Synthesis and Gas Permeation Properties of Star-like Poly(ethylene oxide)s Using Hyperbranched Polyimide as Central Core

Yan YIN, Liming YANG, Makoto YOSHINO, Jianhua FANG, Kazuhiro TANAKA, Hidetoshi KITA, and Ken-ichi OKAMOTO[†]

Department of Advanced Materials Science & Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Yamaguchi University, 2-16-1 Tokiwadai, Ube 755-8611, Japan

(Received September 22, 2003; Accepted January 26, 2004)

ABSTRACT: A series of star-like poly(ethylene oxide)s were synthesized using anhydride-terminated hyperbranched polyimides as the central cores and poly(ethylene oxide)s (PEOs) as the linear arms. Their physical and gas permeation properties were investigated in comparison with those of PEO segmented block copolyimides (PEO-PIs). The solubility of star-like PEOs was affected by the terminal groups. The amine-terminated star-like PEOs displayed better solubility property than the methoxy- and acetamido-terminated ones. The DSC and dynamic mechanical spectroscopy suggested the morphology of star-like PEOs was different from that of PEO-PIs. The thermo-mechanical property of amine-terminated star-like PEOs was significantly improved by cross-linking with ethylene glycol diglycidyl ether. The star-like PEO membranes were much more permeable to CO₂ than to N₂ and even to H₂. However, their CO₂ separation performance was slightly lower than that of PEO-PIs, probably due to the difference in the morphology. The AgBF₄-doped star-like PEO membrane with a loading of 40 wt% (corresponding to 67 wt% in PEO matrix) showed a very high ideal selectivity of C_2H_4/C_2H_6 of more than 100 with a low ethylene permeability of 1.5×10^{-10} cm³ (STP) cm⁻¹ s⁻¹ cm Hg⁻¹ in single-component permeation at 2 atm and 308 K. However, the permselectivity decreased down to 14 in mixed gas permeation. The permeation behavior was discussed based on the solubility and diffusivity.

KEY WORDS Star-like Polymer / Polyethylene Oxide / Membrane Separation / Polymer Electrolyte Membrane / Carbon Dioxide Separation / Ethylene/Ethane Separation /

Membrane-based gas separations have attracted much attention in the past decade because they offer significant advantages over traditional separation processes such as low energy consumption, low capital investment cost and simple operation. A large number of polymeric materials have been studied for this application. However, most of the materials developed so far are limited mainly to linear type polymers. For example, many studies have been devoted to the investigation of the relationship between chemical structure and gas permeation property of polyimides (PIs) in order to develop membranes with both high permeability and high selectivity.^{1–5} On the other hand, very few attempts have been made using nonlinear (multibranched or multiarmed) polymers as membrane materials for gas separations. Hyperbranched PIs can be simply prepared by direct "one-step" polymerization of tri-functional amines with common acid dianhydrides.⁶ Hyperbranched PIs have rather poor membrane-forming ability, but their tough membranes can be fabricated by crosslinking treatment during membrane casting using the terminal functional groups.⁷ Their gas permeation properties significantly depend on both the terminal

functional groups and the crosslinking.⁷

Poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) and PEO-containing polymers have been extensively studied for gas separations.^{8–15} Poly(ethylene-oxide imide) segmented block copolymers (PEO-PIs) have microphase-separated structures consisting of rubbery PEO domains and glassy PI domains and have excellent separation performance of polar/nonpolar gas pairs such as CO_2/N_2 and CO_2/H_2 . The high separation performance is due to the high solubility of CO₂ in PEO domains, and PI domains contribute to the mechanical properties and film-forming ability.8 Therefore, the separation performance is significantly affected by the morphology of the microphase-separated structure, which is also affected by hard-segment polymer structures.9 Furthermore, PEO and a poly(tetramethylene-oxide amide) segmented block copolymer (PTMO-PA12) have been used as materials for solid polymer electrolyte membranes to separate olefin/paraffin mixtures, because polyethers form polymer electrolyte complexes with a number of salts, including silver salts.^{16,17}

If the microphase-separated structure is favorable for gas separation, the multi-armed star-like polymers

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed (Tel: 0836-85-9660, Fax: 0836-85-9601, E-mail: okamotok@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp).



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of synthesis of anhydride-terminated hyperbranched polyimide (Core).

may also be suitable for gas separation applications. Multi-armed star-like polymers are three-dimensional macromolecules, in which a large number of linear arms of similar molecular weight emanate from a central core. Although many star-like polymers have been widely studied,¹⁸ there is no report on star-like polymers used for gas separation. The three-dimensional microphase-separated structure in star-like polymers may be quite different from that of linear segmented ones, and the intermolecular packing of star-like polymers may also be different from the intersegmental packing of linear polymer chains. Thus, star-like polymers might exhibit different gas permeation properties from linear ones and are expected to be a novel class of membrane materials for gas separations.

In this study, we report on synthesis of a series of star-like polymers consisting of hyperbranched PIs as cores and PEOs as arms and their physical and gas permeation properties.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Tris(4-aminophenyl)amine (TAPA) was synthesized by reducing tris(4-nitrophenyl)amine with hydrazine monohydrate in the presence of Pd/C (10 wt%).⁶ Poly(ethylene glycol)methyl ether (PEGME) with an average block length, *n*, of poly-(ethylene oxide) (PEO) of 113 (PEO1) and 45 (PEO2), and bis(3-aminopropyl)-polyethylene oxide (BAPEO) with *n* of 62 (PEO3) were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc. and used as received. 2,2-Bis(3,4-dicarboxyphenyl) hexafluoropropane dianhydride (6FDA) was purified by sublimation prior to use. *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMAc) was distilled under reduced pressure and dehydrated with 4 Å molecular sieves. Other materials were used as received.

Polymerization

Anhydride-terminated 6FDA-TAPA Hyperbranched Polyimide (Core). Anhydride-terminated 6FDA-TAPA hyperbranched PIs were prepared according to our previously reported method.⁶ The molar ratio of 6FDA/TAPA was controlled to be 2:1, 2.07:1 and 2.25:1 to give three kinds of anhydride terminated hyperbranched PIs, which were used as central cores. The schematic diagram of the synthesis of the cores is shown in Figure 1. To obtain core I, the synthesis procedure is as follows. 1.5 g (3.38 mmol) of 6FDA was dissolved in 30 mL of DMAc in a 200 mL thoroughly dried four-neck flask under nitrogen flow. To this solution was added dropwise 0.435 g (1.50 mmol) of TAPA in 15 mL of DMAc through a syringe over a period of 6 h. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 3h. Then a mixture solution of 3.0g of triethylamine and 9.0 g of acetic anhydride was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h. The resulting mixture was poured into diethyl ether, the precipitate was dried in vacuo. The elementary analysis for core I (6FDA/TAPA = 2.25:1, C₂₄₃H₁₀₂N₁₆O₄₂F₅₄): Calcd: C, 59.02; H, 2.06; N, 4.53. Found: 58.49; H, 2.04; N, 4.56. For core II (6FDA/TAPA = 2.07:1): Calcd: C, 59.54; H, 2.11; N, 4.83. Found: 59.55; H, 2.13; N, 4.37.

Methoxy-terminated Star-like PEO. The schematic diagram of synthesis of star-like PEOs (abbreviated to SPEOs here after) with different arms is shown in Figure 2. To a 100 mL thoroughly dried four-neck flask equipped with a Dean-Stark trap were charged 1.0 g of hyperbranched PI (Core I: 6FDA/TAPA =



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of synthesis of star-like PEO.

2.25:1, Anhydride: 1.16 mmol) in 20 mL of DMAc, together with 12 mL of *m*-xylene, with stirring under nitrogen flow. The solution was heated to 433 K for 30 min and then cooled to room temperature. To this solution, 7.0 g (1.4 mmol) of PEGME (PEO1, $M_{\rm n} = 5000$) was added and the mixture was heated to 403–423 K for 48 h. The resulting solution was poured into hexane (Hx) and the precipitate was dried in vacuo. The crude product was purified by extracting the unreacted PEGME with a mixture of benzene (Bz) and Hx (volume ratio of Bz/Hx = 2.5:1). The PEO content in SPEO was determined from the integral intensity ratio of the signals at 7.1-8.3 ppm (aromatic proton) over the signals at 3.4-3.6 ppm ¹HNMR 1 HNMR $(-OCH_2CH_2-)$ in spectra. (DMSO-*d*₆), δ (ppm): 7.1–8.3 (6H, ArH), 3.4–3.6 (4H, PEO). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2871, 1782, 1720, 1509, 1343, 1107, 723. Elementary analysis for starlike polymer (SPEO-I-1 in Table I), Calcd: C, 55.45; H, 7.56; N, 1.07. Found: C, 55.46; H, 6.76; N. 1.75.

Amine-terminated Star-like PEO. To a 100 mL thoroughly dried four-neck flask were charged 0.75 g (0.38 mmol) of BAPEO (PEO-1, $M_n = 2840$) and 7.5 mL of DMAc under nitrogen flow. Then 0.31 g of hyperbranched PI (anhydride: 0.3 mmol) (Core II: 6FDA/TAPA: 2.07:1) in 6 mL of DMAc was added dropwise through a syringe over 3 h. The reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 3 h. Then 10 mL of *m*-xylene was added, followed by thermal imidization at 433 K for 5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into diethyl ether (Et₂O), and the precipitate was washed with Et₂O and dried *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by extracting the unreacted BAPEO with

the mixture of Et₂O/MeOH (volume ratio = 5:1) and dried *in vacuo* at room temperature. The PEO content was determined by the method mentioned above. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆), δ (ppm): 6.5–8.5 (6H, ArH), 3.4–3.6 (4H, PEO). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2871, 1784, 1720, 1510, 1349, 1105, 725. Anal. calcd for SPEO (PEO content: 56%): C, 55.95; H, 6.43; N, 2.77. Found: C, 55.59; H, 7.37; N, 2.26.

Acetamido-terminated Star-like PEO. To the solution of polyamic precursor, which was prepared by the same procedures as described for the amine-terminated SPEO, a mixture of 1.0 g of triethylamine and 3.0 g of acetic anhydride was added at room temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h and then at 333 K for 10 h. The mixture was poured into Et₂O and the precipitate was washed with Et₂O and dried *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by extracting the unreacted BAPEO with the mixture of Et₂O/MeOH (volume ratio = 5:1) and dried *in vacuo* at room temperature.

Membrane Preparation

Membranes were prepared by solution casting method. In the case of methoxy-terminated SPEOs, membranes were prepared by casting their 10 wt% DMAc solutions onto Teflon dishes, followed by drying in oven and *vacuo* at 353 K for 10 h, respectively. Amine-terminated SPEOs were dissolved in methanol to get clear solutions, ethylene glycol diglycidyl ether (EGDE) in methanol was added to the SPEO solutions (the molar ratio between amine group in SPEO and epoxy group in EGDE was controlled to be 2.5:1). The solutions were directly cast onto porous hydrophilic substrates (Millipore: porosity, 70%; pore size, 0.22 µm; thickness, 113 µm) to give undoped SPEO

Dolumor ^a	PEO	Reaction	M b	M / M	Viscosity ^c	$T_{\rm g}$	$T_{\rm m}$;	Solubility	1	
Folymei	(wt%)	degree (%)	<i>w</i> _n	$M_{\rm W}/M_{\rm n}$	(dL/g)	(K)	(K)	DMAc	THF	MeOH	CH_2Cl_2	Tol
Core I			1060	1.6	0.37	569		+	+	_	_	_
Core II			1420	2.2	0.70	585		+	+	_	_	-
Core III			8400	18	1.62	593		+	_	_	_	-
PEGME (PEO1)			5000		0.17	209	323	+	+	+	+	+
PEGME (PEO2)			2000		0.06	209	315	+	+	+	+	+
BAPEO (PEO3)			2840	2.2	0.07	207	316	+	+	+	+	+
SPEO-I-1-ME	78	59	NM	NM	0.66	221	296	+	_	_	_	_
SPEO-II-2-ME	47	45	11000	7.5	0.77	233	ND	+	_	_	_	_
SPEO-III-1-ME	58	44	20000	24	1.12	223	ND	+	_	_	_	_
SPEO-II-3-AM-1	56	64	4600	7.8	0.50	222	298	+	+	+	+	+
SPEO-II-3-AM-2	60	69	4700	10.5	0.49	222	298	+	+	+	+	+
SPEO-II-3-AC	55	64	NM	NM	0.65	231	299	+	+-	+-	+-	+-

Table I. Molecular Weight and Physical Properties of The Core Materials, PEO Oligomers, and Star-like PEOs

^aMolar ratio of 6FDA to TAPA in core: 2.25/1(core I), 2.07/1(core II), 2.0/1(core III); In abbriviation of the star-like PEOs, *e.g.* SPEO-I-1-ME, "I, II, III" refer to core number, "1, 2, 3" refer to PEO number, -ME refers to methoxy-terminated SPEO, -AM refers to amine-terminated SPEO, -AC refers to acetamido-terminated SPEO. ^bGPC by NMP or THF. ^c308 K in DMAc in 0.5 wt%. ^dKey: +: soluble; +-: partially soluble; -: insoluble; NM: not measured; ND: not detectable.



Figure 3. Schematic diagram of preparation of metal ion-doped star-like PEO.

composite membranes. To obtain metal ion-doped composite membranes, the methanol solutions of an amine-terminated SPEO mixed with metal salts such as AgBF₄, KF, LiClO₄ and $(CH_3)_4NF$ were cast onto the porous hydrophilic substrates (see Figure 3). The concentration of the SPEO solution was controlled to be 15–20 wt%. The membranes were first maintained at room temperature for 2 h and then 333 K for 10 h. Then the membranes were dried *in vacuo* at 333 K for 5 h.

Measurements

Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Horiba FT-200 spectrometer as KBr pellets. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL EX270 (270 MHz) instrument. Inherent viscosity was measured in DMAc solution at a concentration of 0.5 wt% at 308 K with an Ubbelodhe viscometer. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was performed with a DSC-5200 by SEI-KO Co. at a heating rate of 5 K/min. Glass transition temperature (T_g) and melting temperature (T_m) were determined from the onset points of the signals on the first heating run. Dynamic mechanical spectroscopy was performed with a mechanical spectroscopy was performed with a mechanical spectrometer (itk DVA-200) at 10 Hz and a peak strain amplitude of 0.15%. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) was performed with HLC-8020 apparatus (Column: Shodex KD-80M) and LC-10AS apparatus (Column: HSG-10), respectively. NMP and THF were used as eluants at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, respectively. Polymer solutions containing 0.05 M of LiCl and 0.05 M of phosphoric acid were filtered through a $0.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ PTFE filter prior to injecting into the column. Molecular weights were calculated against PEO or polystyrene standards, respectively.

Permeability coefficient *P* (in Barrer, 1 Barrer = 1×10^{-10} cm³ (STP) cm⁻¹ s⁻¹ cm Hg⁻¹) was measured by means of a vacuum time-lag method. The ideal selectivity is calculated from the permeability ratio of the gas pair as shown in Eq 1:

$$\alpha_{i,A/B} = P_A/P_B \tag{1}$$

where P_A and P_B refer to the permeability coefficients of pure gases A and B, respectively. The diffusion coefficient D is calculated according to Eq 2:

$$D = L^2/6\theta \tag{2}$$

where *L* is the thickness of the membrane and θ is the time-lag. Apparent solubility coefficient, *S*, is calculated from S = P/D.

In the case of mixed gases, separation factor α defined by Eq 3 is used instead of the ideal selectivity.

$$\alpha = [y(1-x)]/[x(1-y)]$$
(3)

where *x* and *y* are molar fraction of gases in feed and permeate determined by gas chromatography, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Characterization of Core and Star-like PEO

We have previously reported the synthesis of two kinds of hyperbranched PIs from 6FDA and TAPA by controlling the addition order and the molar ratio of monomers.⁶ The schematic diagram of the synthesis of anhydride-terminated hyperbranched PIs is shown in Figure 1. Three types of cores with different molecular sizes were prepared by controlling the molar ratios of 6FDA over TAPA. The SPEOs were synthesized from these cores and PEO arms with the different block lengths and terminal groups (as shown in Figure 2). The mole of PEO arm was controlled to be excess over the mole of anhydride in core. The PEO content in the corresponding SPEO was determined from the ¹HNMR spectrum. The reaction degree was calculated from the ratio of actual and ideal PEO contents in the SPEO. The typical results are listed in Table I. The PEO content and the reaction degree depended on the size of core. The reaction degree was generally low for the methoxy-terminated SPEOs and slightly higher for the amine-terminated ones. An increase in the feed ratio of PEGME or BAPEO with a prolonged reaction time hardly enhanced the reaction degree. The larger core gave the lower reaction degree, suggesting the anhydride groups in inner side of the larger core were less reactive probably because of steric hindrance.

The physical properties of various cores and SPEOs are also summarized in Table I. The SPEOs were readily soluble in aprotic solvents such as DMAC. The amine-terminated SPEOs were soluble even in toluene and methanol, indicating excellent solubility. This suggests that the crosslinking reaction of BAPEO between PI cores was substantially negligible. The acetamido-terminated SPEO (SPEO-II-3-AC) did not show such good solubility, although it had the same structure as the amine-terminated SPEO (SPEO-II-3-AM) except for the terminal groups. The methoxy-terminated SPEOs (SPE-I-ME, -II-2-ME, -III-1-ME) were not soluble in THF, methanol and toluene, in which PEOs were soluble. These indicate the terminal groups have a large effect on solubility of SPEOs.

The SPEOs showed only the lower glass transition temperature (T_g) corresponding to the PEO arms, but not the higher one corresponding to the hyperbranched PI cores, whereas the PEO-PIs showed both T_g s. Furthermore, the thermo-mechanical property of the SPEOs was different from that of the linear PEO-PIs. As shown in Figure 4, the dynamic modulus E' of SPEO-II-3-AM (PEO: 56 wt%) decreased drastically down to 1×10^7 Pa around T_m of the PEO segment (298 K), whereas the E' of the linear PEO-PI (PEO: 52 wt%) was maintained at a much larger level of 3×10^8 Pa at 323 K and decreased down to $1 \times$ 10^7 Pa around T_g for the PI segment (521 K).⁸ These results indicate a clear difference in the morphology



Figure 4. Dynamic mechanical spectroscopy of star-like PEO polymers.

between the SPEOs and PEO-PIs. In the case of SPEOs, the PI cores are isolated in the PEO arm matrix and can not aggregate each other to form the PI domains. On the other hand, PEO-PIs have the microphase-separated structure composed of PEO domains and PI domains.⁸

The $T_{\rm g}$ s of the SPEOs were higher by 15–20 K than those of the corresponding PEO arms. They were a little higher than the T_{gs} of the linear PEO-PIs with similar PEO block length⁸ and similar to those of the PEO-segmented block copolyamides (PEO-PAs),⁹ indicating a difference in the segmental mobility of PEO between SPEOs and PEO-PIs. In the case of PEO-segmented block copolymers, the increase in $T_{\rm g}$ of PEO component depended on the hard segment content in the PEO domain, a measure of the incompleteness of microphase separation, and was in the order of polyurethane > polyamide \gg polyimide.⁹ In the case of SPEOs, the PI cores are isolated in the PEO arm matrix and can not aggregate each other to form PI domains. Therefore, the SPEOs are likely to have a larger content of boundary layers, or intermediate phases containing PI and PEO segments, than the PEO-PIs. Another example that polymer physical properties were significantly affected by the intermediate phases was reported in literature.¹⁰

The thermo-mechanical property of SPEOs was significantly improved by cross-linking treatment. EGDE, a difunctional compound having two epoxy groups per molecule, was used to react with amineterminated SPEOs (see Figure 3). After the cross-linking, the films were insoluble in any solvents and maintained a much higher E' value of 1×10^8 Pa at 373 K.

For gas permeation experiments, composite membranes of amine-terminated SPEO on porous hydrophilic substrate were prepared and the cross-linking reaction was conducted during the membrane casting process. For metal ion-doped SPEOs, the metal salts and EGDE were added into polymer solutions at the same time and then cast into membranes (see Figure 3).

Gas Permeation Properties of Star-like PEO Membranes

 CO_2/N_2 and CO_2/H_2 Permeation Properties The permeability coefficient P and the ideal selectivity α_i of CO_2/N_2 and CO_2/H_2 for SPEO membranes are listed in Table II together with the data for the related polymers cited from references.^{7,8,13,15} The P and α_i were independent of feed pressure up to 5 atm, as in the case of PEO-segmented block copolymers.^{8,9} The SPEO membranes were much more permeable to CO_2 than to N_2 and even to H_2 , which is characteristic of PEO-based membranes. This is attributed to both the high solubility of CO_2 due to the high affinity of CO₂ to polar PEO matrix and the less size-dependent diffusivity of penetrant in rubbery PEO matrix.⁸ However, the SPEOs were a little inferior to the PEO-PIs in the separation performance. The P_{CO_2} values were about two times smaller for the SPEOs than

Membrane (PEO wt%) ^a	Dopant	Pressure	Perm	eability [Ba	arrer]	Permeabili	ity ratio	Ref
Memorane (TEO wt/6)	(wt%)	(atm)	CO_2	N_2	H_2	$\mathrm{CO}_2/\mathrm{N}_2$	$\mathrm{CO}_2/\mathrm{H}_2$	Rei.
SPEO-III-1-ME (58)		2	55	1.1	9.1	50	6.0	This
SPEO-II-3-AM (60)		5	40	0.71	6.0	55	6.5	This
	$AgBF_4(30)$	2	5.8	0.12	_	49		This
		5	6.1	0.12	_	50	_	This
	$(CH_3)_4 NF(15)$	2	52	1.2	10.6	45	4.9	This
	(CH ₃) ₄ NF(23)	2	35	2.5	15	14	2.4	This
	KF(15)	2	36	0.89	8.1	41	4.4	This
	$LiClO_4(15)$	5	5.0	0.13	1.6	47	3.2	This
PEO-PI								
BPDA-ODA/DABA/PEO3' (58)	—	2	93	1.84	_	50	_	8
6FDA-ODA/PEO3' (51)		2	26	0.52	_	50	_	8
PMDA/mPD/PEO3' (56)		1	99	2.0	10.8	50	9.2	8
Branched polyether								
P(EO/EM/AGE) (85)	—	1	770	16.7	78	46	9.9	13
Hyperbranched PI								
6FDA-TAPA		1	65	2.2	86	30	0.76	7
PEO ^b		6.8	12	_	1.3	_	9.3	15
PEO ^b	(CH ₃) ₄ NF(22)	6.8	19	_	1.46	_	13	15

Table II. CO₂, N₂ and H₂ Permeation Properties of Star-like PEO and Other Polymer Membranes at 308 K

^aBPDA: 3,3',4,4'-biphenyltetracarboxylic dianhydride, ODA: 4,4'-diaminodiphenyl ether, DABA: 3,5-diaminobenzoic acid, PMDA: pyromellitic dianhydride, mPD: 1,3-phenylenediamine, PEO3': BAPEO (n = 52), EO: ethylene oxide, EM: 2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethyl glycidyl ether, AGE: allylglycidyl ether. ^bMeasured at 298 K.

for the PEO-PIs with the similar PEO content, and the α_i values of CO₂/H₂ were 30% smaller for the former, although those of CO₂/N₂ were similar between them. This is attributed probably to some difference in the morphology. In the case of SPEOs, the PI cores are isolated in the PEO arm matrix and can not aggregate together to form PI domains. Therefore, the SPEOs are likely to have a larger content of intermediate phases containing PI and PEO segments than the PEO-PIs, resulting in the lower P_{CO_2} and the lower selectivity.

Recently, self-standing and crosslinked elastomer membranes were prepared from PEO-based branched polyethers (P(EO/EM/AGE)) which were in amorphous state in spite of high molecular weight. They displayed extremely high P_{CO_2} values ranging from 500 to 800 Barrer and reasonably high $\alpha_{i,CO_2/H_2}$ values of about 10 at 308 K.13 On the other hand, a PEO membrane with a high molecular weight displayed very low P_{CO_2} values ranging from 12 to 17 Barrer and reasonably high $\alpha_{i,CO_2/H_2}$ values ranging from 7.4 to 9.9 depending on the feed pressure at 308 K, probably because of high degree of crystallinity.¹⁵ Interestingly, it was found that the addition of 22 wt% of (CH₃)₄NF into the PEO membrane enhanced both $P_{\rm CO_2}$ and $\alpha_{\rm i,CO_2/H_2}$, as listed in Table II.¹⁵ Therefore, in this study, the effect of dopants on P_{CO_2} and $\alpha_{i,CO_2/H_2}$ for the SPEO membranes was also investigated. The results are listed in Table II. The doping of 15 wt% (corresponding to 25 wt% in PEO matrix) of $(CH_3)_4NF$ increased P_{CO_2} by 30%, but decreased $\alpha_{i,CO_2/H_2}$ by 25%. The further doping of (CH₃)₄NF decreased both $P_{\rm CO_2}$ and $\alpha_{\rm i,CO_2/H_2}$. Other dopants such as LiClO₄ also decreased both $P_{\rm CO_2}$ and $\alpha_{\rm i,CO_2/H_2}$.

C_2H_4/C_2H_6 Permeation Properties

As for ethylene and ethane, the time-lag θ was large enough to be measured accurately. Apparent diffusion and solubility coefficients, *D* and *S* were evaluated. Table III lists the *P*, *D*, *S* values and their ratios of C_2H_4/C_2H_6 for a SPEO membrane and AgBF₄-doped SPEO membranes, together with the *P* data for the related doped membranes.^{14,16} For the SPEO membrane, the *P* was independent of feed pressure. On the other hand, for the AgBF₄-doped SPEO membranes, the $P_{C_2H_4}$ increased by 70–80% with increasing the feed pressure up to 10 atm, whereas the $P_{C_2H_6}$ hardly changed, resulting in an increase in $\alpha_{i,C_2H_4/C_2H_6}$.

The undoped SPEO membrane showed low separation performance for C₂H₄/C₂H₆, namely, a relatively low $P_{C_2H_4}$ of 7 Barrer and a low $\alpha_{i,C_2H_4/C_2H_6}$ of 1.8 at 308 K. The doping of AgBF₄ led to a decrease in $P_{C_2H_4}$ but an increase in $\alpha_{i,C_2H_4/C_2H_6}$. The doping of 30 wt% (corresponding to 50 wt% in PEO matrix) of AgBF₄ caused a significant decrease in $P_{C_2H_4}$ by a fac-

		Tabl	e III. Ethy	vlene and etha	me permeation p	roperties o	f star-like	PEO membranes	at 308 K			
Membrane	Dopant	Pressure		P [Barrer]			$D [10^{-8} \text{ cr}$	$n^2 s^{-1}$]	S [10	$^{-2} \text{ cm}^3$ (STP)	$\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\mathrm{cm}\mathrm{Hg}^{-1}$]	Daf
(PEO wt%)	(wt%)	(atm)	C_2H_4	C_2H_6	C_2H_4/C_2H_6	C_2H_4	C_2H_6	C_2H_4/C_2H_6	C_2H_4	C_2H_6	C_2H_4/C_2H_6	- INCI.
SPEO-II-3-AM (60)		5	7.0	3.9	1.8	12.6	9.0	1.4	0.56	0.41	1.36	This
	AgBF ₄ (30)	2	0.78	0.094	8.3							This
		10	1.38	0.093	14.8	2.5	1.7	1.5	0.56	0.05	10.2	This
	$AgBF_4$ (40)	2	1.46	< 0.01	>100	1.0			1.4			This
		2	1.65^{a}	0.116^{a}	14^{a}							This
PTMO-PA12	AgBF ₄ (80)	3.4	12GPU ^b		$16^{\rm b}$							16
PEO	$AgBF_4$ (80)	3.4	$8 \mathrm{GPU}^\mathrm{b}$		240^{b}							14
^a Mixed gas permeat	ion. ^b Mixed gas	permeation at	295 K, 1 GP	$U = 10^{-6} \text{cm}$	³ (STP) $cm^{-2} s^{-1}$	cm Hg ⁻¹ .						

tor of 80%. This was attributed only to a decrease in $D_{C_{2}H_{4}}$ and $S_{C_{2}H_{4}}$ hardly changed. On the other hand, $P_{C_2H_6}$ decreased by a much larger factor of 98% due to both significant decreases in $D_{C_2H_6}$ and $S_{C_2H_6}$. The doping with silver salt decreased $S_{C_{2}H_{6}}$ by a factor of 8 and increased the solubility selectivity by the same factor, resulting in an increase in the $\alpha_{i,C_2H_4/C_2H_6}$ up to 15. Raising the AgBF₄ loading from 30 wt% to 40 wt% (corresponding to 67 wt% in PEO matrix) slightly increased $P_{C_2H_4}$, but significantly decreased $P_{C_2H_6}$ below the measurement limit. The slight increase in $P_{C_2H_4}$ was due to a large increase in $S_{C_2H_4}$, which more than compensated for a large decrease in $D_{C_2H_4}$. The π -bonding interaction between Ag⁺ and ethylene molecule enhances the ethylene solubility. The silver salt dissolves into the PEO matrix due to the interaction between Ag^+ and ether oxygen. It is reasonably considered that the doping effect resulted in denser packing of PEO matrix and significantly reduced segmental mobility of PEO. This is the reason for the large decreases in $S_{C_2H_6}$ as well as for the large decreases in D. The significant reduction in the ethane solubility with the doping of AgBF₄ is a kind of the "salting-out effect" of the silver salt, and has been also observed for AgBF₄-doped PTMO-PA12 membranes.¹⁷ With the loading of 50 wt%, pinhole-free membranes could not be fabricated because of the deposition of the dopant. This means that the PEO matrix of the SPEOs is inferior to PEO homopolymer and PTMO-PA12 in dissolving capacity to AgBF₄.

For the SPEO membrane doped with 40 wt% AgBF₄, the mixed gas permeation experiments were carried out using a mixed gas of C_2H_4/C_2H_6 (v/v 50/50). The results are listed in Table III. The $P_{C_2H_4}$ was 1.65 Barrer and the selectivity of C_2H_4/C_2H_6 was 14 at 2 atm and 308 K. Compared with the single component permeation, the mixed gas permeation gave the much lower permselectivity. This is because the ethane permeability significantly increased with the coexisting ethylene. As mentioned above, the enhancement in the ideal selectivity of C_2H_4/C_2H_6 was due mainly to the drastic reduction in the ethane solubility. It is likely that "the salting-out effect" of AgBF₄ is weakened with the bonding of ethylene molecules with Ag⁺ ions. The much lower permselectivity of olefin over paraffin in the mixed gas permeation compared with the ideal selectivity has been commonly observed for this type of solid polymer electrolyte membrane.^{14,19}

CONCLUSIONS

1. Star-like polymers were synthesized from anhydride-terminated hyperbranched PI cores and PEO arms. The amine-terminated SPEOs displayed better solubility property than the methoxy- and acetamido-terminated ones. The terminal groups had a large effect on solubility of the SPEOs.

- 2. The star-like PEOs showed only the lower T_{g} s of which the values were higher by 15–20 K than those of the corresponding PEO arms and showed a drastic decrease in the E' around T_{m} of the PEO arms (298 K), suggesting the morphology that each PI core is isolated in the PEO arm matrix with a larger content of intermediate phases.
- 3. The thermo-mechanical property of amine-terminated SPEOs was significantly improved by cross-linking with EGDE.
- 4. The star-like PEO membranes were much more permeable to CO₂ than to N₂ and even to H₂, which is characteristic of PEO-based membranes. However, they were slightly inferior to the PEO-PIs in the separation performance, probably due to the difference in the morphology.
- 5. The AgBF₄-doped star-like PEO membrane with a loading of 40 wt% (corresponding to 67 wt% in PEO matrix) showed a very high ideal selectivity of C_2H_4/C_2H_6 of more than 100 with a low $P_{C_2H_4}$ of 1.5 Barrer in single-component permeation at 2 atm and 308 K, which was due mainly to the drastic reduction in the ethane solubility with the doping, or a kind of the "salting-out effect" of the silver salt. However, the permselectivity decreased down to 14 in mixed gas permeation due to a significant increase in $P_{C_2H_6}$, because "the salting-out effect" of AgBF₄ was likely weakened with the bonding of ethylene molecules with Ag⁺ ions.

REFERENCES

- W. J. Koros and G. K. Fleming, J. Membrane Sci., 83, 1 (1993).
- 2. S. A. Stern, J. Membrane Sci., 94, 1 (1994).
- K. Tanaka, O. Osada, H. Kita, and K. Okamoto, J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys., 33, 1907 (1995).
- K. Tanaka, A. Taguchi, J. Hao, H. Kita, and K. Okamoto, J. Membrane Sci., 121, 197 (1996).
- R. L. Burns and W. J. Koros, J. Membrane Sci., 211, 299 (2003).
- J. Fang, H. Kita, and K. Okamoto, *Macromolecules*, 33, 4639 (2000).
- J. Fang, H. Kita, and K. Okamoto, J. Membrane Sci., 182, 245 (2001).
- K. Okamoto, M. Fujii, S. Okamyo, H. Suzuki, K. Tanaka, and H. Kita, *Macromolecules*, 28, 6950 (1995).
- M. Yoshino, K. Ito, H. Kita, and K. Okamoto, J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys., 38, 1707 (2000).
- 10. J. Fang, K. Tanaka, H. Kita, K. Okamoto, and Y. Ito,

J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys., 38, 1123 (2000).

- H. Suzuki, K. Tanaka, H. Kita, K. Okamoto, H. Hoshino, T. Yoshinaga, and Y. Kusuki, *J. Membrane Sci.*, 146, 31 (1998).
- 12. Y. Hirayama, N. Tanihara, Y. Kusuki, Y. Kase, K. Haraya, and K. Okamoto, *J. Membrane Sci.*, **163**, 373 (1999).
- 13. M. Yoshino, H. Kita, K. Okamoto, M. Tabuchi, and T. Sakai, *Trans. Mater. Res. Soc. Jpn.*, **27**, 419 (2002).
- 14. I. Pinnau and L. G. Toy, J. Membrane Sci., 184, 39 (2001).
- 15. H. Lin, X. Jiang, N. P. Patel, R. J. Spontak, and B. D.

Freeman, Polym. Mater. Sci. Eng., 85, 146 (2001).

- 16. A. Morisato, Z. He, I. Pinau, and T. Merkel, *Polym. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, **85**, 96 (2001).
- T. C. Merkel, Z. He, A. Morisato, and I. Pinau, *Chem. Commun.*, **13**, 1596 (2003).
- A. Heise, C. Nguyen, R. Malek, J. L. Hedrick, C. W. Frank, and R. D. Miller, *Macromolecules*, 33, 2346 (2000).
- S. Hong, J. Y. Kim, and Y. S. Kang, *Polym. Adv. Technol.*, 12, 177 (2001).