

involved in splicing in *Drosophila* — did not rescue the effect of *unc-75* mutations. However, *EXC-7* also localized to nuclear speckles, and its absence led to a cholinergic dysfunction comparable to that of the *UNC-75* mutants, indicating that *EXC-7* might also be involved in splicing and regulate synaptic function. If both *UNC-75* and *EXC-7* are involved in splicing, they seem to have non-redundant functions and probably act on different targets. The identity of these targets, the mechanisms that ensure the specificity of *UNC-75* and *EXC-7* actions in a subset of neurons, and the precise way in which splicing affects neurotransmission remain unknown and are attractive problems for future research.

Juan Carlos López

#### References and links

**ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER** Loria, P. M. *et al.* Two neuronal, nuclear-localized RNA binding proteins involved in synaptic transmission. *Curr. Biol.* **13**, 1317–1323 (2003)

**FURTHER READING** Dredge, B. K. *et al.* The splice of life: alternative splicing and neurological disease. *Nature Rev. Neurosci.* **2**, 43–50 (2001)



PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS

## Weighing up the risks

The causes of bipolar disorder are far from clear, although family, twin and linkage studies have shown that genetic factors play an important part in its development. Reporting in *Nature Genetics*, Kakiuchi and co-workers now identify *XBP1* — a gene that is central to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress response — as contributing to the genetic risk factor for this condition.

The rate of concordance for bipolar disorder in monozygotic twins is considerably higher than that in dizygotic twins, but some monozygotic twins are discordant — that is, only one of the pair of twins is affected. The biological basis of discordance between monozygotic twins is not fully understood, although several mechanisms have been documented.

Kakiuchi *et al.* carried out a DNA microarray analysis of lymphoblastoid cells — which have altered signal-transduction systems in patients with bipolar disorder — from two pairs of monozygotic twins who were discordant for the condition. They found that *XBP1* and *HSPA5* were downregulated in both of the affected twins. *HSPA5* gene expression is known to be induced by the mood stabilizer valproate, and is regulated by *XBP1*. The team went on to show that a single-nucleotide polymorphism (–116C→G) in the promoter region of *XBP1* that affects a putative binding site for *XBP1* itself was more common in Japanese patients with bipolar disorder than in healthy subjects, and was overtransmitted from parents to affected offspring in a collection of samples from people of mixed ethnicity, chiefly of European origin.

Because an *XBP1*-binding motif seems to be abolished in the risk allele, the group reasoned that the –116C→G polymorphism might alter the

positive feedback activity of *XBP1*. They found that *XBP1*-dependent transcription of the –116G allele was significantly lower than that of the –116C allele; in addition, in cells with the –116G allele, the induction of *XBP1* expression in response to ER stress was reduced.

So, the –116C→G polymorphism in *XBP1* seems to cause a defect in its positive feedback system and to increase the risk of developing bipolar disorder. But do valproate and other mood stabilizers affect this feedback loop? Kakiuchi *et al.* found that valproate, but not lithium or carbamazepine, could rescue the impaired ER stress response by inducing *ATF6*, the gene upstream of *XBP1*.

This study implicates the *XBP1* loop of the ER stress response in the pathophysiology of bipolar disorder. The fact that only valproate could reverse the impairment of the *XBP1* loop raises the possibility of customizing treatments for patients according to the genetic risk for the condition. Moreover, these findings point to *ATF6* as a potential target for the development of mood stabilizers. Interestingly, the authors found that *XBP1* was expressed at relatively high levels in the human prefrontal cortex. Further studies of this system could provide insights into the molecular mechanisms of mood.

Rebecca Craven,  
Senior Subeditor, Nature

#### References and links

**ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER** Kakiuchi, C. *et al.* Impaired feedback regulation of *XBP1* as a genetic risk factor for bipolar disorder. *Nature Genet.* advance online publication 31 August 2003 (doi:10.1038/ng1235)

**FURTHER READING** Kato, T. Molecular genetics of bipolar disorder. *Neurosci. Res.* **40**, 105–113 (2001) | Ellgaard, L. & Helenius, A. Quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **4**, 181–191 (2003)

