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It has been known that the polymers composed of rigid and anisotropic mesogenic groups and soft spacers form the liquid crystal in the solid state. ${ }^{1-5}$ The length and the structure of the flexible spacer composed of a methylene chain greatly affect the molecular order, molecular motion, and conformation of a polymer, and give complexed effect on the features of liquid crystal phase and its transition temperature. It is therefore very important to clarify the dependence of the molecular motion of these polymers on the spacer length. We have a great interest to examine the molecular motion of the polymers having the mesogenic group in the side chain. In this work, the molecular motion in the solution has been investigated in order to obtain information about fundamental properties of such a liquid crystalline polymer. It has been well established that the highresolution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) gives us detailed informations about microscopic molecular structure and motion. ${ }^{6-11}$ The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR relaxation of three acrylate polymers shown below with different spacers in length connecting the mesogenic group to

[^0]the main chain have been investigated, and molecular motions are discussed in terms of the spacer length.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Samples used in this work are three kinds of acrylate polymers having the mesogenic side groups which are kindly supplied by Prof. F. Cser, in Research Institute for Plastics, Budapest, Hungary. ${ }^{12}$ Their chemical structures are shown in Table I, where $X$ is the spacer and $R$ is the side chain end group. In

Table I. Chemical structure and abbreviations for poly(acrylate)s

the order of spacer length, they are abbreviated as BPAHB, BPAEB, and BPAB, respectively.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR measurements were carried out in $5.5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Spin-lattice relaxation time $T_{1}$ under the complete decoupling condition and nuclear Overhauser enhancement NOE at 50 MHz were measured by a Varian XL-200 spectrometer. $T_{1}$ at 100 MHz was measured by a Bruker MSL-400 spectrometer. $T_{1}$ was estimated by the inversion recovery method. NOE was calculated as the ratio of the peak intensities with and without ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ random noise decoupling.

## RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the values of $N T_{1}$ for protonated carbons at 50 MHz where $N$ is the number of protons attatched to the carbon. The transverse axis indicates the skeletal structure of PBAHB residue, where two main chain carbons are in the left side. Main chain, mesogenic and end group carbons give almost similar $N T_{1}$ values in three samples. On the other hand, for the spacer carbons, $N T_{1}$ is greater in BPAHB than BPAEB. The trend as a whole is that $N T_{1}$ value increases as nearer to the end of the side chain. The results of $N T_{1}$ at 100 MHz indicated that the tendency of the dependence on each carbon is similar to those at 50 MHz .

The values of NOE at 50 MHz are plotted in Figure 2. The main chain has relatively smaller values of nearly 1 , and the side chain has larger values between 2 and 3 .

## DISCUSSION

When the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ magnetization is relaxed by dipolar interactions with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at a constant separation $r, T_{1}$, and NOE are given by ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N T_{1}}= & \frac{1}{4 r^{6}} \gamma_{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \gamma_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \hbar^{2}\left(J_{0}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}-\omega_{\mathrm{C}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+3 J_{1}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}\right)+6 J_{2}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}+\omega_{\mathrm{C}}\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. Spin-lattice relaxation time $N T_{1}$ (s) at 50 MHz for carbons having protons in poly(acrylate)s, where $N$ is the number of protons attatched to each carbon. O , BPAB; A, BPAEB; + , BPAHB. Skeletal structure of BPAHB is shown at the bottom, where $M$ indicates the main chain including the carboxyl group in the side chain, $X$ the spacer group, $M S$ the mesogenic group, and $R$ the side chain end group.


Figure 2. Nuclear Overhause enhancement (NOE) at 50 MHz for each carbon in poly(acrylate)s. $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{BPAB} ; \mathbf{\Delta}$, BPAEB; +, BPAHB.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { NOE }=1+\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{C}}} \\
& \frac{6 J_{2}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}+\omega_{\mathrm{C}}\right)-J_{0}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}-\omega_{\mathrm{C}}\right)}{J_{0}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}-\omega_{\mathrm{C}}\right)+3 J_{1}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}\right)+6 J_{2}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{H}}+\omega_{\mathrm{C}}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega)$ is the spectral density, $\gamma_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{C}}$ the gyromagnetic ratios, and $\omega_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{C}}$ the angular resonance frequencies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. $\hbar$ is the Planck constant divided by $2 \pi$. Using these relations, we can obtain informations about the molecular motions in polymers when $J_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega)$ is expressed with a proper motional model. So far many models including
the multiple internal rotation have been proposed. ${ }^{8-11,13-15}$ In this work, we have used the relation on the basis of the Model Free treatment ${ }^{14,15}$ in order to investigate the rate and the amplitude of the molecular motion at the same time.
$J_{\mathrm{q}}(\omega)$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega)=\frac{2}{5}\left(\frac{S^{2} \tau_{\mathrm{M}}}{1+\omega^{2} \tau_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}}+\frac{\left(1-S^{2}\right) \tau^{*}}{1+\omega^{2} \tau^{* 2}}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\tau^{*}=\left(\tau_{\mathrm{M}}^{-1}+\tau_{\mathrm{I}}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

here $\tau_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{I}}$ are the correlation times for motion of a molecule as a whole and the internal motion, respectively. $S$ is the order parameter concerning the spatial spread of the internal motion. When $S=1,{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spin pair undergoes no internal motion and $S=0$ corresponds to the completely isotropic internal motion. On practice of the analysis, it is assumed that the main chain undergoes the segmental motion with the correlation time $\tau_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $S=1$, and that the internal motion with the correlation time $\tau_{\mathrm{I}}$ superimposed on the segmental motion occurs in the side chain.

Table II. Correlation times $\tau_{\mathrm{M}}$ of main chain carbons in unit of $10^{-8}(\mathrm{~s})$

|  | BPAB | BPAEB | BPAHB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ | 1.95 | 1.11 | 1.70 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ | 1.48 | 1.00 | 1.19 |



Figure 3. Correlation times $\tau_{1}(\mathrm{~s})$ of internal motions for poly(acrylate)s. $\bigcirc$, BPAB; $\mathbf{A}$, BPAEB; + , BPAHB.

In Table II are shown the estimated correlation times $\tau_{\mathrm{M}}$ of the segmental motion in the main chain which fairly well explain the experimental values of $N T_{1}$ at 50 and 100 MHz and NOE at 50 MHz at the same time. The value of $\tau_{\mathrm{M}}$ is the order of $10^{-8} \mathrm{~s}$, and there is little difference among three samples. It has been reported that randomly coiled polymers undergo segmental motion in solution with correlation time $10^{-8}-10^{-11} \mathrm{~s} .{ }^{6-11,13-15}$ The present results indicate that the main chain undergoes isotropic and relatively slower segmental motion in solution presumably due to having large pendant mesogenic group in the side chain.

For the internal motion in the side chain, the internal correlation time $\tau_{I}$ and the order parameter $S$ were estimated using eq 1 and 2 after introduction of $\tau_{\mathrm{M}}$ values obtained above into eq 3 and 4. In Figure 3 thus obtained $\tau_{1}$ values which explains experimental results are shown for protonated carbons in the side chain. $\tau_{1}$ is in the range of $10^{-11}-10^{-10} \mathrm{~s}$ except for the side chain end group where further decrease to $10^{-12} \mathrm{~s}$ occurs. For the mesogenic and end groups, $\tau_{I}$ values of the three samples are almost same. However, for the spacer, BPAHB has shorter $\tau_{1}$ than BPAEB. This means that the internal motion in the spacer part is faster for the longer spacer.

In Figure 4 the estimated $S$ is shown for each carbon in the side chain. There is a remarkable difference between the values of $S$ at


Figure 4. Order parameters $S$ of internal motions for poly(acrylate)s. $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{BPAB} ; \mathbf{\triangle}, \mathrm{BPAEB} ;+$, BPAHB.
the spacer part; 0.9 and 0.6 for BPAEB and BPAHB, respectively. As $S$ is defined by $S=$ $\left\langle\left(3 \cos ^{2} \Delta \theta-1\right) / 2\right\rangle_{t}$, we can estimate the angular amplitude $\Delta \theta$ of the internal motion. Let $S=\left(3 \cos ^{2} \Delta \theta-1\right) / 2$ simply here to see the order of magnitude of $\Delta \theta$. In the mesogenic group and end groups, $\Delta \theta$ is estimated to be $40^{\circ}$ and there is no difference among the three samples. However, in the spacer, values of $\Delta \theta$ are $30^{\circ}$ and $10^{\circ}$ for BPAHB and BPAEB, respectively. The angular amplitude increases with increase of the spacer length. In the solid state, mobility and orientation distribution for the mesogenic group are reported to be lower and narrower, respectively, than other portions of polymer. ${ }^{17}$ In solution, correlation time of internal retation $\tau_{1}$ and order parameter $S$ are rather rapid and smaller, respectively, in the mesogenic group (this work). Similar results were reported for polymers having side chains, where internal motion of the side chain becomes more rapid as nearer to the end of the side chain. ${ }^{10,15}$ Noticeable result obtained in this work is that internal motion of mesogenic group is not depending on the spacer length.

In conclusion, we can see that the main chain undergoes isotropic segmental motion in the solution, and the internal motion in the spacer is more rapid and isotropic with increase of spacer length. On the other hand, the internal motion of the mesogenic group does not depend on the spacer length. Exact reason is not clear at this stage. However, it may be considered that the internal motion of the mesogenic group is not influenced by the mobility of main chain and the spacer due to its large size and high bulkiness. Only 2 or 3 internal bonds between main chain and mesogenic group may be sufficient for mesogenic group to undergo a certain extent of internal motion relative to main chain, and internal motion becomes independent of main chain. In such a case mobility will be determined by the interactions with surrounding media (solvent), and strongly depend on
the size and anisotropy of the mesogenic group.
Similar studies on the samples with different side chain end group are in progress, and the results will be published soon elsewhere. Preliminary results on the molecular motion in the solid state observed by the spin-probe technique for all of the above samples appear in ref 16 .

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Prof. F. Cser in Research Institute for Plasties, Budapest, Hungary for providing the samples. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan.

## REFERENCES

1. D. Y. Yoon and S. Bruckner, Macromolecules, 18, 651 (1985).
2. S. Bruckner, J. C. Scott, and Y. Yoon, Macromolecules, 18, 2709 (1985).
3. T. S. Chung, Polym. Eng. Sci., 26, 901 (1986).
4. T. Uryu and T. Kato, Macromolecules, 21, 378 (1988).
5. T. Yamaguchi, T. Asada, H. Hayashi, and N. Nakamura, Macromolecules, 22, 1141 (1989).
6. D. Doddrell, V. Glushko, and A. Allerhand, J. Chem. Phys., 56, 3683 (1972).
7. R. E. London and J. Avitabile, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 7765 (1977).
8. G. C. Levy, D. E. Axelson, R. Schwartz, and J. Hochmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 410 (1878).
9. R. M. Levy, M. Karplus, and P. G. Wolynes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103, 5998 (1981).
10. D. Ghesquiers, C. Chachaty, and A. Tsutsumi, Macromolecules, 12, 775 (1979).
11. A. Tsutsumi and C. Chachaty, Macromolecules, 12, 429 (1979).
12. F. Cser, A. Tsutsumi, J. Horvath, and J. Sohma, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Inc. Nonlin. Opt., 157, 319 (1988).
13. R. J. Wittbort and A. Szabo, J. Chem. Phys., 64, 1722 (1978).
14. G. Lipari and A. Szabo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 4546 (1982).
15. G. Lipari and A. Szabo, J.Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 4559 (1982).
16. Y. Imai and A. Tsutsumi, Polym. Prep. Jpn., 36, 2195 (1987).
17. B. Blumich, C. Boffel, G. S. Harbison, Y. Yang, and H. W. Spiess, Ber. Bensenges. Phys. Chem., 91, 1100 (1987).

[^0]:    * To whom correspondence should be addressed.

