## **RESEARCH HIGHLIGHTS**

## RNA DECAY

## **Remember your driver**

the promoters of *SWI5* and *CLB2* might recruit factors co-transcriptionally to accompany transcripts into the cytoplasm The stability of mRNA is often assumed to be dictated by a transcript's sequence features. Two new studies highlight that mRNA stability can be influenced by a memory of the promoter from which expression of the transcript was driven.

Spurred on by their previous discovery that components of the transcription apparatus mediate cytoplasmic mRNA degradation, Bregman et al. tested the effect of different promoters on mRNA stability by using reporter constructs to express the usually unstable *RPL30* transcript in *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae. After chemically blocking transcription, the kinetics of mRNA degradation were monitored using northern blotting. When the RPL30 upstream activating sequence (UAS) was exchanged for the UAS of the ACT1 gene, the stability of the same RPL30 transcript was increased to a level that was similar to that of the endogenous ACT1 transcript, indicating that the promoter sequence was a key determinant of stability.

To identify which sequences were mediating the effect, the authors dissected the UASs to make new constructs. They found that Rap1-binding sites (RapBSs) in the *RPL30* UAS were necessary for *RPL30* transcript instability and were sufficient to confer transcript instability when engineered into the *ACT1* UAS. Depletion of Rap1 caused transcripts to stabilize, particularly those from constructs with RapBSs in the UAS. Therefore, promoterinduced mRNA degradation may involve Rap1 binding to RapBSs and a co-transcriptional 'imprinting' of that transcript for cytoplasmic degradation, although mechanistic details are unclear.

In a related study, Trcek et al. developed an RNA-fluorescence in situ hybridization (RNA-FISH) technique to study mRNA stability at single-molecule resolution in unperturbed S. cerevisiae. They studied two transcripts, SWI5 and CLB2, for which transcription and degradation are closely regulated during the cell cycle. Exchanging the 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) of the SWI5 transcript with UTRs from constitutively expressed ACT1 did not perturb the M phase-specific degradation of SWI5. Instead, only promoter swapping with ACT1 converted unstable, cell-cycle-regulated SWI5 and CLB2 transcripts into stable, constitutively expressed transcripts and vice versa.

The authors reasoned that the promoters of SWI5 and CLB2 might recruit factors co-transcriptionally to accompany transcripts into the cytoplasm to regulate M phasespecific transcript degradation. Protein-interaction databases identified Dbf2 as a candidate for a role in this process, based on it being at the intersection of relevant pathways. Indeed, Dbf2 was bound to SWI5 and CLB2 mRNAs in vivo, and depletion of Dbf2 destabilized these mRNAs and delayed M phase progression. This indicates that Dbf2 accompanies and protects specific transcripts until appropriate cell cycle-regulated degradation signals are received.

It will be interesting to uncover precisely how the promoter-initiated events lead to the selective induction or prevention of cytoplasmic mRNA degradation. Finally, because other yeast promoters share sequences with *RPL30*, *SWI5* and *CLB2*, it will be intriguing to decipher how widespread and varied promoterregulated mRNA stability is throughout yeast and metazoan genomes.

> Darren J. Burgess, Assistant Editor, Nature Reviews Cancer and Nature Reviews Genetics

This article is modified from the original in Nature Rev. Genet. (doi:10.1038/nrg3159).

ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPERS Bregman, A. et al. Promoter elements regulate cytoplasmic mRNA decay. Cell 147, 1473–1483 (2011) | Trcek, T. et al. Single-molecule mRNA decay measurements reveal promoter-regulated mRNA stability in yeast. Cell 147, 1484–1497 (2011) FURTHER READING Belasco, J. G. All things must pass: contrasts and commonalities in eukaryotic and bacterial mRNA decay. Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 11, 467–478 (2010)

