Polymerization of Methylpentynes by Transition Metal Catalysts: Monomer Structure, Reactivity, and Polymer Properties*

Toshio MASUDA, Masaaki KAWASAKI, Yoshimichi OKANO, and Toshinobu HIGASHIMURA

Department of Polymer Chemistry, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.

(Received December 25, 1981)

ABSTRACT: Polymerization of three methylpentynes, that is, 4-methyl-1-pentyne, 3-methyl-1-pentyne and 4-methyl-2-pentyne by MCl_n , MCl_n . Ph_4Sn , or $M(CO)_6$ - CCl_4 -hv (M=Mo, W) provided in high yields polymers, whose molecular weights were around 1×10^4 . While Ziegler-type catalysts could polymerize only *prim*- and s-alkylacetylenes, Mo- or W-based catalysts proved to polymerize all seven isomers of 1-hexyne, (C_6 alkynes) including the methylpentynes. Isomerization did not occur during the polymerization. The color and softening point of the seven polymers were well correlated to the number and branching of side chain. These polymers generally showed low electrical conductivities ($\sim 10^{-18}$ ohm⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and unpaired electron densities ($\leq 10^{16}$ spin g⁻¹).

KEY WORDS Coordination Polymerization / Transition Metal Catalyst / Tungsten Hexachloride / Molybdenum Pentachloride / Methylpentyne / Aliphatic Acetylene / Monomer Reactivity / Electrical Conductivity / Unpaired Electron Density /

Ziegler-type catalysts are known to bring about the polymerization of acetylenes. However, Zieglertype catalysts can give high molecular weight polymers only from sterically unhindered acetylenes such as acetylene itself² and linear 1-alkynes.³

We have found that molybdenum (Mo) and tungsten (W) based catalysts polymerize rather sterically hindered acetylenes like t-butylacetylene, 2-alkynes and sym-dialkylacetylenes, and extremely high polymers (M_w 10⁵—10⁶) are formed from t-butylacetylene and 2-alkynes. On the other hand, the polymerization of 1-hexyne by Mo- and W-based catalysts affords not only low molecular weight polymers ($M_n \sim 4000$) but also cyclic trimers. In this way, both the position of triple bond and the branching of substituent(s) in an acetylenic monomer greatly affect polymerizability and polymer molecular weight. This will be further clarified by a study of the polymerization of methylpentynes which have the identical molecular for-

mula, C_6H_{10} .

The present paper deals with the polymerization of three methylpentynes (4-methyl-1-pentyne, 3methyl-1-pentyne and 4-methyl-2-pentyne), and presents a comparison of polymerizabilities of all seven isomers of 1-hexyne (referred to as C₆ alkynes below). Three kinds of catalysts exploited by us are used: i) MoCl₅, WCl₆, which are effective for monosubstituted acetylenes, 4,6 ii) MoCl₅ · Ph₄Sn (1:1), WCl₆·Ph₄Sn (1:1), which show high activity for disubstituted acetylenes, 1,5 iii) Mo(CO)₆-CCl₄-hv, W(CO)₆-CCl₄-hv, which produce very polymers [e.g., poly(t-butylacetylene)]. Two Ziegler-type catalysts are also employed for comparison: Fe(acac)₃·3Et₃Al, Ti(OnBu)₄· 4Et₃Al, which have been successfully used for the polymerizations of prim- or s-alkylacetylenes³ and acetylene,2 respectively. Further, the structure and properties of the polymers formed from C₆ alkynes are discussed in detail.

^{*} Polymerization of Aliphatic Acetylenes. IV. For Part III, see ref 1.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

4-Methyl-1-pentyne and 3-methyl-1-pentyne were purchased from Farchan Labs, USA. 4-Methyl-2-pentyne was prepared from 4-methyl-2-pentanone by chlorination and subsequent dehydrochlorination with a slight modification of the synthesis of *t*-butylacetylene.^{8,9} Overall yield 55%. These monomers were distilled from calcium hydride at atmospheric pressure. Catalysts were commercially obtained and used without further purification. Solvents for polymerization were purified by standard methods, thoroughly dried, and deaerated before use.

Procedures

The polymerization procedure is the same as described before.^{1,4} The number-average molecular weights of polymers were determined on a Hitachi 117 molecular weight apparatus. Combustion analysis was performed by the laboratory for organic elemental microanalysis, Kyoto University. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL FX90Q spectrometer. Unpaired electron densities were determined at room temperature with a JEOL PE-2X

ESR spectrometer, using 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl as a reference. Electrical conductivities were measured as described elsewhere.¹⁰

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Polymerization of 4-Methyl-1-pentyne

4-Methyl-1-pentyne (*i*-butylacetylene) is a *prim*-alkylacetylene like 1-hexyne. The polymerization of 4-methyl-1-pentyne by various catalysts are shown in Table I (No. 1—8). The polymerizations by MoCl_5 and WCl_6 in toluene proceeded in high conversions. The addition of Ph_4Sn as cocatalyst hardly affected the final conversions after 24 h polymerization, although the initial rate may have been accelerated. The metal carbonyl catalysts brought about polymerization in a similar manner. It can be said that W-based catalysts generally show higher activity than corresponding Mo-based catalysts. Two Ziegler-type catalysts also polymerized this monomer.

Table I also includes the results of polymerization in various solvents carried out with the WCl₆·Ph₄Sn catalyst (No. 9—14). Not only hydrocarbons but also halogenated hydrocarbons and ethers were similarly useful as solvents.

Table I. Polymerization of 4-methyl-1-pentyne^a

No.	0.11		Convn	Yield ^b		
	Catalyst	Solvent	%	%	M_n	
1	MoCl ₅	Toluene	68°	32	4600	
2	WCl ₆	Toluene	100^{d}	73	4900	
3	MoCl ₅ ·Ph ₄ Sn	Toluene	40	22	4700	
4	WCl ₆ ·Ph ₄ Sn	Toluene	100	77	5300	
5	Mo(CO) ₆ -CCl ₄ -hv ^e	CCl_4	58	5		
6	$W(CO)_6$ - CCl_4 - hv^e	CCl ₄	100	85	8100	
7	$Fe(acac)_3 \cdot 3Et_3Al$	Toluene		33	3500	
8	$Ti(OnBu)_4 \cdot 4Et_3Al$	Toluene	_	38	8400	
9	WCl ₆ · Ph₄Sn	Cyclohexane	100	78	5700	
10	"	Cyclohexane	100	80	7500	
11	"	CCl ₄	100	77	5300	
12	"	$(CH_2Cl)_2$	76	38	3500	
13	"	1,4-Dioxane	100	89	10000	
14	″	Anisole	89	59	5300	

^a Polymerized at 30°C for 24 h: $[M]_0 = 1.0 M$, [Cat] = 20 mM.

^b Yield of methanol-insoluble polymers.

^c Methanol-soluble products: linear oligomer, 27%; 1,2,4-cyclotrimer, 6%; 1,3,5-cyclotrimer 3%.

d Methanol-soluble products: linear oligomer, 19%; 1,2,4-cyclotrimer, 4%; 1,3,5-cyclotrimer, 4%.

e Irradiated with UV light at 30°C for 1 h.

The molecular weights of methanol-insoluble polymers were not very high (M_n 3500—10000), and methanol-soluble products (linear oligomer and cyclic trimers, each evaluated by liquid chromatography and ¹H NMR) were obtained in considerable amounts. The W(CO)₆-CCl₄- $h\nu$ catalyst was more favorable to the formation of high polymer than was WCl₆. Polymer molecular weight was the highest when 1,4-dioxane was used as solvent in the WCl₆ Ph₄Sn-catalyzed polymerization; the same tendency is also observed in the polymerization of phenylacetylene. ¹¹

The analytical and spectral data of poly(4-methyl-1-pentyne) (sample from Table I, No. 4) are as follows: *Anal.* Calcd for $(C_6H_{10})_n$: C, 87.73%; H, 12.27%. Found: C, 87.21%; H, 12.53%. IR 2950 (s), 2900 (s), 2850 (s), 1650—1580 (w), 1460 (m), 1380 (m), 1360 (m), 1160 (m), 1090 (w), 910 (w), 890 (w), and 800 cm⁻¹ (w). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.5—5.0 (br s, 1, -CH =), 1.96 (s, 3, =CCH₂CH), and 0.86 ppm (s, 6, 2CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 138.6 (C₂), 127.8 (C₁), 43.4 (C₃), 27.3 (C₄), and 22.7 ppm (C₅, Me). UV_{max} (cyclohexane) 250 nm (ϵ 1900).

The IR spectrum shows a band due to conjugated double bonds at 1650—1580 cm⁻¹, and all the signals in ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are readily assigned. Thus these spectral data support the polymer structure formed without isomerization, that

is, $(CH = CiBu)_n$. This polymer has the form of yellow powder, and was soluble in low-polarity solvents such as *n*-hexane, benzene, carbon tetrachloride and chloroform while insoluble in polar solvents like acetone, ethyl acetate, acetonitrile and dimethyl sulfoxide.

Polymerization of 3-Methyl-1-pentyne

The polymerization of 3-methyl-1-pentyne (s-butylacetylene), a terminal alkyne bearing a secondary alkyl group, was examined with various catalysts and solvents. As can be seen from Table II, this monomer was polymerized in high yields by both MoCl₅ and WCl₆ by themselves. It is noted that, in this case, the catalytic activity of MoCl₅ is comparable to or somewhat greater than that of WCl₆. Like the polymerization of 4-methyl-1-pentyne, Ph₄Sn had practically no influence on the final conversions and polymer yields, all of Mo-, W-based and Ziegler-type catalysts were effective, and polymerization occurred in a variety of solvents.

The molecular weights of poly(3-methyl-1-pentyne) obtained with the Mo- and W-based catalysts spanned from 3000 to 14000. Further, molecular weight reached 22000 at 0°C with MoCl₅·Ph₄Sn in toluene. It should be noted that Fe(acac)₃·3Et₃Al afforded a polymer having even higher molecular weight.

No.	Catalyst	C - 1	Convn	Yield ^b	M_n	
		Solvent	%	%		
1	MoCl ₅	Toluene 100		92	9900	
2	WCl_6	Toluene	96	79	5500	
3	MoCl ₅ · Ph ₄ Sn	Toluene	100	92	13000	
4	$WCl_6 \cdot Ph_4Sn$	Toluene	100	85	5300	
5	Mo(CO) ₆ -CCl ₄ -hv ^c	CCl_4	24	22	3300	
6	$W(CO)_6$ - CCl_4 - hv^c	CCl ₄	100	~100	13000	
7	$Fe(acac)_3 \cdot 3Et_3Al$	Toluene		96	27000	
8	$Ti(OnBu)_4 \cdot 4Et_3Al$	Toluene	_	14		
9	MoCl ₅ ·Ph ₄ Sn	Cyclohexane	100	~100	14000	
10	"	Cyclohexene	71	60	9300	
11	″	CCl ₄	100	93	9200	
12	″	$(CH_2Cl)_2$	100	87	9700	
13	″	1,4-Dioxane	98	80	14000	
14	"	Anisole	100	85	11000	

Table II. Polymerization of 3-methyl-1-pentyne^a

^a Polymerized at 30°C for 24 h: $[M]_0 = 1.0 M$, [Cat] = 20 mM.

^b Yield of methanol-insoluble polymers.

^c Irradiated with UV light at 30°C for 1 h.

The analytical and spectral data of poly(3-methyl-1-pentyne) (sample from Table II, No. 4) are: Anal. Calcd for $(C_6H_{10})_n$: C, 87.73%; H, 12.27%. Found: C, 87.48%; H, 12.32%. IR 2950 (s), 2900 (s), 2850 (s), 1650—1580 (w), 1460 (m), 1370 (m), 1010 (w), 950 (w), 880 (w), and 770 cm⁻¹ (w). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.3—5.6 (br s, 1, -CH=), 2.92 (s, 1, =CCH), 1.36 (s, 2, -CH₂-), and 0.91 ppm (s, 6, 2CH₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 143.9 (C₂), 126.9 (C₁), 37.1 (C₃), 28.7 (C₄), 20.0 (Me), and 12.4 ppm (C₅). UV_{max} (cyclohexane) 296 nm (ϵ 2700).

Based on the above data, poly(3-methyl-1-pentyne) is concluded to have the expected conjugated double bonds structure, (CH=CsBu)_n. This polymer is in the form of light yellow powder, and showed solubility similar to that of poly(4-methyl-1-pentyne) (vide supra).

Polymerization of 4-Methyl-2-pentyne

4-Methyl-2-pentyne is a disubstituted acetylene and the most sterically hindered among the C_6 alkynes. Since this monomer was less reactive than 4-methyl-1-pentyne and 3-methyl-1-pentyne, the polymerization was carried out at higher temperature and catalyst concentration (60°C, [Cat]= 30 mM). As a consequence, only WCl₆·Ph₄Sn afforded a methanol-insoluble polymer among eight catalysts examined (see Table III). It is not clear at

present why $MoCl_5$ Ph_4Sn was ineffective. The effect of solvent on polymer yield should also be noted. For instance, no polymer was formed in ethers in the WCl_6 Ph_4Sn -catalyzed polymerization. The polymer molecular weights were about 1×10^4 or lower.

The analytical and spectral data of poly(4-methyl-2-pentyne) (sample from Table III, No. 4): *Anal.* Calcd for $(C_6H_{10})_n$: C, 87.73%; H, 12.27%. Found: C, 87.16%; H, 12.34%. IR 2950 (s), 2900 (s), 2850 (s), 1650—1580 (w), 1470 (m), 1380 (m), 1360 (m), 1090 (m), 1030 (m), 1010 (m), 800 (w), and 700 cm⁻¹ (w). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.88 (s, 1, CH), 1.77 (s, 3, $-C(CH_3)=$), and 1.03 ppm (s, 6, $-C(CH_3)_2$). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 143.8 (C₃), 130.5 (C₂), 33.0 (C₄), and 22.7 ppm (C₁, C₅, Me). UV_{max} (cyclohexane) 283 nm (ε 610).

These data indicate that the polymer has the expected structure, $(CMe=CiPr)_n$ from which it is concluded that the monomer is not isomerized to 4-methyl-1-pentyne in the course of polymerization. Poly(4-methyl-2-pentyne) is a white powder, and also dissolved completely in nonpolar solvents like benzene.

Monomer Reactivity and Catalyst Activity

Table IV summarizes the polymerizability of all seven C₆ alkynes and the activity of Mo-, W-based

No.	Catalyst	0.1	Convn	Yield ^b	M_n	
		Solvent	%	%		
1	MoCl ₅	Toluene	7	0		
2	WCl_6	Toluene	13	~0		
3	MoCl ₅ ·Ph ₄ Sn	Toluene	0	0	_	
4	WCl ₆ · Ph₄Sn	Toluene	85	73	8400	
5	Mo(CO) ₆ -CCl ₄ -hv ^c	CCl_4	0	0		
6	$W(CO)_6$ - CCl_4 - hv^c	CCl ₄	0	0		
7	$Fe(acac)_3 \cdot 3Et_3Al$	Toluene	_	0		
8	$Ti(OnBu)_4 \cdot 4Et_3Al$	Toluene	_	0	_	
9	WCl ₆ · Ph₄Sn	Cyclohexane	78	57	10000	
10	"	Cyclohexene	100	~100	11000	
11	"	CCl₄	47	35	5800	
12	"	$(CH_2Cl)_2$	40	19	3400	
13	"	1,4-Dioxane	0	0		
14	"	Anisole	0	0	_	

Table III. Polymerization of 4-methyl-2-pentyne^a

^a Polymerized at 60° C for 24 h: $[M]_0 = 1.0 \text{ M}$, [Cat] = 30 mM.

^b Yield of methanol-insoluble polymers.

[°] Irradiated with UV light at 30°C for 1 h.

			•	•	•	•	•	•	
C ₆ alkyne	MoCl ₅	WCl ₆	MoCl ₅ · Ph ₄ Sn	WCl ₆ · Ph ₄ Sn	Mo(CO) ₆	W(CO) ₆	Fe(acac) ₃ · 3Et ₃ Al	Ti(OnBu) ₄ · 4Et ₃ Al	Catalyst activity ^b
C≡C-C-C-C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	W > Mo
$C \equiv C - C - C - C$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	W > Mo
C ≡ C-C-C-C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$Mo \simeq W$
C≡C-C-C C	0	0	0	0	0	0	×	×	Mo > W
C-C≡C-C-C	×	×	0	0	Δ	×	×	×	Mo > W
$C-C \equiv C-C-C$	×	×	×	0	×	×	×	×	W≽Mo
$C-C-C \equiv C-C-C$	×	×	Δ	0	×	×	×	×	W > Mo

Table IV. Monomer reactivity and catalyst activity in the polymerization of C₆ alkynes^a

Table V. 13 C NMR chemical shifts (δ) of C₆ alkynes

and Ziegler-type catalysts. Part of the data have been quoted from our previous studies. 1.4-6 Conclusions from Table IV regarding monomer reactivity include: Disubstituted acetylenes are successfully polymerized by WCl₆·Ph₄Sn and/or MoCl₅·Ph₄Sn. All monosubstituted acetylenes, including *t*-butylacetylene, can be polymerized by any Mo- and W-based catalysts. On the other hand, it is only *prim*- and *s*-alkylacetylenes that can be polymerized by Ziegler-type catalysts.

The following are pointed out concerning catalyst activity: Which of the Mo- and W-based catalysts is more active, depends on monomer; the rationale for

this dependence, however, has not been found. Further, if $MoCl_5(\cdot Ph_4Sn)$ is more active toward a monomer than is $WCl_6(\cdot Ph_4Sn)$, $Mo(CO)_6$ tends to be more effective toward the same monomer than $W(CO)_6$, and *vice versa*.

The 13 C NMR chemical shifts of acetylenic carbons of C_6 alkynes, measured in CDCl₃ solution $(10 \text{ v/v}_{\odot}^{\circ})$, are shown in Table V. Judging from these chemical shifts, the electron densities of acetylenic carbons vary significantly, depending on the kind of monomer. However, the polymerizability of these monomers cannot be interpreted in terms of the estimated electron densities, but is greatly affected

^a Yield of methanol-insoluble polymers: ⑤, high; ○, moderate or low (much methanol-soluble by-products formed); △, moderate or low (no methanol-soluble by-products formed); ×, zero or negligible.

^b Relative activities of Mo- and W-based catalysts.

Table VI. Molecular weight^a and properties^b of the polymers from C₆ alkynes

Polymer	$M_n \times 10^{-3}$	Color	UV°	Softening point	Conductivity × 10 ¹⁸	Unpaired electron density $\times 10^{-15}$ spin g ⁻¹	
			nm	°C	ohm ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹		
(C=C) C-C-C-C	4	Orange	<460	< r.t. ^d		23	
(-C=C)- C C-C-C	10	Yellow	<460	~110	2	5	
(C=C) C-C-C C	22	Light Yellow	<420	~130	0.6	2	
(C=C) C-C-C C	380	White	<330	~250	<0.5	<1	
(C = C) C C-C-C	~500	White	< 380	~230	< 0.5	<1	
(C=C) C C-C C	11	White	<340	~250	< 0.5	<1	
(C=C) C-C C-C	Partly insol.	White		Infus.	< 0.5	<1	

^a Highest molecular weights so far attained with Mo- and W-based catalysts.

by the steric factor of monomer. This suggests that the propagating species lacks ionic character.

Molecular Weight and Properties of the Polymers from C₆ Alkynes

Table VI lists the molecular weight and properties of the seven polymers from C_6 alkynes. The following conclusions are possible from these data:

- i) The molecular weights of poly(t-butyl-acetylene) and poly(2-hexyne) are prominently high. The formation of high-molecular-weight polymers only from rather sterically hindered acetylenes might be explained as follows: An adequate steric hindrance destroys the conjugation of the main chain including the propagating end, which results in the activation of the propagating end and/or in the protection of the polymer from degradation (see item (v) below).
- ii) The colors correspond well to the absorption limits on the long wavelength side in the UV-visible spectra. The absorption limits appear to reflect the

extent of coplanarity, and thus conjugation, of double bonds along the main chain.

- iii) Poly(1-hexyne) is a viscous substance, while the other polymers are solid. The softening point of the polymers becomes higher with increased branching of side group(s), and is higher for disubstituted acetylenes than for monosubstituted ones. This result is well interpreted in terms of polymer chain stiffness.
- iv) The electrical conductivities of compressed-pellet samples were 10^{-18} ohm⁻¹ cm⁻¹ or lower. When the semiconductivity $(10^{-9}-10^{-5}$ ohm⁻¹ cm⁻¹) of polyacetylene¹² is taken into account, the presence of alkyl group(s) as side chain twists the main chain to greatly reduce conductivity.
- v) Unpaired electron densities were 10^{16} spin g^{-1} at the highest (peak-to-peak widths of ESR signals 8—10 G), and those of the polymers from t-butylacetylene and disubstituted acetylenes were undetectable ($<10^{15}$ spin g^{-1} , even if present). Polyacetylene shows unpaired electron densities as

^b Polymer samples obtained with WCl₆·Ph₄Sn in toluene.

^c Measured in cyclohexane at 0.50 mM.

d Room temp.

high as 10^{19} spin g^{-1} and unstable to air.¹³ Therefore, such low unpaired electron densities of the present polymers seem responsible for the relatively high stability of these polymers, especially of the spin-undetectable polymers.

Acknowledgements. We should like to thank Dr. Takashi Kawamura, Department of Hydrocarbon Chemistry, Kyoto University for kindly measuring the ESR spectra of the polymers. We also extend our appreciation to Mr. Yoshinori Kuwane for his assistance in monomer synthesis.

REFERENCES

- T. Higashimura, Y.-X. Deng, and T. Masuda, Macromolecules, in press.
- e.g., T. Ito, H. Shirakawa, and S. Ikeda, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 12, 11 (1974).
- 3. e.g., F. Ciardelli, S. Lanzillo, and O. Pieroni,

- Macromolecules, 7, 174 (1974).
- T. Masuda, Y. Okano, Y. Kuwane, and T. Higashimura, *Polym. J.*, 12, 907 (1980).
- T. Masuda, Y. Kuwane, and T. Higashimura, *Polym. J.*, 13, 301 (1981).
- Y.-X. Deng, T. Takahashi, T. Masuda, and T. Higashimura, to be published.
- 7. T. Masuda, Y. Kuwane, K. Yamamoto, and T. Higashimura, *Polym. Bull.*, **2**, 823 (1980).
- P. D. Bartlett and L. J. Rosen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 64, 543 (1942).
- 9. P. J. Kocienski, J. Org. Chem., 39, 3285 (1974).
- Y. Kuwane, T. Masuda, and T. Higashimura, *Polym. J.*, 12, 387 (1980).
- T. Masuda, T. Takahashi, K. Yamamoto, and T. Higashimura, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., in press.
- H. Shirakawa, T. Itoh, and S. Ikeda, *Makromol. Chem.*, 179, 1565 (1978).
- e.g., A. Snow, P. Brant, D. Weber, and N.-L. Yang, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed., 17, 263 (1979).