

The role of the practice nurse in the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)

Rupert C M Jones, Sam Freegard, Mark Reeves, Karen Hanney, Frank Dobb

ABSTRACT

Aim

To assess the range of activities performed by practice nurses in COPD management and their training for these tasks

Methods

A postal questionnaire was sent to the nurse with prime responsibility for respiratory care in 179 practices in Cornwall and Southwest Devon

Results

The response rate was 64%. Spirometers were available in 64% of practices (range 0-6 per practice). Of these, spirometry was performed by nurses alone in 72%; in 44% spirometry was performed less than once a week. Spirometry was used for diagnosis

in 91%; monitoring in 87% and screening asymptomatic smokers in 45%. Reversibility testing was performed by 61% of the practices. Formal training in spirometry had been undertaken by 52% in informal training in 41% and none in 7%. They would like to see the development of one-stop COPD clinics, support from specialist nurses and pulmonary rehabilitation, preferably based in the community.

Conclusion

Nurses face many problems managing COPD in general practice including equipment, training and professional support

Keyword

Practice nurses, Primary Care, Questionnaire, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Introduction

COPD is a common respiratory disease with high morbidity and mortality¹ the direct health service costs are enormous, estimated at over £300 million in 1996 with indirect costs estimated at £630 million² The disease affects 20% of smokers with relentless progression³ damage to lung tissue is often severe with considerable debility, before medical advice is sought. Accurate diagnosis at an early stage with good management of patients can prevent or reduce the lung damage⁴

Primary Care is well placed to deal with COPD given the scale of the disease burden, however it appears that COPD has been neglected in the past. With the publication of guidelines from the British Thoracic Society¹ clearly outlining the optimum management of COPD, many practices are offering new services to their patients. What is not clear is how widely the recommendations for diagnosis and management of COPD are implemented in practice

Diagnosis of COPD can only be made with spirometry¹ but many practices do not own a spirometer⁶. In those that do, it is often under used and training inadequate. There may be problems for GPs and nurses interpreting the results. Reports and feedback on use of spirometers often come from enthusiastic practices and may not reflect accurately the general picture⁸. Previous papers have demonstrated problems with training and support for staff using spirometry^{6,9,1} Reversibility tests are useful in excluding asthma from COPD and to establish if drug treatment is likely to be beneficial. Data are lacking on the actual methods used in primary care to measure reversibility and the interpretation of the results.

Practice nurses are taking an increased role in chronic respiratory care¹ The aim of this survey is to

examine their role in the diagnosis and management of COPD in South West Devon and Cornwall. We also sought to establish the problems nurses encounter in this role and the future developments they felt would be most beneficial

Method

Subject

The practice nurse with prime responsibility for respiratory management was identified by telephone calls to the receptionist or practice manager in every practice in the South West Devon Health Authority area, (including Plymouth and Torbay), and in the Cornwall and Isles of Scilly Health Authority Area. This named individual (one per practice) was sent a postal questionnaire.

The Questionnaire

The questionnaire was produced by the multidisciplinary research team in conjunction with four consultants in respiratory medicine and includes sections on the activities of the nurse in asthma, COPD and spirometry. The survey was assessed and amended in two pilot studies outside the study area before it was approved for distribution. The questions included:

- If the practice owned a spirometer, who used it, how often and what training had the operator received?
- If and how reversibility testing was performed
- The nurse's knowledge and perceived usefulness of BTS guidelines¹ in the care and management of patients in primary care
- The direction of future developments of services for COPD management that would be most important to improve the levels of care
- A general comments section

Practices that did not respond to the questionnaire were telephoned by the research practice nurse (KH)

Rupert C M Jones
General Practitioner

Sam Freegard
General Practitioner

Mark Reeves
General Practitioner

Karen Hanney
NG

Frank Dobb
Senior Lecturer

Correspondence to
Dr Rupert C M Jones
Respiratory Research Unit
Department of Primary Health Care & General Practice
GTC Building
Mariner Science Park
Davy Road
Derriford
Plymouth

trupertjones@ukgateway.ne

Date Submitted: 14/11/0
Date Accepted: 20/11/0

Prim Care Respir
2001 **10(4)** 306-10

and asked if 'in house' spirometry was offered. The response rate for this question was therefore 100%. Where other responses were unclear the nurse was telephoned for clarification

Result

The postal response rate to the questionnaire was 64%

Access to Spirometry

The survey showed that 66% of the responding nurses had access to a spirometer. The non-responders were telephoned and of these 61% had access to a spirometer, this gave an overall percentage of 64% of all practices in the South west with at least one spirometer, with a range of zero to six per practice. A wide range of spirometers were employed, the majority (89%) were able to produce a spirogram with 70% able to store and interpret electronic results.

Two practices had yet to use their spirometer and in 44% spirometry was performed less than once per week, a further 33% had used it less than 50 times in total. Practices without in-house spirometry usually referred patients to a hospital consultant, but only 5% had direct access to hospital spirometry

The Operator

Spirometry was performed within the practice by 72% of nurses alone, by GPs alone in 9%. Twenty-seven percent of the nurses had undergone formal training in the management of COPD, leading to a diploma or equivalent; the National Asthma Training Centre COPD course being most frequently attended, (80% of those with a qualification). Formal training in spirometry had been undertaken by 52% of responding nurses, informal training by 41% and no training by 7%. Spirometers were used by staff with no formal training in 25% of practices.

BTS guideline

The BTS guidelines were very familiar to 62% of th

nurses responding, quite familiar to 23% and not at all familiar to 13% of nurses. The vast majority of those who were familiar with the BTS guidelines found them quite useful (61%), 36% very useful and 3% not at all useful

Application of Spirometry

Spirometry was used for diagnosis of respiratory disease in 91%, monitoring in 87% and screening asymptomatic smokers in 45%. However, only 61% used reversibility testing with a wide range of methods being employed

The way forward

A range of proposals for future developments to improve services for patients was rated as important by the responding nurses (see table one). Of these community based options were preferred to District General Hospital (DGH) services. All of the options were considered to be important, particularly community based rehabilitation, 97% of nurses rated it essential or important with 96% rating an acute assessment unit for patients with acute exacerbation as essential or important

Feedback

A total of 47 written comments were received. The main groups of comments are listed: (Frequency of specific comments in brackets)

- Time limitations: nursing hours inadequate for workload (4 times)
- Frustration: unable to manage COPD effectively according to BTS guidelines (9 times)
- Spirometry not performed often enough to keep skills current. (4 times)
- Lack of confidence in technique and results (4 times).
- Training often too limited, not relevant to primary care (twice)
- Relevant training and updates necessary for both nurses and GP's, this is dependent on time (twice) funding (twice) and area (3 times), rural practices may be at a disadvantage.
- Professional isolation (twice)
- Need more support from GP's (twice)
- Enthusiasm to provide the service in general practice (3 times)
- Used to excellent effect with smokers (4 times)
- Reduction of anxiety and travel for patients (3 times)
- COPD management was not nurse led in some practices (3 times)
- The development of open access spirometry, pulmonary rehabilitation with rehabilitation in the district general hospital for oxygen dependent patients (once)
- It appears that as COPD care is initiated in practices, nurses are developing their skills, often financing the training and in their own time (once)

Discussion

Response to the questionnaire was 64%, there is possibility of a response bias, as enthusiasts

Table One- The way forward: nurses' views on the importance of some proposed future developments (percentage of responders in brackets)

	Unnecessary	Not important	Important	Essential
Direct access to spirometry in a DGH	23 (22%)	30 (29%)	40 (39%)	9 (9%)
Direct access to spirometry in the community	12 (11%)	18 (17%)	45 (43%)	31 (29%)
One stop clinic in the district hospital	11 (10%)	19 (18%)	59 (56%)	17 (16%)
One stop clinic in the community	2 (2%)	11 (10%)	56 (52%)	39 (36%)
Respiratory specialist support nurses	0 (0%)	9 (8%)	50 (45%)	51 (46%)
Acute assessment unit for patients with exacerbation	1 (1%)	3 (3%)	43 (40%)	60 (56%)
Pulmonary rehab in the district hospital	4 (4%)	14 (14%)	52 (51%)	32 (31%)
Pulmonary rehab in the community	1 (1%)	2 (2%)	51 (48%)	53 (49%)

respiratory nurses may be more likely to respond. However, telephone enquiries showed that non-responders had a similar rate of spirometer ownership to responders indicating little evidence of response bias.

Spirometry has been encouraged in primary care as a technique to clarify respiratory disorders, provide appropriate diagnosis and hence decide on treatment and prognosis.² Successive surveys show that more practices are purchasing spirometers: in 1998 Dowson reported 21% of 84 practices in North Staffordshire owned a spirometer⁵ and in 1999 Rudolf reported 62% ownership in a geographically representative national sample.⁶ However in practice they have been employed with little planning.

Spirometry in primary care is uncoordinated and disorganised. The ownership of and access to spirometers in primary care practice appears extremely unbalanced with one third of practices without a spirometer and others owning up to six. Those who do own one are mostly under using it, and there is not enough time or support for the nurses who are using it. One nurse commented "COPD is a neglected area both educationally and financially. Spirometry and management of COPD has been dumped in practice nurses' laps without providing any formal training or support". Thus hard earned resources are being wasted on expensive spirometers.

Training is critical to reliable outcomes in spirometry,⁹ however staff without formal training often perform spirometry (25% in this study). A COPD care is initiated in practices, some nurses are studying for additional qualifications in their own time and are financing the training themselves. It was apparent from the survey that some nurses with appropriate training were still under confident due to lack of practice with new techniques and equipment. Some, who have obtained training and are enthusiastic, are unable to use their new skills because of practice priorities and become demoralised. One nurse said "I feel that I could be doing so much more for my patients. Some of them have so many symptoms but seem to be on the maximum treatment I feel very frustrated".

There is little or no quality control on the accuracy of the results and real problems with interpretation. One important example is in making a diagnosis of COPD. 91% of nurses stated that they used the spirometer for diagnosis, only 61% were doing reversibility test which are essential for separating asthma from COPD.

As nurses take on new tasks it is obvious that without extra hours and adequate funding being available, they are unable to offer a quality service. A nurse wrote "I have the skills to diagnose and manage COPD patient but my time is completely filled with other practical duties. We do not have a spirometer at the moment and I feel there would be no point getting one as a work-load is already at breaking point". As new directives such as the National Service Framework for coronary heart disease³ compete for already stretched

nurse time, there is little room for optimism. Practices that do employ nurses for high quality COPD care may be at a disadvantage financially when practice staff allocations are rationed.

To address the practice nurses' problems in COPD management, primary care trusts could provide respiratory specialist nurses to work with primary care and provide 'one stop' clinics. Where there are enthusiastic, successful practices they should be nurtured and financially supported. Such a system will provide expert nurses, with high quality equipment and will support and educate both primary care staff and patients. All spirometry in primary care should be subjected to audit and quality control. ■

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank the many practice nurses and doctors who contributed to this project, in particular Dr I. Coultis (Treliske Hospital), Dr C. McGavin (Derriford Hospital), Dr J. Goldman and Dr D. Sinclair (Torbay Hospital) for their help in the project design.

Editors Note

This paper was peer reviewed for another journal hence the very short lead-time

Reference

1. British Thoracic Society. Guidelines for the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: *Thorax* 1997; **52**:S1-28
2. Calverly P. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the Lung Report. London: British Lung Foundation 1996.
3. Fletcher C, Peto R. The natural history of airflow obstruction. *BMJ* 1977; **1**:1645-8
4. Britton M. Key developments in chest disease. *The Practitioner* 2001; **267**:90-5
5. Dowson LJ, Yeung A, Allen MB. Most practice would use open access spirometry in hospitals. *BMJ* 1998; **317**:209
6. Rudolf M. Making Spirometry Happen. *Thorax* 1999; **54**(S3):A43
7. Pinnock H, Carley-Smith J, Kalideen D. Spirometry in primary care: an analysis of the first 100 patients referred in one general practice. *Asthma in General Practice* 1999; **7**:23-4
8. Jones RCM, Copper S. Does implementing COPD guidelines improve patient care and save money in practice? *Asthma in General Practice* 1999; **7**:12-15.
9. Eaton T, Withy S, Garrett J et al. Spirometry in primary care practice. *Ches* 1999; **61**:416-23
10. den Otter JJ, Knitel M, Akkermans RP et al. Spirometry in general practice: the performance of practice assistants scored by lung function technicians. *Br J Gen Pract* 1997; **47**:41-2
11. Wolfe S, Price S. Delivery of asthma care: patients' use of and views on healthcare services determined from a nationwide interview survey. *Asthma J* 2000; **6**:141-4
12. British Thoracic Society. Spirometry in practice: practical guide to using spirometry in primary care. BTS COPD Consortium, Sept 2000.
13. National service framework for coronary heart disease. Department of Health; March 2000

