

For personal use only

Not to be reproduced without the permission of the *Primary Care Respiratory Journal*

If the demand for multi-patient-use ever justifies itself by this argument, then there will still need to be validation of the use of such intermediate components, both for disinfection effectiveness and characteristics imposed on the measurement accuracy

Added mouthpieces and filters add some resistance to the flow, and being a peak-flow measurement, this cannot be ignored. The error could be a significant portion of the difference between predicted and measured values

Alternative

It is clear that either a single patient use device should be supplied to the patient for continued use, or a disinfectable device should be used with the correct disinfection procedure employed between uses

Since the value of absolute peak flow measurement alone, on a one-off basis, is questionable, perhaps the spirometry option would be a better choice, where multi-patient-use devices are the norm, and disinfection is intended and documented

Spirometry can address the issues of small airway disorders more accurately than the peak-flow device thus even for a first line diagnosis, peak-flow is possibly inadequate

The disposable peak-flow devices would thus be left exclusively for comparative performances as part of a management protocol by the single patient. ■

Late Entry Abstract

The following abstracts were presented at the World International Primary Care Respiratory Group Conference (IPCRG) on 7th-9th June 2002 in Amsterdam. Unfortunately, they were not able to be published in our June edition of *Primary Care Respiratory Journal*

ABI061: Generic Prescribing of Breath Actuated and Dry Powder Inhalers in the UK *Prim Care Respir* 2002 **11**(3) 95

Buchanan ¹, Pinnock ², Barnes ³, Hawksworth ⁴, Weller ⁵, Lynes ⁶

¹4D Communications, Oxford UK, ²Whitstable Health Centre, UK, ³National Asthma Campaign, UK, ⁴Old Bank Chemist, UK, ⁵National Respiratory Training Centre, UK, ⁶Respiratory Education Resource Centre, UK

Introduction: Generic prescribing is officially encouraged in the UK ¹ Concerns have been raised that there is a potential for patients to be dispensed an unfamiliar device in which they have received no training ² risking poor technique, inadequate dosing and loss of asthma control

Method An independent market research agency has been commissioned to conduct telephone-based interviews with a random sample of 100 general practitioners, 100 practice asthma nurses and 100 pharmacists to determine their attitudes to generic prescribing and their experience of potential problems

Pilot study result Pilot results from 30 GPs, 30 nurses and 30 pharmacists indicate that 69% of GP and nurse respondents prescribe breath actuated and dry powder inhalers generically. 56% of GPs stated they felt under pressure to prescribe generically, although 87% were concerned that this may lead to problems for the patient. 46% of respondents were aware of actual incidents in which patients have received an unfamiliar inhaler. Examples of problems experienced included patient confusion, ineffective inhaler technique risking loss of asthma control, and having to re-issue prescriptions for patients in order to ensure they received the intended inhaler

Results The results of the full study will be presented. Interviews with GPs and nurses will provide information about attitudes to policies for generic prescribing, awareness of the consequences of generic prescribing of inhalers and specific examples of problems which have arisen. Interviews with community pharmacists will provide data about dispensing policies and their perception of any problems presented by generic prescribing

Conclusion There are concerns that generic prescribing may compromise patient care if unfamiliar inhalers are dispensed. This study will provide an insight into the experience of healthcare professionals and provide data about actual problems that have arisen

Funding : The research project is supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Chiesi, Ivax and Celltech

Keywords : asthma, generic prescribing, inhalers, dispensing policies, patient care, poor inhaler technique, inadequate dosing

¹ Guidance on prescribing. British National Formulary September 2001; p

² Anon. Asthma nurse reports on problems with generic beclomethasone. *Pharma* 2000 **36** 22

The occurrence and healthcare cost of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) managed in Dutch general practice *Prim Care Respir* 2002 **11**(3) 95-96

Harjard Scherme ¹, Christian Sari ¹, Niels Chavanne ², Onno van Schayc ², Chris van Wee ¹

¹ Department of General Practice/Family Medicine, University Medical Centre St Radboud, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ² Department of General Practice/Family Medicine, University of Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Objective To examine the occurrence rate and health care cost of acute exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in Dutch general practice

Methods Data are from the COPD on Primary Care Treatment (COOPT) study, a randomised controlled trial investigating the effectiveness of fluticasone and N-acetylcysteine in COPD. For the 2-year period preceding trial inclusion, details on the occurrence and management of acute exacerbations were collected for each trial participant by retrospective general practice chart review. Patients were divided into

Subgroups of severity of airway obstruction based on their post-bronchodilator FE₁ % predicted value (FE₁ % predicted; 80% no obstruction; 70-80%: mild obstruction; 50-70%: moderate obstruction; <50%: severe obstruction). Exacerbation-related health care cost were determined by counting the units of resources consumed and converting these into monetary values (€)

Results Mean age of the 286 patients involved was 59.2 (SD 9.6) years, post-bronchodilator FE₁ % predicted was 67.1 (SD 16.2). Of all patients, 220 (77%) experienced at least one exacerbation during the 2-year observation period. Mean annual exacerbation rate was 0.88 (SD 0.79). The annual exacerbation rate did not differ between the subgroups of severity of airway obstruction (p=0.628). Mean health care cost per exacerbation was €67 (95% CI 57, 77). When costs were expressed as the annual exacerbation cost per patient, the mean costs in the severity subgroups were €80, €106, €122 and €183, respectively (p=0.013). The gradual increase of the annual exacerbation cost across severity subgroups was mainly attributable to more physician consultations and more prescriptions for bronchodilators and other relieve medication

Conclusions: The occurrence rate of exacerbations in COPD may not depend on the severity of airway obstruction, but the annual exacerbation-related health care cost per patient appear to increase as obstruction becomes more severe

Discontinuation of inhaled steroid treatment in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. An observational study in general practice (*Prim Care Respir* 2002 11(3) 96)

Jard Scherme¹, Annie Hendrik², Niels Chavanne², Richard Dekhuijze³, Miel Wouter⁴, Henk van den Hooge¹, Onno van Schayck², Chris van Wee¹

¹Department of General Practice/Family Medicine, University Medical Centre, St Radboud, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ²Department of General Practice/Family Medicine, University of Maastricht, Maastricht The Netherlands. ³Department of Pulmonology, University Medical Centre St Radboud, Nijmegen, The Netherlands ⁴Department of Pulmonology, University Hospital Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Objectives To assess the probability and explore determinants of an adverse respiratory outcome after discontinuation of inhaled corticosteroid treatment in subjects with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) diagnosed in general practice. Design - Prospective observational inhaled steroid withdrawal study.

Setting: 5 Dutch general practices. Participants - 232 subjects with a general practice based diagnosis of COPD with various degrees of airflow limitation. All subjects were treated with inhaled steroids.

Main outcome measures Probability of, and time to an adverse respiratory event within 3 to 7 months after inhaled steroid withdrawal.

Results: Overall probability of an adverse respiratory event was 0.37 (95% CI 0.31, 0.44). Mean number of days until adverse respiratory event was lowest when steroid withdrawal was initiated in January through April and July through August (p=0.072). Univariately, those experiencing an adverse respiratory event tended to be more often female, were older and showed higher reversibility of airflow limitation. Multivariate survival analysis resulted in a hazard ratio for females of 2.14 (95% CI 1.31, 3.50) compared to males. For age, the hazard ratio was 1.05 (95% CI 1.02, 1.08) per year of increased age. Depending on the baseline inhaled steroid dosage, age, gender, smoking status and reversibility were independent predictors of adverse respiratory event after discontinuation of inhaled steroid treatment.

Conclusions Abrupt withdrawal of inhaled steroids is likely to harm at least some patients with COPD. Probability of an adverse respiratory response after inhaled steroid withdrawal may vary throughout the year and appears to be higher in women, elderly subjects, smokers and subjects with reversible airflow limitation

Accuracy of spirometry in general practice. results of an evaluation study in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (*Prim Care Respir* 2002 11(3) 96)

Jard Scherme¹, Niels Chavanne², Annelies Jacob², Hans Folgerin³, Ben Bottem^{1,2}

¹Department of General Practice / Family Medicine, University Medical Centre St Radboud, Nijmegen, The Netherlands ²Centre for Quality of Care Research, University Medical Centre/ University of Maastricht, Maastricht The Netherlands. ³University Lung Centre Dekkerswald Groesbeek, The Netherlands

Background At this time, many general practices in the Netherlands have their own spirometer. However, there are indications in the literature that spirometry performed in the general practice setting may be inaccurate.

Aims: To compare FE₁ and FVC values obtained in general practice to a 'gold standard', i.e. the same parameters obtained in a pulmonary function laboratory by an experienced lung function technician.

Methods General practitioners and practice assistants from 61 Dutch general practices were trained to perform spirometry. Subsequently these practices recruited patients with COPD for study participation. For each patient, pre- and post-bronchodilator (BD) FE₁ and FVC values were first assessed in a pulmonary function laboratory and within a few days again in the patients' own general practice. The same type of spirometer (Microloop II, MicroMedical Ltd.) and spirometry software (Spirare, Diagnostica Ltd.) was used in all practices as well as in the pulmonary function laboratory.

Results: Pairs of spirometric tests were available for 299 patients. (197 males, mean age 59.2 [SD 9.6], mean FE₁ % predicted 62.8 [SD 17.6]). Pre-BD FE₁ was on average 79 ml (95% CI 55, 103) and FVC 99 ml (95% CI 54, 143) higher for the general practice measurements. For post-BD measurements, FE₁ was 61 ml (95% CI 39, 84) and FVC 49 ml (95% CI 3, 95) higher, also in favour of the general practice measurements.

Conclusion Relevant spirometric values (FE₁ and FVC) obtained in general practice appear to be equivalent to values obtained in pulmonary function laboratory. Further analyses of our data are required to eliminate possible alternative explanations for this rather unexpected finding