Adsorption Enhanced Lubrication by Thin Liquid Films of Synthetic and Biological Polyelectrolyte Solutions

Akira TAKAHASHI and Norio KOZAKI

Department of Industrial Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Mie University, Tsu, Mie 514, Japan

(Received November 25, 1986)

ABSTRACT: Lubrication properties of three polyelectrolytes such as Na–poly(acrylate), Na– chondroitin sulphate, and Na–hyaluronate were studied by measuring the static friction coefficient μ_s of thin liquid films of these aqueous polyelectrolyte solutions between glass surfaces. Adsorption isotherms of the polyelectrolytes onto the glass surface were also measured. Thin liquid films of polyelectrolyte solutions with added NaCl definitely show lubricity. The μ_s vs. bulk concentration curves have relevance to the adsorption isotherm and lead to the conclusion that the adsorbed polyelectrolyte layer has the ability to reduce the μ_s value of glass–water–glass interface to the extent of 40–60%. Among the polyelectrolytes tested, Na–hyaluronate has the lowest μ_s owing to that this polyelectrolyte is used in the animal joints as the lubricant.

KEY WORDS Adsorption of Polyelectrolyte / Lubrication / Polyelectrolyte / Poly(acrylic) Acid / Chondroitin Sulphate / Hyaluronic Acid / Tribology / Static Friction Coefficient /

The lubrication action of biological polyelectrolyte, particularly hyaluronic acid in animal joints is well known. Studies have been reported to intend exploring molecular mechanism of biological lubrication in the joints.¹⁻³ However, as far as we are aware, no reports have been published concerning with the lubrication action of thin liquid films of both synthetic and biological polyelectrolyte solutions. In the previous papers,^{4,5} we reported the lubrication properties of water soluble nonionic polymers by measuring the static friction coefficient μ_s of thin liquid films of the polymer solutions between glass surfaces. This paper is the extension of the previous investigation to explore lubricating mechanism of polyelectrolyte. To clarify the correlation between lubrication properties of polyelectrolyte solutions and the polyelectrolyte adsorption on the glass surface, both μ_s and the adsorption isotherms are measured using Na-poly(acrylate), Na-chondroitin sulphate,

and Na-hyaluronate, and the results are discussed from the view point of boundary lubrication assisted by the adsorbed polyelectrolyte layer.

EXPERIMENTAL

Samples

Fractionated sodium poly(acrylate) (Na-PA) was used in this study. Its molecular weight was determined from intrinsic viscosity measurement according to the equation⁶

$$[\eta] = 12.4 \times 10^{-4} \bar{M}_{w}^{0.5}$$
(1.5 M NaBr. 15°C) (1)

The characteristic of Na–PA is shown in Table I.

Sodium chondroitin sulphate (Na-Ch) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemicals and sodium hyaluronate (Na-Hyal) was supplied from Tokyo Kasei Co. The characteristic of

Table I. Characteristics of polyelectrolytes

Polyelectrolyte	$\bar{M}_{w} \times 10^{-3}$
Na-Poly(acrylate)	597
Na-Chondroitin sulphate	
Na–Hyaluronate	700ª

^a Supplier's data.

Na–Hyal is also shown in Table I. These biological polyelectrolytes were used without further purification, and to avoid degradation, sodium azide (NaN_3) (0.02 wt%) was added in their aqueous solutions. Twice distilled water was used as solvent. Sodium chloride (Nakarai Chemical Co.) was used as an added salt.

Static Friction Coefficient

Static friction coefficient μ_s of each polyelectrolyte solution in the presence or absence of NaCl were measured by the same instrument as that described previously.⁴ Watch glasses and optical flat plate glasses supplied from Central Glass Co., Japan were first dipped into a warm H₂SO₄-HNO₃ (1:1 vol. ratio) mixture, then thoroughly rinsed in distilled water and dried in a dust free box. For each polyelectrolyte concentration and load W at least eleven measurement of frictional force F were performed and then the average value of F was used to determine μ_s from eq 2

$$\mu_{\rm s} = F/W \tag{2}$$

Absorption of Polyelectrolyte on Glass Surface

Spherical and pore free glass beads with a diameter $\phi = 17 \,\mu\text{m}$ and specific surface area $= 1.41 \times 10^3 \,\text{cm}^{-2} \,\text{g}^{-1}$ supplied from Toshiba Ballotini Co., Japan were used as the adsorbent. Beads were washed by benzene in a Soxhlet apparatus for 6 h, dryed, immersed in aqueous 0.1 N HCl solution and thoroughly rinsed by distilled water. After drying the beads at 80°C for 24 h, they were immersed in

methanol, washed by distilled *n*-hexane and finally dried in a vacuum oven for 48 h at 130° C.

Seven milliliters of an aqueous salt solution of polyelectrolyte with known concentration were mixed with the glass beads (1g) in a stoppered polycarbonate tube. The mixture was gently stirred by a magnetic chip for 24 h to attain equilibrium at 25°C. The glass suspensions were centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 20 min to sediment the glass beads and the supernatant was carefully removed. The polymer concentration in the supernatant, *i.e.*, the equilibrium bulk concentration, C_p was determined as follows: C_p was measured from the peak area of each polyelectrolyte using a Toyo Soda HLC-802A gel permeation chromatography instrument equipped by a TSK RI 8 detector. The TSKG 6000 PW column was used.

Adsorbance A expressed in gcm^{-2} , was determined from the difference between the amount of the polyelectrolyte initially added C_o and that in the supernatant C_p , and also from the amount of the glass beads added.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As an example, Figure 1 shows the static friction coefficient μ_s of the aqueous Na–PA solution (concentration: 0.1 g/100 ml) with added NaCl (0.1 N) as a function of load (W). The μ_s value decreases with an increase in W below W=100 g and it becomes constant above W=100 g in accordance with Coulomb's friction law.⁷ All following measurements of μ_s were carried out at W=150 g.

Na-Poly(acrylate)-NaCl-H₂O System

In Figure 2, the μ_s values of Na–PA solutions are plotted against polymer concentration C_p , both in the presence and absence of NaCl. The μ_s values indicated by a square in the figure are constant (0.50 ± 0.01) independent of the NaCl concentration. The μ_s of Na–



Figure 1. Static friction coefficient vs. load W plots for a Na–PA solution. Polymer concentration, 0.1 g/100 ml; NaCl concentration, 0.1 N.



Figure 2. Polymer concentration dependence of μ_s of Na–PA. $(\bar{M}_w = 597 \times 10^3)$. \blacksquare , H₂O and 0.1 N NaCl; NaCl concentration (C_s°) ; \bigcirc , $C_s^{\circ} = 0$; \bigoplus , 0.1 N and plots of adsorption isotherm of Na–PA on glass beads in 0.1 N NaCl at 25°C.

PA solutions first decreased with increasing polymer concentration, then became independent of C_p . In the plateau region, the μ_s decreased with increase of the salt concentration. Moreover, these μ_s values were almost the same values for water soluble nonionic polymers as previously reported.⁴

The adsorption isotherm of Na–PA onto the glass beads is also displayed in Figure 2. We can see a correlation between the adsorption isotherm and friction coefficient-concentration curve, that is, μ_s is high at very small adsorbance A, then with increasing of A, μ_s decreased, and at the plateau region of A μ_s became constant.

Na–Chondroitin Sulphate–NaCl–H₂O System and Na–Hyaluronate–NaCl–H₂O System

The repeating structure of chondroitin sulphate and hyaluronic acid are shown in Figure



Figure 3. The repeating structure of: (a) chondroitin sulphate, and (b) hyaluronic acid.



Figure 4. Polymer concentration dependence of μ_s of Na-chondroithin sulphate in water (**①**) and physiological saline solution (**○**) at 25°C. **■**, H₂O and the saline solution, and plots of adsorption isotherm of Na-Ch on the glass beads from the physiological saline solution at 25°C.

3, the former is a sulphate containing strong polyelectrolyte, while the latter is a carboxy containing weak polyelectrolyte.

The concentration dependence of μ_s values and the adsorption isotherm of Na–Ch are shown in Figure 4. It is clear that this animal origin polyelectrolyte also exhibits static friction coefficient reduction and we observe also the correlation between the adsorption isotherm and the friction coefficient-concentration curve. Comparison between salt free and physiological saline solutions shows that the addition of NaCl reduces μ_s further, however, μ_s values do not differ from those of Na–PA in salt solution.

Na-Hyal, which is an another animal origin



Figure 5. Polymer concentration dependence of μ_s of Na-hyaluronate in physiological saline solution at 25°C. \blacksquare , saline solution, and plots of adsorption isotherm of Na-Hyal on the glass beads from physiological saline solution at 25°C.

polysaccharide is a very interesting polyelectrolyte since it is not only a typical glycosaminoglycan but also a unique friction reduction polymer in animal joints. The concentration dependence of μ_s and the adsorption isotherm of Na–Hyal are shown in Figure 5. The μ_s values first decreased with increasing C_{p} , increased after showing a minimum μ_s , and then leveled off. The minimum μ_s value is the lowest among the examined polyelectrolytes and clearly shows the unique property of Na-Hyal as mentioned above. Above $C_{\rm p} = 0.2 \, {\rm g}/{\rm J}$ 100 ml, Na-Hyal-aqueous NaCl solution becomes very viscous and exhibits gelation, therefore, μ_s values become high. Until the minimum μ_s value which occurs around $C_p =$ 0.1 - 0.15 g/100 ml. adsorption isotherm could be measured, however, at the higher bulk concentration than $C_p = 0.15 \text{ g/100 ml}$ the adsorbance could not be measured because of considerable gelation or association of Na-Hyal which probably caused by hydrogen bonds as infered from its repeating structure. Above $C_p = 0.3 \text{ g}/100 \text{ ml}$ the friction mode would be changed from the boundary lubrication to fluid or viscous lubrication.

It is clear from the above results the existence of closer correlation between the static friction coefficient of thin liquid films of the polyelectrolytes solution-concentration relationship and the adsorption isotherms of the polyelectrolytes.

Bowden and Tabor⁸ proposed a contact model for the mechanism of boundary lubrication caused by adsorbed molecular film. According to them, since the true contact area between solids S is usually not covered completely by the adsorbed film, static friction coefficient μ_s is given by introducing the fraction of uncovered area, α

$$\mu_{\rm s} = F/W = (1/W) \{S\alpha\sigma_{\rm M} + S(1-\alpha)\sigma_{\rm L}\}$$
$$= 1/p[\alpha\sigma_{\rm M} + (1-\alpha)\sigma_{\rm L}]$$
(3)

where p = W/S, $\sigma_{\rm M}$ and $\sigma_{\rm L}$ are the shearing strengths of solid, and adsorbed film, respectively. Therefore to reduce μ_s , the necessary conditions are as follows: (a) $\sigma_L < \sigma_M$ and (b) α should be as small as possible. In general, $\sigma_{\rm L} < \sigma_{\rm M}$ since adsorbed molecular film is much softer than the solid. The adsorption isotherms of tested polyelectrolytes onto glass surfaces are the high affinity type, which is common for polymer and polyelectrolyte adsorption on solid surfaces.⁹ In the very low bulk polymer concentration region, adsorbance and the fraction of occupied sites θ which may be correlated with $(1 - \alpha)$ are small and the adsorbed polymer chains take a flat conformation consist of alternative small loops and trains. Thus the high α value results. In general, with increasing the bulk polymer concentration adsorbance as well as θ increase and simultaneously, the adsorbed layer thickness also increases, thus the fraction of uncovered area α will be decreased. Further increase of polymer concentration induces that both the adsorbance and θ become constant and adsorbed layer thickness also becomes constant, thus resulting constant α . Therefore, the steep increase of adsorbance means the steep reduction of uncovered surface fraction α and at the plateau region of the adsorption isotherm α is very small but reaches a constant value. Hence, $\mu_{\rm s}$ first steeply decreases and then becomes constant, and the coincidence of transition region of $\mu_s vs. C_p$ plots and adsorption isotherm also supports this explanation.

As we have already shown,¹⁰⁻¹² the adsorbance of polyelectrolyte on the uncharged surface and/or the slightly charged surface, which has the same sign of charge of the polyelectrolyte, decreases with the decrease of salt concentration, since electrostatic repulsive force among adsorbed polyions prevents further adsorption. Thus, the adsorbance of polyelectrolyte in salt free system is much smaller than that of salt added system and results higher μ_s values than that in salt added system as shown in Figures 2 and 4.

It is of much interest that the existence of the minimum μ_s in the friction coefficients of Na –Hyal vs. C_p curve and that the fact Na–Hyal is selected as the lubricant of animal joints. However, at the present stage we cannot explain why the unique structure of Na–Hyal is most effective in reducing of μ_s .

In conclusion, thin liquid films of aqueous polyelectrolyte solutions definitely have a lubricating function and the adsorption of polyelectrolyte on solid surfaces is responsible for this function. Na–Hyaluronate, which is the unique and only lubricant employed by the animal joint has the lowest friction coefficient at a specified bulk concentration.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. R. Lewis and C. W. McCutchen, *Nature*, **184**, 1285 (1959).
- 2. V. Wright, D. Dowson, and J. Kerr, Int. Rev. Connective Tissue Res., 6, 105 (1973).
- 3. A. D. Roberts, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 41, 23 (1972).
- T. Kato, N. Kozaki, and A. Takahashi, *Polym. J.*, 18, 111 (1986).
- T. Kato, N. Kozaki, and A. Takahashi, *Polym. J.*, 18, 189 (1986).
- A. Takahashi and M. Nagasawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 543 (1964).
- C. A. Coulomb, "Memoeires de Mathématiquie et de Physique de l'Academie Royale des Sciences," Paris, 1785, p 161.
- F. P. Bowden and D. Tabor, "The Friction and Lubrication of Solid," Part II. The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1950; "The Friction and Lubrication of Solid," Oxford University Press, Boston, 1964.
- A. Takahashi and M. Kawaguchi, *Adv. Polym. Sci.*, 46, 1 (1982).
- A. Takahashi, M. Kawaguchi, and T. Kato, Polymer Science and Technology, Vol. 12, "Adhesion and Adsorption of Polymers," Part B, L-H, Lee Ed., Plenum Press, New York, N.Y., 1980, p 729.
- A. Takahashi, M. Kawaguchi, K. Hayashi, and T. Kato, "Polymer Adsorption and Dispersion Stability," E. D. Goddard and B. Vincent Ed., Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Ser. No. 240, 1984, p 39.
- 12. M. Kawaguchi, K. Hayashi, and A. Takahashi, Macromolecules, 17, 2066 (1984).