## **Ohiginal Researc**

For Personal use only.

Not to be reproduced without the permission of the Primary Care Respiratory Journal

## How do attitudes to illness and treatment compare with self reported behaviour in predicting inhaler use in asthma

### **Christopher H Hand, Malcolm Adam**

### **A**bstrac

**hai** d To compare attitudes to illness and treatment with self-reporte behaviour in predicting inhaler use in asthmatic patients

#### 

S They completed the Illness Perception Questionnaire, the Attitude to Treatment for Asthma Questionnaire, and a self-repor questionnaire at entry, after one month's run-in, and at three months A nurse counted the number of doses used. Univariate analysis wa performed with Accuhaler use over three months as the dependen

#### Introductio

Despite the apparent success of some educatio programmes for people with asthma, morbidity fro asthma remains unacceptable <sup>1</sup> Poor compliance wit asthma treatment is one of the most important factors <sup>2</sup> Although the concept of compliance has evolved fro one of blaming patients to one of mutual agreemen between patients and professionals (concordance) education is still the main approach to help peopl cope with their asthma <sup>3</sup>

Beliefs and attitudes influence behaviour, and som heoretical models can explain up to 30% of healt behaviour <sup>4</sup> This study compares how attitudes t illness caused by asthma and attitudes to inhale treatment for asthma compare with self-reporte behaviour in predicting inhaler use in asthmati patients

#### Methods

A stratified random sample, by asthma severity, se (equal numbers), and age (from 18 to 55), of 45 peopl with asthma was recruited by letter from five genera practices in Norfolk and Suffolk. All patients taking combination of a short acting  $\beta$ -2-agonist (salbutamol and a steroid inhaler (either beclomethasone o fluticasone) were included in the sampling process The sample size gives 80% power to detect correlation of 0.4 using a two-tailed, 5% significanc level <sup>5</sup> The participants saw a practice nurse wh explained the study and, after obtaining consent rexchanged their pressurised inhalers for Ventoli .(salbutamol) and Flixotide (fluticasone) Accuhalers<sup>TM</sup> Accuhalers were chosen as the number of doses use fan be counted and "dumping" (multiple actuations o the inhaler device before attending the surgery) is mor difficult

The participants completed three questionnaires: th Iness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ), the Attitudes t aTreatment for Asthma Questionnaire (ATAQ), and squestionnaire containing four self-reported behaviour variable. Independent variables included the questionnaire sub scales and morbidity. Significant variables were entered int multiple linear regression

**Results** The self-report questionnaire explained 40% of the varianc df steroid use. The ATAQ relief sub-scale and morbidity explaine 29% of the variance of  $\beta$ -2-agonist use

**Conclusions** Steroid inhaler use can be partly predicted by self reported behaviour and  $\beta$ **r**2-agonist use by attitudes to inhale treatment. These findings have implications for patient education

relating to inhaler use <sup>8</sup>- fThree questions abou current morbidity from asthma were also included 1 The IPO has three sections: the first contains selection of symptoms and their relation to asthma, th second has 50 items relating to beliefs about illnes (eight sub-scales), and the final section lists possibl nauses of asthma. The results from the second sectio are presented in this study (table 1). The ATAQ ha three sub-scales (table 1) and is available from th authors. The questions relate to beliefs about the us of inhalers for the prevention and relief (eight item each) of asthma, and the problems and concerns tha patients have with inhalers in general (10 items). Bot hthe IPQ and ATAQ have 5-point Likert scales: eac sub-scale score is calculated by adding the scores. Th four self-reported behaviour items are answered eithe ves (scored 1) or no (scored 0) and the scores of th items added

If he participants were seen at entry to the study, afte one month's run-in on the Accuhalers to familiaris themselves with their use, and finally after a furthe three months. At each attendance, the nurse performe her usual asthma check-up and asked the participant about problems with the new treatments as well a problems with their asthma. She counted the numbe df doses used of each Accuhaler and replaced the ol Accuhalers with new ones. At the end of the study th nurse returned the original medication to th participants

Univariate analysis was performed using eithe entolin or Flixotide Accuhaler<sup>TM</sup> use over thre gnonths as the dependent (Y) variable. The followin were selected as the independent (X) variables: age gender, current morbidity, the sub-scales scores of th ANCO and the self-reported behaviour scale pndependent variables with a significant relationshi (p<0.05) with either of the two dependent variable were included in backward multiple linear regression flransformation of the dependent variables was no sonsidered necessary as plots of the residual value showed no apparent systematic features. Comparison between variables that were not normally distribute Christopher Han General Practitioner an Honorary Senio Lecture

Malcolm Adam Clinical Psychologis and Honorary Senio Lecture

Correspondence to School of Medicine Health Policy an Practice, University o East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, U

k.hand@uea.ac.u

Date Submitted: 11/06/01 **Wsixise0**8/11/0 Date Accepted: 15/02/0

**P**rim. Care Respir 2002 **11(1** 29-1

For Personal use only. Not to be reproduced without the permission of the *Primary Care Respiratory Journal* 

were made using nonparametric tests. SPSS version was used for all statistical tests

fThe Health Services Research and Developmen **S**ubcommittee of the Anglia and Oxford regio Funded the study for which three local research ethica committees gave their approval

### Results

Forty-five people were recruited and only one **1**8-year-old female did not attend her fina Appointment. Of the remaining 44 people (97.8% evho completed the study, 24 (54.5%) were male, the Median age was 38 years (range 18 to 55), and 80 of them had suffered from asthma for over five year (median 19.5, range 0.5 to 43 years). Thirty were o step two of the British Thoracic Society Guidelines 14 on step three, and seven were on step four <sup>9</sup> **D** ghose on step four, five were using inhaled long-actin &2-agonists, one inhaled ipratropium and on leukotriene antagonist tablets

At entry to the study, 17 (38.6%) had low self meported morbidity, nine (20.5%) had mediu enorbidity and 18 (40.9%) had high morbidity. Ther yeas no statistically significant change in morbidit over time (Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test, Z=0, two stailed p=1.00). Patients used significantly les whtolin (median 1.1 puffs per day, range 0 to 4.3

## Table 1 Responses to the Illness Perception Questionnaire (IPQ) and thattitudes to Treatment for AsthmQuestionnaire (ATAQ) (n=44

	₽ntr Median (IQR	h mont Median (IQR	₿ month Median (IQR
<b>I</b> PQ sub-scale			
Timelin	23.0 (5.0	23.0 (4.5	23.0 (2.8
Consequence	15.0 (6.0	14.0 (5.0	15.0 (5.0
Personal contro	23.0 (4.0	23.0 (3.0	23.0 (3.0
Irreatment contro	19.0 (3.0	18.5 (3.0	18.0 (3.0
Ellness coherenc	11.0 (6.0	10.0 (5.8	10.0 (6.0
ITimeline cyclica	13.0 (3.0	14.0 (2.8	13.0 (4.0
Emotional representatio	13.0 (5.0	13.0 (3.5	12.0 (4.0
sATAQ sub-scale			
Preventing asthma symptom	26.0 (4.0	27.0 (4.8	28.0 (5.0
Relieving asthma symptom	\$2.0 (10.8	\$2.0 (9.0	\$2.0 (8.8
Problems with and concern			
about inhaler	\$2.0 (9.0	\$0.0 (7.0	\$0.5 (7.8

shan Flixotide (1.9, 0.5 to 3.3) (Wilcoxon signed rank test, Z=-2.83, p=0.005). The use of both inhalers wa relatively stable with no significant change in eithe inhaler in the run-in period compared to the three month study period (Wilcoxon signed ranks tests fieldindZ=Z9.417.20, p=0.23; p=0.64). None of the patients reported any problem with the Accuhalers

The median scores on the sub-scales of the IPQ an AQQ at the three attendances are shown in table 1. These scores changed very little during the study The responses to the self-report questions at the second attendance with their individual effects upo inhaler use are in table 2. More people admitted the heaviours that would lead to fewer doses being take for steroids than for  $\beta$ e2-agonists. The more behaviours admitted to, the less the patients used their steroid inhalers (one behaviour admitted, 2.0 puffs per clay; two, 1.7; three, 1.4; four, 0.9). Table 3 shows the seults of the univariate analyses and table 4 the multivariate analyses. Self-reported behaviour felating to steroid use significantly predicted use or the set of the set

## **:**Table 3 **U**nivariate analyses with steroid an short acting $\beta$ s2-agonist use as dependent variable

	Depende	Dependent variable				
	Steroid	β2-agonist				
	inhale	inhale				
<b>Independent</b> variable	Pearson's	Pearson's				
₿g	<b>Ø</b> .2	40.0				
Gende	20.1	<b>6</b> .0				
Morbidit	40.0	<b>6</b> .3 *				
<b>I</b> PQ sub-scale						
Timelin	40.1	40.0				
Consequence	50.0	0.2				
Personal contro	80.0	€0.0				
ITreatment contro	0.1	30.0				
Coherenc	30.1	0.1				
Timecycl	50.0	0.2				
Emotio	0.1	<b>9</b> .2				
sATAQ sub-scale						
Preventing symptom	0.2	0.2				
Relieving symptom	<b>0</b> .0	0.5 *				
Problems with & conce	rns					
about inhaler	60.1	<b>9</b> .0				
Self-reported behaviou	40.6 *	0.0				
\$p=<0.0 ₿	*p=<0.00					

Table 2 Responses (percentages) to the self-reported behaviour questions in relation to steroid and short acting  $\beta$ s2-agonist inhaler (n=44) with effects on inhaler use (mean doses per day and standard deviations

Questio	Inhale							
	<b>S</b> teroi				β <b>£</b> -agonis			
	No (%	Use (sd	<b>X</b> (%	Use (sd	No (%	Use (sd	<b>)</b> (%	Use (sd
Over the last three months have you								
At times been careles								
about using your inhaler	27 (61.4	2.1 (0.5	17 (38.6	1.3 (0.7	\$6 (81.8	1.2 (1.1	\$ (18.2	1.5 (1.5
Ever forgotten t								
use your inhaler	17 (38.6	2.2 (0.6	27 (61.4	1.5 (0.7	\$5 (79.5	).1 (1.1	9 (20.5	2.0 (1.3
Ever stopped your inhale								
Because you felt better	\$4 (77.3	1.9 (0.7	10 (22.7	1.2 (0.6	\$3 (75.0	1.4 (1.3	11 (25.0	1.0 (0.9
Ever used your inhaler less that								
prescribed because you felt better	\$0 (68.2	2.0 (0.6	14 (31.8	1.3 (0.6	\$3 (75.0	1.3 (1.2	)11 (25.0	1.2 (1.1

## **Ohiginal Researc**

For Personal use only.

## Not to be reproduced without the permission of the Primary Care Respiratory Journal

this inhaler and accounted for 40% of the variance yThe ATAQ relief sub-scale and morbidity significantl predicted  $\beta$ - $\mathfrak{Q}$ -agonist use and these two variable explained 29% of the variance. Morbidity, however **y**nly contributed an additional 4% to the explanator model

#### Discussio

Four self-reported behaviours explain nearly half th variance of steroid use. A model containing th tAQ relief sub-scale and morbidity explain jus under a third of the variance of short acting  $\beta$ -2 agonist use. Neither of the other two sub-scales of th AQQ nor the sub-scales of the IPQ contribute significantly to either model. How can we explai these results

General attitudes are poor predictors of specifi Qehaviours and it probably for this reason that the IP **sub-scales** did not predict inhaler use. The reaso **swhy self-reported behaviour predicted steroid us** rather than  $\beta$ y2-agonist use can be understood b Examining the individual items of this scale. Two o the four items reflect what may happen when using treatment that is taken regularly as opposed to one tha is taken as required. In the development of this scale no consideration has been given as to whethe medication was for prevention rather than relief <sup>8</sup>

One explanation for the poor performance of th **AQD** sub-scales in predicting steroid use is that th **AAQD** sub-scales in predicting steroid use is that th **AAQD** sub-scales in predicting steroid use is that the **AAQD** sub-scale were atypical. may be more likely to adhere to their inhaler regim than most patients, resulting in less variation in thei tise of steroids. There is some evidence for this in that they used more steroid than short acting  $\beta_{\tau}$ 2-agonist whilst the reverse is true in the population from whice the beliefs were selected. It is also possible that a titude scale consisting of several beliefs, whils having acceptable psychometric properties, is not a good at predicting behaviour as individual beliefs

Once a specific behaviour is established, as it was i these participants, beliefs may play a relatively mino role in maintaining that behaviour. Clark *bt a*. eonclude that patients' beliefs about health issues ar not useful indicators of likely compliance <sup>0</sup> However, much of the evidence quoted in the revie fs not related to asthma. The powerful influence o bast behaviour is well demonstrated in the Medica Outcomes Study  $^{1}$  where nonadherence at th beginning of the study is the strongest predictor o nonadherence two years later, although asthma is no one of the chronic diseases followed. Osman's view i that, for most patients, attitudes to asthma medicatio follow control of symptoms <sup>2</sup> This means that i batients just diagnosed with asthma, their initia oducation and experience may be crucial if we want t Influence their use of inhalers. For those wit gstablished asthma, education based on changin attitudes may be less effective than discussing th behaviour itself

What are the methodological limitations of this study

Table 4 Multivariate analyses with steroid and short acting  $\beta$ e2-agonist us as dependent variable

	Dependen ariable	Independen sariable	<b>S</b> tandardis β Coefficien		ignificanc
8	teroid us	Self-reported behaviou	-0.64	RAdjusted	0.00 ²≠0.40**
ß	€-agonist us	AD relief sub-scal	<b>6</b> .4	ingustea	<b>0</b> .00
'	U	Morbidit	0.2		<b>0</b> .0
			1	Adjusted	² <b>)</b> =0.29**
Ť	*p = < 0.00				

First, inhaler use was only studied for three months Schere is evidence from one trial that adherence start to drop after three months <sup>3</sup> A longer period o observation might have produced different results Accuhalers will only measure the number of dose hsed and not how and when they are taken. Althoug openness was encouraged in this study, one cannot b eertain that the doses counted by the nurses were th doses taken by the participants

What are the implications for practice? Specificall dsking patients about behaviours that reduce steroi inhaler use appears promising. Exploring belief about inhaler treatment may be more useful with  $\beta$ -2 agonists. It is possible that giving the patient th **explanation of the state sta** 

before the consultation may enable them to as huestions about their inhaler treatment. A healt professional responding to questions from a patient i a crucial step in achieving concordance <sup>3</sup>

#### **Acknowledgement**

Our thanks to the patients, nurses and doctors in th SAND research consortium: Bacon Road, Drayto md Thorpewood Practices in Norwich, Fakenha Practice in Norfolk and Bottesdale Practice in Suffolk Glaxo Welcome generously provided the Ventolin an IFlixotide Accuhalers<sup>TM</sup>. Professor John Weinma kindly gave permission for us to use the IPQ

#### Reference

1. Dickinson J, Hutton S, Atkin A, Jones K Reducing asthma morbidity in the community: th heffect of a targeted nurse-run clinic in an Englis general practice. Respir Med 1997 9:636-40 2. Walsh LJ, Wong CA, Cooper S, et al. Morbidit from asthma in relation to regular treatment: community based study. Thora 1999 5:296-300 3. Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain From compliance to concordance: towards share goals in medicine taking. London: RPS, 1997 A. Zimmerman RS, Vernberg D. Models of preventiv health behaviour: comparison, critique and meta analysis. Adv Med So 1994 4:45-67 3. Kraemer HC, Thiemann S. How many subjects Statistical power analysis in research. Newbury Park Sage, 1987

**6**. Hand CH, Morley S, Adams M. Developing rquestionnaire to measure patients' beliefs about inhale treatment for asthma: tests of validity and reliability For Personal use only. Not to be reproduced without the permission of the *Primary Care Respiratory Journal* 

> Primary Care Respir 2000 9(1:13-16
> 7. Weinman J, Petrie KJ, Moss-Morris R, Horne R The Illness Perception Questionnaire: a new metho for assessing cognitive representations of illness
> Psychol Healt 1996 1:431-45
> tsKellarkSNykonesire P. Patien factors and compliance with asthma therapy. Respi Med 1999 9:856-862
> 9. British Thoracic Society, National Asthm

 Campaign, Royal College of Physicians et al. Th British Guidelines on Asthma Management 1995
 Review and Position Statement. *Thora* 1997 **52(5**:S1-S32)
 Brooks CM, Richards JM, Kohler CL, et al

Assessing adherence to asthma medication and inhale

tegimens: a psychometric analysis of adult self-repor scales. *Med Car* 1994 **3** :298-307 11. Sherbourne CD, Hays RD, Ordway L, et al Antecedents of adherence to medica secommendations: results from the Medical Outcome Study. *J Behav Med* 1992 **5** :447-468 12. Osman LM. How do patients' views abou medication affect their self-management of asthma *Pat Ed Course* 1997 **2**:43-S49 43. Jónasson G, Carlsen K-H, Mowinckel P. Asthm adherence in a long term clinical trial. *Arch Dis Chil* 2000 **8** :330-3

## **GPIAG Registrar Audit Competition** 200

## Audit of Respiratory Car Open to GP Registrars and SHO's who are part of a GP trainin schem

## **P**rize

First Prize: £400.00

# The winners will be invited to present their results at a national meetin

The audit

The audit must clearly relate to an aspect of respiratory medicine of importance to primary care.

Must conform to the standard criteria for summative assessment Must have been undertaken within the previous yea

Credit will be given for innovative ideas: innovative approaches to existing problems or identification of a less well-known problem

Where possible reference should be made to literature from primary care Must be submitted by 31 May 2002

For application forms and further information contact the GPIAG secretaria Edgbaston House, 3 Duchess Place, Edgbaston Birmingham B16 8NH Tgel: +44 (0)121 454 8219 Fax: +44 (0)121 454 1190 E-mail: info@gpiag.or