Changes in Enzyme Activities and Actinomycete Functional Diversity due to Long Term Agricultural Management

HELMHOLTZ **CENTRE FOR** ENVIRONMENTAL **RESEARCH – UFZ**

Jessica LM Gutknecht, E Schulz, V Vemula, J Schmidt, G Henning, J Rose, Y Eckstein, and M Tarkka

UFZ - Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Department of Soil Ecology contact: phone +49 345 5585255 / email: jessica.gutknecht@ufz.de

Introduction

of soil function, soil ecology, and soil nutrient status (Acosta-Martínez 2004, 2007). It has been suggested that extracellular enzyme activities could serve as valuable indicators of soil fertility and soil quality (Garcia-Ruizet al., 2008). However, few comprehensive studies have determined not only how extra-cellular enzyme activity relates to soil nutrient status and microbial growth, but also how the growth of specific organisms relates to the production of extracellular enzymes. This type of interdisciplinary effort may offer a deeper understanding of which processes and specific organisms are the best indicators of soil function, and how microbial activity relates to growth conditions.

Agricultural management can cause long-lasting effects on many aspects Actinomycete bacteria are a major group of decomposition enzyme producing microorganisms, with the genus Streptomycetes being among the most potent bacterial degraders of cellulose at our site (Ulrich et al., 2008). Streptomycetes are also known to be affected by changes in land management (Hill et al., 2011). In this comprehensive study we used a long-term agricultural field trial to determine how bacterial decomposer abundance and function were altered by long-term management, how the bacterial decomposer community relates to overall soil enzyme activity and microbial growth, and finally how microbial growth and hydrolytic enzyme activity relate to abiotic soil characteristics.

Materials and Methods

•Soil samples were taken at 0-20 cm depth (the plow layer) in June 2010

•The long-term (108 years) field trial consists of 18 fertilization treatments planted with a rotation of sugar beet, spring barley, potatoes, winter wheat, and alfalfa. The following treatments were sampled under alfalfa (n=5 each): no inputs, NPK (140 N, 60 P, and 230 K kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) only, 20 T manure ha⁻¹ 2yrs⁻¹ only (20 T), 20 T manure +NPK, (20 T+NPK) 30 T manure only (30 T), and 30 T manure +NPK (30 T +NPK)

Analysis included:

- Activity of β-glucosidase (β-gluc), β-xylosidase (β-xylo), N-acetylglucosaminidase (nag), and phosphatase (phos), at pH 7 (German et al., 2011).
- Microbial biomass (PLFA, using a modified Bligh and Dyer 1959 extraction).
- In situ soil respiration, microbial biomass (SIR, Anderson and Domsch, 1978), and nitrifcation potential (shaken slurry method)
- · Abundance and activity of culturable chitin and cellulose degrading Streptomycetes
- · Characterization of soil extractable C and N (hot water extraction and KCI extraction) total C and N (combustion analysis), and pH (in 0.01 M CaCl₂)

Figure 1. Response of extra-cellular enzyme activity and specific activity



Figure caption: Response of A) extracellular enzyme activity (nmol g soil-¹ hr⁻¹) and B) specific enzyme activity (nmol g soil-¹ hr⁻¹ nmol lipid-¹). Different letters indicate statistically different treatments based on Tukey's HSD test at *P*<0.05. All calculations were made per g dry wt. Error bars represent one standard error.

Figure 2. Response of Streptomyces



Chitin degrading Strep. Cellulose degrading Strep.

Figure caption:Response of culturable chitin and cellulose degrading *Streptomycetes* (number of bacteria) and number relative to Actinomycete indicator biomass (number of bacteria nmol 18:0 10 me⁻¹ g soil⁻¹). Different letters indicate statistically different treatments based on Tukey's HSD test at P<0.05. All calculations were made per g dry wt. Error bars represent one standard error.

References Acosta-Martínez et al., Soil Sci Soc J Amer (2004) 68:1875-1884; #Acosta-Martínez et al., Appl Soil Ecol (2007) 37:41-52; #Anderson and Domsch Soil Biol Biochem (1978) 10:215-221; #Bligh and Dyer. Can J Biochem Physiol (1959) 37, 911-917; #Garcia-Ruiz et al., Soil Bio Biocchem (2008) 40:2137-2145; #German et al., Soil Biol Biochem (2011) 43: 1387-1397; #Hill et al. Microb Ecol (2011) 61:286-302; # Shulz, Arch Agron Soil Sci (2002) 46:101-105; #Ulrich et al. Microb Ecol (2008) 55:512-522



Table 1. Treatment affects on soil nutrient status, microbial biomass, and measures of microbial activity

reatment	pН	N inorg	тос	нwс	HWN	soil resp	nitrification potential	microbial biomass	SIR biomass
no input	5.26 d	1.62 c	1.61 c	399.6 c	30.05 d	2.40 a	0.154 d	34.53 c	241.7 b
NPK	5.08 d	3.11 bc	1.93 b	504.4 bc	40.18 cd	4.29 a	0.303 c	55.00 bc	356.0 b
20 T	5.92 bc	6.24 ab	2.15 b	540.8 abc	47.82 abc	5.93 a	0.398 bc	73.49 ab	537.1 a
20T +NPK	5.62 c	7.29 a	2.49 a	647.1 ab	60.44 a	4.84 a	0.553 a	76.93 ab	617.0 a
30T	6.04 b	8.79 a	2.54 a	677.8 a	58.40 ab	5.43 a	0.423 abc	98.50 a	633.8 a
SOT +NPK	6.38 a	923 a	260 a	512 4 bc	43 35 bcd	519 a	0.529 ab	87 61 a	652 3 a

Table caption: Different letters indicate statistically different treatments based on Tukey's HSD test at P<0.05. Abbreviations: pH = pH in 0,.01 M CaCl₂; Ninorg = sum of NH₄ and NO₃ (mg kg⁻¹); TOC = total organic carbon (%); HWC and HWN = hot water extractabl C and N resp. (mg kg⁻¹); soil resp = soil respiration (mmol CO₂ m² s⁻¹); hitrification potential = (µg g soil⁻¹ hr⁻¹); microbial biomass = (nmol lipid g soil⁻¹); SIR biomass = substrate induced respiration (µg CO₂-C g soil⁻¹ hr⁻¹).

Results and Discussion

Extracellular enzyme activities responded to agricultural management according to microbial biomass

We found that β -gluc, phos, and nag (an insignificant trend) activities were higher with increasing manure and mineral fertilizer, but when taken in terms of microbial growth (specific activity), activities were the same or lower with fertilizer amendment. Thus, the microbial community was similarly or less nutrient limited with more fertilization and produced similar or less extra-cellular enzymes per capita. We also saw that in addition to increasing the nutrient levels directly, long-term manure amendments may change soil characteristics such as pH, to allow for more microbial growth and activity. Further, enzyme activity alone may not predict or indicate the full response of the microbial community in terms of growth conditions or nutrient availability.

The response of chitin and cellulose degrading Streptomycetes was similar to that of total extracellular enzyme activity

Culturable abundances of chitin and cellulose degrading Streptomycetes followed similar, but not the same, patterns as total enzyme activities. This suggests that these organisms are important indicators for decomposition in agro-ecosystems and that other enzyme producing organisms are important as well. In conclusion, it is important to assess microbial activity in terms of growth of the organisms for a more complete view of microbial processes under human management.

Acknowledgements We thank the UFZ Soil Ecology Department for support in sample processing, as well as lnes Merbach and the Bad Lauschstädt field crew for continuing this field experiment. We also thank our collaborators on the GCEF project from the UFZ, the University of Leipzig, and the Martin-Luther University Halle-Wittenburg