

Asthma or COPD?

An investigation into the symptom patterns of asthma may highlight the need for more rigorous diagnostic procedures in elderly patients

Gaylor Hoskins, Colin McCowan, Giles Thomas, Ronald Neville, Roland Clark, Barbara Smith

ABSTRACT

Aim:

To investigate the symptom patterns of elderly patients registered as active asthmatics

Method

An observational study using a database of 393 practices throughout the United Kingdom. Participating practices provide health-service resource-use and symptom data for 30 randomly selected asthma patients. 8,244 adults, (16+ years), were stratified into three age groups, 4315 (52%) aged 16-44, 2339 (28%) 45-64, and 1590 (19%) 65+. Comparisons were made for management and outcome measures (attack incidence, symptoms, health service

resource use, drug therapies) between the groups

Results:

Patients over 64 years old experienced more morning and exercise symptoms ($p < 0.001$) and had more hospital admissions ($p < 0.001$). They received higher levels of medication ($p < 0.001$), were more compliant ($p < 0.001$), but had poorer inhaler technique ($p < 0.001$)

Conclusion:

Despite higher medication levels, 1,164 (73%) patients over 64 years reported symptoms, 430 (37%) of these, daily. For older patients where regular symptoms are present despite high medication levels investigation for diagnoses other than asthma should be routine.

Gaylor Hoskins

Nurse and Project Manager

Colin McCowan

Lecturer in Lung Health Informatics

Giles E Thomas

Lecturer in Mathematics

Ronald G Nevill

General Practitioner

Roland A Clark

Consultant Physician

Barbara Smith

Data System Administrator

Correspondence to Mrs Gaylor Hoskins, Tayside Centre for General Practice, University of Dundee, Kirsty Semple Way, Dundee DD2 4AA

g.hoskins@dundee.ac.uk

Date Submitted: 08/05/08

Date Accepted: 26/10/08

Prim. Care Respir 2001 **10**(4) 299-10

Introduction

There has been a sharp rise in consultation rates for asthma symptoms in the last few decades despite the availability of more effective therapy and an increase in public awareness and concern for the disease. Over the same period consultations for COPD have been consistently high, especially in those aged 65 years and over.³ Implementation of guidelines, published to standardise the diagnosis and management of both diseases continues to be sub-standard with inconsistent use of appropriate treatments and poorly organised care programmes.⁶ The often gradual onset of COPD means that the disease may be at an advanced stage before a patient seeks advice.⁷ Misdiagnosis and mismanagement can therefore lead to long-term difficulties.⁸ The annual cost to the National Health Service (NHS) of treating patients with asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is estimated to be in excess of £1136m per annum.⁹ Respiratory disease thus places a considerable social and economic burden on individual patients and on the NHS.^{10,11}

To reduce this burden it is essential that appropriate management be provided at an early stage. This must be guided by an accurate diagnosis.¹ Elderly patients on the asthma register often have multiple conditions, some diseases e.g. COPD can be overlooked if the health professionals do not take a proactive stance in identifying them. This paper discusses health care resource and symptom patterns between groups of patients diagnosed with asthma. Utilising an existing data set it identifies differences in the pattern of disease between the differing adult age groups and highlights the potential issue of conflicting disease.

Method

A representative sample of general practices, stratified and randomised by geographical region throughout the United Kingdom, were invited by mail to participate in an audit of their asthma management. Three hundred and ninety three practices participate

and supplied details of health service resource usage for 30 patients of all ages randomly selected from the practice asthma register using a supplied list of randomised numbers. This generated a data set on the management of 8,244 adult patients previously diagnosed as asthmatic. The information recorded from the patients' medical notes for a retrospective 12 month period included the number of patient initiated general practice consultations; the number of routine asthma review consultations by the general practitioner (GP) or practice nurse; admission to hospital and length of stay; Accident & Emergency (A&E) and Outpatient attendance; and the number of asthma attacks, emergency nebulisations and short courses of systemic steroids. The medication prescribed over the year was recorded and the highest possible British Asthma Guideline (BAG⁴) treatment step was then assigned to each patient.

Each patient was invited to the surgery for a clinical assessment of his or her current respiratory status. This was carried out by the practice nurse or the general practitioner, with the aid of the Tayside Asthma Assessment Stamp^{32,1} and the information was recorded in the audit booklet. The stamp, using a score from 0 to 3 (3 being most severe), recorded the presence of night-time, early morning, or exercise induced symptoms in the month before assessment; the number of days off due to respiratory problems in the same period; a peak expiratory flow (PEF) reading at review; and future follow up. A subjective assessment of compliance with prescribed medication was made utilising the information gleaned from the medical records and questioning of the patient during the clinical assessment. Inhaler technique was assessed and categorised as satisfactory or unsatisfactory by the consulting clinician at the time of the assessment.

The database was utilised to identify differing patterns of symptoms and health care management in patients in the 16-44, 45-64 and 65+ age brackets.

Comparisons were made for management and outcome measures between these three groups with the aim of determining whether alternative management option should be considered when treating elderly asthmatic

Statistical Methods

The occurrence of symptoms (night-time, morning exercise), management indicators (compliance, inhaler technique) and medication level (BAG treatment step) were compared across the three age groups by means of a global χ^2 -squared test for homogeneity in a two-way contingency table. When there was evidence of statistically significant difference between the age groups, ($p < 0.05$) in the global test, the 65 and over age group was compared with each of the other age groups by calculating the ratio of odds in favour of the occurrence of the factor for the two age groups together with a 95% confidence interval.

Results

The practices recruited to the study were drawn from a sample representative of different parts of the UK in relation to size and urban and rural breakdown. Data was received for 8,244 adult patients aged 16 years and over. To compare the management and outcome in the young, middle and elderly adult population the dataset was stratified into three age groups (3,315(52%) were aged from 16-44 years, 2,339(28% aged 45-64, and 1,590(19%) 65 years or over (see Table 1)

A total of 6,152(75%) patients were on BAG treatment step 2 or above i.e. in receipt of prophylactic medication (Table 1), and 1,735(21%) patients had experienced at least one acute episode during the year of data collection. Comparison of non-attendees for review showed no differences in age, gender and BAG treatment step distribution than with those patients who attended. A total of 6,414(78%) patients responded to the invitation and attended for their clinical assessment connected to the audit which was conducted by the practice nurse or the general practitioner. An assessment of compliance with medication indicated that 1,002(16%) patients assessed were poor 'compliers'

Across all age groups considerable numbers of patients (Table 1) experienced night-time, early morning or exercise-induced symptoms. The global χ^2 -squared test showed that there were differences between age groups in the ratio of occurrence of morning symptoms, exercise-induced symptoms, hospital admission, BAG treatment step, compliance and inhaler technique (all $p < 0.001$). A greater proportion of patients aged 65 and over experience early morning and exercise-induced symptoms (Table 2), and were on a higher BAG treatment step (Table 3). Compared with the 16-44 age group, the odds of receiving some treatment (i.e. BAG step ≥ 1) is 2.7 times as great, and the odds of receiving strong treatment (i.e. BAG step ≥ 4) is 4.03 times as great. Although these effects are not so great when the comparison is with the 45-64 age group, the odds that older patients were on higher doses of inhaled steroid (BAG step ≥ 4) are 1.44 times as great. Compare

Table

Patient numbers (%) for health service utilisation, symptoms and treatment step by age group

	Age Group (years)			Total
	16-44	45-64	65+	
Total number of patients	331	233	159	724
Acute episode	89 (19)	53 (23)	38 (24)	175 (21)
Hospital admission	9 (2)	6 (3)	5 (4)	24 (3)
A&E contact	12 (3)	5 (2)	2 (2)	19 (3)
OPD contact	13 (3)	19 (8)	13 (9)	45 (6)
Urgent consultation	226 (52)	135 (59)	94 (60)	455 (61)
Review consultation	253 (59)	163 (70)	111 (70)	527 (64)
Number of patients assessed	302 (71)	197 (85)	135 (87)	634 (78)
Night symptoms	111 (36)	79 (40)	47 (36)	237 (37)
Morning symptom	134 (44)	95 (50)	78 (57)	307 (49)
Exercise symptom	167 (55)	128 (65)	104 (76)	400 (62)
Poor compliance	57 (19)	20 (14)	16 (12)	93 (16)
Poor inhaler technique	23 (8)	17 (9)	15 (11)	55 (9)
BAG treatment step				
0	44 (10)	14 (6)	6 (4)	64 (8)
1	93 (22)	30 (13)	20 (13)	143 (18)
2	187 (43)	86 (37)	58 (37)	331 (40)
3	73 (17)	58 (25)	31 (21)	162 (20)
4	27 (6)	38 (14)	27 (18)	92 (11)
5	5 (1)	10 (4)	11 (7)	26 (3)
Total	331	233	159	724

Table

Ratio of odds in favour of occurrence of each factor for the 65+ age group compared to the other age groups (95% CI in brackets)

Factor	16-44	45-64
Poor compliance	0.5 (0.46,0.74)	0.8 (0.66,1.11)
Poor inhaler technique	2.5 (1.16,1.99)	3.2 (0.96,1.70)
Morning symptom	7.6 (1.42,1.96)	3.3 (1.12,1.58)
Night-time symptom	0.9 (0.83,1.15)	0.8 (0.71,1.01)
Exercise symptom	3.5 (2.12,3.02)	7.6 (1.38,2.03)
A&E	0.6 (0.36,1.01)	0.7 (0.41,1.30)
Hospital admission	8.9 (1.32,2.96)	2.4 (0.92,2.19)

Table

Ratio of odds in favour of being on at least the given BAG step for the 65+ age group compared to other age groups (95% CI in brackets)

BAG Step	65+ Number of patients (%)	16-44 Number of patients (%)	Odds ratio (95% CI)	45-64 Number of patients (%)	Odds ratio (95% CI)
1 or above	152 (96)	387 (90)	3.7 (1.96,3.86)	219 (94)	9.5 (1.09,2.32)
2 or above	332 (83)	293 (68)	3.3 (1.95,2.82)	189 (81)	9.1 (0.96,1.46)
3 or above	74 (47)	306 (25)	8.6 (2.29,3.10)	80 (44)	1.1 (0.95,1.30)
4 or above	99 (25)	33 (8)	3.0 (3.30,4.92)	44 (19)	4.4 (1.19,1.75)
5	21 (7)	53 (1)	0.0 (4.02,9.23)	20 (4)	6.6 (1.18,2.35)

with the 16-44 age group, patients in the 65 and over age group had a higher compliance rate (Table 2) but a greater proportion with poor inhaler technique (Table 2). Although the difference in the latter was not large it may highlight a problem which is clinically significant

Discussion

This study reviewed the health service resource use and symptom profiles of patients labelled "asthmatic" to determine whether there were differences in disease pattern between age categories. It found that patients 65 years and over experienced symptoms more regularly than other age categories despite higher levels of medication and better medication compliance. Changes in symptom pattern with age may indicate co-existent or alternative disease. Patients expect and deserve the most appropriate treatment for their condition. This study puts forward the view that health professionals need to be more pro-active in investigating for differential diagnoses in elderly asthmatic patients.

The general practices participating in this study represented a cross section of the general practice population being from both rural and urban areas of varying size from single handed to 10 partner practices. The method of data collection relied on recording of information in patients' notes; the recording booklet used a tick box format. Based on experience in previous studies, this was considered the easiest and most efficient way to record the information. The use of retrospective data allied with clinical assessment of present symptoms reflects the process of history, diagnosis and prognosis with treatment that all clinicians practice when dealing with patients.

The resultant database was large and represented patients of all ages and both sexes over the whole spectrum of disease severity. The results indicate change in the pattern of asthma symptoms as patients get older. Although nocturnal symptoms, which are not a feature of COPD, were similar in all age groups more patients 65+ experienced early morning and exercise-induced symptoms despite the fact that they received higher levels of medication and had a better record of medication compliance. Such patients particularly if they have a smoking history, should be targeted for diagnostic assessment.

Ineffective inhaler technique by patients who have been diagnosed with COPD has been previously recorded.⁴ The higher level of patients in this study aged 65+ considered to have a poor inhaler technique highlights the importance of review and reassessment of medication delivery system to suit the changing needs of the patient. The decision to divide the cohort into three age bands 16-44, 45-64 and 65+ reflects the fact that one of the major respiratory disorders, and hence a potential alternative diagnosis to asthma in elderly patients, is COPD. The British Thoracic Society Guidelines for the management of COPD highlights the difference in health service use between the 45-64 and the 65-74 years age groups, general practice consultation rates doubling from 4% to 9% increasing with age.⁵ The omission of children from the study acknowledges the unique problems that exist with childhood asthma.⁵

There are limitations to interpreting data from national

correspondence surveys' as we cannot demonstrate causation only find associations. The GPs who contributed data voluntarily enrolled into the project and may be construed as introducing a recruitment bias in favour of GPs interested in asthma. The method of data collection relied on accurate recording of information in patients' notes. Those who work in general practice will be aware of the variation in the amount of information recorded. Responders were asked to record the type of drug agent used and the number of prescriptions over the year of data collection for each patient. Difficulties were reported by some practices in accurately recording this information, which may potentially have led to under-reporting of medication use. The observational nature of the study meant that only a proxy measure for level of asthma medication could be calculated. By looking at total prescriptions over the year and then assigning the highest there would be an over estimate of each patient's step. This information was also based on prescribed data rather than actual patient use. However, the nature of the data collection meant that prescribed drugs could only be reported on an intention to treat basis.

The evaluation of compliance was determined by specific questioning of the patient at the time of assessment as well as knowledge of medication prescribed in the previous 12 month period. The partially subjective nature of this evaluation is open to inaccuracy.

Peak expiratory flow (PEF) was not reported in this paper because although PEF was recorded during the clinical assessment there was no comparison with that predicted and therefore the information was of limited use in this study. This information, if available, would have been valuable in informing the debate.

The absence of good quality smoking data for this cohort of patients has limited the study. Many of the co-morbidities experienced in older patients are associated with smoking. Linking symptom pattern and management options with smoking history would have added again to the debate.

The data received by the research unit was anonymised and as a result makes it impossible to revisit the study group to test the hypothesis of alternative diagnosis.

Conclusion

Despite higher medication levels almost 75% of the patients 65 years or over reported symptoms, over third on a daily basis. Although this is not a definitive study on the management of elderly patients with asthma it is an opportunity to highlight the potential obstacles to good management. This study suggests that the differences in the older age groups compared with the younger may indicate the presence of other disease processes and general practice must be alert to the possibility that a better management regime could be offered to patients. Assessment for alternative diagnoses of patients on the asthma register who are

on high levels of treatment but continue to have symptoms should become standard practice. Not only will patients benefit but it will allow those who are organising respiratory care in general practice to focus their efforts and utilise resources in a more appropriate way. ■

Acknowledgement

Thanks to practices and patients throughout the United Kingdom for their co-operation; and to colleagues for providing internal peer review criticism of our work

Funding: The research unit is in receipt of funding from the Scottish Office, charitable institutions and the pharmaceutical industry

Reference

- McCormick A, Fleming D, Charlton J. Morbidity statistics from general practice: Fourth national study 1991-2. London: Office of Population Censuses and Surveys, 1995. ISBN 0116916109
- Anonymous. The burden of respiratory disease. Fact sheet 95/3. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the key facts. Lung and Asthma Information Agency. British Lung Foundation. Dept. of Public Health Sciences, London
- Anderson HR, Esmail A, Hollowell J, et al. Epidemiologically based needs assessment: lower respiratory disease. London: Department of Health 1994
- British Guidelines on Asthma Management *Thorax* 1997; **52**(Suppl 1):S1-S21
- British Thoracic Guidelines for the Management of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *Thorax* (1997; **Suppl**):S1-S28
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network

Primary Care Management of Asthma. Roy Coll of Physicians 1998. SIGN Publication Number 33 ISBN 1 899893 61

7. Anonymous. Respiratory conditions: Are health needs being met? National Asthma and Respiratory Training Centre. Direct Publishing Solutions Ltd 1999

8. Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Epstein RS, et al. Evaluation of impairment of health related quality of life in asthma: development of a questionnaire for use in clinical trials. *Thorax* 1992; **47**:76-83

9. Anonymous. National Asthma Audit 1999/2000 National Asthma Campaign. Direct Publishing Solutions Ltd June 1999

10. Pearson MG, Littler J, Davies PDO. An analysis of medical workload by speciality and diagnosis in Mersey: evidence of a specialist to patient mismatch *J R Coll Phys* 1994; **38**:230-4

11. Hoskins G, McCowan C, Neville RG, Thomas GE, Smith B, Silverman S. Risk factors and cost associated with an asthma attack. *Thorax* 2000; **55**:1924

12. Neville RG, Hoskins G, Smith B, et al. Observations on the structure, process and clinical outcomes of asthma care in general practice *Br J Gen Pract* 1996; **46**:583-7

13. Hoskins G, Neville RG, Smith B, et al. Tayside Asthma Management Initiative. *Health Bull* 1998; **56**(2):586-591

14. van der Palen, Klein JJ, Kerckhoff AH, van Herwaarden CL. Evaluation of the effectiveness of four different inhalers in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 1995; **50**(11):1183-7

15. Neville RG, McCowan C, Hoskins G, Thomas GE. Cross-sectional observations on the natural history of asthma. *Br J Gen Pract* 2001; **51**:361-5

GPIAG Registrar Audit Competition 200

Audit of Respiratory Care

Open to GP Registrars and SHO's who are part of a GP training scheme

Prize

First Prize: £400.00

Two runners up will receive £100 each

The winners will be invited to present their results at a national meeting

The audit

The audit must clearly relate to an aspect of respiratory medicine of importance to primary care.

Must conform to the standard criteria for summative assessment

Must have been undertaken within the previous year

Credit will be given for innovative ideas: innovative approaches to existing problems or identification of a less well known problem

Where possible reference should be made to literature from primary care

Must be submitted by 31 May 2002

For application forms and further information contact the GPIAG secretariat
Edgbaston House, 3 Duchess Place, Edgbaston Birmingham B16 8NH
Tel: +44 (0)121 454 8219 Fax: +44 (0)121 454 1190 E-mail: info@gpiag.or