Second Virial Coefficient of Binary Polystyrene Mixtures in Cyclohexane below the Theta Temperature

Zhen TONG and Yoshiyuki EINAGA

Department of Macromolecular Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan

(Received March 27, 1984)

ABSTRACT: The second virial coefficient A_2 for binary polystyrene mixtures F1 (10000) + F7 (74000) and F4 (43600) + F40 (491000) in cyclohexane was determined by light scattering in the temperature range from θ down near the cloud point. Here, the figures in the parentheses indicate the weight-average molecular weights of the component "monodisperse" samples. It was found that A_2 did not depend on either the molecular weights of the component polymers or the composition of the mixture but varied only with temperature.

KEY WORDS Second Virial Coefficient / Polystyrene / Cyclohexane / Light Scattering /

In our recent work,¹ it was found that the second virial coefficient A_2 of "monodisperse" (*i.e.*, very narrow-distribution) polystyrene in cyclohexane did not depend on the polymer molecular weight at temperatures below θ , the theta temperature for the system. This finding immediately led us to the expectation that A_2 of polydisperse polystyrene in cyclohexane in the same temperature range may not depend on the average molecular weights or the molecular weight distribution of the sample. Thus, we carried out a light scattering determination of A_2 for binary mixtures of "monodisperse" polystyrenes in cyclohexane at temperatures from θ down to about 1°C above the cloud point. This paper presents the experimental data obtained.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Four "monodisperse" polystyrene samples F1, F4, F40, and F7, the first three supplied by Toyo Soda Co., were subjected to precipitation and/or column fractionation. GPC measurements showed that the fractionated

samples had M_w/M_n ratios (M_w and M_n are the weight-average and number-average molecular weights) not greater than 1.03, and hence these samples were regarded as essentially monodisperse in molecular weight.

The M_w values for samples F1, F4, and F40, determined by light scattering in benzene at 25°C, were 10000, 43600, and 491000, respectively, and that for sample F7 by low-angle light scattering GPC was 74000.

Solvent cyclohexane (Nakarai Chemical Co.) was freshly distilled over sodium before each light scattering experiment.

Preparation of Solutions

Binary mixtures F1+F7 and F4+F40 were used as test samples. The code and composition of each sample are shown in Table I, where ξ denotes the weight fraction of the lower molecular weight component in the mixture.

Complete mixing of polymers was ensured by dissolving their mixture in benzene and then allowing the solvent to evaporate completely under vacuum. Test solutions were prepared by the procedure described previ-

Mixture	ξ.	M _{wcalcd}	$M_{ m wobsd}$
F1+F7 F7	0		74000
B25	0.250	57800	59700
B50	0.500	42000	41900
B75	0.750	26000	26300
F1	1	. —	10000
F4+F40 F40	0	_	491000
S25	0.250	379000	379000
S50	0.500	267000	288000
S99	0.990	48100	49300
F 4	1		43600

 Table I. Composition and weight-average molecular weights of the binary polystyrene mixtures

ously.1

The total polymer volume fraction ϕ was used as the concentration variable and defined by

$$\phi = [1 + (v_0/v_p)(w^{-1} - 1)]^{-1}$$
(1)

where w is the total polymer weight fraction, and v_0 and v_p , the specific volumes of the solvent and the polymer, respectively. The values of 1.2923 cm³ g⁻¹ and 0.9343 cm³ g⁻¹, referring to 25°C, were used for v_0 and v_p , respectively, at any temperature studied.

Light Scattering Measurements

Scattering intensities from the solvent and solutions were measured at angles between 30 to 150°, using a Fica 50 photometer with vertically polarized incident light of wavelength 546.1 nm. The apparatus was calibrated with benzene as the standard liquid. The temperatures studied ranged from the θ temperature (34.5°C) to about 1°C above the cloud point of the test solution. Temperature fluctuation was less than $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$ C during each measurement.

For a quasibinary polymer solution, the excess Rayleigh ratio ΔR_0 at zero scattering angle is related to the derivatives μ_{ij} of the chemical potential μ_i of the solute component *i* with respect to molality m_j of the solute component *j* by²

$$\Delta R_0 = K_{\phi} R T \frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \Delta_{ij}}{|\mu|}$$
(2)

Here, $|\mu|$ is the determinant whose elements are μ_{ij} , Δ_{ij} the cofactor for the element μ_{ij} , and *RT* has the usual meaning. The optical factor K_{ϕ} is given by

$$K_{\phi} = \frac{4\pi^2 n^2}{N_{\rm A} \lambda_0^4} V_0 \left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial \phi}\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

where *n* is the refractive index of the solution, V_0 the molar volume of the solvent, N_A the Avogadro constant, and $\partial n/\partial \phi$ the refractive index increment on the ϕ basis. Values of $\partial n/\partial \phi$ at various temperatures and concentrations were claculated from Scholte's equation^{3,4} as described in the previous paper.¹

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Angular Dependence of ΔR_{θ}

Figures 1a and 1b show $K_{\phi}/\Delta R_{\theta}$ vs. $\sin^2(\theta/2)$ plots for samples S25 and B75 at the indicated concentrations, where ΔR_{θ} is the excess Rayleigh ratio at an angle of θ . The data points for S25 at different temperatures are accurately fitted by straight lines, permitting $K_{\phi}/\Delta R_0$ to be evaluated by extrapolation to $\theta = 0$. The data points for B75 at temperatures higher than 25°C also follow straight lines but those at lower temperatures markedly deviate downward from a linear relation as θ approaches 0°. The latter behavior was observed only in F1+F7 mixtures at ϕ lower than 0.05, and may be attributed to microphase separation in the solution. The $K_{\phi}/\Delta R_0$ values for those mixtures were estimated by extrapolating high angle data as illustrated by the dashed lines in Figure 1b.

Molecular Weight M_w

Figure 2 depicts the concentration dependence of $\phi K_{\phi}/\Delta R_0$ for samples B75 and S25. It can be seen that $\phi K_{\phi}/\Delta R_0$ at 34.5°C (the θ temperature) is almost constant at low ϕ and





Figure 1a. Angular dependence of $K_{\phi}/\Delta R_{\theta}$ for the sample S25 at the temperatures indicated.





Figure 2. Plots of $\phi K_{\phi}/\Delta R_0$ vs. ϕ for the samples B75 and S25 at the temperatures indicated.

Polymer J., Vol. 16, No. 8, 1984

begins to increase at a relatively high ϕ , indicating A_2 to be essentially zero at this temperature. The curves fitting the data points at lower temperatures have negative initial slopes and tend to bend upward at higher ϕ , giving negative A_2 and higher virial coefficients which cannot be neglected.

For each mixture, the curves at different temperatures could all be extrapolated to the same ordinate intercept, which equals the inverse of the weight-average relative chain length P_w for the mixture. The weight-average molecular weight M_w was calculated from P_w by

$$M_{\rm w} = (V_0/v_{\rm p})P_{\rm w} \tag{4}$$

The values of M_{wobsd} in Table I show M_w so obtained for all the mixtures studied. These are in close agreement with the values (M_{wcalcd}) calculated from M_w of the components and ξ of the mixture, indicating that no intermolecular association occurred down to a temperature close to the cloud point when the solution was very dilute.

Second Virial Coefficient A_2 We define Z by

$$Z \equiv [1/\phi P_w + 1/(1-\phi) - K_{\phi}/\Delta R_0]/2 \quad (5)$$

which contains only experimentally measurable quantities. The general theory of light scattering² shows that $K_{\phi}/\Delta R_0$ can be represented by

$$K_{\phi}/\Delta R_0 = 1/\phi P_w + 2(V_0/v_p^2)A_2 + 0(\phi)$$
 (6)

Substitution of eq 6 into eq 5 gives the second virial coefficient A_2 in terms of Z_0 as

$$A_2 = (v_p^2/V_0)(1/2 - Z_0) \tag{7}$$

Here, Z_0 is the value of Z at zero concentration. Figure 3 shows the ϕ dependence of Z for the six polystyrene mixtures studied. The data points at each temperature follow a straight line or a curve somewhat bent upward and allow Z_0 to be evaluated accurately.

Figure 4 shows the ξ dependence of $-A_2$ at



Figure 3. Concentration dependence of Z for all the samples at the temperatures indicated; see eq 5 for the definition of Z.

Polymer J., Vol. 16, No. 8, 1984



Figure 4. Plots of $-A_2$ vs. ξ for the mixtures F1+F7 and F4+F40. Temperatures are as follows: \bigcirc , 30° C; \bigoplus , 28° C; \bigoplus , 26° C; \bigoplus , 20° C; \bigoplus , 18° C. Solid lines represent the mean values of $-A_2$ for the components of the mixtures.

fixed temperatures below θ for two series of binary mixtures. Though the data points are somewhat scattered, those at each temperature can be fitted by a horizontal line representing the mean value of $-A_2$ for the components of the mixture. Thus, it may be concluded that A_2 for our polystyrene mixtures in cyclohexane below θ is essentially independent of ξ , *i.e.*, the heterogeneity of the sample.

The data points in Figure 5 give $-A_2$ for all mixtures studied as a function of T^{-1} , where T is the absolute temperature. They scatter around the solid line fitting the A_2 data previously obtained for "monodisperse" polystyrene in cyclohexane. This indicates that the molecular weights and mixing ratio of the component polystyrene samples virtually have no effect on A_2 in cyclohexane below θ . Whether this is valid or not for a polystyrene mixture containing more than two components is left for future investigation.

Acknowledgements. We express our appre-



Figure 5. $-A_2$ plotted against T^{-1} for the following samples: \bigcirc , S25; \bigcirc , S50; \bigcirc , S99; \bigcirc , B25; \bigcirc , B50; \bigcirc , B75. Solid line represents the data obtained for monodisperse polystyrenes. The probable experimental error is indicated by a vertical bar in the figure.

ciation to Professor H. Fujita for his assistance in the preparation of this manuscript. Z. Tong acknowledges the support of the government of China, by which he could study at the Graduate School of Macromolecular Science, Osaka University, Japan.

REFERENCES

- Z. Tong, S. Ohashi, Y. Einaga, and H. Fujita, *Polym. J.*, **15**, 835 (1983).
- 2. M. Kurata, "Thermodynamics of Polymer Solutions," translated from the Japanese by H. Fujita, Harwood Academic, New York, 1982.
- 3. Th. G. Scholte, J. Polym. Sci., A-2, 8, 841 (1970).
- 4. Th. G. Scholte, Eur. Polym. J., 6, 1063 (1970).