ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Controlled loop and graft formations of water-soluble polymers on SAMs for the design of biomaterials surfaces

Ko Yamada¹, Ryo Katoono^{1,2} and Nobuhiko Yui^{1,2}

We prepared four types of water-soluble polymers with anchoring group(s) at one or both terminals: poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) with one or two anchoring groups and polyrotaxane with one or two anchoring groups. Each polymer was immobilized to successfully form a loop or graft structure on a gold substrate covered with a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of a tri(ethylene glycol)-dodecanethiol conjugate. Controlled immobilization of the polymers onto a gold substrate was examined by an original two-step protocol and was confirmed by quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) measurement. We investigated the effects of the immobilization modes (loop and graft) of the polymers on the surface properties of biomaterials. Surface softness or rigidity of the hydrated polymers on a SAM was analyzed by QCM with dissipation monitoring measurements, by which we found smaller ratios of energy dissipation to frequency ($\Delta D/\Delta f$) for a loop structure than for a graft structure in an aqueous environment. With regard to surface wettability, substrates with PEG or polyrotaxane were similar in terms of static and dynamic contact angles and were also not influenced by the immobilization modes. We demonstrate that less fibrinogen was adsorbed on the polyrotaxane loop, making it a good platform for the design of biomaterials surfaces.

Polymer Journal (2012) 44, 286–293; doi:10.1038/pj.2011.130; published online 21 December 2011

Keywords: loop and graft formations; low protein adsorption; poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG); polyrotaxane; quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation monitoring (QCM-D); Self-Assembled Monolayer (SAM)

INTRODUCTION

Preventing nonspecific interactions in biological systems is a major concern in the design of biomaterials surfaces.¹ Much effort has been devoted to the development of polymers to eliminate protein adsorption and the subsequent cellular responses on surfaces contacting blood or body fluid in a living body.^{2,3} In particular, hydrophilic polymers such as poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)⁴⁻⁸ and phospholipid polymers⁹⁻¹³ have been well developed and are some of the most promising candidates to eliminate protein adsorption. They have a suitable chain length and density, excluded volume effect, entropic repulsive force, surface wettability and hydration. However, a mechanistic understanding is still incomplete because these factors are interdependent. Other studies have reported that a surface with some ethylene glycol units forming a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) on gold can effectively reduce protein adsorption, reasoning that the surface was completely covered with well-hydrated ethylene glycol units, which decreased protein adsorption.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ In this context, the net effect of polymeric architectures on the surface properties of biomaterials should be further examined.

In addition to the above numerous efforts, we are particularly interested in the state of polymers immobilized on a surface, and envisage two types of immobilization modes (loop and graft),^{17–20} as

shown in Figure 1. On a surface, one or both terminals of a linear polymeric chain are immobilized though an anchoring group to form a graft or loop structure, and the rest of the surface is covered with a SAM of a conjugate containing an oligo(ethylene glycol). Any difference in the macromolecular conformation of a water-soluble polymer would be provided by immobilization under the different modes and would affect the surface properties. We envision two further characteristics (soft and rigid) for each mode by tuning the polymer (Figure 1). Thus, we designed PEG chains 1l and 1g (soft) attached to a thiol group as an anchoring group at both and one terminal, respectively, and water-soluble polyrotaxanes 2l and 2g (rigid) in which the same PEG chain exists and is threading into the cavity of a partially methylated α -cyclodextrin (α -CD; Figure 2). The alignment of cyclic molecules along a chain would affect the macromolecular conformation to modulate flexibility in such a supramolecular architecture. We immobilized these four water-soluble polymers through Au-S bond(s)²¹ on a gold substrate covered with a SAM of a tri(ethylene glycol)-dodecanethiol (TEGDT) conjugate 3 (Figure 2). Attention must also be paid to the methodology of controlled immobilization, which is described in detail later as a two-step protocol. Here, we report the controlled loop and graft formations of water-soluble polymers 1 and 2 on gold through the quartz crystal

Correspondence: Current address: Professor N Yui, Institute of Biomaterials and Bioengineering, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, 2-6-10 Kanda-Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-0062, Japan.

E-mail: yui.org@tmd.ac.jp

¹School of Materials Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Ishikawa, Japan and ²JST, CREST, Tokyo, Japan

Received 28 August 2011; revised and accepted 18 October 2011; published online 21 December 2011





Figure 1 Illustration of polymer-immobilized surfaces with four different states on self-assembled monolayer (SAM).

microbalance (QCM) technique. We also report a preliminary investigation of the surface properties in terms of surface softness in an aqueous environment by QCM with dissipation monitoring (QCM-D), static and dynamic contact angles and protein adsorption using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Materials

PEG (average molecular weight 3000, CAS No. 25322-68-3) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemicals Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan) and used as a starting material for preparing PEG bis(2-aminoethyl) ether (PEGBA 4;¹⁸ MALDI-TOF MS m/z 2987 ([M(n=65)+Na]+, 100%). A QCM gold (111) electrode was purchased from Q-sense Inc. (Gothenburg, Sweden). A gold (111) substrate for contact angle measurements was kindly provided by the Ishihara group (The University of Tokyo, Japan). Silicon wafers were purchased from Furuuchi Chemical Co. (Tokyo, Japan); the surface of the silicon wafers was covered with ~ 10 -nm-thick SiO₂ layers. A gold-evaporated substrate was prepared on the silicon wafer by plasma sputtering with a 3.0-nm-thick adhesion layer of Cr, followed by 27 nm of Au (SCOTT-C3, Ulvac Kiko Inc., Kanagawa, Japan). The O₂-plasma treatment was performed with an O₂-plasma generator (PR500, Yamato Scientific Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) before the plasma sputtering of Cr and Au. A gold sensor chip for SPR measurements was purchased from GE Healthcare Co. (Stockholm, Sweden). For the investigation of protein adsorption, human serum albumin (HSA, lyophilized powder, 96-99%, A1653) and human plasma fibrinogen (HPF, 55-75% protein, ≥65% of protein is clottable, F4129) were purchased from Sigma Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). A phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (10 M, pH 7.4) was purchased from Invitrogen Co. (Carlsbad, CA, USA) and used after dilution (1 M). Synthetic procedures and spectral data of new compounds (1-3, 5, 6, 8, 11-13) are described in the Supplementary Information (Supplementary Scheme S1-S3). Abbreviations for some reagents (Supplementary Scheme 1-2) are as follows: DMT-MM (4-(4,6-dimethoxy-l,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium chloride), BOP (benzotriazole-1-yloxytris(dimethylamino)phosphonium hexafluorophosphate), HOBt (N-hydroxybenzotriazole) and DIEA (N,N-diisopropylethylamine).

Preparation of PEG and polyrotaxane loops (IL and IIL) and PEG and polyrotaxane grafts (IG and IIG) on SAM (two-step protocol)

Step one: solutions of PEG 1/polyrotaxane 2 with concentrations $(10^{-16}-10^{-15} \text{ M for 1}/10^{-7}-10^{-6} \text{ M for 2})$ in methanol/water containing 0.1 N/1 N NaOH were prepared by stirring for 30 min to produce a thiol group at the terminal(s) of 1/2. Three gold electrodes were immersed in each of the above basic solutions for $10-60 \text{ s/5}-60 \text{ min to prepare substrates I}^{1st}a-c/II^{1st}a-c$. They were then washed with water to remove physically adsorbed 1/2 and dried *in vacuo* before recording QCM measurements.

Step two: the substrates $I^{1st}a\text{-c}/II^{1st}a\text{-c}$ were immersed in solutions of 3 ([3]=1.0×10^{-3} M) in 50% ethanol/water containing 0.1 N NaOH for 6 h, washed with ethanol and water and subsequently dried *in vacuo* to prepare substrates $I^{2nd}a\text{-c}/II^{2nd}a\text{-c}$.

The simplest reference **III** was prepared by immersion of an electrode in a solution of **3** ([**3**]= 1.0×10^{-3} M) in 50% ethanol/water containing 0.1 N NaOH for 6 h, followed by washing with ethanol and water and drying *in vacuo*.

Confirmation of loop formation

Each of the substrates $I^{lst}La$ - $c/II^{1st}La$ -c and $I^{2nd}La$ - $c/II^{2nd}La$ -c was immersed in an aqueous solution of colloidal silvers^{18,21,22} (1×10⁻⁵ M) for a period of 4 h, washed with water and subsequently dried *in vacuo* to measure a change in frequency (Δf) using QCM (see $I^{1st}L$, $I^{2nd}L$, $II^{1st}L$, and $II^{2nd}L$ in Figure 3).

The substrates $I^{1st}La-c/II^{1st}La-c$ were also simply incubated by immersion in a blank solution (50% ethanol/water containing 0.1 N NaOH but not containing 3) for 6 h to yield control substrates, denoted as $I^{inc}La-c$ or $II^{inc}La-c$. Further, these control substrates were immersed in a colloidal silver solution $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ in the same manner as for $I^{1st}La-c/II^{1st}La-c$ and $I^{2nd}La-c/II^{2nd}La-c$ to measure Δf .

Measurements

QCM/QCM-D was measured with Q-sense E1 (Q-Sense Inc.) in an ambient/ aqueous atmosphere. All the QCM/QCM-D measurements were recorded at a controlled temperature of 25 ± 0.1 °C. The analysis was carried out using the frequency change obtained from a frequency of 45 Hz. Mass increases on a gold substrate at the first step for immobilization and the second step for SAM formation were monitored from changes in the frequency (Δf) using the Sauerbrey equation.^{23,24} The energy dissipation change (ΔD) during the twostep protocol was calculated by turning off the electric field and monitoring the decay process of the oscillation after immersion of the samples in water for 5 days.

Contact angle measurement. Static and dynamic contact angle measurements were carried out using a contact angle goniometer (DM-501, Kyowa Interface Science Co. Ltd., Saitama, Japan) equipped with a CCD camera, a motordriven microsyringe and a processing computer. Before recording the measurements, the surface tension of water $(71.8 \pm 1 \text{ mN m}^{-1})$ was confirmed using the pendant drop method with a Young-Laplace curve-fitting algorithm in the instrument's software (FAMAS software, Kyowa Interface Science Co. Ltd., Saitama, Japan). For static contact angle measurement, droplets of either water or methylene iodide were delivered to five different locations on a sample surface using the microsyringe set by the computer for a flow rate of 2.0 µl min⁻¹ for 4.7 s at 20 °C under a relative humidity of 45%. The dispersion/polar component of the surface tension of each sample was calculated from the static contact angles of water and methylene iodide using the equation reported by Owens and Wendt.²⁵ For dynamic contact angle measurement, water drops were delivered to five different locations on a sample surface in the same manner as described above, but at a different flow rate $(2.0 \,\mu l \,min^{-1}$ for 8.0 s, or 8.0 ml min⁻¹ for 2.0 s), and then drawn from the surface at the same rate as delivered. In both measurements, the droplet images on surfaces were captured by the camera. Their profiles were then fitted using the FAMAS software to determine the contact angles. All the samples were measured three times after immersion in water for 5 days.

Plasma protein adsorption. Adsorption of albumin and fibrinogen onto sample surfaces were measured with an SPR sensor apparatus (Biacore 1000, GE Healthcare Co.). Two kinds of proteins, HSA (4.5 mg ml^{-1}) and HPF (0.3 mg ml^{-1}), in a 1 M PBS solution (pH 7.4) were passed over the sample surface of the sensor chip set up in the instrument through a flow cell at a flow rate of 15 µl min⁻¹ for 5 min or 1 µl min⁻¹ for 75 min at 37 °C. The sample surfaces were rinsed with the PBS for 5 min. A surface protein coverage of 0.1 ng cm⁻² was assumed from the resonance unit in the SPR sensorgram in this study. The measurements were repeated three times after immersion in PBS for 5 days.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preparation of PEG (1l/g) and polyrotaxane (2l/g) for immobilization in loop or graft mode on SAM

The PEG chain 1*l* for loop formation was prepared by a condensation reaction of PEGBA 4 (average n=65) at each terminal with a tyrosine derivative 5 tethering an anchoring group. The PEG chain 1*g* for



Figure 2 Chemical structures of poly(ethylene glycol)s 1*I* and 1*g* and polyrotaxanes 2*I* and 2*g* with anchoring group(s) for immobilization, and tri(ethylene glycol)-dodecanethiol 3 for self-assembled monolayer formation.

graft formation was obtained by similar reactions with 5 and a phenylalanine analog 7, which is not attached to any anchoring group, in a stepwise manner (Figure 2 and Scheme 1). The water-soluble polyrotaxane 2l/g for loop or graft formation was prepared by the endcapping of a pseudopolyrotaxane $4 \cdot \alpha - \text{CD}^{18}/6 \cdot \alpha - \text{CD}$, which was obtained by mixing 4/6 with $\alpha - \text{CD}$ in water, with tyrosine 5/phenylalanine 7, followed by partial methylation of α -CD in polyrotaxane 8l/g to attain water solubility (Figure 2 and Scheme 2). Structures of the polyrotaxanes 2 were characterized by ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance spectra of 8l/g and 2l/g measured in D₂O containing 1 wt% NaOD and D₂O, showing that the ratio (*x*)

of α -CD to PEG was 19/26 for **8***l*/*g* and the number (*m*) of methyl groups per α -CD in **2***l*/*g* was 11/11 on average (Supplementary Figure S1 in Supplementary Information). Gel permeation chromatography profiles of **2***l* and **2***g* are depicted in Supplementary Figure S2 in the Supplementary Information. The TEGDT conjugate **3** for SAM formation was obtained by coupling *tert*-butyl{2-[2-(2-chloroethoxy)ethoxy]ethoxy}dimethylsilane²⁶ and *S*-acetyl-protected dodecanethiol²⁷ through Williamson etherification, followed by desilylation. The TEGDT conjugate **3** was also used to prepare the tyrosine derivative **5**. The preparation of **3** and **5** is described in detail in the Supplementary Information (Supplementary Scheme S1).

288



Figure 3 Changes in frequency (Δf) upon conjugation of colloidal silver (1×10^{-5} M) to a terminal thiol group of poly(ethylene glycol) **1**/ and polyrotaxane **2**/ remaining on the substrates $I^{1st/Iinc}/I^{2nd}L$ and $II^{1st/IIinc}/I^{2nd}L$ (\Box) bars for $I^{1st}/I^{1st}/I^{1st}L$, \blacksquare bars for $I^{1nc}L/I^{1inc}L$, and \blacksquare bars for $I^{2nd}L/I^{2nd}L$), measured by quartz crystal microbalance (n=3).

Preparation of PEG (1l/g)- and polyrotaxane (2l/g)-immobilized substrates $I^{2nd}L/G$ and $II^{2nd}L/G$ in loop or graft mode on SAM

The loop formation of PEG 11 and polyrotaxane 21 on SAM was achieved by the following the two-step protocol, as illustrated in Scheme 3. In the first step, the acetyl group at each terminal of 11/21 was hydrolyzed in methanol/water containing 0.1 N/1 N NaOH to produce an intermediary thiol group. A gold electrode was then immersed for 10–60 s and 5–60 min to yield substrates $I^{1st}L$ and $II^{1st}L$, respectively. Further, in the second step, the substrates $I^{1st}L$ and II^{1st}L were immersed in a solution of TEGDT conjugate 3 in 50% EtOH/water containing 0.1 N NaOH for 6 h to yield substrates $I^{2nd}L$ and II^{2nd}L, respectively. At each step, loop formation was confirmed, as described later in detail (vide infra). The PEG 1g- and polyrotaxane 2g-grafted substrates $I^{2nd}G$ and $II^{2nd}G$ were prepared by a similar twostep protocol, using 1g and 2g instead of 1l and 2l. The TEGDT conjugate 3 was immobilized to yield substrate III as the simplest control. Detailed conditions concerning solution concentration and immersion time upon immobilization of 1-3 are summarized in Table 1. These immobilization processes were monitored by QCM measurement after drying the substrates.

The amounts of 1l/g and 2l/g immobilized onto a gold electrode in the first step were defined as the number of molecules per 100 nm². The amounts were successfully controlled to be 1, 2 and 3 $(/100 \text{ nm}^2)$ (a, b, and c) by solution concentrations of 1l/g and 2l/g and immersion time (Table 1). It is noteworthy that almost the same increase $(\Delta m_{2-1}: 1.8 \times 10 \text{ ng cm}^{-2})$ was observed in the mass of the substrates for all substrates \mathbf{I}^{2nd} and \mathbf{II}^{2nd} upon the immobilization of 3 in the second step, corresponding to an increase $(1.8 \times 10 \text{ ng cm}^{-2})$ in mass of the control substrate III. This result suggests that the TEGDT conjugate 3 was successfully self-assembled onto all substrates in the second step. The numbers of OH and OMe groups on substrates I^{2nd}, II^{2nd} and III are also summarized in Table 1 for ease of reference. The obtained chain density was not very high in each substrate compared with those (0.1-0.7 nm⁻²) for PEG grafts (MW=750, 2000 or 5000) reported in previous studies for effective minimization of protein adsorption.7

Loop formation requires the formation of an Au–S bond at each terminal of a chain. The increase in mass of a substrate indicates the

amount of a chain immobilized on the substrate in QCM measurement, as described above (Table 1). However, it does not indicate how the chain is immobilized, whether as loop or graft. To qualitatively investigate the immobilization modes of PEG 11 and polyrotaxane 21 on the substrates I^{1st}L/I^{2nd}L and II^{1st}L/II^{2nd}L (Scheme 3), QCM measurement was carried out again on the conjugation of colloidal silver (see Confirmation of loop formation, Experimental Procedure). A frequency change (Δf) would be monitored on the conjugation through Ag-S bond formation only when 11/21 is immobilized in graft mode, in which one SH group remains unimmobilized on the substrate.¹⁸ For the substrates $I^{1st}L$ and $II^{1st}L$, the conjugation of colloidal silver led to a greater decrease in frequency with increasing 11/21, whereas only a marginal decrease was found for both substrates $I^{2nd}L$ and $II^{2nd}L$ regardless of the amount of 1l/2l present (Figure 3, $\mathbf{I}^{1st}L/\mathbf{II}^{1st}L$ and $\mathbf{I}^{2nd}L/\mathbf{II}^{2nd}L$). These results suggest that there were remaining SH groups on substrates $I^{1st}L$ and $II^{1st}L$, which were allowed to form loop structures, accompanied by SAM formation of 3 in the second step. In addition, the conjugation of colloidal silver after simple incubation (which is a control experiment for the second step) of the substrates $I^{1st}L$ and $II^{1st}L$ in the same solvent without 3, as used in the SAM formation at the second step, decreased the frequency to some extent (Figure 3, $I^{inc}L$ and $II^{inc}L$). The frequency decrease indicated that the presence of 3 in the second step is essential to the completion of loop formation.

Surface properties of PEG- and polyrotaxane-immobilized substrates I^{2nd} and II^{2nd}

Dynamic motion of water-soluble polymers on a substrate in water has often been investigated by QCM-D measurements, which is accompanied by a larger ratio of change in energy dissipation to shift in frequency $(\Delta D/\Delta f)$ and is directly related to the softness of the polymer layer by assuming the energy loss in the viscoelastic Voigt model.²⁸ We first discuss surface softness or rigidity of the hydrated substrates I^{2nd} and II^{2nd} in an aqueous environment by calculating the ratio for a gold substrate in water before and after the two-step immobilization in QCM-D measurement. The results are summarized in Table 1. The reliability of a $\Delta D/\Delta f$ value for each substrate was confirmed by the linearity of $\Delta D - \Delta f$ plots obtained from three substrates **a-c** with different amounts of polymers (Figure 4). For both PEG-immobilized I^{2nd} and polyrotaxane-immobilized II^{2nd}, smaller values of $\Delta D/\Delta f$ were obtained from substrates with a loop structure (L) than those with a graft structure (G).²⁰ PEG on a substrate exhibited higher values of $\Delta D/\Delta f$ than polyrotaxane, although exactly the same PEG existed in the polyrotaxane structure in which a number of methylated α -CD molecules are threaded and aligned along the PEG chain to restrict the mobility of PEG. Thus, on substrates in water, it seems that PEG is more flexible and gives more softness to a substrate than polyrotaxane.

The surface wettability of the substrates I^{2nd} and II^{2nd} was then investigated in terms of static and dynamic contact angles.²⁹ A relatively higher total surface tension was estimated for all substrates $I^{2nd}L/G$ and $II^{2nd}L/G$ with increasing numbers of polymers Il/g and 2l/g. We found little difference in the total surface tension and dispersion/polar component among $I^{2nd}L/G$, $II^{2nd}L/G$ and III(Figure 5a). Both advancing and receding contact angles decreased with increasing numbers of polymers 1l/g and 2l/g on all substrates $I^{2nd}L/G$ and $II^{2nd}L/G$, which were almost indistinguishable (Figure 5b). These advancing and receding contact angles were independent of the flow rate and the time for delivery of a water droplet. Finding these similarities of contact angles on PEG-immibilized I^{2nd} and polyrotaxane-immobilized II^{2nd} with different function-



Scheme 1 Preparation of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) 1//g through a condensation reaction of PEG bis(2-aminoethyl) ether 4 with tyrosine 5/tyrosine 5 and phenylalanine 7. Reagents and yields: (a) DMT-MM, MeOH (29% for 1/ and 47% for 6); (b) BOP, HOBt, DIEA, dimethylformamide (DMF; 46% for 1g).



Scheme 2 Preparation of polyrotaxane 2l/g through endcapping of pseudopolyrotaxane $4 \cdot \alpha$ -CD/ $6 \cdot \alpha$ -CD with tyrosine 5/phenylalanine 7, followed by partial methylation of α -CD in 8l/g. Reagents and yields: (a) DMT-MM, MeOH (6% for 8l); (b) NaOH, CH₃I, DMSO (14% for 2l and 18% for 2g); (c) BOP, HOBt, DIEA, dimethylformamide (DMF; 9% for 8g).



Scheme 3 Preparation of PEG- and polyrotaxane-immobilized substrates substrated I^{2nd}L/I^{2nd}G and II^{2nd}L/II^{2nd}G covered with a SAM of 3 (two-step protocol),

Table 1 Immobilization conditions (concentration and immersion time), results from the first step (change in mass $(\Delta m_{1-0})^a$ of a gold electrode, $\#^b$ of 1/2 immobilized on I^{1st}/II^{1st}) and the second step (change in mass $(\Delta m_{2-1})^a$ of I^{1st}/II^{1st} , $\#^b$ of 3 immobilized on I^{2nd}/II^{2nd} , # of OH and OMe groups on I^{2nd} , II^{2nd} , and III), measured by QCM (n=3), and the $\Delta D/\Delta f$ values, measured by QCM-D (n=3)

Substrate					2 nd				
	[1-2] (×10 ⁻⁶ м)	Immersion time	Δm_{1-0} (× 10 ng cm ⁻²)	# of 1–2 (/100 nm ²)	Δm_{2-1} (×10 ² ng cm ⁻²)	# of 3 (×10 ² /100 nm ²)	# _{ОН} (×10 ² /100 nm ²)	# _{OMe} (× 10 ² /100 nm ²)	$\Delta D/\Delta f$ (×10 ⁻⁹)
IL.									
а	1.0×10^{-10}	10 s	0.8	1.1	1.8	3.1	3.1	_	8.6
b	1.0×10^{-10}	30 s	1.6	2.2	1.8	3.1	3.1	_	8.6
с	1.0×10^{-10}	60 s	2.2	3.1	1.8	3.1	3.1	_	8.7
IG									
а	1.0×10^{-10}	10 s	0.8	1.2	1.8	3.1	3.1	_	11
b	1.0×10^{-10}	30 s	1.4	2.1	1.8	3.1	3.1	_	11
с	1.0×10^{-9}	60 s	1.8	2.7	1.8	3.1	3.1	_	11
II <i>L</i>									
а	0.10	10 min	5.1	1.2	1.8	3.1	4.7	2.5	2.3
b	0.10	20 min	9.1	2.1	1.8	3.1	5.9	4.4	2.5
с	1.0	10 min	13	3.1	1.8	3.1	7.2	6.5	2.5
IIG									
а	0.10	60 min	7.3	1.3	1.8	3.1	4.9	3.8	5.5
b	1.0	5 min	11	2.0	1.8	3.1	6.7	5.8	5.6
с	1.0	30 min	19	3.4	1.8	3.1	9.3	9.9	5.3
ш	—	_	_	—	1.8	3.1	3.1	—	0.4

Abbreviations: QCM, quartz crystal microbalance; QCM-D, QCM with dissipation monitoring.

 $^{a}\Delta m_{1-0}$ and Δm_{2-1} were calculated according to the Sauerbrey equation to estimate the numbers of immobilized 1/2 and 3, respectively. ^bThe numbers of immobilized 1/, 1g, 2/, 2g and 3 were calculated based on molecular weights of ca 4.2×10^3 for 1/, ca 3.9×10^3 for 1g, ca 2.6×10^4 for 2/, ca 3.4×10^4 for 2g and 350 for 3.

ality (a number of methoxy groups exist only on II^{2nd} ; Table 1) was unexpected. The immobilization modes, *L* and *G*, did not influence contact angles.

We investigated the adsorption of two proteins, HSA and HPF, onto substrates I^{2nd} and II^{2nd} using SPR spectroscopy. These two major proteins are commonly used to study platelet adhesion and the subsequent events leading to thrombosis.^{1,30} HSA was less well adsorbed than expected on all substrates $I^{2nd}L/G$, $II^{2nd}L/G$ and III (0.03–0.06 µg cm⁻²) during a solution flow (4.5 mg ml⁻¹, 15 µl min⁻¹, 5 min; Figure 6). Additional interesting results were obtained from a solution flow of HPF (0.3 mg ml⁻¹, 15 µl min⁻¹, 5 min) on polyrotax-ane-immobilized substrates $II^{2nd}L$ and $III^{2nd}G$. HPF adsorption on the loop surface (L) (~0.2 µg cm⁻²) was less than that on the graft surface (G) (0.2~0.3 µg cm⁻²) and comparable to III (0.2 µg cm⁻²; Figure 7). On PEG-immobilized substrates $I^{2nd}L$ and $I^{2nd}G$, the difference in adsorption seemed to be negligible (0.3 µg cm⁻²). Under a more severe condition (0.3 mg ml⁻¹, 1 µl min⁻¹, 75 min), all surfaces of

 $I^{2nd}L/Gb$, $II^{2nd}L/Gb$ and III showed a similar trend to that found in Figure 7 with a small increase in protein adsorption (Supplementary Figure S3 in the Supplementary Information). These protein adsorptions appear to be independent of the amounts of polymers (**a**–**c**, Figure 6 and Figure 7).

If we consider the results regarding surface wettability (Figure 5), which is similar for all substrates, it is difficult to explain the difference or similarity in HPF adsorption on polyrotaxane-immobilized substrates $II^{2nd}L$ and $II^{2nd}G$ or PEG-immobilized $I^{2nd}L$ and $I^{2nd}G$. Instead, we tried to explain the difference or similarity as being due to the softness or rigidity of the substrates (Figure 4). One can find a correlation that less HPF was adsorbed on less-flexible substrates.

CONCLUSION

We designed and prepared four types of SAMs, $I^{2nd}L/G$ and $II^{2nd}L/G$, on which water-soluble polymers, PEG 1l/g and polyrotaxane 2l/g, were immobilized, respectively, in loop (*L*) or graft mode (*G*), and

Controlled loop and graft formations on SAMs K Yamada et al



Figure 4 Plots of change in dissipation factor (ΔD) against shift in frequency (Δf) (red circle for $I^{2nd}L$, open red circle for $I^{2nd}G$, blue circle for $II^{2nd}G$, open blue circle for $II^{2nd}G$, and black square for III) measured by quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation monitoring (n=3).



Figure 5 (a) Total surface tensions (γ_s^{total}), the sums of dispersive (γ_s^d) and polar (γ_s^p) surface tensions (*n*=3) and (b) advancing (θ_a) and receding (θ_r) contact angles (*n*=3).



Figure 6 Amounts of human serum albumin adsorbed on substrates I^{2nd} , II^{2nd} and III, measured by surface plasmon resonance (n=3).



Figure 7 Amounts of human plasma fibrinogen (HPF) adsorbed on substrates I^{2nd} , II^{2nd} and III, measured by surface plasmon resonance (0.3 mg ml⁻¹, 15 µl min⁻¹, 5 min, *n*=3). HPF was adsorbed slightly above the present level under a more severe condition (0.3 mg ml⁻¹, 1 µl min⁻¹, 75 min, *n*=3, Supplementary Figure S3).

examined protein adsorption on these SAMs. The loop and graft formations on SAMs were successfully controlled by the two-step protocol and monitored by QCM. QCM-D measurement demonstrated surface softness or rigidity of the hydrated polymers on SAMs in water, showing the smallest value of $\Delta D/\Delta f$ for the polyrotaxane loop ($\mathbf{II}^{2nd}\mathbf{L}$) and the highest for the PEG graft ($\mathbf{I}^{2nd}\mathbf{G}$). In spite of these differences in polymer and/or structure on SAMs, the surface wettability of the SAMs is similar in terms of static and dynamic contact angles. From plasma protein adsorption tests, we found that the polyrotaxane loop $(\mathbf{H}^{2nd}\mathbf{L})$ exhibited a much better non-fouling property for the adsorption of HPF than the PEG loop and graft $(\mathbf{I}^{2nd}\mathbf{L} \text{ and } \mathbf{I}^{2nd}\mathbf{G})$, and is comparable to the reference SAM III, which is already known to be effective in eliminating protein adsorption. Although the details of the mechanism are still unclear, the loop formation of a polyrotaxane can be responsible for reducing fibrinogen adsorption related to platelet adhesion and activation, and may provide a possibility for designing biomaterials based on polyrotaxane loops.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Associate Professor Akio Ohta (Kanazawa University) and Dr Issey Osaka (JAIST) for their help in the characterization (EI, FAB, ESI and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry) of the new compounds. We also thank Professor Kazuhiko Ishihara and Dr Yuuki Inoue (The University of Tokyo) for supplying the Au-evaporated silicon wafers.

- Gorbet, M. B. & Sefton, M. V. Biomaterial-associated thrombosis: roles of coagulation factors, complement, platelets and leukocytes. *Biomaterials* 25, 5681–5703 (2004).
 Shoichet, M. S. Polymer scaffolds for biomaterials applications. *Macromolecules* 43,
- 581–591 (2010).
 Chen, H. C., Yuan, L., Song, W., Wu, Z. & Li, D. Biocompatible polymer materials: role
- of protein surface interactions. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 33, 1059–1087 (2008).
 Jeon, S. I., Lee, J. H., Andrade, J. D. & De Gennes, P. G. Protein-surface interactions in the presence of polyethylene oxide: I. Simplified theory. *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.* 142,
- 149–158 (1991).
 Jeon, S. I. & Andrade, J. D. Protein-surface interactions in the presence of polyethylene oxide: II. Effect of protein size. *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.* 142, 159–166 (1991).
- 6 Currie, E. P. K., Norde, W. & Cohen Stuart, M. A. Tethered polymer chains: surface chemistry and their impact on colloidal and surface properties. *Adv. Colloid Interf. Sci.* 100–102, 205–265 (2003).
- 7 Unsworth, L. D., Sheardown, H. & Brash, J. L. Protein resistance of surfaces prepared by sorption of end-thiolated poly(ethylene glycol) to gold: effect of surface chain density. *Langmuir* **21**, 1036–1041 (2005).
- 8 Zheng, J., Li, L., Tsao, H.- K., Sheng, Y.- J., Chen, S. & Jiang, S. Strong repulsive forces between protein and oligo(ethylene glycol) self-assembled monolayers: a molecular simulation study. *Biophys. J.* 89, 158–166 (2005).
- 9 Ishihara, K., Nomura, H., Mihara, T., Kurita, K., Iwasaki, Y. & Nakabayashi, N. Why do phospholipid polymers reduce protein adsorption? *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* **39**, 323–330 (1998).
- 10 Yamasaki, A., Imamura, Y., Kurita, K., Iwasaki, Y., Nakabayashi, N. & Ishihara, K. Surface mobility of polymers having phosphorylcholine groups connected with various bridging units and their protein adsorption-resistance properties. *Colloids Surf. B* 28, 53–62 (2003).
- 11 Kitano, H., Imai, M., Mori, T., Gemmei-Ide, M., Yokoyama, Y. & Ishihara, K. Structure of water in the vicinity of phospholipid analogue copolymers as studied by vibrational spectroscopy. *Langmuir* **19**, 10260–10266 (2003).
- 12 Feng, W., Zhu, S., Ishihara, K. & Brash, J. L. Adsorption of fibrinogen and lysozyme on silicon grafted with poly(2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine) via surfaceinitiated atom transfer radical polymerization. *Langmuir* 21, 5980–5987 (2005).
- 13 He, Y., Hower, J., Chen, S., Bernards, M. T., Chang, Y. & Jiang, S. Molecular simulation studies of protein interactions with zwitterionic phosphorylcholine

self-assembled monolayers in the presence of water. Langmuir $\mathbf{24,\ 10358-10364}$ (2008).

- 14 Prime, K. L. & Whitesides, G. M. Adsorption of proteins onto surfaces containing endattached oligo(ethylene oxide): a model system using self-assembled monolayers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 10714–10721 (1993).
- 15 Sigal, G. B., Mrksich, M. & Whitesides, G. M. Effect of surface wetability on the adsorption of proteins and detergents. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 3464–3473 (1998).
- 16 Benesch, J., Svedhem, S., Svensson, S. C. T., Valiokas, R., Liedberg, B. & Tengvall, P. Protein adsorption to oligo(ethyelene glycol) self-assembled monolayers: experiments with fibrinogen, heparinized plasma, and serum. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Edn.* 12, 581–597 (2001).
- 17 Lu, H. B., Campbell, C. T. & Castner, D. G. Attachment of functional poly(ethylene glycol) films to gold surfaces. *Langmuir* **16**, 1711–1718 (2000).
- 18 Yang, D. H., Katoono, R., Yamaguchi, J., Muira, Y. & Yui, N. Immobilization of polyrotaxane on a solid substrate as the design of dynamic surface. *Polym. J.* 41, 952–953 (2009).
- 19 Kim, Y.- H. & Gorman, C. B. Standing up versus looping over: controlling the geometry of self-assembled monolayers of α , ω -diynes on gold. *Langmuir* **27**, 6069–6075 (2011).
- 20 Patton, D., Knoll, W. & Advincula, R. C. Polymer loops vs brushes on surfaces: adsorption, kinetics, and viscoelastic behavior of α,ω-thiol telechelics on gold. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **212**, 485–497 (2011).
- 21 Ulman, A. Formation and structure of self-assembled monolayers. Chem. Rev. 96, 1533–1554 (1996).
- 22 Wang, W., Efrima, S. & Regev, O. Directing oleate stabilized nanosized silver colloids into organic phases. *Langmuir* 14, 602–610 (1998).
- 23 Buttry, D. A. & Ward, M. D. Measurement of interfacial processes at electrode surfaces with the electrochemical quartz crystal microbalance. *Chem. Rev.* 92, 1355–1379 (1992).
- 24 Rodahl, M., Höök, F., Krozer, A., Brzezinski, P. & Kasemo, B. Quartz crystal microbalance setup for frequency and Q-factor measurements in gaseous and liquid environments. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **66**, 3924–3930 (1995).
- 25 Owens, D. K. & Wendt, R. C. Estimation of the surface free energy of polymers. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 13, 1741–1747 (1969).
- 26 Stavenger, R. A. & Schreiber, S. L. Asymmetric catalysis in diversity-oriented organic synthesis: enantioselective synthesis of 4320 encoded and spatially segregated dihydropyrancarboxamides. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **40**, 3417–3421 (2001).
- 27 Anyaogu, K. C., Fedorov, A. V. & Neckers, D. C. Synthesis characterization, and antifouling potential of functionalized copper nanoparticles. *Langmuir* 24, 4340– 4346 (2008).
- 28 Voinova, M. V., Rodahl, M., Jonson, M. & Kasemo, B. Viscoelastic acoustic response of layered polymer films at fluid-solid interfaces: continuum mechanics approach. *Physica Scripta* **59**, 391–396 (1999).
- 29 Garbassi, F., Morra, M. & Occhiello, E. in *Polymer Surfaces: From Physics to Technology*, Ch. 4. (John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1996.
- 30 Horbett, T. A. & Brash, J. L. Proteins at Interfaces II: Fundamentals and Applications. (American Chemical Society: Washington DC, 1995).

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on Polymer Journal website (http://www.nature.com/pj)