# <sup>13</sup>C NMR Study of Poly(propylene oxide) and Poly(1-butene oxide)

Nobuki OGUNI, Satoshi SHINOHARA, and Keonil LEE

Department of Polymer Science, Faculty of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan.

(Received February 20, 1979)

ABSTRACT:13C NMR spectra of poly(propylene oxide) and its optically active derivative,<br/>prepared with typical anionic, cationic, and coordinated catalysts, were analyzed. The absorptions<br/>orginating from the methine and methylene carbons of polymers were analyzed in terms of triad and<br/>dyad, respectively. 13C NMR spectra of poly(1-butene oxide) were also analyzed in the same manner.<br/>KEY WORDS13C NMR spectroscopy / Poly(propylene oxide) / Poly(1-

butene oxide) /

In a preceding communication,<sup>113</sup>C NMR spectra of poly(racemic propylene oxide), which consisted predominantly of head-to-tail linkages, were analyzed in terms of triads on the methine carbon and of dyads on the methylene carbon of the polymer main chain; this analysis disagreed with the one made by Schaefer.<sup>2</sup> Recently, Sigwalt *et al.*<sup>3</sup> and Matsuzaki *et al.*<sup>4</sup> reported the analysis of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of optically active poly(D-(+)-propylene oxide); their results were in accord with ours.<sup>1</sup>

This paper deals with the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of poly(propylene oxide) and poly(1-butene oxide), which have either predominantly head-to-tail linkages or both head-to-tail and head-to-head (tail-to-tail) linkages.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### Materials

In general, purification of materials was carried out under a dry argon atmosphere. Racemicpropylene oxide and racemic-1-butene oxide were refluxed over potassium hydroxide pellets, distilled, and redistilled over calcium hydride; bp 34.0°C and 62.5°C, respectively. All solvents were purified by conventional methods, dried over calcium hydride, and distilled just before use. Diethylzinc and triethylaluminium were purified by distillation under reduced pressure.

(R)-(+)-Propylene Oxide

This compound was prepared by the method of Levene<sup>5</sup>;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} + 15.6^{\circ}C$  (neat).

#### Polymerization Procedure

All the polymerization procedures were carried out under a dry argon atmosphere. The polymerizations of propylene oxide and 1-butene oxide were carried out using a  $ZnEt_2-H_2O$  (molar ratio, 1:0.8) freeze-dried catalyst, AlEt<sub>3</sub>– $H_2O$  (molar ratio, 1:1) catalyst, and potassium tert-butoxide catalyst. The procedures for the preparation of these catalysts were almost the same as these described in our <sup>1</sup>H NMR study on poly(propylene oxide- $\beta$ - $d_1$ ).<sup>7</sup> The monomer was charged by distillation over calcium hydride into the polymerization ampoule containing the catalyst solution. The ampoule was sealed and allowed to stand at 30°C for 48 h, except in the case of using potassium tert-butoxide catalyst (at 80°C for 120 h). Polymerization was terminated by adding a mixture of benzene and a small amount of methanol, and the latter is used in slight excess for precipitating the catalyst. Catalyst residue was removed by centrifugation, and the polymer was obtained from the supernatant clear solution by freeze-drying.

### Fractionation of Raw Polymer

A Poly(propylene oxide) and its Deuterated Derivatives. The solution obtained by dissolving 0.05 g of the raw polymer in 100 cm<sup>3</sup> of acetone at  $60^{\circ}$ C was allowed to stand at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 48 h. The precipitated crystalline isotactic polymer was separated by centrifuging at 0°C. An acetone-soluble amorphous polymer was obtained by evaporating the acetone.

B. Poly(1-butene oxide). The raw polymer (0.5 g) was immersed in  $100 \text{ cm}^3$  of ethanol at  $30^\circ$ C for 48 h. The insoluble fraction was separated by filtration and the soluble fraction was isolated by evaporating the ethanol under reduced pressure.

#### Measurement of NMR spectrum

Natural abundance <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra at 25.1 MHz were measured in benzene- $d_6$  at 30°C with proton noise decoupling, using a Varian XL-100-15 spectrometer equipped with a standard VFT-100X Fourier transform system. Internal frequency stabilization of the spectrometer was porvided by a lock signal from benzene- $d_6$  used as the solvent. The peak area ratio was determined by the use of a du Pont 310 curve resolver.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We reported already that <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of poly(propylene oxide- $\alpha$ -d) showed dyad splitting about the methylene protons and we investigated microstructures of various samples of poly-(propylene oxide) prepared with cationic, anionic, and coordinate catalysts.<sup>6</sup> This research was extended to the more detailed microstructural analysis by <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy.

The investigation of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of various samples of poly(propylene oxide) and optically active polymers enabled us to analyze the splitting of absorptions originating from both methylene and methine carbons. Such results should be useful for the study of the polymerization mechanism of propylene oxide.

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of the isotactic poly(propylene oxide) in  $C_6D_6$  solution is shown in Figure 1. There are three main peaks, at 17.33, 73.90, and 75.90 ppm, of almost equal intensity. The upfield peak at 17.33 ppm can be assigned to the methyl carbon, the center peak at 73.90 ppm to the methylene carbon, and the peak at 75.90 ppm to the methylene carbon, from the comparison with the undecoupled spectrum of poly(propylene oxide). This assignment agrees with the one made by Schaefer.<sup>2</sup>

Schaefer found that the methine carbon peak split



Figure 1.  $25.1 \text{ MHz}^{13}\text{C}{}^{1}\text{H}$  spectra of the main-chain carbons of crystalline poly(racemic propylene oxide). The instrument conditions were: spectrum width, 2500 Hz; acquisition time, 1.6 s; transients, 6000; temperature,  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The numbers give the separation in Hz downfield from the proton decoupled line of tetramethylsilane. The magnetic field increases from left to right.

into three and methylene carbon peak into mainly two. These absorptions were analyzed based on the  ${}^{13}$ C NMR spectra of the various trimer glycols. However, his assignment had been faulted and corrected.<sup>7</sup>

In our reinvestigation of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of poly(racemic propylene oxide), the analytical method used in the study of <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of poly(racemic propylene oxide- $\alpha$ -d) was applied: typical anionic(*tert*-BuOK), cationic (AlEt<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O, molar ratio 1:1), and coordinate (ZnEt<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O, molar ratio 1:0.8 freeze-dried system) catalysts were used to obtain four kinds of polymer samples.

Racemic propylene oxide is composed of an equimolar mixture of two enantiomers, (R) and (S). Since the ring opening is known to occur exclusively at the methylene carbon-oxygen bond in anionic polymerization,<sup>6</sup> the resulting polymer consists of repeating units of the R and S configuration. Two successive (R) or (S) units form an isotactic dyad -(R,S)- or -(S,S)-, whereas the other sequences -(R,S)- or -(S,R)- form a syndiotactic dyad. For the triads, there should be four different triads for methine and methylene carbons, distinguishable at least in principle: one isotactic (I), one syndiotactic (S) and two heterotactic (H<sub>m</sub> and H<sub>r</sub>), where the subscripts m and r indicate meso and racemic, respectively.

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the methine and methylene regions in  $C_6D_6$  of the crystalline (I) and amorphous (II) polymers prepared with  $ZnEt_2-H_2O$ freeze-dried catalyst, and of the amorphous polymer (III) prepared with *tert*-BuOK catalyst, are shown in Figures 2A, 2B, and 2C, respectively. There are three

I	-	0	-,	<sup>ÇН</sup> 3 С - Н	н С-н	-	0	-	сн <sub>3</sub> с - н	H - C - H	-	0	-	сн <sub>3</sub> с - н	н С - Н	0	-
S	-	0	-	СН <sub>3</sub> С - Н	H-C-H	-	0	-	н с - сн <sub>3</sub>	H - C - H	-	0	-	сн <sub>з</sub> с -	н С - Н	0	-
H <sub>m</sub>	-	0	-	СН <sub>3</sub> С - Н	н с н	-	0	-	н С - СН <sub>3</sub>	н-с-н	-	0	-	н с́- <sup>СН</sup> з	н С - Н	0	-
H,	-	0	-	СН <sub>3</sub> С - Н	H C H	-	0	-	СН <sub>3</sub> С - Н	H Ċ H	-	0	-	н С - СН <sub>3</sub>	H C - H	0	-

peaks in the methine carbon and two main peaks in the methylene carbon of the spectra of samples (II) and (III). Since samples (II) and (III) are atactic polymers which contain no head-to-head and tail-totail linkages in the polymer main chain,<sup>6</sup> splitting of the absorptions must originate from different configurational isomeric units. In comparison with the spectrum of isotactic polymer, the two peaks at 73.90 and 73.71 ppm of the methylene carbon [observed peak area ratio 50:50 for the sample (III)] can readily be assigned to isotactic and syndiotactic dyads (m and r, respectively), and three methine carbon peaks at 75.90, 75.82, and 75.70 ppm [observed peak area ratio 25: 50: 25 for the sample (III)] to isotactic, heterotactic, and syndiotactic triads (I, H, and S, respectively). If sample (III) is a completely atactic polymer, the relative intensities of the three peaks on the methine carbon would be 0.25:0.50:0.25.

There are asymmetric carbon atoms in the polymer main chain of poly(propylene oxide), and therefore there should be two heterotactic triad sequences, distinguishable at least in principle: for example, RRS is not equivalent to SRR. Nevertheless, the spectra observed show only a singlet for the heterotactic triad, possibly because of the strong magnetic shielding effect of oxygen.

The relative intensities of triads and dyads on the four polymer samples prepared with potassium *tert*-butoxide and  $ZnEt_2-H_2O$  freeze-dried catalysts are summarized in Table I.

In the wide sweep spectrum of the amorphous polymers prepared with potassium *tert*-butoxide and with  $ZnEt_2-H_2O$  catalysts, splitting into 73.71 and 73.63 ppm of the upfield peak assigned to the syndiotactic dyad in the methylene carbon region was observed (Figure 2). Since these samples contain no head-to-head and tail-to-tail linkages in the polymer main chain, this splitting possibly originates from different triad sequences (S and H<sub>r</sub>): one may expect four tetrad resonances: mrm, mrr, rrm, and rrr, for the methylene carbon e of syndiotactic dyad. The resonance of methylene carbon e will be more sensitive magnetically to the configuration of methine carbon h than to that of methine carbon b,

because the methylene carbon e is located at a distance farther from the carbon b than from the methine carbon h, and also the magnetic effect of the configuration of the carbon b on the carbon e is small because of the shielding effect of the two oxygen atoms. Therefore, the syndiotactic dyad, r, originating from the methylene carbon of poly(racemic propylene oxide) may be resolved into two frequen-

Delenererizetien		Tacticity <sup>a</sup>							
catalyst	Fraction	Dy	/ad		Triad				
		m	r	I	Н	S			
tert-BuOK		0.50	0.50	0.25	0.50	0.25			
ZnEt <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>2</sub> O	Whole	0.67	0.33	0.50	0.31	0.19			
Freeze-dried	Crystalline	1.00	0	1.00	0	0			
(mol <sup>a</sup> ratio 1:0.8)	Amorphous	0.60	0.40	0.38	0.43	0.19			

Table I. Tactivity of poly(propylene oxide) prepared with two kinds of catalysts

<sup>a</sup> Estimated accuracy is  $\pm 0.02$ .



Figure 2.  $25.1 \text{ MHz} {}^{13}\text{C} {}^{1}\text{H}$  spectra in the methylene and methine carbon region of the crytalline (A) and amorphous (B) poly(racemic propylene oxide) prepared with  $\text{ZnEt}_2-\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (molar ratio, 1:0.8) freeze-dried catalyst and of the amorphous polymer (C) prepared with *tert*-BuOK catalyst. The instrument conditions were: spectrum width, 1000 Hz; acquisition time, 2.0 s; transients, 10000; temperature, 30°C.

cies of rm(mrm+rrm) and rr(rrr+mrr), On the other hand, splitting of the peak for the methylene carbon of the isotactic dyad was not observed. The characteristic conformation around -mm- units in solution may be responsible for very small chemical shifts.<sup>8</sup>.

It is interesting to compare this result with that on the  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectrum of poly(propylene sulfide), in which the absorptions originating from the methine carbon split into two peaks assignable to isotactic and syndiotactic dyads.<sup>8</sup>

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR study of poly(racemic propylene oxide- $\alpha$ -d) studied by us<sup>6</sup> and the chemical method applied to poly(racemic propylene oxide) by Price<sup>9</sup> showed that the polymer obtained with AlEt<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst contains a certain amount of head-to-head and tail-to-tail linkages in the polymer main chain. The occurrence of these abnormal linkages should produce a complicated NMR spectrum in which the presence of 4 (dyad) and 16 (triad) lines would be

expected corresponding to the structural and configurational isomeric units. Actually in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of the polymer obtained with this catalyst, 13 peaks were visible, possibly due to the presence of these abnormal linkages (Figure 3A). The analysis of this complicated spectrum could be undertaken by the use of the polymer obtained from the optically active monomer. For poly((R)-(+)-propylene oxide) containing these abnormal linkages, the whole polymer should consist of eight possible isomeric units: AAA, AAB, ABA, BAA, ABB, BAB, BBA, and BBB, where A is -CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>O-, and B is -CH<sub>2</sub>CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)O-.



Now it can be assumed that the steric environment of AAA triad is nearly equivalent to that of BBB triad, and also AAB to BBA, ABA to BAB, and BAA to ABB. Moreover, this polymer contains 11%of head-to-head and tail-to-tail linkages, as was estimated from the optically rotatory power,  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  $= -29.0^{\circ}$ , of the polymer in benzene ( $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{20} = -32.6^{\circ}$ in benzene for the optically active polymer consisting of only head-to-tail linkages). Besed on these facts, the eight peaks observed in the spectrum of the optically active polymer could be analyzed in terms of the above four types of triad (Figure 3B). The environmental magnetic shielding effect on methine(a) and methylene(b) carbons may be expected to increase in the orders of  $a_3$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_4$ , and  $b_2$ ,  $b_1, b_3, b_4$ ; these were obtained from empirical rules allowing for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$  effects on the shielding effects of the nearest three methyl groups.<sup>11</sup> Thus all the peaks observed in the spectrum of the optically inactive polymer obtained with the aluminium catalyst contain the absorptions originating from configurational isomers, in addition to those of structural isomers. The presence of these configurational



Figure 3. 25.1 MHz  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  spectra in the methylene and methine carbon region of the amorphous poly(racemic propylene oxide) (A) and poly(*R*-(+)propylene oxide) (B) (molar ratio, 1:1) catalyst. A is -CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>O- and B is -CH<sub>2</sub>CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)O-. The instrument conditions were the same as in Figure 2.

isomers makes the spectra complex. So the precise analysis of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of poly(propylene oxide) obtained with the aluminium catalyst remains to be performed. These results indicate that the microstructure of the poly(racemic propylene oxide) containing headto-head and tail-to-tail linkages cannot be determined quantitatively at present.

# Application of the Analysis of <sup>13</sup>C NMR Spectra of Poly(propylene oxide) to That of Poly(1-butene oxide)

In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR analysis of poly(propylene oxide), the spectrum of the polymer containing a relatively large amount of head-to-head and tail-to-tail linkages is too complicated to be solved precisely. In order to acquire knowledge about the polymerization of a series of alkylene oxides, one should look for another alkylene oxide which affords a well resolvable <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum. Therefore, the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of poly(1-butene oxide), one of the higher homologues, was studied by a similar method.

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of poly(1-butene oxides) prepared with potassium *tert*-butoxide (IV) and  $ZnEt_2-H_2O$  freeze-dried catalysts [the ethanol soluble fraction (V) and insoluble fraction (VI)], have a singlet peak for the methine carbon regardless of the kinds of samples, while they have three peaks at 73.30, 72.55, and 72.31 ppm in different intensities for the methylene carbons (Figures 4A, 4B, and 4C). Sample (VI), which is insoluble in ethanol and crystalline at low temperatures, may be a polymer

Carbon	Structural sequence <sup>a</sup>	Configurational sequence	Chemical shift <sup>b</sup> (Hz at 25.1 MHz)
Methine	*	*	1918
	$BAA(a_4)^c$	Isotactic	1911
	$AAA(a_1) + ABA(a_3)$	Isotactic(triad)	1905
	$AAA(a_1)$	Heterotactic(triad)	1903
	$AAA(a_1)$	Syndiotactic(triad)	1900
	$AAB(a_2)^{c}$	Isotactic	1895
	*	*	1884
Methylene	*	*	1869
-	$BAA(b_4)^c$	Isotactic	1865
	$AAA(b_1)$	Isotactic	1855
	$AAA(b_1)$ $ABA(b_3)$	Syndioactactic(dyad) Isotacitc	1848
	*	*	1841
	$AAB(b_2)^{c}$	Isotactic	1836

Table II. Line assignments for the main-chain <sup>13</sup>C NMR Spectrum of poly(propylene oxide)

<sup>a</sup>  $A = -CH + CH_3)CH_2O-; B = -CH_2CH(CH_3)O-.$ 

<sup>b</sup> Tetramethylsilane was used as an internal standard.

<sup>c</sup> The assignment was made by the use of the optically active polymer. Accuracy is  $\pm 1$  Hz. \* Undetermined.



**Figure 4.** 25.1 MHz <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} spectra in the methylene carbon region of the crystalline (A) and amorphous (B) poly(1-butene oxide) prepared with  $ZnEt_2-H_2O$  (molar ratio, 1:0.8) freeze-dried catalyst and of the amorphous polymer (C) prepared with *tert*-BuOK catalyst. The instrument conditions were: spectrum width, 1250 Hz; acquisition time, 1.6 s; transients, 8000; temperature, 30°C.

consisting predominantly of isotactic sequences, the absorption at 73.03 ppm being assignable to an isotactic dyad and those at 72.55 and 72.31 ppm to a syntiotactic dyad. The splitting into two peaks of the syndiotactic dyad could be explained by taking account of the environmental magnetic shielding effect on the methylene carbons. The peak at 72.55 ppm is assignable to rm(mrm+rrm) and the one at 72.31 ppm to rr(rrr+mrr). The relative intensity of these two peaks is equivalent in the spectrum of polymer (IV) prepared with *tert*-BuOK catalyst.

In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of poly(1-butene oxide) prepared with AlEt<sub>3</sub>–H<sub>2</sub>O (molar ratio, 1 : 1) catalyst system (VII), some new absorptions originating from head-to-head and tail-to-tail linkages were observed at the methylene and methine caron regions (Figure 5A). The intensity of these new absorptions was enhanced on the sample prepared with AlEt<sub>3</sub>–H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst under a molar ratio of 1:0.6 (Figure 5B).

Figure 5.  $25.1 \text{ MHz} {}^{13}\text{C}{}^{1}\text{H}$  spectra in the methylene and methine carbon region of the amorphous poly(1butene oxide) prepared with AlEt<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O catalyst in a molar ratio of AlEt<sub>3</sub>: water, 1:1 (A) and 1:0.6 (B). A is -CH(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>O- and B is -CH<sub>2</sub>CH(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)O-. The instrument conditions were: spectrum width, 2500 Hz; acquisition time, 0.8 s; transients, 5000; temperature, 30°C.

The analysis of the spectrum was made by the method applied to that of poly(propylene oxide). The multiple peaks at 74.34 ppm may be assignable to the methylene carbon in tail-to-tail linkage, and the three peaks at 78.38, 79.12, and 80.72 ppm to the methine carbons of AAB, ABA, and BAA, respectively, in head-to-head linkages, where A is  $-CH(C_2H_5)CH_2O-$  and B is  $-CH_2CH(C_2H_5)O-$ . This assignment is supported by the following data: the total intensity of peaks at 80.72, 79.12, and 78.73 ppm is equivalent to that at 74.34 ppm, and <sup>13</sup>C NMR of methine carbon is not sensitive to changes in tacticity, as mentioned above. The results obtained are summarized in Table III.

In summary, we have confirmed that the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy is the most useful for the study of the microstructure of poly(alkylene oxide). The triad for methine carbon and dyad for methylene carbon are quantitatively determined for poly(propylene oxide) containing no head-to-head and tail-to-tail

#### <sup>13</sup>C NMR of Poly(propyrene oxide) and Poly(1-butene oxide)

Carbon	Structural sequence <sup>a</sup>	Configurational sequence	Line position <sup>b</sup> (Hz at 25.1 MHz)
Methine	AAA	*	2036
	BAA	*	2026
	ABA	*	1986
	AAB	*	1976
Methylene	AB(BA)	*	1866 (multiplet)
	AA	Isotactic(dyad)	1833
	AA	Syndiotactic(H <sub>m</sub> ) <sup>c</sup>	1821
	AA	Syndiotactic(H <sub>r</sub> ) <sup>c</sup>	1815

Table III. Line assignments for main-chain <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of poly(1-butene oxide)

<sup>a</sup> A=-CH(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>O-; B=-CH<sub>2</sub>CH(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)O-. <sup>b</sup> Tetramethylsilane was used as an internal standard. <sup>c</sup> The splitting into two peaks of the syndiotactic dyad may be a split into H<sub>m</sub> and H<sub>r</sub>. Accuracy is  $\pm 1$  Hz. \* Undetermined.

linkages. The dyad for methylene carbon and the absorptions originating from head-to-head and tail-to-tail linkages are quantitatively determined for poly(1-butene oxide).

Acknowledgement. The authors express thanks to the late Professor H. Tani for his valuable discussion and to Mr. K. Doi for measurement of  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. N. Oguni, K. Lee, and H. Tani, *Macromolecules*, 5, 819 (1972).
- 2. J. Schaefer, Macromolecules, 2, 533 (1969).
- 3. W. Lapeyre, H. Cheradame, N. Spassky, and P.

Sigwalt, J. Chim. Phys., 838 (1973).

- 4. T. Uryu, H. Shimazu, and K. Matsuzaki, *Polym. Letts.*, **11**, 275 (1973).
- P. A. Levene and A. Walti, J. Biol. Chem., 68, 415 (1926).
- N. Oguni, S. Watanabe, M. Maki, and H. Tani, Macromolecules, 6, 195 (1973).
- 7. J. Schaefer, Macromolecules, 5, 590 (1972).
- P. Guerin, S. Boileau, F. Subira, and P. Sigwalt, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 11, 337 (1975).
- N. Oguni, S. Maeda, and H. Tani, *Macromolecules*, 6, 459 (1973).
- C. C. Price, R. Spectro, and A. C. Tumolo, J. Polym. Sci., A-1, 5, 407 (1967).
- D. M. Grant and E. G. Paul, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 2984 (1964); B. V. Cheney and D. M. Grant, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89 5319 (1967).