Side-Chain Crystallization of a New Comb-Like Polymer Prepared from Isopropenyl-Triazine Having Two Octadecanoyl Groups

Yasuo Yuki, Hideo Kunisada, and Yuichi Miyake

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466 Japan

(Received November 1, 1990)

ABSTRACT: New isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazine having two long acyl groups, that is, 2,4bis[*p*-(octadecanoylamino)anilino]-6-isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazine (BSIT), was synthesized from reaction of 2,4-bis(*p*-aminoanilino)-6-isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazine with octadecanoyl chloride. BSIT was polymerized and copolymerized with methyl methacrylate or styrene (M_1) in dimethylacetamide using AIBN as an initiator. Side-chain crystallizations of the resulting homopolymer and copolymers were investigated by a DSC thermogram and X-ray diffractogram. The crystallites of the side-chains melted at 70°C, below the glass transition temperature of the main chain. There were tight and loose crystallites, and the formation of these crystallites depended on the annealing conditions of the polymers.

KEY WORDS Comb-Like Polymer / Isopropenyl-1,3,5-Triazine / Long Alkyl Group / Side-Chain Crystallization / Copolymerization / Glass Transition Temperature / Thermal History /

Polymers having long alkyl groups in their side chains, so-called comb-like polymers, have attracted much attention due to specific properties such as side-chain crystallization,¹ peculiar mechanical properties,2,3 ability of liquid crystal formation, 4^{-6} and formation of Langmuir-Blodgett film.⁷ In general, the glass transition temperatures (T_{o}) of conventional comb-like polymers⁸ are low and the melting temperatures of their side-chain crystallites are higher than their $T_{g}s^{1}$ For example, T_{g} of poly(octadecyl methacrylate) was estimated in the vicinity of -100° C,^{9,10} and the melting and freezing temperatures of its side-chain crystallites were 34°C¹¹ and 23°C⁸, respectively. Therefore, one of the major problems for the application of conventional comb-like polymers is that they become rubbery after the melting of their side-chain crystallites. The polymers containing triazine rings have high T_{g} among vinyl polymers.^{12,13} In previous papers of this series, we investigated the properties of several comb-like polymers containing triazine ring and one long alkyl group in the repeating unit using 2-amino-4-[*p*-octadecyloxyanilino-,¹⁴ *p*-(octadecanoylamino)anilino-(A18IT)¹⁵ and *N*-octadecylanilino¹⁶]-6-isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazines as monomers. These comb-like polymers showed high T_{gs} . However, the melting temperatures of side-chain crystallites were below room temperature and their crystallinities were lower than those of conventional comb-like polymers. The glassy state of the main-chain prevents side-chain crystallization.

This paper describes the synthesis and properties of new comb-like polymers having two long acyl groups in the repeating unit using



2,4-bis[*p*-(octadecanoylamino)anilino]-6-isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazine (BSIT) as a monomer.

EXPERIMENTAL AND RESULTS

Material and Monomer

Starting material, 2,4-bis(*p*-aminoanilino)-6-isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazine (BHIT), was prepared from the reduction of 2,4-bis(*p*-nitroanilino)-6-isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazine by the literature method.¹⁷ mp 176°C (lit.¹⁷ 176°C).

BSIT was prepared by acylation of BHIT with octadecanoyl chloride as follows. Octadecanoyl chloride (30.5 g, 0.10 mol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of BHIT (16.8 g, 0.05 mol) and triethylamine (11 g, 0.10 mol) in 300 ml of dimethylacetamide (DMA) at 0-5°C. The reaction mixture was filtered after 3 h of stirring, and the filtrate was poured into water. The precipitate was separated and washed thoroughly with hot water and then with *n*-hexane. Recrystallization from dioxane gave 35.3 g (Yield 81.0%) of BSIT. mp 91°C and 220°C. ¹H NMR (D₂SO₄) δ (ppm): 0.44 (6H, t, 2CH₃), 0.84 (60H, m, 2(CH₂)₁₅), 1.40 (4H, t, 2CH₂), 1.90 (3H, s, CH₃), 6.26 (1H, s, =CH), 6.44 (1H, s, =CH). Anal. Found: C, 74.68%; H, 10.28%; N, 11.22%. Calcd for C₅₄H₈₇N₇O₂: C, 74.87%; H, 10.12%; N, 11.32%.



Figure 1. DSC thermograms of BSIT recrystallized from dioxane-water mixture and annealed BSIT at a heating rate of 10° C min⁻¹ and a cooling rate of 2° C min⁻¹.

BSIT was soluble in THF, m-cresol, pyridine, DMF, DMA, and H_2SO_4 , but insoluble in DMSO and common organic solvents. Figure 1 shows DSC thermogram of BSIT. The BSIT sample recrystallized from dioxane-water mixture solvent (named BSIT-I) showed two endothermic peaks at 90°C and 220°C and the heats of fusion were $14.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ and $15.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$, respectively. The annealed BSIT sample at 100°C (BSIT-II) showed only one endotherm at 220°C (the heat of fusion was $15.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) and annealed BSIT at 250°C (BSIT-III) showed so small endothermic peaks at 220°C suggested to become a glassy state. BSIT recrystallized from dioxane also showed two endothermic peaks at 91°C and 220°C, but the heat of fusion at 91°C was small $(7.92 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$, because of the formation of rather imperfect crystallites of long alkyl chains.

Both IR spectra of BSIT-I and II showed absorptions at 3300 and 1660 cm^{-1} (amide structure), at 2850 and 2930 cm⁻¹ (methylene groups), at 1540, 1410 and 818 cm⁻¹ (triazine ring), and at 940 cm⁻¹ (isopropenyl group). In the IR spectrum of II type, absorption corresponding to the amide structure appeared at 3430 cm⁻¹, but absorption at 1630 and 1500 cm⁻¹ became very week compared with I type. Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffractogram of BSIT-I and II. BSIT-I showed diffraction at about $2\theta = 25^{\circ}$, indicating 4.2 Å spacing which



Figure 2. X-Ray diffractograms of BSIT-I and BSIT-II measured by the powder method with $Co-K_{a1}$ radiation.

is characteristic of hexagonal packing of long alkyl chains.¹ This diffraction pattern disappeared in BSIT-II. These results suggest that the fusion at 90°C of BSIT-I is based on the melting of side-chain crystallites and the fusion at 220°C, the melting of crystallites concerning amide or triazine structure. In other words, BSIT-II is a mesophase like a liquid crystalline.

Polymerization

Polymerization was carried out by the usual method¹²⁻¹⁷ using DMA as solvent with azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) as an initiator. In the case of copolymerization, methyl methacrylate (MMA) and styrene (St) (M₁)

were used as comonomers. The polymerization tube was degassed and the reaction was allowed to proceed for a prescribed time period at 60° C. The polymers were precipitated in methanol– THF (10:1) mixture solvent, filtered, dried *in vacuo*, and weighed. The compositions of the copolymers were calculated by the analytical values of carbon and nitrogen.

The results are shown in Table I. Poly(BSIT) was soluble in THF, *m*-cresol and pyridine. The monomer reactivity ratios were obtained by the Fineman-Ross method. The copolymerization parameters are listed in Table II. Figure 3 shows the monomer-copolymer composition curves for the copolymerization of BSIT (M_2)

M ₁		Conditions ^a		Conv.	Polymers			
	[M ₂] in monomer	$\frac{[M]_1 + [M]_2}{\text{mol } l^{-1}}$	Time	0/	[M] ₂ ^b	$\eta_{\rm sp}/C^{\rm c}$ dl g ⁻¹	°C	
				70	in copolymer			
None	1.00°	0.22	1440	4.4	1.00	0.27		
MMA	0.957	0.18	1680	4.8	0.803	_	130	
	0.917	0.18	1530	6.3	0.756	0.77	128	
	0.877	0.18	1500	14.7	0.721	0.91		
	0.797	0.17	1200	26.0	0.577	0.88	124	
	0.733	0.23	145	8.2	0.537	0.71	125	
	0.578	0.24	150	10.3	0.499	0.89	117	
	0.462	0.25	155	9.6	0.394	_		
	0.354	0.26	158	5.1	0.376	0.81	115	
	0.255	0.27	130	4.8	0.306	0.69	113	
	0.146	0.28	125	2.8	0.209	0.63	105	
	0.099	0.70	300	52.4	0.161		116	
	0.064	0.29	120	1.1	0.088	0.49	101	
St	0.950	0.18	1680	11.6	0.887	0.30		
	0.923	0.18	1560	12.2	0.824	0.26	128	
	0.848	0.22	160	3.8	0.757	0.24		
	0.691	0.24	164	3.9	0.626	0.31	123	
	0.528	0.26	210	4.8	0.529	0.31	115	
	0.411	0.28	285	6.2	0.449	0.30	114	
	0.309	0.30	465	6.9	0.411	0.25	111	
	0.219	0.32	1150	16.9	0.328	0.25	108	
	0.123	0.34	1175	8.0	0.233		98	
	0.053	0.36	1175	3.1	0.138			

Table I. Polymerization of BSIT (M₂) with St and MMA

^a Polymerized at 60°C in DMA, [AIBN] = $1.2 - 1.4 \text{ mmol } l^{-1}$.

^b Determined by C% and N%.

^c Measured at $C = 0.2 \text{ g dl}^{-1}$ at 30°C in THF.

^d Measured by DSC at a heating rate of 20° C min⁻¹.

^e Homopolymerization of BSIT.

					•	
M_2	M_1	r_1	r_2	Q_2	e_2	$1/r_1$
BSIT	MMA	0.49	0.22	0.92	-0.83	2.0
	St	0.35	0.44	0.96	0.57	2.9
BHIT ^a	MMA	0.55	0.26	0.77	-0.99	1.8
	St	0.51	0.60	0.82	0.29	2.0
DAIT ^b	MMA	0.28	0.56	1.56	-0.91	3.6
	St	0.28	0.99	1.45	0.33	3.6

 Table II.
 Copolymerzation parameters of BSIT

^a 2,4-Bis(*p*-aminoanilino)-6-isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazine.¹⁷
 ^b 2,4-Dianilino-6-isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazine.¹⁸



Figure 3. Monomer-copolymer composition curves for the copolymerization of BSIT (M_2) with styrene (\bigcirc) and methyl methacrylate (\bigcirc) .

with St and MMA. The plots fit the curves calculated from the monomer reactivity ratios. The Q and e values of BSIT were also calculated in terms of Alfrey-Price's equation based on Q=1.0 and e=-0.8 for St, and Q=0.74 and e=0.4 for MMA. The results are also shown in Table II. The copolymerization parameters of BSIT are similar to BHIT without acyl group rather than those of DAIT.¹⁸ The e values depend on comonomers, that is, positive for copolymerization with St and negative for MMA. This is general behavior for the copolymerization of isopropenyl-1,3,5-triazine as described previously.¹²⁻¹⁸

Glass Transition Temperature

The glass transition temperatures (T_g) of



Figure 4. Relationship between glass transition temperatures (T_g) or melting temperatures of side-chain crystallites on the first heating (T_{m1}) and the second heating (T_{m2}) , and BSIT content in copolymers with styrene (\bigcirc) and methyl methacrylate (\bigcirc).

copolymers were determined by DSC measurement at the heating rate of 20° C min⁻¹. T_g of poly(BSIT) could not be detected. The results are shown in Table I. Figure 4 shows relations between the T_g and copolymer composition. T_g s of copolymers showed a minimum at around 10% molar content of BSIT, and then increased almost linearly with increasing content of BSIT in copolymers. This would be due to internal plasticization caused by the introduction of a small amount of a long alkyl side-chain.

Measurements

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was determined on a Rigaku-Denki Thermoflex equipped with a low temperature unit. Transition temperatures were evaluated at the peak top of DSC curves, and the heat of transition ΔH (the heat of fusion $\Delta H_{\rm m}$, and the heat of crystallization $\Delta H_{\rm cr}$) of side-chains per mole of triazine in copolymer was calculated by the following equation based on the heat of transition $\Delta H'$ per gram polymer obtained peak area.

$$\Delta H (\text{kcal}/M_2 \text{ unit mol})$$

= $\Delta H' (M_2 + M_1(1 - \text{d}m_2)/\text{d}m_2)$

where M_1 and M_2 are molecular weights of monomers and dm_2 is BSIT molar content in copolymer.

The X-ray diffractogram was recorded with a powder sample using Co- $K_{\alpha 1}$ ($\lambda = 1.7889$ Å) radiation on a Rigaku-Denki diffractometer.

DISCUSSION

The crystallization behavior of long alkyl side-chains for resulting comb-like polymers was investigated by DSC measurements and an X-ray diffractogram. Figure 5 shows the DSC thermograms measured at various scanning rate using poly(BSIT-co-St) (BSIT content; 82.4 mol%) as a typical example. The sample was first quenched from room temperature to -100° C and heated to 110° C (the first heating) at a scanning rate of 10° C min⁻¹, the cooled to -100° C and again heated to 110° C at a scanning rate of 20° C min⁻¹. The cooling and heating processes (the second heating) were repeated at various scanning rates. An endothermic peak in the heating process and an exothermic peak in the cooling process were observed in the range of about around 0°C to 50°C, except for the first heating. The heat of crystallization $\Delta H_{\rm cr}$ and heat of fusion $\Delta H_{\rm m2}$ were estimated from the DSC peak areas. The relation of these values and scanning rates was listed in Table III. $\Delta H_{\rm cr}$ and $\Delta H_{\rm m2}$ were independent of the scanning rate, and the mean values of ΔH_{cr} and ΔH_{m2} were almost equal, 4.8 cal g^{-1} . On the other hand, the melting temperature (T_{m1}) was 72°C and heat of fusion

 $(\Delta H_{\rm m1})$ was 6.9 cal g⁻¹ on the first heating. In the case of conventional comb-like polymers, not only $\Delta H_{\rm m}$ but also $T_{\rm m}$ of the first and second heatings are similar.^{19,20} The difference of both of $\Delta H_{\rm m}$ and $T_{\rm m}$ on the first heating and second heating in this study is believed due to the glassy state of main chain preventing the side-chain crystallization, and side-chain crystallization depending on its thermal history.



Figure 5. DSC thermograms of poly(BSIT-*co*-St) (BSIT content, 82.4 mol%) at various scanning rates from -100° C to 110° C. Cooling and heating rates were a, 10° C min⁻¹; b, 20° C min⁻¹; c, 10° C min⁻¹; d, 5° C min⁻¹, and e, 2.5° C min⁻¹.

Table III.	Relation of side-chain crystallization of
poly(BSIT	-co-MMA) (BSIT content; 82.4 mol%)
and s	canning rate in DSC measurement

Scanning rate	$-\Delta H'_{ m cr}$	$\Delta H'_{m2}$	$-\Delta H_{\rm cr}$	ΔH_{m2}	
°C min ⁻¹	calg	5-1	kcal (BSIT-unit mol) ⁻¹		
20	4.69	4.48	4.16	3.98	
10	4.88	4.87	4.33	4.32	
5	4.70	4.83	4.17	4.29	
2.5	4.75	4.89	4.22	4.34	
Average value	4.76	4.77	4.23	4.23	

Y. YUKI, H. KUNISADA, and Y. MIYAKE

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Polymers		T_{m1}	T _{cr}	T_{m2}	$\Delta H_{\rm m1}$	$-\Delta H_{ m cr}$	$\Delta H_{\rm m2}$	ΔS_{m2}	N _{m1}	N_{m2}
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							kcal		cal		
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{PolyBSIT} & 100 & 70 & 25 & 32 & 7.03 & 5.20 & 4.46 & 14.6 & 4.8 \\ \mbox{Poly(BSIT-co-MMA)} & 80.3 & 72 & 25 & 31 & 6.52 & 6.03 & 5.87 & 19.3 & 4.4 \\ & 75.6 & 71 & 25 & 31 & 5.98 & 5.51 & 4.94 & 16.3 & 4.1 \\ & 72.1 & 72 & 26 & 32 & 5.96 & 5.07 & 4.95 & 16.2 & 4.1 \\ & 57.7 & 71 & 25 & 31 & 5.97 & 4.02 & 3.88 & 12.8 & 4.1 \\ & 53.7 & 75 & 26 & 30 & 5.38 & 3.48 & 2.64 & 8.7 & 3.7 \\ & 49.9 & 78 & 25 & 30 & 5.35 & 3.35 & 2.36 & 7.8 & 3.6 \\ & 39.4 & 77 & 25 & 27 & 5.45 & 2.97 & 2.19 & 7.3 & 3.7 \\ & 30.6 & 72 & 14 & 26 & 4.65 & 1.50 & 1.66 & 5.6 & 3.2 \\ & 20.9 & 76 & -23 & -16 & 4.73 & 1.28 & 1.44 & 5.6 & 3.2 \\ & 16.1 & 71 & - & - & 4.40 & - & - & - & 3.0 \\ & 8.8 & -26 & - & - & 1.12 & - & - & - & 0.8 \\ & 8.8 & -26 & - & - & 1.12 & - & - & - & 0.8 \\ & 8.8 & -26 & - & - & 1.12 & - & - & - & 0.8 \\ & 8.8 & -26 & - & - & 1.12 & - & - & - & 0.8 \\ & 8.8 & -26 & - & - & 1.12 & - & - & - & 0.8 \\ & 8.8 & -26 & - & - & 1.12 & - & - & - & 0.8 \\ & 8.8 & -26 & - & - & 1.12 & - & - & - & 0.8 \\ & 8.8 & -26 & - & - & 1.12 & - & - & - & 0.8 \\ & 90 \ & 8.8 & 7 & 71 & 22 & 29 & 6.34 & 4.17 & 3.43 & 11.4 & 4.3 \\ & 62.6 & 76 & 23 & 28 & 5.62 & 3.11 & 2.50 & 8.3 & 3.8 \\ & 52.9 & 77 & 23 & 26 & 5.67 & 2.73 & 2.45 & 8.2 & 3.9 \\ & 44.9 & 78 & 12 & 14 & 5.99 & 2.95 & 2.27 & 8.1 & 4.1 \\ & 41.1 & 77 & 8 & 10 & 6.71 & 2.67 & 2.36 & 8.5 & 4.6 \\ & 32.8 & 77 & -7 & -5 & 6.93 & 2.20 & 1.80 & 6.8 & 4.7 \\ & 23.3 & 80 & -26 & -16 & 6.34 & 1.38 & 1.45 & 5.6 & 4.3 \\ & 13.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 13.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 13.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 13.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 13.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 13.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 14.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 14.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 14.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 14.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 14.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 15.8 & 70 & & 6.52 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 & 0.51 \\ & 15.8 & 70 &$	$DIY(M_2 - co - M_1)$	$(M_2 \text{ mol}\%)$	°C		BSIT-unit mol			deg·mol			
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{Poly(BSIT-$co-MMA$)} & 80.3 & 72 & 25 & 31 & 6.52 & 6.03 & 5.87 & 19.3 & 4.4 \\ & 75.6 & 71 & 25 & 31 & 5.98 & 5.51 & 4.94 & 16.3 & 4.1 \\ & 72.1 & 72 & 26 & 32 & 5.96 & 5.07 & 4.95 & 16.2 & 4.1 \\ & 57.7 & 71 & 25 & 31 & 5.97 & 4.02 & 3.88 & 12.8 & 4.1 \\ & 53.7 & 75 & 26 & 30 & 5.38 & 3.48 & 2.64 & 8.7 & 3.7 \\ & 49.9 & 78 & 25 & 30 & 5.35 & 3.35 & 2.36 & 7.8 & 3.6 \\ & 39.4 & 77 & 25 & 27 & 5.45 & 2.97 & 2.19 & 7.3 & 3.7 \\ & 30.6 & 72 & 14 & 26 & 4.65 & 1.50 & 1.66 & 5.6 & 3.2 \\ & 20.9 & 76 & -23 & -16 & 4.73 & 1.28 & 1.44 & 5.6 & 3.2 \\ & 16.1 & 71 & - & - & 4.40 & - & - & - & 3.0 \\ & 8.8 & -26 & - & - & 1.12 & - & - & - & 0.8 \\ & Poly(BSIT-$co-St$) & 88.7 & 71 & 22 & 29 & 6.02 & 5.27 & 5.22 & 17.3 & 4.1 \\ & 82.4 & 72 & 25 & 30 & 6.10 & 4.50 & 4.04 & 13.3 & 4.1 \\ & 75.7 & 74 & 24 & 29 & 6.34 & 4.17 & 3.43 & 11.4 & 4.3 \\ & 62.6 & 76 & 23 & 28 & 5.62 & 3.11 & 2.50 & 8.3 & 3.8 \\ & 52.9 & 77 & 23 & 26 & 5.67 & 2.73 & 2.45 & 8.2 & 3.9 \\ & 44.9 & 78 & 12 & 14 & 5.99 & 2.95 & 2.27 & 8.1 & 4.1 \\ & 41.1 & 77 & 8 & 10 & 6.71 & 2.67 & 2.36 & 8.5 & 4.6 \\ & 32.8 & 77 & -7 & -5 & 6.93 & 2.20 & 1.80 & 6.8 & 4.7 \\ & 23.3 & 80 & -26 & -16 & 6.34 & 1.38 & 1.45 & 5.6 & 4.3 \\ & 12.8 & 70 & & & 6.52 & 1.58 & 1.45 & 5.6 & 4.3 \\ & 12.8 & 70 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	olyBSIT	100	70	25	32	7.03	5.20	4.46	14.6	4.8	3.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	oly(BSIT-co-MMA)	80.3	72	25	31	6.52	6.03	5.87	19.3	4.4	4.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	• • • •	75.6	71	25	31	5.98	5.51	4.94	16.3	4.1	3.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		72.1	72	26	32	5.96	5.07	4.95	16.2	4.1	3.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		57.7	71	25	31	5.97	4.02	3.88	12.8	4.1	2.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		53.7	75	26	30	5.38	3.48	2.64	8.7	3.7	1.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		49.9	78	25	30	5.35	3.35	2.36	7.8	3.6	1.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		39.4	77	25	27	5.45	2.97	2.19	7.3	3.7	1.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		37.6	76	23	27	5.48	1.94	2.11	7.0	3.7	1.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		30.6	72	14	26	4.65	1.50	1.66	5.6	3.2	1.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		20.9	76	-23	-16	4.73	1.28	1.44	5.6	3.2	1.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		16.1	71	—		4.40				3.0	_
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{Poly(BSIT-co-St)} & 88.7 & 71 & 22 & 29 & 6.02 & 5.27 & 5.22 & 17.3 & 4.1 \\ & 82.4 & 72 & 25 & 30 & 6.10 & 4.50 & 4.04 & 13.3 & 4.1 \\ & 75.7 & 74 & 24 & 29 & 6.34 & 4.17 & 3.43 & 11.4 & 4.3 \\ & 62.6 & 76 & 23 & 28 & 5.62 & 3.11 & 2.50 & 8.3 & 3.8 \\ & 52.9 & 77 & 23 & 26 & 5.67 & 2.73 & 2.45 & 8.2 & 3.9 \\ & 44.9 & 78 & 12 & 14 & 5.99 & 2.95 & 2.27 & 8.1 & 4.1 \\ & 41.1 & 77 & 8 & 10 & 6.71 & 2.67 & 2.36 & 8.5 & 4.6 \\ & 32.8 & 77 & -7 & -5 & 6.93 & 2.20 & 1.80 & 6.8 & 4.7 \\ & 23.3 & 80 & -26 & -16 & 6.34 & 1.38 & 1.45 & 5.6 & 4.3 \\ & 12.8 & 70 & & & 6.52 & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$		8.8	-26			1.12				0.8	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	oly(BSIT-co-St)	88.7	71	22	29	6.02	5.27	5.22	17.3	4.1	3.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		82.4	72	25	30	6.10	4.50	4.04	13.3	4.1	2.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		75.7	74	24	29	6.34	4.17	3.43	11.4	4.3	2.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		62.6	76	23	28	5.62	3.11	2.50	8.3	3.8	1.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		52.9	77	23	26	5.67	2.73	2.45	8.2	3.9	1.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		44.9	78	12	14	5.99	2.95	2.27	8.1	4.1	1.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		41.1	77	8	10	6.71	2.67	2.36	8.5	4.6	1.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		32.8	77	- 7	- 5	6.93	2.20	1.80	6.8	4.7	1.2
12.9 70 6.52 4.4		23.3	80	-26	-16	6.34	1.38	1.45	5.6	4.3	1.0
13.0 7 0.32 4.4		13.8	79			6.52	—		—	4.4	—

Table IV. Side-chain crystallization of polymers obtained from BSIT

In order to evaluate side-chain crystallization, the other polymer samples were subjected to DSC measurement from -100° C to 110° C at a scanning rate of 10° C min⁻¹. DSC curves of poly(BSIT) and copolymers exhibited the same tendency as described above. The thermal parameters, that is, the melting temperature T_{m1} and heat of fusion ΔH_{m1} on the first heating, crystallization temperature T_{cr} and the heat of crystallization ΔH_{cr} on the cooling, and melting temperature T_{m2} and the heat of fusion ΔH_{m2} on the second heating are summarized in Table IV. Table IV also shows the entropy of fusion ΔS_{m2} calculated from ΔH_{m2} and T_{m2} .

Figure 4 shows the relations between T_g , T_{m1} or T_{m2} and the copolymer composition. Figure 6 shows the relations between ΔH_{m1} or ΔH_{m2} and the copolymer composition. T_g s of copolymers are higher than their T_{m1} s and

 T_{m2} s. T_{m1} s of copolymers were almost constant at around 70-80°C independent of the comonomers and their compositions. The melting phenomenon on the first heating means the melting of the crystallites which grew slowly on standing at room temperature after the polymerization. T_{m2} s and T_{cr} s of copolymers are lower than T_{m1} . T_{m2} s were almost constant at around 30°C above 40% BSIT content for MMA and above 50% for St. T_{m2} may be concerned with the rate of the formation and the perfection of crystallites and thus, the lower T_{m2} means the slower formation of rather imperfect crystallites. The long alkyl groups of poly(BSIT-co-St) will be miscible with phenyl group of St and the formation of crystallites becomes slower compared to MMA copolymers. On the other hand, $\Delta H_{m1}s$ for St copolymers were almost constant, but those for



Figure 6. Relationship between heat of fusion of sidechain crystallites on the first heating (ΔH_{m1}) or the second heating (ΔH_{m2}) and BSIT content in the copolymers with styrene (\bullet, \blacksquare) and methyl methacrylate (\bigcirc, \square) .

MMA copolymers decreased with decreasing the BSIT content. Because ΔH_{m1} is proportional to the quantities of side-chain crystallites, the difference of ΔH_{m1} for both copolymers may be related to the different flexibility of main chain consisting of St or MMA unit. That is, less flexible MMA unit prevents the side-chain crystallization. ΔH_{m2} s showed the maximum value at 90% BSIT content and then decreased with decreasing BSIT contents. This result is ascribed to the fact that small amounts of the comonomer may work as a spacer favorable to the side-chain crystallization.

Further investigation was made for the effect of the thermal history on the side-chain crystallization. Figure 7 shows DSC thermogram of poly(BSIT-co-MMA) (BSIT content; 57.7 mol%) heated above its T_g . After annealing at 150°C for 2 h, ΔH_{m2} became similar to that on the first heating, but T_{m2} was 30°C.

Side-Chain Crystallization of Comb-Like Polymer



Figure 7. DSC thermograms of poly(BSIT-co-MMA) (BSIT content, 57.7 mol%) at heating and cooling rate of 10° C min⁻¹. Numbers in parentheses mean values of heats of fusion or heats of crystallization in kcal (BSIT unit mol)⁻¹.

The sample annealed at 50°C for 2 days melted at 70°C. These shows that the growth of side-chain crystallites under T_g of main-chain is slow and side-chain crystallization has many complicated factors, especially including annealing time and temperature.

X-ray diffraction patterns of polymers are shown in Figure 8. The polymers with high content of BSIT showed only a diffraction peak at $2\theta = 24.8^{\circ}$ (a spacing of 4.2 Å), corresponds to the hexagonal packing as reported for conventional comb-like polymer.^{1,21} The copolymers with low content of BSIT showed two reflection peaks at 23.6° (a spacing of 4.4 Å) and 24.8°. Crystallites having 4.2 Å or 4.4 Å were tight and loose crystallites, respectively. In DSC measurements, only one melting endotherm at 70°C was observed for both



Figure 8. X-Ray diffractgrams of poly(BSIT) and copolymers measured by the powder method with Co-K_{x1} radiation. a, poly(BSIT); b, poly(BSIT-co-St), BSIT, 88.7 mol%; c, poly(BSIT-co-St), BSIT, 75.7 mol%; d, poly(BSIT-co-MMA), BSIT, 57.7 mol%; e, poly(BSIT-co-MMA), BSIT, 20.9 mol%; f, poly(BSIT-co-MMA), BSIT, 16.1 mol%; g, poly(BSIT-co-St), BSIT, 32.8 mol%; h, poly(BSIT-co-St), BSIT, 32.8 mol% annealed at 50°C for 3 days; i, poly(BSIT) annealed at 90°C for 1 h.

copolymers showing different X-ray diffractogram. The tight and loose crystallites may be closely related because of the same melting temperature; that is, they form thermodynamically one crystalline. On the other hand, the poly(BSIT) annealed at 90°C showed only a reflection at 23.6°, which melted at around 30°C. It is considered about side-chain crystallization under T_{g} that first, loose crystallites are formed and melt at around 30°C. The loose crystallites slowly change to tight crystallites, which melt at 70°C. On the other hand, new loose crystallites grow near tight crystallites, and melt with old tight crystallites at 70°C. This relation is shown in Scheme 1.

In general, the numbers of crystallizing CH₂ groups (N) in a side-chain are calculated from $\Delta H_{\rm m}$ on the basis of the heat of fusion per CH₂ of *n*-alkanes in the hexagonal packing



 $(735 \text{ cal mol}^{-1} \text{ CH}_2^{-1}).^{22}$ The N numbers of the present polymers are shown in Table IV. Ns of poly(octadecyl methacrylate)⁸ and poly(A18IT) having an octadecanoyl group were 5.6 and 2.1, respectively. The resulting polymers in this work gave similar N values to those of the conventional comb-like polymers. $T_{\rm s}$ s of the conventional comb-like polymers were lower than the melting points of the side-chain crystallites. Therefore, the mainchains of the conventional comb-like polymers may be flexible enough to allow the side-chain packing. However, T_{s} s of the present polymers were higher than the melting point of the sidechain crystallites. Consequently, the effects of the less flexible main-chain and two long acyl groups of present polymers were compensated for the formation of side-chain crystallites.

REFERENCES

- N. A. Platé and V. P. Shibaev, J. Polym. Sci. Macromol. Rev., 8, 117 (1974).
- E. F. Jordan, Jr., G. R. Riser, B. Artymyshyn, W. E. Parker, and J. W. Pensabene, *J. Appl. Polm. Sci.*, 13, 1777 (1969).
- E. F. Jordan, Jr., G. R. Riser, B. Artymyshyn, J. W. Pensabene, and A. N. Wrigley, *J. Polym. Sci.*, A-2, 10, 1657 (1972).
- 4. S. M. Aharoni, Macromolecules, 12, 94 (1979).
- 5. M. Takayanagi and T. Katayose, J. Appl. Polym. Sic., 29, 141 (1984).
- J. Watanabe, H. Ono, I. Uematsu, and A. Abe, Macromolecules, 18, 2141 (1985).
- T. Miyashita, H. Yoshida, T. Murakata, and M. Matsuda, *Polymer*, 28, 311 (1987).
- K. Yokota, T. Kougo, and T. Hirabayashi, *Polym. J.*, **15**, 891 (1983).
- S. S. Rogers and L. Mandelkern, J. Phys. Chem., 61, 985 (1957).
- J. W. Mays, E. Siakali-Kioulafa, and N. Hadjichristidis, *Macromolecules*, 23, 3530 (1990).
- 11. S. A. Greenberg and T. Alfrey, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,

76, 6280 (1954).

- 12. Y. Yuki, H. Musika, and T. Kito, *Kobunshi Ronbunshu*, **36**, 385 (1979).
- 13. H. Kunisada, Y. Yuki, T. Ochiai, M. Nishii, and S. Sano, *Kobunshi Ronbunshu*, **45**, 911 (1988).
- H. Kunisada, Y. Yuki, Y. Matsushita, M. Inuzuka, M. Yamazaki, and T. Yoshida, *Kobunshi Ronbunshu*, 47, 33 (1990).
- 15. H. Kunisada, Y. Yuki, Y. Miyake, A. Ogawa, and H. Yoshimura, *Polym. J.*, **23**, 29 (1991).
- H. Kunisada, Y. Yuki, S. Kondo, J. Miyatake, and C. Maeda, *Polym. J.*, 22, 559 (1990).

- 17. Y. Yuki, H. Kunisada, and S. Kondo, Kobunshi Ronbunshu, 46, 233 (1989).
- 18. Y. Yuki, H. Kunisada, J. Taniuchi, and N. Takizuka, Nippon Kagaku Kaishi, **224** (1987).
- 19. T. Hirabayashi, T. Kikuta, K. Kasabo, and K. Yokota, *Polym. J.*, **20**, 693 (1988).
- T. Hirabayashi, K. Kasabo, and K. Yokota, *Polym. J.*, **20**, 911 (1988).
- 21. B. Espenschied and R. C. Schulz, Makromol. Chem., Rapid Commun., 4, 633 (1983).
- 22. M. G. Broadhurst, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand., 66A, 241 (1962).