

1 **BRIEF COMMUNICATION**

2 ***Codonopsis pilosula* twines either to the left or to the right**

3 Gonghua Lin<sup>1\*</sup>, Fang Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Eviatar Nevo<sup>3</sup>, Tongzuo Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Jianping Su<sup>1</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Adaptation and Evolution of Plateau Biota, Northwest Institute of  
5 Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining 810008, China. <sup>2</sup>Qinghai  
6 University, Xining 810016, China. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Mount  
7 Carmel, Haifa 31905, Israel.

8 \*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: lingonghua@gmail.com

9 **Abstract** We report the twining handedness of *Codonopsis pilosula*, which has either a  
10 left- or right-handed helix among different plants, among different tillers within a  
11 single plant, and among different branches within a single tiller. The handedness was  
12 **randomly distributed** among different plants, among the tillers within the same plants,  
13 but not among the branches within the same tillers. Moreover, the handedness of the  
14 stems can be strongly influenced by **external forces**, i.e. the compulsory left and right  
15 forming inclined to produce more left- and right-handed twining stems, respectively,  
16 and the reversing could make a left-handed stem to be right-handed and vice versa. We  
17 also discuss the probable mechanisms these curious cases happen.

18 **Keywords** twining plant • left-handed • right-handed • external force

19 **Introduction**

20 The graceful movements of twining plants have fascinated biologists since Charles  
21 Darwin's time (Darwin, 1865; Isnard and Silk, 2009). Most of the twining plants show  
22 fixed handedness, either consistently forming right- or left-handed helices as they  
23 climb, which depends on the genus and/or species (Hashimoto, 2002). Although very  
24 rare, there are also some exceptions, e.g. in *Dioscorea* and *Wisteria*, where different

25 species twine in one direction or the other (Ornduff 1991).

26 Darwin (1865) also provided cases of different individuals in the same species  
27 twined in both directions, and even, some of the individuals twined firstly in one  
28 direction and then reversed their course. However, as Darwin mentioned, the stems of  
29 these species could be easily pulled from its support with little unwinding. Hence, these  
30 species should be more suitable to be viewed as climbing than twining plants.

31 *Codonopsis pilosula* (Campanulaceae) is a typical twining plant native to China and  
32 surrounding areas and usually found growing around forest openings under the shade of  
33 trees. Both left- and right- handed stems of this species are found (Fig. 1 A, B). Also,  
34 different tillers within a single plant (Fig. 1 C) and different branches in a single tiller  
35 (Fig. 1 D, E) may twine in both directions. Moreover, once a stem (tiller or branch)  
36 starts the twining, it will not change direction unless with compulsory force (see below),  
37 also different from the so called ambidextrous twining (Burnham and Revilla-Minaya  
38 2011). This makes it a good candidate to statistically analyze the handedness nature of  
39 twining plants. Here, based on hundreds of observations, we aimed to answer two  
40 rudimentary questions we are interested: 1) Are the handedness of the tillers of this  
41 species happened randomly? 2) Can external forces influence the handedness of the  
42 tillers?

## 43 **Materials and Methods**

### 44 *General observation*

45 The *C. pilosula* seedlings were planted and in the spring on the second year, before the  
46 plants were germinated, each plant was provided with a PVC pipe (1.8 meters long and  
47 the diameter is 16 mm) as the supporting material.

48 Five hundred *C. pilosula* were planted and kept intact for the general observation of  
49 handedness distribution. The first growing tiller of each plant was used for the

50 statistical analysis on handedness distribution among plants. Plants having two or more  
51 tillers were observed for handedness distribution statistical analysis within each plant.  
52 The first growing tillers having six or more branches were used for the handedness  
53 distribution of their branches and their relationship with the mother tillers themselves.

#### 54 *Compulsory treating*

55 Two hundred *C. pilosula* were planted, in which each half were compulsorily fixed as  
56 left- and right-handed, respectively, by adhesive cellophane on the tip of the youngest  
57 leaf to the supporting PVC pipes. The fixation was executed before the stems have  
58 started their own twining behaviors and continued following the growing of the stems  
59 until the plants covering two helix pitches, we then removed the adhesion material and  
60 kept the plants growing freely again. The handedness of each stem was recorded when  
61 it gained another two or more helix pitches.

62 Eighty more *C. pilosula* were planted for compulsorily reversing treat (one tiller for  
63 each plant). First, the tillers were kept intact to grow for more than two helix pitches  
64 and then we reversed their initial direction until the young stem showed fixation of their  
65 handedness (usually 1.5~3 helixes) using adhesive cellophane. We then removed the  
66 adhesion material and kept the plants growing freely again. The twining handedness of  
67 each stem was recorded when it gained another two or more helix pitches.

#### 68 *Statistical analysis*

69 We used “Chi-square goodness of fit tests” in Minitab 16.0 to test whether the left vs.  
70 right ratio among freely grown tillers and compulsorily fixed tillers deviate from the 1:1  
71 ratio. For the ratios among tillers within the plants and among branches within tillers,  
72 the nonparametric “2 Related Samples test” in SPSS 20.0 was used.

#### 73 **Results**

74 A total of 459 plants (others were dead or stopped growing because of root rot or aphid

75 feeding) were observed, and the handedness of the first twined tiller for each plant was  
76 recorded for handedness distribution analysis among plants. 216 tillers were  
77 left-handed and 243 were right-handed, not significantly deviate from the 1:1 ratio ( $\chi^2$   
78  $= 1.588$ ,  $df= 1$ ,  $P= 0.208$ ). There were 210 plants that had two or more tillers, and the  
79 ratio of left- and right-handed tillers within the same plants were  $0.488 \pm 0.348$  and  
80  $0.512 \pm 0.348$ , respectively, also not significantly deviate from the 1:1 ratio ( $Z= -0.613$ ,  
81  $N= 210$ ,  $P= 0.540$ ).

82 Eighty tillers (forty for left- and forty for right-handed) which had six or more twined  
83 branches were selected to test whether the handedness of mother tillers can affect the  
84 handedness of their branches. For the left-handed tillers, the proportion of left- and  
85 right-handed branches were  $0.685 \pm 0.211$  and  $0.315 \pm 0.211$ , respectively,  
86 significantly deviated from the 1:1 ratio ( $Z= -4.308$ ,  $N= 40$ ,  $P< 0.001$ ); while for the  
87 right-handed tillers, the proportion of left- and right-handed branches were  $0.273 \pm$   
88  $0.149$  and  $0.727 \pm 0.149$ , respectively, also significantly deviated from the 1:1 ratio ( $Z=$   
89  $-5.188$ ,  $N= 40$ ,  $P< 0.001$ ).

90 Ninety-two and eighty-one compulsory left- and right-handed forming tillers  
91 successfully grow for more than two helix pitches after treating, respectively. From the  
92 compulsorily left-handed-formed tillers, eighty-four continued to be left-handed while  
93 eight reversed to right-handed, significantly deviated from the 1:1 ratio ( $\chi^2= 62.783$ ,  
94  $df= 1$ ,  $P< 0.001$ ). From the compulsorily right-handed-formed tillers, seventy-four  
95 continued to be right-handed while seven reversed to right-handed, also significantly  
96 deviated from the 1:1 ratio ( $\chi^2= 55.420$ ,  $df= 1$ ,  $P< 0.001$ ).

97 Thirty and thirty-four tillers successfully grow for more than two helix pitches after  
98 left-to-right and right-to-left treating, respectively (Fig. 1 F, G). For the left-to-right

99 reversing group, twenty-three became right-handed while seven kept to be left-handed,  
100 significantly deviated from the 1:1 ratio ( $\chi^2 = 8.533$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ). For the  
101 right-to-left reversing group, twenty-nine became left-handed while five kept to be  
102 right-handed, also significantly deviated from the 1:1 ratio ( $\chi^2 = 9.529$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ).

### 103 **Discussion**

104 *C. pilosula* is hitherto one of the most variable plants on twining handedness i.e.  
105 different handedness can be found among different plants, among the tillers with the  
106 same plants, and among the branches within the same tillers. Our results also showed  
107 that, the handedness was randomly distributed among plants and among tillers within  
108 plant individuals, while the handedness of branches are positively influenced by their  
109 mother tillers. Moreover, the compulsory forming and reversing experiments in our  
110 study provided curious cases, i.e. the handedness of the stems can be strongly  
111 influenced by external forces.

112 Thigmonastic reactions are thought to be responsible for winding behaviors of  
113 twining plant (Darwin & Darwin, 1881; Burnham and Revilla-Minaya, 2011). We  
114 presume a possible mechanism: stems are moving in a circle in the air looking for a  
115 support, at the moment they touch an object, the point of touch influenced growth  
116 hormone distribution between the inner and outer parts and lead to asymmetric growth.  
117 In that way they create helices. For most twining plants, the stems can only move with  
118 single directions (sinistrally or dextrally) and finally form left- and right-handed helices,  
119 respectively. Interestingly however, for *C. pilosula*, based on our observations, the  
120 young stems (or tillers) can move either dextrally or sinistrally, that is why they have  
121 different handedness.

122 The positive effects of the handedness of mother tillers on their branches might work  
123 by two mechanisms. Take left-handed tiller and their branches as example, the first

124 mechanism might be: the branches from left-handed tillers incline to make sinistral  
125 circles and touch the supporters by their right sides and finally form left-handed helices.  
126 The second mechanism might be: the branches from left-handed tillers have equal  
127 probability of moving directions but incline to lodge to the left and then have more  
128 chances to touch the supporters by their right sides. Although more experimental  
129 observations are needed to test our hypotheses, we suggest the sides where the stems  
130 (both tillers and branches) meet and touch the supporters are critical to determine their  
131 final handedness. The effects of external forces can also be explained by the  
132 thigmotropism hypothesis, e.g. the compulsorily left-handed forming and left-to-right  
133 reversing enhanced the touch between supporters and the right / left side of stems and  
134 as a result, forming / reversing their final handedness.

135 With the development of biotechnology, studies on winding related mechanisms are  
136 also moving to molecular cell levels. Hatakeda et al (2003) reported a Japanese strain of  
137 morning glory (*Pharbitis nil*) whose shoots display weeping growth. Further studies  
138 showed that, gravisensing endodermal cells are indispensable for the winding response  
139 and that morning glory SCARECROW gene is responsible for the abnormal  
140 phenotypes of weeping (Kitazawa et al 2005). However, because the morning glory is a  
141 right-handed plant, these studies can only tell why the stem wind or not. Another study  
142 on mutant *Arabidopsis thaliana* (including left- and right-handed mutants) indicated  
143 that microtubule cytoskeleton might be critical for determining cell shape and thus the  
144 stem handedness (Hashimoto, 2011). Because the *A. thaliana* is not a twining plant, we  
145 suggest studying on the *C. pilosula* will provide more direct and convincing evidences.  
146 It should be mentioned that, *C. pilosula* is also an important traditional Chinese  
147 medicine. The cultivation related experiences and studies will also facilitate further  
148 analysis in the lab.

149 **Acknowledgments** We thank Takashi Hashimoto, Will Edwards, Avigdor Beiles, and  
150 Yang Zhong for their valuable comments. We also thank Robin Permut for her  
151 assistance in editing. This work was supported by the CAS Special Grant for  
152 Postgraduate Research, Innovation and Practice (To G. Lin).

### 153 **References**

154 Burnham RJ, Revilla-Minaya C (2011) Phylogenetic influence on twining chirality in  
155 lianas from Amazonian Peru. *Ann Mo Bot Gard* 98(2):196-205

156 Darwin C, Darwin F (1880) *The power of movement in plants*. John Murray, London

157 Darwin C (1865) On the movements and habits of climbing plants. *Bot J Linn Soc*  
158 9:1-118.

159 Hashimoto T (2011) Microtubule and cell shape determination. In: Liu B. *The plant*  
160 *cytoskeleton*. Springer, New York, pp 245-257

161 Hashimoto T (2002) Molecular genetic analysis of left-right handedness in plants. *Phil*  
162 *Trans Roy Soc B- Biol Sci* 357 (1422):799-808

163 Hatakeda Y, Kamada M, Goto N, Fukaki H, Tasaka M, Suge H, Takahashi H (2003)  
164 Gravitropic response plays an important role in the nutational movements of the  
165 shoots of *Pharbitis nil* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Physiol Plant* 118:464-473

166 Isnard S, Silk WK (2009) Moving with climbing plants from Charles Darwin's time  
167 into the 21st century. *Amer J Bot* 96(7):1205–1221

168 Kitazawa D, Hatakeda Y, Kamada M, Fujii N, Miyazawa Y, Hoshino A, Iida S, Fukaki  
169 H, Morita MT, Tasaka M, Suge H, Takahashi H (2005) Shoot circumnutation and  
170 winding movements require gravisensing cells. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA*  
171 102(51):18742–18747

172 Ornduff R (1991) Handedness in twining tracheophytes. *Amer J Bot* 78:208

173



174

175 Fig. 1. Handedness of *Codonopsis pilosula*. (A) Left-handed. (B) Right-handed. (C) Both left- and  
176 right-handedness in a single plant. (D) A left-handed (mother) tiller with a left-handed branch. (E) A  
177 right-handed tiller with a left-handed branch. (F) Reversed from left-handed to right-handed. (G)  
178 Reversed from right-handed to left-handed.