low birth weight infants neonates

# Carbon Monoxide Diffusing Capacity in Newborn Infants

ALFRED N. KRAUSS, DAVID B. KLAIN, AND PETER A. M. AULD<sup>(21)</sup>

Division of Newborn Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, New York Hospital-Cornell University Medical Center, New York, New York, USA

## Extract

In order to test the role of diffusing capacity in determining the arterial oxygen tension of newborn infants, pulmonary diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DL<sub>CO</sub>) was measured in 21 healthy infants ranging in birth weight from 765 to 4,720 g. DL<sub>CO</sub> in infants without respiratory distress correlated well with lung volume (r = 0.76, P < 0.001). A smaller correlation (r = 0.39, P < 0.01) was obtained between DL<sub>CO</sub> and arterial oxygen tension. DL<sub>CO</sub> per unit volume of lung is similar when healthy premature infants, full term infants, and normal adults are compared. The wide range of normal values obtained in resting infants and the lack of correlation with arterial oxygen tensions are similar to observations made in adults.

### Speculation

Diffusion "block" was not demonstrable in this study, even in infants with mild hypoxemia. These data, in conjunction with other studies reviewed in this report, suggest that lung volume plays a critical role in the level of arterial oxygen tension in newborn infants.

Defects in oxygenation of the low birth weight newborn are well known (16, 17). These have been ascribed largely to right-to-left shunts through the foramen ovale and patent ductus arteriosus and intrapulmonary shunting through areas of atelectasis. Two other possible causes for hypoxemia while breathing room air exist. Mismatching of ventilation and perfusion with the creation of areas or relative underventilation has not been shown to be a significant cause of arterial hypoxemia in the healthy neonate after the first day of life (11). Reduced diffusing capacity (alveolarcapillary block) has not yet been studied extensively in the low birth weight infant, although it has been suggested that reduced diffusing capacity may contribute to the hypoxemia noted in infants recovering from hyaline membrane disease (1, 14). The present study was undertaken in order to provide standards for diffusing capacity in low birth weight neonates and to apply these standards to a group of infants with hyaline membrane disease during the acute and recovery phases of their respiratory distress.

#### METHODS

Patients were selected from the nursery population of the Neonatal Special Care Unit of The New York Hospital. Twentyone healthy infants (*cases 1–21*) ranging in birth weight from 765 to 4,720 g were studied on 43 occasions at ages ranging from birth to 55 days of age. None of these infants had either respiratory distress or hemolytic disease of the newborn, as determined by standard clinical, radiologic, and biochemical criteria. All were of appropriate weight for gestational age. Complete data on these infants are presented in Table 1.

In addition, eight infants (cases A-H) with hyaline membrane

of hyaline membrane disease as well as the usual clinical criteria of tachypnea, cyanosis, and retractions in room air. One infant who died (*infant H*) had atelectasis and hyaline membrane formation at autopsy. When studied, these infants were all breathing spontaneously and were tolerant of oxygen concentrations of 40% oxygen or less. Each infant underwent a determination of arterial oxygen and

disease were studied (Table 2). All showed classical x-ray changes

carbon dioxide tensions and pH while breathing either room air (21% oxygen) or 100% oxygen. Blood was obtained anaerobically from either the temporal or right radial artery in a glass gas-tight syringe. Analyses were performed immediately on an Instrumentation Laboratories IL 123 blood gas system calibrated with gas and blood. End-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> was sampled with a rapid response Beckman infrared CO<sub>2</sub> meter. Alveolar CO<sub>2</sub> was determined from the capnograph tracing and used to calculate alveolar oxygen tension by the alveolar air equation (6). Arterial-alveolar gradients for oxygen and carbon dioxide were calculated. Functional residual capacity (FRC) was determined using a helium rebreathing method (11).

 $DL_{co}$  was measured using the steady state method of Filley and colleagues (8). Nondistressed infants were given 0.1% carbon monoxide in room air. Those with hyaline membrane disease received 0.05% carbon monoxide in 40% oxygen. Infants rebreathed the test gas through a tightly fitting rubber-rimmed face mask with one-way valves and minimal (under 2 cc) dead space. The test gas was stored in a spirometer. By observing the movement of the spirometer in conjunction with the valves in the mask a leak test could be carried out. Expired gas was collected in a gas-tight Neoprene anesthesia bag and immediately analyzed for carbon dioxide tension and for carbon monoxide tension. This apparatus is depicted in Figure 1. Carbon monoxide analyses were carried out with a Beckman infrared analyzer calibrated with gas of known carbon monoxide tensions. Physiologic dead space-tidal volume ratio ( $V_D/V_T$ ) was calculated using the formula

$$V_{\rm D}/V_{\rm T} = \frac{\arctan p CO_2 - expired p CO_2}{\arctan p CO_2}$$

This ratio was used to calculate the alveolar carbon monoxide tension. Minute volume was estimated from the spirometer. Minute uptake of carbon monoxide ( $\dot{V}_{CO}$ ) was determined from the differences in inspired and expired carbon monoxide tensions. DL<sub>CO</sub> was then calculated and expressed as cubic centimeters of CO uptake per min per mm Hg of pACO<sub>2</sub>. For purposes of comparison between infants, DL<sub>CO</sub> is expressed alone, per cc FRC, per kg body weight, and per m<sup>2</sup> body surface area.

Patients were allowed to breathe the test gas for 2-3 min before the collection of expired gas began. This was to enable their lungs to be completely washed out with the test gas. Expired gas was collected for 2 min. All infants were studied after a meal and at thermoneutral temperature. Those with respiratory distress were studied while in their Isolettes. The test was abandoned for crying or restlessness. Initially infants were rechecked within 6 hr.

Injani         w., B         w., g         adys         cm           1         1,531         1,340         8         42           2         1,530         1,340         8         42           3         3,080         1,720         16         45           4         1,190         1,720         16         45           5         765         1,000         55         33         8           7         1,340         1,530         36         42           7         1,320         16         45         33           6         2,060         2,060         17         44           7         1,320         17         33         40           8         4,140         3,740         2         55         39           10         907         1,300         15         39         40           11         1,300         1,540         7         44         44           12         1,300         1,540         7         39         40           160         1,300         1,540         7         39         40           110         907         1,31<	m <sup>-</sup> 0.139 0.139 0.139 0.139 0.141 0.187 0.139 0.139 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154	1111 11 82 77 77 69 64 85 65 69 69 80 80	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	рн пр 7.39 7.37	mm нg	mm Hg	FKL, CC	cc/cm	DL <sub>C0</sub>	FRC	cc/min	cc/min	mm Hg	$V_D/V_T$	ULco/Kg	m <sup>4</sup> BS/
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.139 0.139 0.139 0.1387 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.187 0.184 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.154 0.118 0.154 0.118 0.125 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.127 0.126 0.127 0.126 0.127 0.126 0.127 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.126 0.127 0.1260 0.1260 0.1260 0.1260 0.1260 0.1260 0.1260 0.1260 0.1260 0.126000	82 96 97 91 88 85 85 86 86 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	42 339 36 36 37 36 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37	7.39 7.37	30											
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.139 0.139 0.128 0.141 0.187 0.187 0.139 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.154 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.154	77 96 76 88 84 85 85 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	30 37 37 38 36 39 36 39 36 39 36 39 36 39 36 39 36 39 36 39 36 39 36 39 37 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 39	7.37	07	4	31	0.74	0.220	0.0070	375	0.101	0.162	0.64	0.16	1.58
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.139 0.141 0.187 0.187 0.139 0.139 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.154 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.154	96 97 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98	36 4 0 3 4 8 3 4 9 3 4 9 3 4 9 3 4 9 3 4 9 4 9 3 4 9 4 9		30	_	35	0.83	0.240	0.0069	316	0.075	0.312	0.56	0.18	1.73
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.128 0.141 0.187 0.139 0.139 0.139 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.118 0.118 0.118 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125	69 7 88 84 84 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	4 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4	7.36	16	9	48	1.14	0.232	0.0048	494	0.084	0.360	0.64	0.16	1.67
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.141 0.139 0.139 0.147 0.110 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.154 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.154	<ul> <li>2</li> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>6</li> <li>6</li> <li>6</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>1</li> <li>8</li> <li>8</li> <li>8</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>9&lt;</li></ul>	40 35 40 36 36	7.37	39	9	54	1.26	0.243	0.0045	387	0.076	0.312	0.64	0.16 2.1.	1.90
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.18/ 0.139 0.139 0.147 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.154	80 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	50 48 36 36 36	.4.	<u>.</u>	<u>م</u> ‹	02 3		0.246	0.0049	554 200	0.093	0.380	0.61	0.14	1.74
4 $1,1,100$ $1,2700$ $211$ $422$ 5       765 $1,0000$ 55       38 $424$ 7 $1,5300$ 36 $424$ $432$ $432$ 7 $1,3200$ $1,3200$ $18$ $444$ 2,0600 $92,0600$ $98$ $444$ 2,000 $8$ $4,140$ $3,740$ $7$ $339$ 8 $4,1400$ $3,740$ $2$ $55$ $39$ 9 $1,600$ $1,600$ $18$ $41$ 10 $907$ $1,780$ $19$ $441$ 11 $1,800$ $1,540$ $7$ $43$ 12 $1,780$ $19$ $441$ $400$ 11 $1,800$ $1,660$ $3$ $43$ 12 $1,300$ $1,700$ $3$ $43$ 12 $1,300$ $1,300$ $10$ $300$ $43$ 13 $3,100$ $2,550$ $14$ $40$ 13 $3,100$ $2,2050$ $14$ $40$ <td>0.139 0.137 0.110 0.110 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.154</td> <td>48 50 54 58 58 58 58 58 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50</td> <td>48 37 40 36</td> <td>10.7</td> <td>14</td> <td>0 9</td> <td>83 25</td> <td>1.69</td> <td>0.534</td> <td>0.0064</td> <td>868</td> <td>0.182</td> <td>0.342</td> <td>0.57</td> <td>0.18</td> <td>2.86</td>	0.139 0.137 0.110 0.110 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.154	48 50 54 58 58 58 58 58 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	48 37 40 36	10.7	14	0 9	83 25	1.69	0.534	0.0064	868	0.182	0.342	0.57	0.18	2.86
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.139 0.147 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.154	7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	36 43 0 36 33 0	/.36	80 .0	71	51	0.60	0.107	0.0043	296	0.050	0.464	0.47	0.08	0.77
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.14/ 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.127 0.131 0.154	20 48 48 48 49 48 49 48 49 48 49 48 49 48 49 48 49 48 49 48 49 48 49 48 49 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48	40 36 36	7.36	34 2	0	÷	1.07	0.195	0.0043	526	0.085	0.434	0.56	0.13	1.40
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.110 0.154 0.154 0.154 0.118 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.131 0.154	48 94 69 69 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	36 36	7.37	62	6	51	1.18	0.366	0.0072	525	0.108	0.297	0.63	0.20	2.49
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.154 0.154 0.154 0.110 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.227 0.125 0.154	91 88 87 88 91 88 89 89 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	36	7.40	60	6	35	0.92	0.258	0.0074	331	0.073	0.281	0.62	0.25	2.35
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.154 0.154 0.110 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.227 0.125 0.154	85 57 65 69 80 80		7.43	18	9	55	1.25	0.277	0.0051	617	0.103	0.371	0.63	0.13	1.80
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.154 0.110 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.127 0.131 0.131	85 87 86 86 80 80 80 80 80					55	1.25	0.431	0.0078	616	0.119	0.276	0.67	0.21	2.80
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.110 0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.127 0.127 0.131 0.131	46 57 69 80 80 80 80	41	7.42	21	×	63	1.43	0.578	0.0092	706	0.166	0.287	0.59	0.29	3.75
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.118 0.118 0.125 0.125 0.127 0.131 0.131 0.154	57 68 69 80	36	7.42	64	5	27	0.71	0.200	0.0075	440	0.064	0.320	0.72	0.15	1.82
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.118 0.125 0.227 0.131 0.131 0.154	65 64 80 80	34	7.45	55	-	42	1.08	0.129	0.0031	378	0.059	0.458	0.53	0.10	1.09
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.125 0.227 0.131 0.147 0.154	65 69 80	33	7.38	43	0	54	1.35	0.263	0.0049	457	0.094	0.357	0.56	0.20	2.29
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.227 0.131 0.147 0.154	69 64 80	36	7.38	46	£	56	1.33	0.190	0.0083	523	0.084	0.441	0.54	0.28	3.74
9         1,600         1,600         NB         41           10         907         19         44           10         907         1         40           907         907         1         40           11         1,160         7         40           11         1,160         7         40           11         1,260         14         40           12         1,320         1,560         11         44           12         1,320         1,500         11         34           12         1,320         1,300         1         38         43           12         1,320         1,300         1         38         1,3           1330         1,330         16         39         1,3         1,3           133         1,710         37         43         1,3         1,3           133         1,310         2,9550         14         49         41	0.131 0.147 0.154 0.008	64 80	38	7.56	34	2	128	2.33	0.549	0.0043	1011	0.198	0.361	0.58	0.15	2.42
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.147 0.154 0.008	80	36	7.49	43	ŝ	42	1.02	0.288	0.0069	568	0.087	0.301	0.72	0.18	2.20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.154 0.008		33	7.43	32		63	1.45	0.540	0.0086	645	0.141	0.261	0.64	0.35	3.67
10         907         907         1         40           907         1         160         7         40           1,160         7         40         1,160         7         40           11         1,260         1,4         40         1,260         1,4         40           12         1,300         1,660         3         43         43           12         1,300         1,300         11         44           12         1,320         1,300         11         38           13         1,250         10         40         40           1,380         1,510         37         43           1,3         1,710         37         43           1,3         3,000         2,950         14         49	0.002	71	35	7.41	37	0	64	1.45	0.330	0.0052	590	.0.123	0.373	0.53	0.19	2.14
907 1 40 1,160 7 40 1,160 7 40 1,260 14 40 1,260 1,460 3 43 1,900 11 44 1,2 1,320 1,300 1 38 1,380 16 39 1,380 16 39 1,380 16 49 1,3 3,100 2,950 14 49 1,4 3000 9 61	0.020	51	36	7.47	60	7	32	0.80								
1,160     7     40       1/1     1,260     14     40       1/2     1,260     14     40       1/2     1,900     11     44       1/2     1,320     1,300     1     38       1/2     1,320     1,300     1     38       1/2     1,320     1,300     1     38       1/3     3,100     2,950     14     49       1/4     3,000     2,950     14     49	0.098	46	37	7.43	62	9	32	0.80	0.110	0.0034	286	0.041	0.373	0.68	0.12	1.12
1/1     1,260     14     40       1/1     1,800     1,660     3     43       1/2     1,320     1,900     11     44       1/2     1,320     1,300     1     38       1/3     1,250     10     40       1/3     1,710     37     43       1/3     3,000     2,950     14     49       1/4     3,000     0     61	0.110	55	37	7.38	55	5	29	0.72	0.199	0.0069	424	0.071	0.359	0.64	0.17	1.81
<i>II</i> 1,800 1,660 3 43 <i>I2</i> 1,320 1,900 11 44 <i>I2</i> 1,320 1,300 1 38 1,250 10 40 1,380 16 39 1,710 37 43 <i>I3</i> 3,100 2,950 14 49 <i>I4</i> 3000 0 51	0.113	60	41	7.39	46	5	31	0.75	0.308	0.0099	442	0.095	0.307	0.56	0.24	2.73
1,900     11     44       12     1,320     1,300     1     38       1,250     10     40     40       1,380     16     39       1,310     2,950     14     49       1,4     3,000     2,950     14     49	0.147	61	33	7.43	58	6	61	1.42	0.453	0.0074	668	0.144	0.318	0.59	0.27	3.08
<i>12</i> 1,320 1,300 1 38 <i>1,250</i> 10 40 <i>1,380</i> 16 39 <i>1,710</i> 37 43 <i>1,710</i> 37 43 <i>1,3</i> 3,100 2,950 14 49 <i>14</i> 3,000 2,950 04 51	0.154	72	32	7.43	39	0	72	1.64	0.315	0.0044	835	0.139	0.440	0.53	0.17	2.05
1,250 10 40 1,380 16 39 1,710 37 43 1,710 2,950 14 49 14 3,000 2,950 04 51	0.110	50	32	7.43	69	×	33	0.87	0.090	0.0027	461	0.045	0.495	0.66	0.07	0.82
1,380 16 39 1,710 37 43 1,3 3,100 2,950 14 49 14 3,000 3,000 0 51	0.113	70	44	7.45			41	1.03	0.155	0.0038	360	0.061	0.396	0.59	0.12	1.37
1,710 37 43 13 3,100 2,950 14 49 14 3,040 3,060 9 51	0.116	71	37	7.37	41	4	45	1.15	0.224	0.0050	367	0.082	0.367	0.51	0.16	1.93
13 3,100 2,950 14 49 14 3,040 3,000 9 51	0.138	68	43	7.39	41	6	41	0.95	0.381	0.0092	560	0.125	0.329	0.56	0.22	2.76
	0.189	64	27	7.57	38		86	1.76	0.405	0.0047	836	0.171	0.421	0.46	0.14	2.14
	0.196	76	34	7.42	4	5	86	1.69	0.634	0.0074	LLL	0.221	0.349	0.41	0.21	3.23
<i>I5</i> 1,960 1,920 1 44	0.145	67	35	7.42	42	5	42	.95	0.177	0.0042	467	0,071	0.400	0.63	0.09	1.22
1,880 2 44	0.144	73	34	7.39	46	6	45	1.02	0.179	0.0040	500	0.079	0.438	0.56	0.10	1.24
1,830 8 44	0.140	1/	31	7.38	48	01	49	1.13	0.373	0.0076	591	0.135	0.361	0.51	0.20	2.66
16 2,510 2,450 4 45	0.166	8/	λ S	/.43 	61	n i	112	2.48	0.688	0.0061	881	0.219	0.318	0.53	0.28	4.14
17 4,720 4,720 <sup>1/2</sup> 54	0.253	5	15	7.41		0	86	1.59	0.421	0.0049	550	0.161	0.383	0.32	0.09	1.66
<i>18</i> 1,588 1,520 3 44	0.133	79	47	7.38	47		20	1.14	0.273	0.0055	412	0.087	0.320	0.60	0.18	2.05
1,600 10 44	0.136	¢/,	43	7.36	43	15	42	0.95	0.445	0.0106	441	0.128	0.288	0.49	0.28	3.27
19 2,020 2,020 2 44	0.150	70	36	7.34	39		42	0.95	0.263	0.0063	439	0.096 î :îī	0.363	0.53	0.13	1.75
20 1,400 1,840 38 43	0.142	86	32	7.43	17	4	55	1.28	0.210	0.0039	724	0.105	0.500	0.47	0.11	1.48
<i>21</i> 1,700 1,620 12 43	0.135	70	32	7.37			59	1.37	0.280	0.0049	429	0.104	0.370	0.47	0.17	2.07
1,610 14 43	0.134	67	30	7.37	53	4	59	1.37	0.291	0.0049	466	0.115	0.393	0.42	0.18	2.17
<sup>1</sup> BSA: body surface area; $paO_2$ : art																
Enotional modeling anomine DDC model	toriol ovince	toncion.	000	ortori		neion nL	I. artarial	PL DC	. alveo	ar-arterial	O aradi	ent. DC(	· artarial	alveolar (	CO aradia	ţ

Table 1. Diffusing capacity data from healthy infants breathing room air<sup>1</sup>

772

FiO <sub>2</sub> , %	100	21	100	21	100	21	100	21	21	21	21	21	21	100	
Diag- nosis	ЧМD	DMH	dMH	HMD	HMD	HMD	DMH	HMD	HMD	dmh	HMD	HMD	dmh	DMH	
DL <sub>co</sub> / m² BSA	4.41	18.1	4.10	7.00	4.68	3.07	2.16	4.16	0.96	3.18	0.48	2.56	2.43	0.24	
DL/kg	0.32	0.14	0.31	0.55	0.31	0.21	0.15	0.32	0.09	0.28	0.03	0.05	0.18	0.02	
$V_{\rm D}/V_{\rm T}$	0.457	0.379	0.429	0.515	0.576	0.412	0.714	0.460	0.72	0.73	0.64	0.33	0.56	0.80	
pACO, mm Hg	0.134	0.214	0.150	0.124	0.524	0.195	0.132	0.137	0.447	0.267	0.420	0.239	0.259	0.283	
V <sub>co</sub> , cc/min	0.082	0.051	0.089	0.129	060'0	0.089	0.048	0.091	0.059	0.117	0.035	0.031	0.098	0.008	
V <sub>E</sub> , cc/min	466	377	507	775	631	620	509	517	612	737	254	245	367	343	
$DL_{co}$	0.0191	0.0078	0.012	0.022	0.0138	0.0062	0.0122	0.0123	0.0033	0.0077	0.0019	0.0027	0.0074	0.0018	ion.
$DL_{\mathrm{co}}$	0.595	0.239	0.595	1.043	0.702	0.454	0.367	0.665	0.133	0.439	0.084	0.128	0.379	0.029	ncentrat
FRC, cc/cm	0.78	0.78	1.12	1.07	1.21	1.74	0.64	1.15	0.87	1.24	1.00	1.00	1.10	0.41	xygen co
FRC, cc	31	31	48	48	51	73	30	54	40	57	44	44	51	16	spired of
DCO <sub>2</sub> , mm Hg	-							5	6	11			19		FiO.; in
DO2 mm Hg						41		51	50	57			68		ne disease:
hq %	7.38	7.53	7.39	7.37	7.52	7.43	7.33	7.45	7.43	7.42	7.44	7.43	7.47	7.40	embrar
paCO <sub>2</sub> , mm Hg	35	33	35	33	33	34	49	37	44	41	34	40	51	55	ivaline m
PaO <sub>2</sub> , mm Hg	71	45	345	74	290	73	108	62	58	57	26	13	44	103	HMD: }
BSA, m²	0.135	0.132	0.145	0.149	0.150	0.148	0.170	0.160	0.138	0.138	0.174	0.170	0.156	0.121	Table I.
Body length, cm	40	40	43	45	42	42	47	47	46	46	44	44	46	39	note 1 of
Age, days	2	5	72	8	ę	6	2	10	10	17	NB	7	6	-	se Footi
Study g	1,840	1,740	1,920	1,900	2,200	2,120	2,410	2,100	1,560	1,560	2,840	2,700	2,100	1,480	s kev. se
Birth g	1,800		2,041		2,370		2,300		1,840		2,840		2,460	1,480	omplete
Infant	V		В		С		D		E		ц		G	Н	<sup>1</sup> For c



Fig. 1. Diagrammatic plan of apparatus for determination of diffusing capacity used in the present report.

Although these rechecks were within 10-15% of the initial calculation of DL<sub>co</sub>, it was found that they were lower in every case. A series of checks within 24 hr gave results within 15% without showing a systematic difference. It was therefore assumed that a significant back-pressure of carbon monoxide still existed after 6 hr. This also prevented estimation of DM for carbon monoxide. If the criteria for restlessness, lack of leaks, and lack of crying were met, no recheck was carried out. Since infants with respiratory distress were studied while breathing CO in 40% oxygen, a series of measurements of DL were carried out in which the same infant breathed CO in room air or CO in 40% oxygen with the other gas administered 24 hr later. Data from this experiment are presented in Table 3. All patients were studied with the knowledge and consent of their parents and all tolerated the procedure well.

## EVALUATION OF THE METHOD

The method for determining DL<sub>co</sub> used in this study is well standardized in older children and adults. It has certain inherent limitations (2, 4). The infrared carbon monoxide meter has a relatively slow response and cannot be used to measure end-tidal CO tensions in rapidly breathing subjects such as infants. Alveolar CO tension must therefore be estimated using the alveolar dead space equation (11), making the method extremely sensitive to variations in dead space volumes. Measurement of the diffusing capacity during exercise has been used in adults as a means of overcoming this difficulty (2, 3, 8), but this is not practical in the newborn infant. The method is also sensitive to leaks and lack of a steady state which affect the value of  $V_D/V_T$  used in the calculation of alveolar CO. In the present study, leak tests and prolonged collections have been used in an effort to minimize these problems. An additional source of error is back pressure of CO due to hemolytic processes (7, 13). No infant with hemolytic disease was included in this study in order to minimize this source of error.

## RESULTS

Results on individual infants are presented in Table 1. The average  $DL_{co}$  for healthy infants was 0.316 cc/min  $\times$  mm Hg CO<sup>-1</sup>, with a standard deviation of 0.146. When diffusing capacity was plotted against the parameters of body surface area, weight, and FRC, the following regressions were obtained  $DL_{co}$  vs. body weight:

$$DL_{co} = 0.12 \times weight (kg) + 0.10$$
  
 $n = 43$ , Syx = 0.12, P < 0.001, r = 0.599

DL<sub>co</sub> vs. body surface area:

$$DL_{co} = 3.01 \times body surface area (meters) - 0.12$$
  
 $n = 43$ , Syx = 0.11,  $P < 0.001$ ,  $r = 0.623$ 

DL<sub>co</sub> vs. functional residual capacity:

$$DL_{co} = 0.005 \times FRC (cc) + 0.043$$
  
 $n = 43, \quad Syx = 0.09, \quad P < 0.001, \quad r = 0.758$ 

The differences between these correlations coefficients are not statistically significant, using Student's *t*-test. Figure 2 represents the plot of diffusing capacity vs. lung volume for healthy infants and infants with hyaline membrane disease.

When  $DL_{co}$  was plotted against arterial oxygen tension while breathing room air, a weak positive correlation (r = 0.391, P < 0.01) was obtained. This is depicted in Figure 3. A similar negative correlation (r = -0.391, P < 0.02) was obtained by correlating  $DL_{co}$  with the alveolar-arterial oxygen difference.

Because of the high correlation between lung volume and  $DL_{co}$ , an attempt to compare individuals of differing lung volumes was made by calculating the specific diffusing capacity, or  $DL_{co}/cc$ FRC of healthy infants was 0.0059 with a standard deviation of 0.0019. Specific diffusing capacity showed no correlation with arterial oxygen tension in healthy infants (r = 0.06, P > 0.9; Fig. 4), or with alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient (r = 0.08, P > 0.6).

No systematic statistically significant differences were found in paired studies of  $DL_{co}$  in room air or 40% oxygen (Table 2). The diffusing capacity measured in infants breathing 40% oxygen was 80% that measured under similar conditions while breathing room air. Normal values obtained for diffusion in room air were assumed to apply to data obtained while breathing CO in 40% oxygen. No adverse effects were observed during the short test period while 40%  $O_2$  was inspired.

Significant correlations between FRC and arterial pO<sub>2</sub> (r = 0.443, P < 0.005) and alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient (r = -0.437, P < 0.005) were obtained.

Only the two most severely ill infants (F and H) showed significant reductions in diffusing capacity during their illness. All others showed normal or slightly increased diffusing capacities. With the exception of *infants* F and H, all distressed infants were well when restudied. During the recovery phase, all infants had normal diffusing capacities. Although both infants with hyaline membrane disease who had reduced diffusing capacities had arterial hypoxemia (*infants* F and H), hypoxemia was also found in infants with normal diffusing capacities (*infant* A, day 2; *infant* D, day 2).

### DISCUSSION

The present report establishes standards for diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide, determined by the steady state method, in low birth weight infants. The weak correlation between diffusing

Table 3. Diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide  $(DL_{co})$  in room air vs. 40% oxygen<sup>1</sup>

Case	DL <sub>co</sub> in room air	DL <sub>co</sub> /FRC <sup>2</sup> in room air	DL <sub>co</sub> in 40% O <sub>2</sub>	DL <sub>co</sub> /FRC in 40% O <sub>2</sub>
12	0.381	0.0092	0.251	0.0061
16	0.688	0.0061	0.368	0.0033
18(1)	0.273	0.0055	0.175	0.0035
21	0.280	0.0048	0.415	0.0070
20	0.210	0.0039	0.287	0.0052
18(2)	0.445	0.0110	0.247	0.0060
19	0.263	0.0063	0.285	0.0069
Mean	0.363	0.0067	0.290	0.0054
± 1 SD	0.164	0.0025	0.080	0.0015

<sup>1</sup> Unpaired data:  $DL_{co}$  air vs.  $DL_{co}$  40%  $O_2$ : t = 1.0615, P > 0.30;  $DL_{co}/FRC$  air vs. 40%  $O_2$ : t = 1.1313, P > 0.25. Paired data:  $DL_{co}$  air vs.  $DL_{co}$  40%  $O_2$ : t = 1.2029, P > 0.25;  $DL_{co}/FRC$  air vs. 40%  $O_2$ : t = 1.2530, P > 0.25.

<sup>2</sup> Functional residual capacity.



Fig. 2. Diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide  $(DL_{co})$  vs. functional residual capacity (*FRC*) in cubic centimeters.  $DL_{co}$  units are cubic centimeters per min per mm Hg of carbon monoxide.



Fig. 3. Diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide  $(DL_{CO})$  vs. arterial oxygen tension  $(Pa_{O_2})$  in mm Hg.

capacity and arterial oxygen tension is similar to that found in newborn infants by Nelson *et al.* (14) and Koch (10), and in adults with pulmonary disease by Finley and colleagues (9). This suggests that diffusing capacity is not a major determinant of arterial oxygen tension in the resting individual. The strong correlation observed between the observed diffusing capacity and functional residual capacity is similar to that observed by Bates and colleagues in exercising adults (3). Since diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide is dependent on many factors, including pulmonary capillary blood volume and the area of alveolar membrane available for diffusion, such a correlation is not unexpected.

Previous studies of diffusion in newborn infants at term by Nelson (14), Koch (10), and Stahlman (15) have shown diffusing capacities in the range of 1-3 cc/min  $\times$  mm Hg CO<sup>-1</sup>. Adults studied in the pulmonary function laboratory of The New York Hospital have diffusing capacity of 0.006 cc CO/min/mm Hg/ cc FRC. This is close to the mean value for specific diffusing capacity of low birth weight infants obtained in the present study, and suggests that a major factor accounting for the reduced diffusing capacity of newborn infants is their reduced lung volume, and the associated loss of alveolar area and pulmonary blood volume.

The mean values for carbon monoxide diffusing capacity in newborn infants of varying weights and gestational ages obtained from previous studies in the literature are listed in Table 4 along with values obtained for healthy adults at rest (2, 3, 8). The mean value obtained in the present study is somewhat lower than those of others, and the coefficient of variation somewhat higher, although it compares favorably with that of Stahlman (15). These differences are more marked for smaller infants than larger infants in the present study, the larger infants falling just outside the lower limits of the others (10, 15). Physiologic rather than methodologic differences account for this. The greater dispersion of the infants in the present study when compared with either term infants in other studies or adults may be in part attributed to the greater difficulty



Fig. 4. Specific diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DLco per cc functional residual capacity (FRC) vs. arterial oxygen tension in mm Hg.

Table 4. Comparison of diffusing capacities of carbon monoxide (DL<sub>co</sub>) in adults and children

Reference	Subjects	No. of obser- vations	$DL_{co}, cc/$ min × mm $Hg^{-1}$	SD	Coefficient of varia- tion, % <sup>1</sup>
This paper	Low birth wt infants	43	0.316	0.146	46
Stahlman (13)	Full term infants	31	1.56	0.61	39
Koch (9)	Full term infants, 0-24 hr old	26	2.2	0.5	23
Koch (9)	Full term infants, 7 days old	29	2.0	0.5	25
Bates et al. (3)	Healthy adults at rest	18	17.6	5.0	28

<sup>1</sup> Coefficient of variation = SD/mean  $\times$  100.

in attaining a "steady state" in these very small infants. The lower values for diffusing capacity in the premature infants may be attributed to the fact that the lungs of these infants are somewhat underperfused, as indicated by their elevated dead space to tidal volume ratios (11, 18) and the presence of arterial-alveolar differences for carbon dioxide (10, 16), as well as the fact that low birth weight infants also have lower lung volumes for their size than heavier, more mature infants (12, 18). Both pulmonary underperfusion, by a reduction in the pulmonary capillary blood volume, as well as reduced lung volumes, are important determinants of the pulmonary diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (5). In addition, the back pressure of CO due to hemolysis may be significant in small sick infants, and this is an additional source of possible error resulting in a low  $DL_{co}$  (7, 13). The wide variations in these factors which account for the relatively large range of values encountered in nondistressed low birth weight infants may also account for the great scatter of diffusing capacities measured in the eight infants with hyaline membrane disease included in this study. Loss of lung volume and pulmonary underperfusion are major factors in this illness (12, 18). The present study does not provide information relative to the membrane component of diffusion, and so cannot settle the question of the role of the "hyaline membrane" found in respiratory distress.

#### SUMMARY

Steady state diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide was measured in 21 infants without respiratory distress and in 8 infants with clinical hyaline membrane disease. The average diffusing capacity for infants without respiratory disease was 0.316 cc/min  $\times$  mm Hg CO<sup>-1</sup>. A high positive correlation was found between  $DL_{co}$  and functional residual capacity (r = 0.758, P < 0.001), and a weaker negative correlation between  $DL_{co}$  and arterial oxygen tension (r = -0.391, P < 0.02). These data suggest that lung volume, which represents the area available for diffusion, is a major determinant of diffusing capacity in the newborn infant, but that diffusion is only a weak component in determining the arterial oxygen tension of the neonate.

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- I. Adamson, T. M., Hawkes, J. M., Reynolds, E. O. R., and Shaw, J. L .: Hypoxemia during recovery from severe hyaline membrane disease. Pediatrics, 44: 168 (1969)
- 2. Bates, D. V.: The uptake of carbon monoxide in health and in emphysema. Clin. Sci., 11: 21 (1952).
- 3. Bates, D. V., Boucot, N. G., and Dormer, A. E.: The pulmonary diffusing
- capacity in normal subjects. J. Physiol. (London). 129: 237 (1955).
  Bates, D. V., Macklem, P. T., and Christie, R. V.: Respiratory Function in Disease, Ed. 2, pp. 75-92 (W. B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1971).
  Briscon W. A. and King T. K. G. A. Landow, S. M. S. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1971).
- 5. Briscoe, W. A., and King, T. K. C.: Analysis of the disturbance in oxygen transfer in hypoxic lung disease. Amer. J. Med., 57: 349 (1974).
- 6. Comroe, J. H., Forster, R. E., Dubois, A. B., Briscoe, W. A., and Carlsen, E .: The Lung, pp. 184-186 (Year Book Medical Publishers, Chicago, 1955).
- 7. Fallstrom, S. P.: On the endogenous formation of carbon monoxide in full-term newborn infants. Acta Paediat. Scand., (Suppl. 189): 1 (1968).
- 8. Filley, G. F., MacIntosh, D. J., and Wright, G. W.: Carbon monoxide uptake and pulmonary diffusing capacity in normal subjects at rest and during exercise. J. Clin. Invest., 33: 530 (1954).
- 9. Finley, T. N., Swenson, E. W., and Comroe, J. H.: The cause of arterial hypoxemia at rest in patients with "alveolar-capillary block syndrome." J. Clin. Invest., 41. 618 (1962).
- 10. Koch, G.: Alveolar ventilation, diffusing capacity and the A-a Po2 difference in the newborn infant. Resp. Physiol., 4: 168 (1968).
- 11. Krauss, A. N., and Auld, P. A. M.: Ventilation-perfusion abnormalities in the premature infant: Triple gradient. Pediat. Res., 3: 255 (1969).
- 12. Krauss, A. N., and Auld, P. A. M.: Measurement of function residual capacity in distressed neonates by helium rebreathing. J. Pediat., 77: 228 (1970)
- 13. Maisels, M. J., Pathak, A., Nelson, N. M., Nathan, D. G., and Smith, G. A.: Endogenous production of carbon monoxide and erythroblastotic infants. J. Clin. Invest., 50: 1 (1971). 14. Nelson, N. M., Smith, C. A., Cherry, R. B., and Riegel, K.: Der diffusion faktor
- und das effektive capillarblut-volumen der lunge beim idiopathischen atemnotsyndrom des neugebornen. Mschr. Kinderheilk., 115: 224 (1967).
- 15. Stahlman, M. T.: Pulmonary ventilation and diffusion in the human newborn infant. J. Clin. Invest., 36: 1018 (1957).
- 16. Thibeault, D. W., Clutario, B., and Auld, P. A. M.: Arterial oxygen tension

tension in premature infants. J. Pediat., 69: 449 (1966).

- Thibeault, D. W., Poblete, E., and Auld, P. A. M.: Arterial-alveolar oxygen difference in premature infants breathing 100% oxygen. J. Pediat., 71: 814 (1967).
- 18. Tori, C. A., Krauss, A. N., and Auld, P. A. M.: Serial studies of lung volume and VA/Q in hyaline membrane disease. Pediat. Res., 7: 82 (1973).
- 19. Dr. A. N. Krauss has a fellowship with the Tuberculosis and Respiratory Disease

Copyright © 1976 International Pediatric Research Foundation, Inc.

Pediat. Res 10: 776-778 (1976)

Association of New York.

- Dr. P. A. M. Auld was supported by United States Public Health Service Research Grant HD-02644.
- Requests for reprints should be addressed to: P. A. M. Auld, M.D., Division of Newborn Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, New York Hospital Cornell University Medical Center, 522 E. 68th St., New York, N.Y. 10021 (USA).
- 22. Accepted for publication March 3, 1976.

Printed in U.S.A.

Infant photo newborn skin

phototherapy skin reflectance

# Skin Reflectance in the Newborn Infant

ALFRED N. KRAUSS,<sup>(10)</sup> PETER W. POST, STEPHANIE WALDMAN, AND PETER A. M. AULD

Department of Pediatrics, Division of Perinatology. The New York Hospital-Cornell Medical Center, New York, New York, and Department of Anthropology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA

## Extract

Skin reflectance in red, green, and blue light was measured at the sternum of 99 Caucasian infants ranging in gestational age from 26 to 44 weeks. Skin reflectance was consistently higher in female infants, but this difference was not statistically significant. Highly significant (P < 0.001) increases in reflectance at all wave lengths were found when sternal reflectance during the first 48 hr of life was related to gestational age. The dispersion of data points about the regression line does not permit this method to be relied upon as the sole means of determining gestational age of infants. Serial studies indicate that reflectance increases in premature infants not given phototherapy, whereas premature infants receiving phototherapy show a fall in reflectance for the duration of therapy. This suggests that phototherapy may cause tanning of the skin.

#### Speculation

Reflectance spectrometry provides a safe, noninvasive method to assess human newborn skin maturation and may also be a means to determine effects of various therapeutic regimens on the skin.

The most commonly used system for assessing gestational age of newborn infants is that of Dubowitz and colleagues (3). Many of the items contained in the Dubowitz scale require a subjective evaluation of a particular characteristic on the part of the examiner. Evaluation of the skin for color, thickness, and opacity is required to complete this scale and determine the infant's score. Reflectance spectrophotometry is a safe, noninvasive method for assessing the physical characteristics of the skin and has been widely used and standardized in adults (6). Reflectance of light by the skin depends on the wave length of light used, the skin thickness, and the amount of pigment in the skin. In adults these factors are also influenced by the sex and site of sampling in an individual subject because of variations in the amount of pigment because of sex, relation to pubertal status, and tanning. The aim of the present study was to determine whether measurements of skin reflectance in newborn infants could be standardized to provide a measurement of gestational age and give an objective verification of Dubowitz' data.

#### METHODS

Forty-seven male and fifty-two female Caucasian infants were included in this study. Racial identification was voluntarily provided by the parents for birth certificates. All infants were healthy at the time of study and none had received phototherapy before the study. All infants had parents who lived within a 70-mile radius of New York City. The infants ranged in gestational age from 25 to 44 weeks, based on information obtained from the date of the mother's last menstrual period. Additionally, all infants were examined by one of the investigators in order to determine gestational age by means of the Dubowitz criteria (3). If the discrepancy between the mother's dates and physical examination exceeded 2 weeks the infant was excluded from the study. All infants were studied with their parents' knowledge and informed consent according to a protocol approved by the New York Hospital Human Rights Committee.

Infants born after 36 weeks of gestation were studied only once within 48 hr of birth. Premature infants were studied initially within 48 hr of birth and again at 3-day intervals until 1 week of age, and then at weekly intervals until discharge. No mature infant who received phototherapy is included in this study. Some premature infants did receive phototherapy for hyperbilirubinemia, and are so indicated in the appropriate figure. Standard statistical techniques (Student's *t*-test, least squares determination of regression coefficients) were used to compare data between various groups of infants.

Skin reflectance was measured with a model 670 Photovolt "reflection meter" (9). Light from a clear 6-W tungsten bulb is transmitted to the skin at a 0° angle through various filters and monitored with a compensating photocell (9). Light reflected from the skin is measured by a second photocell at a 45° angle to the incident beam. Bulb, filters, and photocells form a reflectance unit which is placed directly in contact with the skin. The reflectance unit is connected to a microammeter which records the current generated on a linear scale. The machine is standardized so that full scale current (100% reflectance) corresponds to the reflection from a magnesium oxide standard tile. High reflectance corresponds to "whiter" skin. Filters used to transmit light were standard Corning glass filters: blue (CS5-60/5543), green (CS4-64/4010), and red (CS2-59/2404). These filters were 2.0, 3.0, and 4.0 mm thick, respectively. Measurements were made by one