GC	A GT	, SCTO			U TGC	1 404		с. С.Т.	י אדע ר	-		ATC					072
Mouse		0.00	- 01	5010	0	5	100	- <u>-</u> oc	T	- 01	JAN	<u>- MO</u>		AIG	AAC		100	3	972
Human		G		G	сто	;	с	СТ	GC	;		G .	TGC					А	
В																			
Rat:	1	MA	A <u>STV</u>	<u>R</u> PSF	§ LC	NETI	LKVI	PLAL	FAL	NRQ	RLC	ERLR	KN <u>G</u>	AVQ	AGS	<u>a</u> vv	LQG		
Human			AA	ſG	w				1				Р		А	i			

GEEMQRYCTDTS11FRPESFFHWAFGVIES GCYGVIDVDTGKSTLFVPRL

 $\begin{array}{c} P\underline{ASY}ATWMGK \text{ } \text{HSKE}\underline{H}FKEKYAVDDVQY\underline{A}D \text{ } \text{E}\text{IASVLTS}\underline{RN} \text{ } \text{PSVLLTLRGV} \\ D & Y & T \end{array}$

Y T V QK

NTDSGNVCREASFEGIS KFT VNNTILHPEIVECRVFKTDM ELEVLRYTNR

S N S D E S

ISSEAHREVM KAVKVGMKEYEMESLFQHYCYSKGGMRHTSYTCICCSGEN

GLE E R S G

QN M SV R A

DQKAIYEAVLRSCRTVMSTM KPGVWWPDMH RLADRIHLEE LTRI GLLSGS

VDAMLQVHLGAVFMPHGLGH FLGLDVHDVGGYPEGVERIDEPGLRSLRTA

SV FT

RHLEPGMVLTVEPGIYFDH LLDQALADPAQACFFNQEVLHRFLKFGGVR E RSLRQRG

IEEDVVVTDSGMELLTCVPRTVEEIEACMAGCDKALAPFS GPK*

V LSAGA D ID

 $AAVLHYGHAGAPNDRTI\underline{KD}GD\underline{i}CLFDMGGEYY\underline{CF}ASDITCSFP\underline{A}NGKF\underline{T}D$

T GVL LQ TP

TGG .	rgg (сст	GA <u>T</u>	AT <u>G</u>	<u>C</u> A	c ⊤	6 <u>6</u>	ста	GC	T GA	cc	3 <u>C</u> / T	атс	CAC	СТС	GA GA	G G	A <u>A</u> (CTG		1026
000			U OTO	, стс		т.	- C				T 0/	~			~ ~ ~		~ ~	G		GU	4000
CBC I	411.6	GC	GIC	CIG	AG	ĪĠ	GU	AGL	GIU	5 GA	100	CA/	AIG.		CAC	<u>- GI</u>	<u> </u>	AC (16	GGA	1080
A	G		A			С					С	С	0	3		C	Г			G	
GCA	ЭТ <u>А</u> Т	гт <u>с</u> /	ATG	CC <u>A</u>	CA	<u>r</u> Go	S <u>A</u> (ст <u>с</u> Т	GGC	CAC	т	Ī C.	TG C	GC	<u>CTA</u>	GAŢ	GT	G C	A <u>T</u> G	AT C	1134
С	G	Т		Т	(2	G	Ť				с			ΑТ	С	;		č	č	
GTG (GGA (GGC	TAC	cc	<u>T</u> GA	G C	GT	GT	GA	G CG	GC A	тс	GA <u>T</u>	GA <u>∕</u>	CC	<u>r</u> Ge	C T	TG	CG <u>A</u>	AGC	1188
				,	Δ		С	Ģ					С	c	; (C C		G		
CTG	CGT A	ACA	GCA	AGO	A CA	c c	ΤG	GAG	-	A GG	C A	TG	ата	сто	AC	LGT	0 0 0'	AG		999	1242
		G						2	(Ğ					27.00				00/1		
	С	Т.		C.				C						С	; 1	2			G		
ATC 1	AC T	TC A	ATT C	SAC	CAC	ст	C⊆	TG	GA <u>C</u>	<u>C</u> AG	GC	C C	TG C	SC <u>A</u>	GAC	ccc	G GC	c c	<u>AA</u> (SCC	1296
			С						T	G				G					GC		
T <u>G</u> C 1	гтс т	TT A	AC (2 <u>AA</u>	GAG	G	сс	TA		CGŢ	TTG	СТ	C <u>A</u>		ΤŢG	GŢ	GGG	GT	<u>c</u> cc	θT	1350
c	C			60				G	G	C	-	G	60	C	С	Ċ			G	G	
ATTO	AG G	SAA I	GAC	GTT	GT	c G	TG /	100	GAC	C AG	T GO	i G	TG	GAG	сте	ст асто	G A	CA T	GT	GTA	1404
Ċ			Ť					T		Ì	,			0/10	0.1		07.	~ .	<u> </u>		
000		6 407	0.70			د د		1	~~~		;		A					C	C	G	
CCCC	J <u>G</u> G /	ACT	GIG	i GA <u>i</u>	<u>a</u> GA	A A	C C	GAA	GCA	A TGO	CAT	GG	CA	GGC	TGI	GA	C A	AG (<u>3</u> CE	CTT TC	1458
	с			C	3															T	
GCA	CCC 1	гтс	тст	GGC	c⊵	<u>A</u> A.	AA	FAG	AGT	CAC	ст	G G	GA (CAC	TGG	GC	сc.	rg A	CC	TTG	1512
A C					A	с	G														
TAA (аст с	TG	FAA '	TGT	TAG	, cc	TG	cc	CAT	GGC	AC	c ci		CA	GCA	AGA	тс	сто	3A A	GC	1566
TOA	OT T	-											о т		TO (~ ~			
TCAP	(GI I	167	4101	UTA	AUA		AC	ÇA	101	166	CIC	501	GI	ПC	IGC	GA	GAA	GC	A A	ناد	1620
ATT T	TA T	TC A	CC 1	GC .	AAG	AT	C A	CA T	CC (СТА .	ACC	TΤC	G AC	т т	rg c	ττ Α	AG	ACT	GC	г	1674
GAA 1	IGA A	GA	тсс	TGT	cc	с ти	4 A A	лс.	ATA.	АСТ	CAA	TT	стт	тт	эс т	CA A	GG	AAA		G	1728
																,				-	20
			ют ·																		
CAU	101	υH	U.	ICC	AAA	AA	ΑA	4A A	aa 1	ICA /	٩IA	160	<i>,</i> AA	A AT	GG	η Α Τ	110	JAA	ATA	•	1782



к

AHM I

Northern blot Analyses

The jejunal (segment 4) prolidase mRNA level was determined to correlate with the activities difference between rats fasted for different periods of time (Fig. 12). When we removed the prolidase band and counted the radioactivities of radiolabeled probe associated with the band, we found that the ratio is 4:1 when contrasting rats fasted 24 h over rats fasted 48 h (not shown).

DISCUSSION

Prolidase is an important enzyme for protein metabolism, endogenous amino acid recycling, and proline-containing pro-



Figure 12. Northern blot analysis of total intestinal jejunal RNA with rat prolidase I cNDA probe. Total rat intestinal RNA was run on 1% formaldehyde agarose gel and then transferred to nitrocellulose filter and hybridized with rat intestinal ³²P-cNDA probe. The figure shows the autoradiograph of the actual blot after the blot was scanned using an HP ScanJet C4 using the import function of an Adobe Photoshop (version 5.0).

drug activation. Prolidase is not a well-studied enzyme, and its polymorphism is poorly understood, but it seemed to be present in patients who experienced prolidase deficiency (20). Therefore, the present study was undertaken to determine how prolidase is regulated in the intestine and to provide additional evidence in support of the presence of a second prolidase (or prolidase II) in the rat intestine.

We showed that the activity of prolidase in the jejunum was higher at 24 h postfeeding than that at 48 h postfeeding (Fig. 1). We then determined the distribution of prolidase along the rat intestine.

The distribution pattern of prolidase as measured by SA in the intestine at 24 h postfeeding is bell-shaped, and the maximal difference was 4.4 times (Fig. 2*A*). However, total prolidase activities per centimeter of intestinal segment showed a bigger difference between jejunum and colon (21 times) because total protein content of jejunal homogenate was at approximately five times higher than colon homogenate. This distribution pattern of prolidase is consistent with the expression pattern of an intestinal peptide carrier that mediates the absorption of small peptides (23, 24), because most of the activities were present in the jejunum. Working together, the delivery rate of amino acids to the systemic circulation, when given as small peptides, was the highest across the jejunum and lowest across the colon as observed previously (23).

The difference in activity observed at 24 and 48 h postfeeding was somewhat expected because amino acid transporters typically down-regulated when animals were fasted (25), suggesting the possibility that this enzyme may be regulated similarly. The results also indicated that the prolidase SA in the middle part of the small intestine (jejunum) was most sensitive to dietary regulation (Fig. 2). This result is consistent with the fact that jejunum is the main site where absorption of peptides occurs (23). However, starvation enhanced the expression of a peptide transporter (26), suggesting that prolidase is regulated differently from the peptide transporter. The latter is consistent with our observation of the same phenomenon in the Caco-2 cells (27).

To determine whether there was more than one component in the hydrolysis of Phe-Pro by crude prolidase preparation, we generated Eadie-Hofstee plots (Fig. 3D showed two representations). These plots provided evidence indicating the presence of a second component in the hydrolysis of Phe-Pro, because linear regression generated an R^2 value of approximately 0.7– 0.8. In contrast, an Eadie-Hofstee plot generated using purified prolidase has an R² value of 0.979 (Fig. 10). The effect of pH also generated some additional evidence in support of the two-isozyme hypothesis in that prolidase activities in the jejunum (segment 4) was much more sensitive to pH change when pH increased from pH 7 to pH 8, whereas duodenum prolidase activities were more sensitive to pH change when it decreased from pH 6 to pH 5. Finally, jejunal prolidase activities were inhibited more by zinc chloride and proline than duodenum and colon prolidase activities. However, further kinetic analysis of purified prolidase II or expressed prolidase II will be needed to confirm these preliminary observations that indicated the presence of prolidase II.

We attempted but were unable to purify prolidase II using the conditions described. One possibility is that the Resource-Q column is of lower resolution power, because we were unable to use this column to separate two isozymes (results not shown) from human blood samples using a published procedure (21). It is also possible that the majority of prolidase II activity was lost by the time we passed the sample through the Resource-S column, because it was reported that DEAE-Sephadex (also a cation exchanger) chromatography removed prolidase II activity (22). Alternatively, the two isozymes in the rat intestine are highly different from those found in other species, which prevent their separation. The latter possibility is less likely because prolidase I is well conserved from swine to humans, and the rat intestinal prolidase I also seemed to have a similar molecular weight (approximately 58,000 D for the monomer). We also tried to clone the prolidase II from the rat intestinal cDNA library using the rat intestinal prolidase I cDNA as the probe, but we did not find any unique sequence among the many positive clones (>10)generated using less stringent washing condition in the selection of positive clones.

In conclusion, we have successfully purified intestinal prolidase I, which has not been obtained before in the intestine of any species (the highest specific activities reported previously for intestinal prolidase was 8.7 U (28)). This represents a significant advance because a recent effort to purify prolidase I from the human intestine was reported to be unsuccessful (22). The purity (510 U) obtained from our procedure is better than those obtained by Endo *et al.* (SA = 167 U) (17) and Ritcher *et al.* (200 U) (18) but less than that obtained by Myara *et al.* using human kidney (SA = 613 U) (22). However, we used fewer steps to arrive at our high SA. When we sequenced rat intestinal prolidase, we found that the enzyme sequence is very similar to mouse (96% sequence identity) or human (86%) prolidase I sequence derived from their erythrocytes.

In addition to the purification of rat intestinal prolidase I, we were able to demonstrate that the activity of prolidase was site dependent with highest activities in the jejunum. The latter distribution pattern is consistent with peptide absorption pattern observed in rats *in vivo* (23). The results also indicated that fasting had different effects on the duodenal, jejunal, and colonic prolidase activities, with the jejunal prolidase as the one most prone to dietary regulation. Finally, the results of pH effects and the differences and similarities between K_m values and Eadie-Hofstee plots suggest the possibility of intestinal prolidase polymorphism. Future studies that identify additional

isozymes will help further elucidate the physiologic functions of intestinal prolidase.

REFERENCES

- Emmerson KS, Phang JM 1993 Hydrolysis of proline dipeptides completely fulfills the proline requirement in a proline-auxotrophic Chinese hamster ovary cell line. J Nutr 123:909–914
- Yaron A, Naider F 1993 Proline-dependent structural and biological properties of peptides and proteins. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 28:31–81
- KokturkA, Kaya TI, Ikizoglu G, Koca A 2002 Prolidase deficiency. Int J Dermatol 41:45–48
- Fimiani M, Rubegni P, de Aloe G, Bilenchi R, Andreassi L 1999 Squamous cell carcinoma of the leg in a patient with prolidase deficiency. Br J Dermatol 140:362– 363
- Bissonnette R, Friedmann D, Giroux JM, Dolenga M, Hechtman P, Der Kaloustian VM, Dubuc R 1993 Prolidase deficiency: a multisystemic hereditary disorder. J Am Acad Dermatol 29:818–821
- Myara I, Charpentier C, Lemonnier A 1984 Prolidase and prolidase deficiency. Life Sci 34:1985–1998
- Moolenaar SH, Engelke UF, Abeling NG, Mandel H, Duran M, Wevers RA 2000 Prolidase deficiency diagnosed by 1H NMR spectroscopy of urine. J Inherit Metab Dis 24:843–850
- Ganapathy V, Pashley SJ, Roesel RA, Pashley DH, Leibach FH 1985 Inhibition of rat and human prolidase by captopril. Biochem Pharmacol 34:1287–1291
- 9. Achord JL 1989 Cirrhosis of the liver: new concepts. Compr Ther 15:11-16
- Stein HD, Keiser HY, Sjoerdsma A 1970 Proline-hydroylase activity in human blood. Lancet 1:106–109
- Bielawska A, Chrzanowski K, Bielawski K, Palka J 2001 Decreased cytotoxicity and increased antimitotic activity of a proline analogue of chlorambucil as a prodrug susceptible to the action of fibroblast's prolidase. Pharmazie 56:290–294
- Bai JP, Hu M, Subramanian P, Mosberg HI, Amidon GL 1992 Utilization of peptide carrier system to improve intestinal absorption: targeting prolidase as a prodrugconverting enzyme. J Pharm Sci 81:113–116
- Dolenga M, Hechtman P 1992 Prolidase deficiency in cultured human fibroblasts: biochemical pathology and iminodipeptide enhanced growth. Pediatr Res 32:479– 482

- Bradford M 1976 A rapid and sensitive method for the determination of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principles of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72:248–254
- Endo F, Matsuda I, Ogata A, Tanaka S 1982 Human erythrocyte prolidase and prolidase deficiency. Pediatr Res 16:227–231
- Endo F, Motohara K, Indo Y, Matsuda I 1987 Immunochemical studies of human prolidase with monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies: absence of the subunit of prolidase in erythrocytes from a patient with prolidase deficiency. Pediatr Res 22:627-633
- Laemmli UK 1970 Cleaving of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature (London) 222:680–685
- Richter AM, Lancaster GL, Choy FYM, Hechtman 1989 Purification and characterization of activated human erythrocyte prolidase. Biochem Cell Biol 67:34–41
- Hu M, Chen J, Tran D, Zhu Y, Leonardo G 1994 The Caco-2 cell monolayers as an intestinal metabolism model: metabolism of dipeptide Phe-Pro. J Drug Target 2:78–89
- Kodama H, Ohhashi T, Ohba C, Ohno T, Arata J, Kubonishi I, Miyoshi I 1989 Characteristics and partial purification of prolidase and prolinase from leukocytes of a normal human and a patient with prolidase deficiency. Clin Chim Acta 180:65–72
- Myara I, Moatti N 1989 Separation of two erythrocyte prolidase isoforms by fast protein liquid chromatography: application to prolidase deficiency. J Chromatogr A 489:170–175
- Myara I, Cosson C. Moatti N, Lemonnier A 1994 Human kidney prolidasepurification, preincubation properties and immunological reactivity. Int J Biochem 26:207–214
- Adibi SA, Morse EL 1971 Intestinal transport of dipeptides in man: relative importance of hydrolysis and intact absorption. J Clin Invest 50:2266–2275
- Shen H, Smith DE, Brosius 3rd FC 2001 Developmental expression of PEPT1 and PEPT2 in rat small intestine, colon, and kidney. Pediatr Res 49:789–795
- Cheeseman CI 1991 Molecular mechanisms involved in the regulation of amino acid transport. Prog Biophys Mol Biol 55:71–84
- Ihara T, Tsujikawa T, Fujiyama Y, Bamba T 2000 Regulation of PepT1 peptide transporter expression in the rat small intestine under malnourished conditions. Digestion 61:59-67
- Hu M, Zheng L, Chen J, Liu L, Li Y, Dantzig AH, Stratford Jr RE 1995 Peptide transporter function and prolidase activities in Caco-2 cells: a lack of coordinated expression. J Drug Target 3:291–300
- Yoshimoto T, Matsubara F, Kawano E, Tsuru D 1983 Prolidase from bovine intestine: purification and characterization. J Biochem 94:1889–1896