NOTES

Surface Induced Compatibilization of Immiscible Poly(styrene-coacrylonitrile) and Polycarbonate Blend by Short Kevlar Fiber

Minekazu Kodama

Materials & Electronic Devices Laboratory, Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, 1–1, Tsukaguchi-Honmachi 8-chome, Amagasaki 661, Japan

(Received May 25, 1992)

KEY WORDS Compatibility / Blend / Poly(styrene-co-acrylonitrile) / Polycarbonate / Kevlar Fiber / Mechanical Dispersion /

It has been indicated from the theoretical studies that compatibility of an immiscible polymer blend increases at the filler surface where each polymer interacts with the surface independently.¹ An immiscible nylon 6 and polypropylene blend has been shown to improve physical properties towards surface-induced compatibilization by mixing with the surface treated glass bead, which interacts with both components of the blend independently.²

We reported in a previous paper the apparent increase of compatibility of immiscible poly-(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and poly-(hydroxypropyl ether of bisphenol A) (PHPE) blend from the coalescence of dynamic mechanical dispersions arising from each polymer by reinforcing with Kevlar fiber.³ The occurrence of interaction of each polymer with Kevlar fiber was also shown from the infra-red spectrum.⁴ As the temperature interval between the main dispersions of PMMA and PHPE is, however, narrow (*ca.* 20°C), the level of coalescence of the two dispersions is apt to be overestimated from the mechanical dispersion curve.

In this note the effect of adding short Kevlar fiber on the compatibility of immiscible poly(styrene-co-acrylonitrile) (SAN) and polycarbonate (PC) blend is studied from the dynamic mechanical properties and differential scanning calorimetry. In some cases, partial miscibility of one polymer in the other is shown to arise trom the observation of shifts in glass transition temperature of the two phases for melt-mixed SAN and PC blend.⁵ However, the blend used in this experiment may be said to be immiscible from the behaviors of glass transition of component polymers and blend as shown below and reported elsewhere,⁶ and the temperature interval between the main dispersions of two polymers is relatively large (*ca.* 40°C). Short carbon fiber is also used by comparing with Kevlar fiber as a fiber with different surface functionality.

SAN used here was Sanrex-C (acrylonitrile content, 24–26%; M_w , 200000) supplied by Mitsubishi Kasei Company Monsanto Kasei Company. PC used here was Panlite K-125 $(M_w, 33000)$ supplied by Teijin Chemical Ltd. Kevlar fiber (Kevlar 49) was obtained from du Pont de Nemours Co., Inc. and used as a cut fiber (ca. 0.5 mm in length and $12 \,\mu$ m in diameter). Carbon fiber (M-40) was obtained from Toray Co. and used as a cut fiber (ca. 0.5 mm in length and $7 \mu m$ in diameter). The sizing or surface treating agent of fibers was removed by washing in large amount of acetone. SAN/PC mixture at a 1:1 ratio by weight was dissolved in tetrahydrofuran to form a 5% solution and Kevlar fiber or carbon



Figure 1. E', E'', and $\tan \delta vs$. temperature curves of non-reinforced blend (---) and reinforced blend with Kevlar fiber at a volume fraction of 0.09 (--).



As the examples the storage modulus E', loss modulus E'' and loss tangent $\tan \delta vs$. temperature curves at 11 Hz are shown in Figures 1 and 2 for the blend containing Kevlar fiber at a volume fraction of 0.09 and for the blend containing carbon fiber at a volume



Figure 2. E', E'', and $\tan \delta vs$. temperature curves of non-reinforced blend (---) and reinforced blend with carbon fiber at a volume fraction of 0.08 (---).

fraction of 0.08, respectively, together with the non-reinforced blend. The features of phase separated blend can clearly be seen on E', E'', and $\tan \delta$ curves of non-reinforced blend. By reinforcing with Kevlar fiber, the low temperature dispersion shifts to the high temperature side and the high temperature dispersion shifts to the low temperature side. The similar behaviors can be observed for the reinforced blend with Kevlar fiber at a volume fraction of 0.18. By reinforcing with the carbon fiber, the low tempeture dispersion shifts slightly to the high temperature side and the high temperature dispersion hardly changes its dispersion temperature. The similar behaviors can be observed for the reinforced blend with carbon fiber at a volume fraction of 0.15.

The peak temperature of the low temperature dispersion (T_{g_1}) , and that of the high temperature dispersion (T_{g_2}) estimated from tan δ curve are shown in Table I. The peak temperatures of tan δ curve of SAN (T_{g_1}) , reinforced SAN with Kevlar fiber or carbon fiber (T_{g_1}) , PC (T_{g_2}) and reinforced PC with

Surface Induced Compatibilization

Table I. Peak temperature of $\tan \delta$ curve (°C)

	T_{g_1}	T_{g_2}	$T_{g_2} - T_{g_1}$
Non-reinforced blend	132	169	37
Reinforced blend with Kevlar fiber $(V_f^a, 0.09)$	137	163	26
Reinforced blend with Kevlar fiber $(V_f, 0.18)$	138	165	27
Reinforced blend with carbon fiber $(V_{\rm f}, 0.08)$	134	169	35
Reinforced blend with carbon fiber $(V_{\rm f}, 0.15)$	134	168	34
SAN	128		
Reinforced SAN with Kevlar fiber $(V_f, 0.07)$	134		
Reinforced SAN with carbon fiber $(V_{\rm f}, 0.08)$	137		
PC		169	
Reinforced PC with Kevlar fiber ($V_{\rm f}$, 0.07)		172	
Reinforced PC with carbon fiber $(V_{\rm f}, 0.08)$		169	

^a Volume fraction of fiber.

Kevlar or carbon fiber (T_{g_2}) are also included in Table I. For the reinforced blend with Kevlar fiber, T_{g_1} increases and T_{g_2} decreases as compared with the non-reinforced blend. For the reinforced blend with carbon fiber, T_{g_1} increases slightly and T_{g_2} hardly change as compared with the non-reinforced blend. (The effect of increasing the fiber content can not be observed definitely. This is probably because of the coagulation of fibers in matrix on account of the difficulty of uniform dispersion with incease of fiber content.) T_{g_1} of SAN increases considerably by reinforcing with Kevlar and carbon fibers. This means the occurrence of the interaction between SAN and the fibers. T_{g_2} of PC also increases by reinforcing with Kevlar fiber at somewhat smaller degrees of the increment compared with the case of SAN. T_{g_2} of PC, however, does not change by reinforcing with carbon fiber. This means that the interaction hardly arise between the carbon fiber and PC.

The shift of both T_{g} s toward each other is an indication of compatibilization of immiscible blend.^{2,7} Therefore, SAN/PC blend can be said to be partially compatibilized by Kevlar fiber. The carbon fiber can not be said to compatibilize SAN/PC blend. These differences can be ascribed to the differences of interaction between the polymers and fibers mentioned above.



	$\Delta E/\mathrm{kcal}\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$	
	T_{g_1}	T_{g_2}
Non-reinforced blend	103	151
Reinforced blend with Kevlar fiber $(V_t, 0.09)$	126	174
Reinforced blend with carbon fiber $(V_{\rm f}, 0.15)$	129	146

The apparent activation energies obtained from the frequency dependence of the peak temperature of tan δ curve are shown in Table II for selected samples. The activation energies of T_{g_1} dispersion increase by reinforcement irrespective of the fibers. This probably implies the arise of interaction between the polymer components of T_{g_1} dispersion (SAN rich region) and fibers. The activation energies of T_{g_2} dispersion increase by reinforcing with Kevlar fiber and hardly change by reinforcing with carbon fiber. This implies also the arise of a more effective interaction between the polymer components of T_{g_2} (PC rich region) and Kevlar fiber. These behaviors do not contradict with the changes of T_{g} s estimated from the dynamic mechanical properties.

The results of differential scanning calo-

Table III. Transition temperature obtained from differential scanning calorimetry (°C)

	T_{g_1}	T_{g_2}
Non-reinforced blend	107	147
Reinforced blend with Kevlar fiber $(V_f, 0.09)$	109	144
Reinforced blend with Kevlar fiber $(V_{\rm f}, 0.18)$	110	145
Reinforced blend with carbon fiber $(V_{\rm f}, 0.08)$	109	147
Reinforced blend with carbon fiber $(V_{\rm f}, 0.15)$	110	147

rimetry are shown in Table III. The low temperature transition (T_{g_1}) increases slightly by reinforcing with both fibers. Though the high temperature transition (T_{g_2}) decreases slightly by reinforcing with Kevlar fiber, that does not change by reinforcing with the carbon fiber. These results qualitatively coincide with those obtained from the mechanical dispersion. Thus, Kevlar fiber can be said to have the effect of partially compatibilizing the immiscible SAN/PC blend, in which the blend

components interact with the fiber independently, contrary to the blend reinforced with carbon fiber. The carbon fiber can be considered not to have the functional groups interacting with the blend components.

REFERENCES

- Yu. S. Lipatov, in "Controlled Interphase in Composite Materials," H. Ishida, Ed., Elsevier, New York, N.Y., 1990, p 599.
- H. Ishida and N. Scherbakoff, Makromol. Chem. Macromol. Symp., 50, 157 (1991).
- M. Kodama and K. Kuramoto, Polym. J., 22, 21 (1990).
- M. Kodama and I. Karino, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 32, 5057 (1986).
- J. D. Keitz, J. W. Balow, and D. R. Paul, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 29, 3131 (1984).
- 6. M. Kodama, submitted to Polym. Eng. Sci.
- N. K. Kalfoglou, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 32, 5247 (1986).