Polymerization of Acrylamide Initiated by Copper(II) Amino Acid Chelate- CCl_4 Systems III[†]

C. NAMASIVAYAM*

Department of Chemistry, Bharathiar University,
Coimbatore, 641 046 Tamil Nadu, India

(Received December 16, 1984)

ABSTRACT: It was found that copper(II) amino acid chelates initiate polymerization of acrylamide thermally, in the presence of CCl_4 . Amino acids used were glutamic acid, serine and valine. Analysis of the results shows that at lower concentrations of the initiator the rate of polymerization is proportional to $[\text{initiator}]^{1/2}$ and $[\text{monomer}]^{3/2}$. At higher concentrations of the initiator polymerization was found to be inhibited. Kinetics indicate a radical mechanism of polymerization. The initiating radicals seem to be $\cdot\text{CCl}_3$ radicals, that are formed between CCl_4 and "a loose adduct" resulting from the complex and the monomer. The effect of temperature was also studied. A possible reaction scheme is proposed to explain various reactions occurring in polymerization. Thermal initiation efficiencies of these chelates were found to be much greater than their photochemical counterpart in the absence of CCl_4 .

KEY WORDS Thermal Polymerization / Acrylamide / Copper-Bis(amino acid) Chelates / Carbon Tetrachloride /

Several papers are dealing with the thermal polymerization of vinyl monomers, initiated by transition metal complexes.¹⁻⁸ Such initiation systems might be of interest which create free radicals by chelate interaction with another component of the initiation system. The chelate itself and the other component of the initiation system do not necessarily act as effective initiators for radical reactions when they are used independently. Bamford *et al.*⁶ described an initiation system of copper(II) acetylacetonate with ammonium trichloroacetate. They proposed that a molecular complex is formed between the copper acetylacetonate and ammonium trichloroacetate. This molecular complex directly leads to the formation of $\cdot\text{CCl}_3$ radicals, which are able to initiate radical reactions. Amano *et al.*⁹ assumed the formation $\cdot\text{CCl}_3$ radicals as intermediates in the reaction between bis(—)

ephedrine copper (II) chelate and CCl_4 . Barton *et al.*⁷ studied the kinetics of styrene polymerization initiated by the above system. Recently we¹⁰ have shown photopolymerization of acrylamide initiated by copper(II)-bis(amino acid) chelates. Incidentally we found that these complexes also initiate acrylamide polymerization thermally, but in the presence of CCl_4 . In the present paper we report on the kinetics of the polymerization initiated by three kinds of copper(II) amino acid chelates, namely, bisglutamato cuprate(II), $\text{Cu}(\text{glu})_2$, bisserinatocopper(II), $\text{Cu}(\text{ser})_2$, and bisvalinatocopper(II), $\text{Cu}(\text{val})_2$.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Acrylamide (BDH) was purified by recrystallizing twice from chloroform. CCl_4 was of

[†] For Parts I and II, see ref 11 and 10, respectively.

* Present Address: Department of Chemistry, University of Victoria, Victoria, B. C., Canada V8W 2Y2.

Analytical reagent grade (BDH). The copper amino acid complexes were available from previous studies.¹¹ The purity of the complexes was checked by measuring the absorption spectra and by carrying out elemental analysis as given in ref 10.

Apparatus and Procedures

Polymerizations were carried out in pyrex tubes of 60 ml capacity. The tubes were provided with an inlet and outlet for deaeration. 3 ml of CCl_4 were taken in the tubes for polymerization. Solutions for polymerizations were deaerated thoroughly for 40 min using oxygen free nitrogen.¹⁴ After deaeration, the tubes were sealed with gas-cuts and kept in a thermostat, kept at $60 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$. Monomer conversion was determined gravimetrically as before.¹⁰ The viscosity of the polymer solution was measured in water at $30 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ using an Ubbelohde viscometer. The number-average molecular weight of the polymer was determined using the following relationship.¹⁵

$$\eta = 6.80 \times 10^{-4} M_n^{0.66} \quad (30^\circ\text{C}, \text{ dl g}^{-1}, \text{ water})$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Polymerizations of acrylamide with the complex or CCl_4 were carried out at $\text{pH} = 7$ in aqueous solution at 60°C for 5 h. In both the cases, polymerization was not observed. Only in the presence of both, complex and CCl_4 , polymerization occurred. Plots of the percent conversion of monomer and rate of polymerization, $-\text{d}[\text{M}]/\text{dt}$ versus reaction time are shown in Figures 1—3. The steady state of polymerization was reached in 1 h as shown in Figures 1—3 for all three initiators. Polymerization of acrylamide was carried out for 1 h in the kinetic studies. The results of the studies of polymerization at various concentrations of initiator at a given concentration of monomer and particular temperature show that there is an optimal concentration of the initiator at which maximum conver-

Figure 1. A) Percent monomer conversion vs. time of reaction and B) rate of monomer disappearance vs. time of reaction for $\text{Cu}(\text{glu})_2$.

Figure 2. A) Percent monomer conversion vs. time of reaction and B) rate of monomer disappearance vs. time of reaction for $\text{Cu}(\text{ser})_2$.

Figure 3. A) Percent monomer conversion vs. time of reaction and B) rate of monomer disappearance vs. time of reaction for $\text{Cu}(\text{val})_2$.

Figure 4. Plots of the rate of polymerization vs. the initiator concentration, the monomer exponent, and the apparent activation energy A: ○, $-d[M]/dt \times 10^5 / \text{Ms}^{-1}$ vs. $[C]^{1/2} \times 10 / \text{M}^{1/2}$. B: ●, $-d[M]/dt \times 10^5 / \text{Ms}^{-1}$ vs. $[M]^{3/2} \times 10 / \text{M}^{3/2}$. C: x, $\log(-d[M]/dt) + 6$ vs. $1/T \times 10^3 / \text{K}^{-1}$ for $\text{Cu}(\text{glu})_2$.

Figure 5. Plots of the rate of polymerization vs. the initiator concentration, the monomer exponent, and the apparent activation energy A: ○, $-d[M]/dt \times 10^5 / \text{Ms}^{-1}$ vs. $[C]^{1/2} \times 10 / \text{M}^{1/2}$. B: ●, $-d[M]/dt \times 10^5 / \text{Ms}^{-1}$ vs. $[M]^{3/2} \times 10 / \text{M}^{3/2}$. C: x, $\log(-d[M]/dt) + 6$ vs. $1/T \times 10^3 / \text{K}^{-1}$ for $\text{Cu}(\text{ser})_2$.

sion of monomer occurred. At lower concentrations of initiator [$< 8.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}$ in the case of $\text{Cu}(\text{glu})_2$, $< 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}$, in the case of $\text{Cu}(\text{ser})_2$ and $< 1.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$ in the case of $\text{Cu}(\text{val})_2$] the rate of polymerization was found to be proportional to the square root of the initiator concentration (Figures 4A, 5A, and 6A) and the monomer exponent was 1.5 (Figures 4B, 5B, and 6B). The rate of polymerization was found to be independent of $[\text{CCl}_4]$. The apparent activation energy, E_a for the polymerization was

Figure 6. Plots of the rate of polymerization vs. the initiator concentration, the monomer exponent, and the apparent activation energy A: ●, $-d[M]/dt \times 10^5 / \text{Ms}^{-1}$ vs. $[C]^{1/2} \times 10^2 / \text{M}^{1/2}$. B: ○, $-d[M]/dt \times 10^5 / \text{Ms}^{-1}$ vs. $[M]^{3/2} \times 10 / \text{M}^{3/2}$. C: x, $\log(-d[M]/dt) + 6$ vs. $1/T \times 10^3 / \text{K}^{-1}$ for $\text{Cu}(\text{val})_2$.

calculated from the Arrhenius plot of $\log(-d[M]/dt)$ versus $1/T$ (Figures 4C, 5C, and 6C). The effect of pH on monomer conversion is shown in Table I.

Reaction Mechanism

Kinetic data indicate a radical mechanism, operating in all three systems. In the light of our experimental observations the following mechanism is proposed for all three.

Production of Initiating Radicals

Here, a loose molecular adduct (Q) between the initiator (C) and the monomer (M) is proposed to be formed. Acrylamide is known to interact with cerium,¹⁶ iron nitrate,¹⁷ and $\text{Co}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{Cl}_3$ ¹⁸ forming labile complexes which undergo subsequent decompositions leading to initiating free radicals. Formation of a loose adduct between the copper-bisamino acid complex and acrylamide is indicated by the change in the spectrum in the UV region. It is also proposed that the "loose molecular adduct" interacts with CCl_4 to produce $\cdot\text{CCl}_3$ radicals. The $\cdot\text{CCl}_3$ radicals are known to initiate polymerization of acrylamide^{7,19} and methyl methacrylate.²⁴

where K_Q = equilibrium constant for the formation of Q .

It is assumed that the termination of polymerization occurs by combination or disproportionation of polymer radicals. Under steady state formation of $\cdot CCl_3$, the following expression for the rate of monomer disappearance, $-d[M]/dt$, is obtained.

$$\frac{-d[M]}{dt} = k_p[M]^{3/2}[C]^{1/2} \left[\frac{k'_2 K_Q}{k_t} \right]^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

where

$$k'_2 = k_2[CCl_4],$$

k_p = rate constant for propagation,

k_t = rate constant for termination,

$$\frac{-d[M]}{dt} = K_h[M]^{3/2}[C]^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

where

$$K_h = \left[k_p \frac{k'_2 K_Q}{k_t} \right]^{1/2}$$

Our experimental results show that the dependence of $-d[M]/dt$ on $[C]$ and $[M]$ and the independence of $-d[M]/dt$ on $[CCl_4]$ are consistent with the above proposed mechanism. At lower concentrations of initiator $[C] < 8.5 \times 10^{-2} M$ in the case of $Cu(glu)_2$, $[C] < 2.0 \times 10^{-2} M$ in the case of $Cu(ser)_2$ and $[C] < 1.8 \times 10^{-3} M$ in the case of $Cu(val)_2$ we observed an initiator exponent of 0.5 and monomer exponent of 1.5 in accordance with eq 4 indicating that bimolecular termination is predominant at lower concentrations of initiators. At higher concentrations of initiators, the percent monomer conversion decreased and the mechanism of termination became complicated.

Effect of pH on Monomer Conversion

As the pH of the solution decreased (from 7 to 5) the monomer conversion decreased with

Table I. Effect of pH on % conversion of acrylamide monomer by initiators $Cu(glu)_2$, $Cu(ser)_2$, and $Cu(val)_2$ ^a

pH	% Monomer conversion		
	$Cu(glu)_2$	$Cu(ser)_2$	$Cu(val)_2$
9.0	44.2	30.2	25.2
8.0	43.2	28.8	23.8
7.0	42.9	28.1	24.6
6.0	37.5	20.1	11.6
5.0	7.7	7.6	5.3

^a [initiator], $Cu(glu)_2$ 2.5×10^{-2} , $Cu(ser)_2$ 1.3×10^{-2} , and $Cu(val)_2$ $1.8 \times 10^{-3} M$; reaction time, 1 h; [Monomer], $Cu(glu)_2$ 0.25, $Cu(ser)_2$ 0.19, and $Cu(val)_2$ 0.31 M; temp, 60°C.

all three initiators. On increasing the pH from 7 to 9, the monomer conversion was not found to change (Table I). In the distribution diagram¹⁰ of various $Cu(II)$ -amino acid complex species at different pH, it can be seen that the concentrations of 1:1 and 1:2 complex species and free $Cu(II)$ ions remain nearly the same at $pH \geq 7$. The concentration of 1:2 complex species decreased from *ca.* 90% at $pH = 7$ to *ca.* 35% at $pH = 5$, the concentration of 1:1 complex species increased from *ca.* 5% at $pH = 7$ to *ca.* 60% at $pH = 5$, the concentration of free Cu^{2+} ions gradually increased from *ca.* 0% at $pH = 7$ to *ca.* 3.5% at $pH = 5$. The decrease in the monomer conversion as the pH is lowered is attributed to the corresponding decrease in the concentration of 1:2 complex species and to the scavenging of the polymer radicals by the free $Cu(II)$ ion present in the solution.²⁰⁻²³ Since the concentration of 1:2 complex species remained almost constant at $pH \geq 7$ the monomer conversion did not change.

Effect of Temperature on the Rate of Polymerization

The activation energy E_a was calculated from the plots of $\log(-d[M]/dt)$ vs. $1/T$ for the three initiators. E_a of 2.0, 2.2, and 1.6 kcal mol^{-1} were obtained for the initiators $Cu(glu)_2$, $Cu(ser)_2$, and $Cu(val)_2$, respectively.

Table II. Values of proportionality constant, K_h

Initiator system	Proportionality constant, K_h	
	From $-d[M]/dt$ vs. $[M]^{3/2}$	From $-d[M]/dt$ vs. $[C]^{1/2}$
Cu(glu) ₂ -CCl ₄	1.47×10^{-3}	1.10×10^{-3}
Cu(ser) ₂ -CCl ₄	1.49×10^{-3}	1.36×10^{-3}
Cu(val) ₂ -CCl ₄	2.66×10^{-3}	2.19×10^{-3}

Table III. Comparison of photo- and thermal polymerizations

Initiator system	Maximum % monomer conversion obtained	
	Photochemical ^a	Thermal ^b
Cu(glu) ₂ -CCl ₄	15	65
Cu(ser) ₂ -CCl ₄	7	35
Cu(val) ₂ -CCl ₄	12	25

^a Initiator, Cu(glu)₂ and Cu(ser)₂ 3×10^{-3} ; Cu(val)₂ 2.4×10^{-3} M. Irradiation time, Cu(glu)₂ and Cu(val)₂ 120; Cu(ser)₂ 150 min. Light intensity, Cu(glu)₂ and Cu(ser)₂ 1.3×10^{-7} and Cu(val)₂, 1.0×10^{-7} ein $l^{-1} s^{-1}$.

^b [Initiator], Cu(glu)₂ 8.5×10^{-2} , Cu(ser)₂ 2×10^{-2} , and Cu(val)₂ 1.8×10^{-3} M; reaction time, 1 h; temp, 60°C.

Proportionality Constant, K_h

Proportionality constant, K_h , in eq 4 was obtained from the slopes of the plots $-d[M]/dt$ vs. $[M]^{3/2}$ and $-d[M]/dt$ vs. $[C]^{1/2}$ in the case of each initiator (see Table II). Values of K_h from the plots $-d[M]/dt$ vs. $[M]^{3/2}$ and $-d[M]/dt$ vs. $[C]^{1/2}$ were nearly the same indicating the validity of the proposed reaction mechanism.

Comparison of Photo- and Thermal Polymerizations

A comparison of the photochemical and thermal polymerizations indicates that the thermal polymerization gives greater monomer conversion than the photochemical counterpart (see Table III). Number-average molecular weight, M_n , of the polymers obtained in both the cases are high. M_n of the poly(acrylamide) obtained by photochemical and thermal polymerizations were found to be 8.5×10^8 and 1.06×10^9 respectively. Such an

extraordinary high molecular weight of the polymer may be attributed to the presence of a gel. It may be concluded that the amino acid chelates of copper(II) used in this investigation, namely Cu(glu)₂, Cu(ser)₂, and Cu(val)₂ show better initiating efficiency as thermal initiators than as photo initiators. The initiating species was a copper(I)-amino acid radical in the case of photo-polymerization¹¹ and $\cdot CCl_3$ radical in the case of thermal polymerization reactions.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the University Grants Commission, Government of India for financial assistance (code No. 10146). The author is thankful to Dr. P. Shanmugam for encouragement. The author also thanks the referees for their useful comments.

REFERENCES

1. E. M. Arnett and M. A. Mendelsohn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **84**, 3821 (1962).
2. E. G. Kasting, H. Naarmann, H. Reis, and C. Berding, *Angew. Chem.*, **77**, 313 (1965).
3. C. H. Bamford and D. J. Lind, *Chem. Ind.*, 1627 (1965).
4. K. M. Riches, *Makromol. Chem.*, **103**, 175 (1967).
5. C. H. Bamford and D. J. Lind, *Chem. Commun.*, 792 (1966).
6. C. H. Bamford, G. C. Eastmond, and J. A. Rippon, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **59**, 2548 (1963).
7. J. Barton and M. Lazar, *Makromol. Chem.*, **124**, 38 (1969).
8. J. Barton and J. M. Vlckova, *Makromol. Chem.*, **178**, 513 (1977).
9. Y. Amano and T. Uno, *J. Chem. Soc. Jpn., Pure Chem. Sect. (Nippon Kagaku Zasshi)*, **86**, 1105 (1965).
10. C. Namasivayam and P. Natarajan, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed.*, **21**, 1385 (1983).
11. C. Namasivayam and P. Natarajan, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed.*, **21**, 1371 (1983).
12. T. Yasui, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **38**, 1746 (1965).
13. D. P. Graddon and L. Munday, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, **23**, 231 (1961).
14. L. F. Fieser, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **46**, 2639 (1924).
15. E. Collinson, F. S. Dainton, and G. S. McNaughton, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **53**, 489 (1957).
16. H. Narita, T. Okimoto, and S. Machida, *Makromol. Chem.*, **157**, 153 (1972).

17. H. Narita, Y. Sakamoto, and S. Machida, *Makromol. Chem.*, **143**, 279 (1971).
18. G. A. Delzenne, *J. Polym. Sci., C*, **16**, 1027 (1967).
19. J. Barton, F. Szocs, and J. Nemcek, *Makromol. Chem.*, **124**, 28 (1969).
20. W. I. Bengough and W. H. Fairservice, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **67**, 414 (1971).
21. W. I. Bengough and T. O'Neill, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **64**, 2415 (1968).
22. M. Imoto, T. Ouchi, Y. Nakamura and H. Oguchi, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed.*, **13**, 131 (1975).
23. G. S. Misra and C. V. Gupta, *Makromol. Chem.*, **168**, 105 (1973).
24. K. Tabuchi and N. Sakota, *Polym. J.*, **15**, 57 (1983).