

## HIGHLIGHT ADVISORS

### WENDY BICKMORE

MRC HUMAN GENETICS UNIT,  
UK

### SEAN B. CARROLL

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN,  
USA

### ADAM EYRE-WALKER

UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX, UK

### JANE GITSCHIER

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,  
SAN FRANCISCO, USA

### RALPH J. GREENSPAN

THE NEUROSCIENCES  
INSTITUTE, CALIFORNIA, USA

### YOSHIHIDE HAYASHIZAKI

RIKEN GENOMIC SCIENCES  
CENTER, JAPAN

### PETER KOOPMAN

UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND,  
AUSTRALIA

### LEONID KRUGLYAK

FRED HUTCHINSON CANCER  
RESEARCH CENTER, USA

### GAIL MARTIN

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,  
SAN FRANCISCO, USA

### BARBARA MEYER

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,  
BERKELEY, USA

### CHRISTOS OUZOUNIS

THE EUROPEAN  
BIOINFORMATICS INSTITUTE,  
UK

### NORIYUKI SATOH

KYOTO UNIVERSITY, JAPAN

### MARC VIDAL

DANA-FARBER CANCER  
INSTITUTE, BOSTON, USA

### VIRGINIA WALBOT

STANFORD UNIVERSITY, USA

### DETLEF WEIGEL

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR  
DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY,  
GERMANY

### LEONARD I. ZON

CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL,  
BOSTON, USA

## GENETIC MAPPING

# Recharting the human genome

Like maps of old, genetic maps are not always perfect, and so require constant updating with findings from new expeditions. Such an expedition has recently been carried out by scientists from deCODE genetics, who now report a new human genetic map. This map adds substantially to earlier ones, corrects previous errors and provides a detailed picture of recombination patterns across male and female genomes, revealing some intriguing differences.

Kong *et al.* began their expedition by genotyping a sample of 869 individuals, from 146 nuclear Icelandic families and containing 1,275 meioses, for 5,136 microsatellite markers. By comparison, the previous benchmark map — the Marshfield map — was derived from 188 meioses from eight large, three-generation families from the CEPH collection, which were genotyped for 8,000 microsatellite markers. Although lacking a third generation, the deCODE map achieves five times the resolution of the Marshfield map.

This increased marker resolution has several key benefits, such as allowing clustered markers to be resolved with greater reliability. For example, of the 5,012 markers shared by this and the Marshfield map, 3,690 were given distinct positions on this map compared with 2,866 positions on the Marshfield map. Increased marker resolution also highlighted assembly errors in the physical maps of the draft human

genome — Kong *et al.* made 104 modifications to a 2001 release of the goldenPath assembly. Increased marker resolution also allowed the authors to better estimate genetic distances between markers and to survey genome-wide recombination patterns in detail.

Several findings emerged from this survey. Among them was that recombination rates, which vary locally along each chromosome, strongly correlate with sequence content — such as with G+C, CpG and poly(A) content — and with cytogenetic features, such as G bands. However, there are hints that other, sequence-independent, factors also influence recombination activity — for example, recombination occurs 1.65 times more frequently in female, than in male, autosomes, and recombination hot spots occur in different places in male and female genomes. No doubt, future studies will look for the stochastic, environmental and genetic factors that influence female recombination rates, which varied between the meioses of each woman studied.

There is much to be gained from this map in addition to that mentioned above, such as the availability of sex-specific maps, which will provide better estimates of genetic distances for linkage studies. Although produced by a private company, these maps are available at the *Nature Genetics* web site and the primary data on application to deCODE.

However, as James Weber remarks in an accompanying News & Views, future mapping expeditions are still much needed to fill in the details for cross-population studies of linkage disequilibrium.

Jane Alfred

## References and links

**ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER** Kong, A. *et al.* A high-resolution recombination map of the human genome. *Nature Genet.* **31**, 241–247 (2002)

**FURTHER READING** Weber, J. L. The Iceland map. *Nature Genet.* **31**, 225–226 (2002)

### WEB SITE

**Supplementary information:** [http://www.nature.com/ng/journal/v31/n3/supinfo/ng917\\_S1.html](http://www.nature.com/ng/journal/v31/n3/supinfo/ng917_S1.html)

