The Effect of Counteranions in the Polymerization of Isobutyl Vinyl Ether Initiated by Triphenylmethyl Cation*

Toyoki KUNITAKE, Yoshihiko MATSUGUMA, and Chuji Aso

*Department of Organic Synthesis, Faculty of Engineering,
Kyushu University, Fukuoka, 812, Japan.*

(Received November 16, 1970)

ABSTRACT: The cationic polymerization of isobutyl vinyl ether was carried out at -75°C by using triphenylmethyl salts ($\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+\text{X}^-$, $\text{X}^- = \text{BF}_4^-$, AlCl_4^- , AlBr_4^- , SbCl_6^- and SnCl_5^-) as initiator. The polymer was shown to contain the triphenylmethyl group. The extent of chain transfer, determined from the content of the triphenylmethyl group, was 0—4.4 in all the systems studied. These values are much smaller than those reported with BF_3OEt_2 . The steric structure of the polymer was inferred from the precipitation temperature of the polymer in methyl ethyl ketone, T_p , as suggested by Okamura, *et al.* T_p decreased with increasing polarity of polymerization solvents. In less polar media, T_p decreased in the following order

The observed order of T_p agreed with that of anion sizes, except for SnCl_5^- . Thus it is suggested that the steric effect of the counteranion is an important factor in deciding the steric course of propagation for tight ion-pairs. These results were explained within the framework of the steric course of the cationic propagation which we had proposed previously.

KEY WORDS Cationic Polymerization/Poly(Isobutyl Vinyl Ether)/Steric Structure/Counteranion/Triphenylmethyl Salts/Chain Transfer/Steric Course/

In the propagation step of ionic polymerizations of vinyl monomers, it is expected that counterions exert strong influences on the behavior of the propagating species. In the cationic polymerization, it is as yet difficult to interpret the propagation data in a unified way in terms of the nature of the growing species, in contrast with the "living" anionic polymerization.¹ This may be ascribed in a large part to the fact that the structure of the growing species is generally not defined clearly in the cationic polymerization.

Thus it becomes attractive to study cationic polymerization by using catalysts or initiators which would form well-defined growing species. Triphenylmethyl salts have been known to initiate the cationic polymerization of several vinyl and

related monomers.²⁻¹⁰ In these cases, the metal halide anion would become a part of the growing species without changing its structure, and the reactivity of the growing ion-pair may be discussed in a more straightforward way than in polymerizations with, say, Lewis acids. We therefore prepared several triphenylmethyl salts and carried out polymerizations of isobutyl vinyl ether. Particular attention was directed to the incorporation of the triphenylmethyl group into polymer and the influence of polymerization conditions on the steric structure of the polymer. The variation of the steric structure was interpreted in terms of the mechanism on the steric course of propagation which we recently proposed.¹¹

* Presented in part at the 19th annual meeting of the Society of Polymer Science, Japan, Tokyo, May 1970. Contribution No. 226 from this department. This is Part II in the series of "Mechanism of Cationic Polymerizations." For Part I in this series, see ref 11.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Commercial isobutyl vinyl ether (1st grade) was washed with aqueous alkali, dried over KOH

pellets, refluxed over sodium metal and distilled. It was redistilled from Na metal just before use: bp 82.7–83.0°C. Polymerization solvents were purified according to the common procedures and stored over molecular sieves.

Preparations of Triphenylmethyl Salts

Triphenylmethyl alcohol was prepared by the Grignard reaction of phenylmagnesium bromide and ethyl benzoate and by the Friedel–Crafts reaction of benzene and carbon tetrachloride with an AlCl_3 catalyst, and recrystallized from CCl_4 : mp 163.6–165.0°C (lit.¹² mp 162.5°C). Triphenylmethyl chloride was obtained by reaction of triphenylmethyl alcohol and acetyl chloride in benzene and recrystallized from benzene: mp 109.2–111.1°C (lit.¹³ mp 111–112°C). Triphenylmethyl bromide was similarly prepared from triphenylmethyl alcohol and acetyl bromide in benzene: mp 155.0–156.2°C (lit.¹⁴ mp 153–154°C).

Triphenylmethyl fluoroborate was prepared as described previously.⁸ Other triphenylmethyl salts were prepared by mixing metal halides and triphenylmethyl halides (20 mol% excess) in benzene or in CCl_4 under nitrogen. Yellow to orange precipitates formed were filtered, washed with dry ether, and dried *in vacuo*. The yields were nearly quantitative. Table I summarizes some data on the characterization of these salts. The agreement of some of the carbon content was not satisfactory. However, further attempts at purification by recrystallization did not give better results, since these salts were sensitive to

moisture.

All the salts showed characteristic infrared peaks of the triphenylmethyl cation at 1580–1590, 1360, and 1300 cm^{-1} .¹⁵ Some of the characteristic peaks of the anions are given in Table I. Ultraviolet spectra of these salts in 70-% sulfuric acid possessed a peak at 433 $\text{m}\mu$ with extinction coefficients of 36000–38000, in agreement with the literature value.^{21,22} NMR spectra of these five salts in ethylene dichloride or in acetonitrile showed an identical multiplet due to the phenyl proton at around 8 ppm. The chemical shifts of the phenyl proton of the triphenylmethyl cation in chlorosulfonic acid have been determined: 7.69 ppm (ortho proton), 7.87 ppm (meta proton), 8.24 ppm (para proton).²³ The present NMR data were similarly analyzed to give the chemical shift of 7.70 ± 0.02 , 7.89 ± 0.01 , and 8.30 ± 0.03 ppm for the ortho, meta, and para protons, respectively. The agreements with the literature value are satisfactory. The phenyl proton due to triphenylmethyl halides (sharp singlet, 7.25 ppm) or triphenylmethyl alcohol (sharp singlet, 7.24 ppm) was not detected in these spectra. An NMR spectrum of $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+ \text{AlBr}_4^-$ in a 6:4 mixture of ethylene dichloride and *n*-hexane showed a similar phenyl multiplet, although its resolution was lower because of the limited solubility.

Polymerization

Polymerizations were conducted in glass-stoppered ampoules with side-arm for nitrogen inlet. The ampoule was flamed and flushed with

Table I. Triphenylmethyl salts

Compounds	Elemental analysis ^a		mp, °C	Infrared peak, cm^{-1}
	Carbon, %	Hydrogen, %		
$(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3\text{CAICl}_4$	53.16	3.91	150–160 (dec)	499
	(55.37)	(3.67)	lit. ¹⁶ 164–166	lit. ¹⁶ 496, 525 ($\text{Ph}_3\text{CAICl}_4$)
$(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3\text{CSnCl}_5$	42.05	2.89	160.0–162.3 (dec)	—
	(42.32)	(2.80)		
$(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3\text{CSbCl}_6$	39.38	2.68	197.6–198.6 (dec)	337 (broad)
	(39.50)	(2.62)	lit. ¹⁷ 220 (dec)	lit. ¹⁸ 336 ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{NSbCl}_6$)
$(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3\text{CAIBr}_4$	37.90	2.93	160–166 (dec)	—
	(38.68)	(2.56)	lit. ¹⁹ 120–123	
$(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3\text{CBF}_4$	68.45	4.64	179–180 (dec)	1053, 520
	(69.13)	(4.58)		lit. ²⁰ 1052, 527, 519 (CH_3COBF_4)

^a The data in parentheses are theoretical values.

dry nitrogen. A given amount of a triphenylmethyl salt and 40 ml of solvent were placed in the ampoule under nitrogen and stirred magnetically at -75°C . Polymerization was initiated by adding a precooled mixture of monomer (2 ml) and solvent (10 ml). After a given period, a small amount of ammoniacal methanol was added and then the mixture was poured into excess methanol. When a large amount of *n*-hexane was used as solvent, solvents were evaporated after termination, and the residue redissolved in methylene chloride was poured into excess methanol. The polymer was separated by decantation, washed well with methanol and dried *in vacuo* at 50°C . Reprecipitations were repeated (usually 1–2 times) from methylene chloride and methanol, until the spot other than that of the polymer was not detected by thin-layer chromatographic analysis.

Thin-Layer Chromatography

Samples were developed with CHCl_3 on a silica-gel thin-layer and dried. With the terminated polymerization mixture, spots corresponding to triphenylmethyl alcohol and methyl triphenylmethyl ether appeared at $R_f=0.65$ and 0.95 , respectively, by spraying SnCl_4 in CH_2Cl_2 . The latter spot was observed only in a few cases. These compounds are probably derived from the unreacted initiator molecule upon termination with ammoniacal methanol. The formation of methyl triphenylmethyl ether was confirmed by its isolation from a reaction mixture of triphenylmethyl fluoroborate with ammoniacal methanol: mp $81.9\text{--}82.5^{\circ}\text{C}$ (lit.¹⁴ $83\text{--}84^{\circ}\text{C}$). The polymer remained at the original place, as confirmed by discoloration with iodine. The spot of triphenylmethyl alcohol was not discernible when its amount was less than 0.17 wt% of the polymer. This lower limit corresponds to one triphenylmethyl alcohol molecule per 1500 monomer units.

Determination of the Triphenylmethyl Group in Polymer

The triphenylmethyl group incorporated into polymer was determined from the ultraviolet absorption of the benzene ring at $260\text{ m}\mu$. Approximately 0.6-wt% polymer solution in ethylene dichloride was used. The extinction coefficient of the triphenylmethyl group in polymer was assumed to be the same as that of triphenyl-

methyl alcohol, $\epsilon_{\text{max}}(260\text{ m}\mu)=645$. The molecular weight of the polymers obtained in *n*-hexane— CH_2Cl_2 (80/20) solvent was greater than those in other solvents, and the content of the triphenylmethyl group could not be determined accurately for these polymers. An IR peak at 700 cm^{-1} was commonly noted for polymers of $[\eta]\leq 0.5$. This peak is ascribed to the phenyl group, further supporting the presence of the triphenylmethyl group.

Instruments

The number-average molecular weight $\bar{M}_n$ was measured in benzene at 37°C by a vapor pressure osmometer (Mechrolab Model 301A). Viscosities were determined in benzene at 30°C using a modified Ubbelohde viscometer. NMR spectra were obtained with a Varian A60 instrument by using tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Infrared and ultraviolet spectra were measured by JASCO IR-E and Bausch & Lomb Spectronic 505 spectrometers, respectively. Far-infrared spectra were obtained as nujol mulls with a Hitachi EPI-L grating spectrometer.

RESULTS

Table II summarizes polymerization results of isobutyl vinyl ether at -75°C . The initiators used were BF_3OEt_2 and five triphenylmethyl salts. Solvent systems used were *n*-hexane— CH_2Cl_2 (80/20 by volume), *n*-hexane— CH_2Cl_2 (50/50), CH_2Cl_2 , and CH_2Cl_2 — CH_3CN (70/30), in the order of increasing medium polarity. The polymerization was generally fast, and, except for *n*-hexane— CH_2Cl_2 (80/20) solvent, high conversions were attained in 5–10 minutes with any catalyst. Longer polymerization periods were necessary in *n*-hexane— CH_2Cl_2 (80/20) medium.

The triphenylmethyl salts used were not completely soluble in mixtures of *n*-hexane and CH_2Cl_2 . In 100% *n*-hexane triphenylmethyl salts were not soluble at all and the solvent remained colorless. The polymerization in *n*-hexane— CH_2Cl_2 mixtures probably started from dissolved initiator molecules, since no polymerization occurred in the 100% *n*-hexane medium after 15 hr with the most active $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+\text{AlCl}_4^-$ initiator.

In *n*-hexane— CH_2Cl_2 (80/20) media, swollen polymers were partly or wholly separated in several cases (Table II, No. 3, 4, 6, and 7), and,

Table II. Polymerization of isobutyl vinyl ether^a

No.	Initiator ^b , mol/l	Solvent ^c	Polymerization time, min	Conversion, %	[η] ^d , dl/g	
1 ^e	BF ₃ OEt ₂	8.6 × 10 ⁻³	I	60	100	1.10
2	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlBr ₄ ⁻	3.0 × 10 ⁻³	I	200	67.1	0.350
3 ^f	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlCl ₄ ⁻	8.7 × 10 ⁻³	I	60	95.3 ^g	1.07
4	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlCl ₄ ⁻	4.6 × 10 ⁻³	I	12	75.3 ^h	0.940
5	Ph ₃ C ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻	8.1 × 10 ⁻³	I	125	93.5	0.478
6	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SbCl ₆ ⁻	7.1 × 10 ⁻³	I	60	94.6 ^g	0.980
7	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SbCl ₆ ⁻	3.4 × 10 ⁻³	I	65	86.1 ^h	0.816
8	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SnCl ₅ ⁻	7.9 × 10 ⁻³	I	135	100	0.324
9	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlBr ₄ ⁻	8.4 × 10 ⁻³	II	10	91.6	0.250
10	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlCl ₄ ⁻	8.3 × 10 ⁻³	II	10	89.1	0.315
11	Ph ₃ C ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻	9.8 × 10 ⁻³	II	10	92.4	0.294
12	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SbCl ₆ ⁻	4.9 × 10 ⁻³	II	10	88.1	0.292
13	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SnCl ₅ ⁻	8.8 × 10 ⁻³	II	10	89.8	0.184
14	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlCl ₄ ⁻	2.1 × 10 ⁻²	III	10	93.9	0.245
15	Ph ₃ C ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻	2.5 × 10 ⁻²	III	10	88.8	0.245
16	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SbCl ₆ ⁻	2.4 × 10 ⁻²	III	10	91.6	0.172
17	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SnCl ₅ ⁻	2.5 × 10 ⁻²	III	10	84.4	0.143
18	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlCl ₄ ⁻	2.6 × 10 ⁻²	IV	5	68.6 ^g	0.234
19	Ph ₃ C ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻	2.3 × 10 ⁻²	IV	5	98.8 ^g	0.170
20	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SbCl ₆ ⁻	2.4 × 10 ⁻²	IV	5	78.6 ^g	0.122
21	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SnCl ₅ ⁻	2.5 × 10 ⁻²	IV	5	79.7 ^g	0.068

^a -75°C; monomer concentration, 0.271 mol/l.^b Initiators not completely soluble in solvents I and II.^c Solvent I, *n*-hexane—CH₂Cl₂ (8 : 2 by volume); Solvent II, *n*-hexane—CH₂Cl₂ (5 : 5 by volume); Solvent III, CH₂Cl₂; Solvent IV, CH₂Cl₂—CH₃CN (7 : 3 by volume).^d 30°C in benzene.^e Monomer concentration, 0.303 mol/l.^f Monomer concentration, 0.216 mol/l.^g Polymer precipitated during polymerization.^h Polymer precipitated partially during polymerization.

in CH₂Cl₂—CH₃CN solvent, rubbery polymers precipitated instantaneously upon addition of monomer solutions.

The precipitation temperature of the polymer, T_p , was measured in methyl ethyl ketone (0.5-w/v% solution) according to the procedure of Okamura, *et al.*²⁴ In order to obtain accurate T_p data, the solution was maintained at temperatures around T_p , and warming and cooling were carried out as slowly as possible. The reproducibility of T_p was better than $\pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ for any single sample.

The variation of T_p with polymerization conditions is shown in Figure 1. The T_p value decreased with increasing polarity of the polymerization medium, and, in polar media (CH₂Cl₂, CH₂Cl₂—CH₃CN), it was $-50 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$ for any

initiator except for Ph₃C⁺SnCl₅⁻. The particularly low T_p value ($< -70^\circ\text{C}$) in Table II, No. 21 (SnCl₅⁻, CH₂Cl₂—CH₃CN) may be ascribed to the low molecular weight of the polymer ($[\eta]=0.068$ dl/g). In *n*-hexane—CH₂Cl₂, T_p varied from *ca.* -40°C to -5°C , and was characteristically dependent on the initiator used. This variation can be ascribed to the counteranion effect as discussed later.

In Table III are compared the number-average molecular weight and the number of the monomer unit per triphenylmethyl group incorporated. The comparison was made only for polymers of relatively low molecular weight. The average number of chain transfers calculated from these data ranged from 0 to 4.4.

Table III. Content of the triphenylmethyl group in polymer

No. ^a	Initiator	Solvent ^b	Number-average degree of polymerization ^c , $\bar{P}_n$	Number of monomer unit per Ph ₃ C group incorporated	Number of chain transfer per initiation ^d
10	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlCl ₄ ⁻	II	227	530	1.3±0.4
11	Ph ₃ C ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻	II	240	326	0.4±0.2
13	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SnCl ₅ ⁻	II	134	237	0.8±0.4
14	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlCl ₄ ⁻	III	167	396	1.4±0.5
15	Ph ₃ C ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻	III	175	410	1.3±0.4
16	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SbCl ₆ ⁻	III	154	420	1.7±0.8
17	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SnCl ₅ ⁻	III	130	170	0.4±0.4
18	Ph ₃ C ⁺ AlCl ₄ ⁻	IV	161	220	0.4±0.3
19	Ph ₃ C ⁺ BF ₄ ⁻	IV	142	320	1.3±0.4
20	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SbCl ₆ ⁻	IV	84	455	4.4±0.8
21	Ph ₃ C ⁺ SnCl ₅ ⁻	IV	57	57	0.1±0.1

^a Run numbers correspond to those of Table II.^b See Table II, footnote c.^c Determined by vapor pressure osmometry.^d $\left\{ \left[\frac{\text{Monomer unit}}{\text{Ph}_3\text{C}} \right] / \bar{P}_n \right\} - 1$ The error was estimated from the reliability of determinations of molecular weight and the triphenylmethyl content.

Figure 1. Variation of the precipitation temperature with polymerization conditions. Solvent: I, *n*-hexane—CH₂Cl₂ (8 : 2); II, *n*-hexane—CH₂Cl₂ (5 : 5); III, CH₂Cl₂; IV, CH₂Cl₂—CH₃CN (7 : 3). The T_p value of the polymer obtained with Ph₃C⁺SnCl₅⁻ in solvent IV was below -70°C .

DISCUSSION

Initiation and Chain Transfer

In some of the cationic polymerization with triphenylmethyl salts, the salt was considered to

be in equilibrium with triphenylmethyl halide and metal halide.⁵⁻⁷

There is a possibility that metal halides (Lewis acids) formed would also be capable of initiating polymerization with combination of water and other contaminants. However, the NMR data is consistent with the triphenylmethyl cation structure, as mentioned above, indicating that the dissociation of the salts was negligible. Though the NMR data do not exclude the possibility that triphenylmethyl salts might dissociate at catalyst concentrations (2.5×10^{-2} — 3.0×10^{-3} mol/l), polymerization started only when the reaction mixture turned yellow to orange due to dissolution of triphenylmethyl salts. Furthermore, the triphenylmethyl group was detected in polymer in considerable amounts. Thus, it was concluded that polymerization was initiated in the main through addition of triphenylmethyl cation to the monomer molecule.

In the polymerization of isobutyl vinyl ether with $\text{BF}_3\text{O}(\text{}^{14}\text{C}_2\text{H}_5)_2$ in liquid propane, Kennedy determined the number of chain transfers per initiator involved to be 140 from the content of the radioactive carbon in polymer.²⁵ This value is much greater than those observed here with triphenylmethyl salts. It was also shown that the chain transfer was negligible in the polymerization of cyclopentadiene with $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+\text{SbCl}_6^-$ initiator¹⁰ and with $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+\text{BF}_4^-$ initiator.²⁶ The discrepancy in the number of chain transfers between BF_3OEt_2 and triphenylmethyl salts is interesting. Greater stability of the counteranion of the triphenylmethyl salts relative to that derived from BF_3OEt_2 appears to be related to the decreased tendency of chain transfer.

The transfer agent involved in the present system is not yet clear. It might involve monomer, solvent, and/or contaminating water. The growing carbonium ion would not react with the triphenylmethyl cation.

Counteranion and Steric Course of Propagation

The crystalline poly(isobutyl vinyl ether) was obtained for the first time by Schildknecht, *et al.*, with a BF_3OEt_2 catalyst.²⁷ Subsequently, Okamura, *et al.*, established from comparisons of T_p and other physical properties (X-ray crystallinity and Young's modulus) that T_p depended essentially on the steric structure (isotacticity) of the polymer.²⁴ This is also supported by the IR study of the polymer. Iwasaki²⁸ found IR peaks characteristic of the crystalline isotactic polymer. New IR peaks appeared at 985 and 1340 cm^{-1} in the crystalline polymer and a peak observed at 820 cm^{-1} for the amorphous polymer disappeared in the crystalline polymer. A similar IR observation was made between polymers of high and low T_p values in the present study, and the variation of the intensity of these peaks correlated qualitatively with T_p values. Therefore, we used the T_p value as a measure of isotacticity in the following discussion.

The isotacticity of poly(isobutyl vinyl ether) estimated from T_p was shown to be not necessarily affected by whether the polymerization system was homogeneous or not.²⁴ Similarly, in our systems, heterogeneity of the polymerization system *per se* appears not to affect T_p for the following reasons: (1) Polymerization was

initiated by dissolved triphenylmethyl salts, as mentioned above; (2) The T_p values were similar for a given initiator in CH_2Cl_2 and in the $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{—CH}_3\text{CN}$ mixture ($\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+\text{SnCl}_5^-$ excluded), in spite of the fact that the polymers invariably precipitated during polymerization in the $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{—CH}_3\text{CN}$ solvent while no precipitation occurred in CH_2Cl_2 .

Thus, the variation of T_p must be related to the nature of the growing carbonium ion-pair. Previously, Okamura, *et al.*, carried out cationic polymerizations of isobutyl vinyl ether with Lewis acids,^{24,29} and found that the isotacticity decreased with increasing polarity of solvent, and that BF_3OEt_2 gave polymers of higher isotacticity than SnCl_4 .

Recently we made a proposal on the steric course of propagation of vinyl and related monomers which proceeds *via* simple carbonium ion-pairs.¹¹

In this scheme, the most stable conformation of the last two units was assumed to be such as shown by I, and the front-side (less-hindered site) attack to the carbonium ion gives rise to a syndiotactic placement (eq 3) and the back-side attack an isotactic placement (eq 4).

The isotactic propagation of vinyl ether including isobutyl vinyl ether in nonpolar media can be satisfactorily explained by this scheme in terms of the back-side attack. The tightness of the growing ion-pair is lessened in polar media and the back-side attack becomes less favored. The relatively close, low T_p values of the polymers obtained in polar solvent systems like CH_2Cl_2 and $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{—CH}_3\text{CN}$ (except for run no. 17 and 21) suggest that the effect of the counteranion

on the steric course of propagation was small in these media.

The dependency of T_p upon initiators was more obvious in less polar polymerization solvents (*n*-hexane— CH_2Cl_2 mixtures), and T_p increased in the following order of the counteranion.

(anion from BF_3OEt_2), $\text{SnCl}_5^- >$

Interestingly, this order indicates that BF_4^- and

the anion derived from BF_3OEt_2 exert very different influences on the propagation.

In Table IV are compared the T_p value and the ionic radius of the counteranion. The anion size is expressed by the sum of the bond length of metal halides and the van der Waals radius of the halogen atom involved. The latter data are summarized in Table V. It is of particular interest that the T_p value and the anion size are correlated nicely except for SnCl_5^- .

Table IV. The size of counteranions and the precipitation temperature of polymer

	Counteranion				
	SnCl_5^-	SbCl_6^-	AlBr_4^-	AlCl_4^-	BF_4^-
Ionic radius, Å	4.10—4.20	4.23	4.23	3.96	2.78
T_p of the polymer obtained in <i>n</i> -hexane— CH_2Cl_2 (80 : 20), °C	—5	—13, —15	—13	—20, —21	—25
T_p of the polymer obtained in <i>n</i> -hexane— CH_2Cl_2 (50 : 50), °C	—18	—23	—27	—34	—38

Table V. Bond length and van der Waals radii

Bond length, Å	Van der Waals radii ^r , Å	
Al—Cl in $\text{Na}^+\text{AlCl}_4^-$	2.13 ^a	F 1.35
Al—Br	2.28 ^b	Cl 1.80
B—F in $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{NBF}_3$ and BF_4^-	1.41—1.43 ^c	Br 1.95
Sb—Cl in SbCl_5	2.43 ^d	
Sn—Cl in $\text{R}^+\text{SnCl}_5^-$	2.30—2.40 ^e	

^a N. C. Baenziger, *Acta Cryst.*, **4**, 216 (1951).

^b Average of the two kinds of Al—Br bonds in Al_2Br_6 .

For Al_2Cl_6 $\alpha = 2.06\text{Å}$ and $\beta = 2.21\text{Å}$. The average bond length is 2.14Å in good agreement with Al—Cl bond length in NaAlCl_4 . The bond lengths for the dimers are given in R. Kiriya, "Kozo Muki Kagaku (Structural Inorganic Chemistry)," Vol. II, Kyoritsu-shuppan, Tokyo, 1953.

^c F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, "Advanced Inorganic Chemistry. A Comprehensive Text," Interscience Publishers, New York, N.Y., 1962.

^d See footnote b for reference.

^e R. F. Bryan, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 733 (1964).

^f L. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond," 3rd ed. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y., 1960.

In the above-mentioned model we assumed that the catalyst effect could be described by the difference in the direction of monomer attack which is determined by the tightness of the growing ion-pair. If the tightness was assumed to be determined mainly by the coulombic interaction between ions, it should decrease with increasing ionic radii of the counteranion, resulting in the decrease in the content of the isotactic unit in the polymer. This is contrary to the experimental results. It is conceivable that the steric effect of the counteranion played an important role in determining the steric course of propagation. With sufficiently tight ion-pairs, the ease of the front-side attack may diminish with increasing bulkiness of the counteranion, thus enhancing the amount of the isotactic unit. The increased size of the counteranion may also contribute to the conformational stability of the growing segment, leading to better stereoregularity.

The influence of the SnCl_5^- counteranion on T_p is interesting. This anion gives rise to higher T_p values than expected from its ionic radius. Furthermore, the T_p value of the polymer obtained with this anion was high (-32°C) relative to others ($-50 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$) in polar CH_2Cl_2 solvent. These results suggest that the SnCl_5^- ion-pair is much tighter than other ion-pairs in a given

solvent. This interesting property of the SnCl_5^- anion is probably related to its pentacoordination state, in contrast with the fully coordinated other anions, as also mentioned in the following paper.³⁰

In conclusion, the role of counteranions in affecting the steric structure appears to be interpreted in a relatively straightforward way. Since the steric structure was estimated by the T_p value, a direct relation between the property of counteranions and the polymer steric structure could not be established. However, the order of counteranions observed in this study was identical with that found in the cationic polymerization of α -methylstyrene³⁰ where the steric structure can be determined quantitatively. Therefore, the steric influence of the counteranion on the steric course may be a phenomenon of general significance.

REFERENCES

1. e.g., J. Smid, "Structure and Mechanism in Vinyl Polymerization," T. Tsuruta and K. O'Driscoll Ed., Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York N.Y., 1969, p 345.
2. D. D. Eley and A. W. Richards, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **45**, 425 (1949).
3. T. G. Bonner, J. M. Clayton, and G. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1705 (1958).
4. C. E. H. Bawn, C. Fitzsimmons, and A. Ledwith, *Proc. Chem. Soc.*, 391 (1964).
5. M. S. Sambhi and F. E. Treloar, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B*, **3**, 445 (1965).
6. M. S. Sambhi, *Macromolecules*, **3**, 351 (1970).
7. T. Higashimura, T. Fukushima, and S. Okamura, *J. Macromol. Sci.-Chem.*, **A1**, 683 (1967).
8. C. Aso, T. Kunitake, Y. Matsuguma, and Y. Imaizumi, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A-1*, **6**, 3049 (1968).
9. N. G. Gaylord and M. Švestka, *J. Makromol. Sci.-Chem.*, **A3**, 897 (1969).
10. G. Sauvet, J. P. Vairon, and P. Sigwalt, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A-1*, **7**, 987 (1969).
11. T. Kunitake and C. Aso, *ibid.*, *Part A-1*, **8**, 665 (1970).
12. H. J. Dauben, Jr., L. R. Honnen, and K. M. Harmon, *J. Org. Chem.*, **25**, 1442 (1960).
13. W. E. Bachmann, "Organic Synthesis," Collection Vol. 3, John Wiley & Sons, New York, N.Y., 1955, p 841.
14. K. D. Berlin, L. H. Gowen, J. W. White, D. E. Gibbs, and G. P. Sturm, *J. Org. Chem.*, **27**, 3595 (1962).
15. D. W. A. Sharp and N. Sheppard, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 674 (1957).
16. D. E. H. Jones and J. L. Wood, *Spectrochim. Acta*, **23A**, 2695 (1967).
17. W. M. Pasika, *Tetrahedron*, **22**, 557 (1966).
18. I. R. Beattie and M. Webster, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 38 (1963).
19. J. C. Thomas, S. T. Bowden, and W. J. Jones, *ibid.*, 478 (1930).
20. B. P. Susz and J. J. Wuhrmann, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **40**, 722 (1957).
21. K. M. Harmon and A. B. Harmon, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **83**, 865 (1961).
22. A. G. Evans, J. A. G. Jones, and G. O. Osborne, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 3803 (1954).
23. D. G. Farnum, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 934 (1964).
24. S. Okamura, T. Higashimura, and I. Sakurada, *J. Polym. Sci.*, **39**, 507 (1959).
25. J. P. Kennedy, *ibid.*, **38**, 263 (1959).
26. Y. Ishimoto, Master Thesis, Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu University, 1967.
27. C. E. Schildknecht, A. Z. Zoss, and C. McKinley, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, **39**, 180 (1947); C. E. Schildknecht, *ibid.*, **50**, 107 (1958).
28. K. Iwasaki, *J. Polym. Sci.*, **56**, 27 (1962).
29. T. Higashimura, T. Kodama, and S. Okamura, *Kobunshi Kagaku (Chem. High Polymers)*, **17**, 163 (1960).
30. Y. Matsuguma and T. Kunitake, *Polymer J.*, **2**, 353 (1971).