# Reactivation of Limestone-Derived Sorbents using Hydration: Preliminary Results From a Fluidised Bed

Mr. John Blamey Dr. Paul S. Fennell Prof. Denis R. Dugwell Funding: EPSRC

#### Abstract

A simple method of CO<sub>2</sub> capture is by using the calcium looping cycle. The calcium looping cycle uses CaCO<sub>3</sub> as a CO<sub>2</sub> carrier, via the reversible reaction CaO<sub>(s)</sub> + CO<sub>2(g)</sub>  $\rightleftharpoons$  CaCO<sub>3(s)</sub>, to extract CO<sub>2</sub> from the exhaust stream and provide a pure stream of CO<sub>2</sub> suitable for sequestration.

A problem associated with the technology is that the capacity of the sorbent to absorb  $CO_2$  reduces significantly with the number of cycles of carbonation and calcination. The energy penalty of the cycle is considerably increased by cycling unreacted sorbent: hydration of unreactive sorbent has emerged as a promising strategy of reducing this penalty by regenerating the reactivity of exhausted sorbent.

A small atmospheric pressure fluidised bed reactor has been built and tested, that allows repeated cycling between two temperatures up to 1000 °C.

Work presented here focuses on the effects of variation of the calcination temperature before hydration. Hydration has been found to more than double the reactivity of a spent sorbent cycled under the mildest conditions studied (calcination temperature of 840 °C). However, as calcination temperature is increased the observed reactivation decreases until little reactivation is observed for the sorbent cycled at 950 °C. The primary reason for this appears to be a substantial increase in friability of particles, with reactivity normalised for mass losses appearing similar independent of cycling temperature.

### **Calcium Looping**

- •Limestone is used as a reversible sorbent
  - Cheap and plentiful
  - · Potential to sell on for cement manufacture
- Calcium looping centers around the reversible reaction
  - $CaCO_{3(s)} \rightleftharpoons CaO_{(s)} + CO_{2(g)}$
- Calcination step is the forwards step of this reaction
  - Endothermic process
  - Proceeds to completion over a wide range of conditions
- Carbonation is the backwards step
  - Exothermic process
  - Characterised by a fast initial rate followed by an abrupt transition to a very slow diffusion controlled reaction rate
  - It is only the fast initial reaction which is of interest for an industrial process
- •Definition of carrying capacity:
  - Molar uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> per one mole of CaO in sorbent (%) during the fast carbonation period

### **Using the Calcium Looping Cycle**

• A simple flow diagram for a post combustion carbon capture process harnessing calcium looping is shown

• The sorbent is continually cycled between the carbonator and calciner and acts as a  $CO_2$  carrier, taking  $CO_2$ from a stream of gas of a relatively low pp $CO_2$  in the carbonator, providing a stream of a high pp $CO_2$  in the calciner





- The equilibrium vapour pressure for the reaction  $CaO_{(s)} + CO_{2(g)} \rightleftarrows CaCO_{3(s)}$  is plotted
- For an atmospheric pressure process, the expected conditions are
  - ppCO<sub>2</sub> of 0.1 0.15 bar in the carbonator, necessary temperature of < 772 °C</li>
  - ppCO<sub>2</sub> of > 0.9 bar in the calciner, necessary temperature of > 882 °C

### **Sorbent Degradation**

- As the sorbent is repeatedly cycled, its carrying capacity is found to decrease
- This is largely attributed to the loss of porosity associated with small pores (< 100 nm)
- Degradation is found to be more severe under more highly sintering calcination conditions at higher temperatures, higher residence times, higher partial pressures of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$

 Degradation can be modelled by an equation proposed by Grasa *et al.*

$$C_N = \left[\frac{1}{\left(1/(1-a_\infty)\right) + kN} + a_\infty\right] \times 100$$

- $C_N$  is the carrying capacity in the Nth cycle
- $a_{\infty}$  is conversion at  $N = \infty$
- k is a decay constant

• This results in a large energy penalty associated with cycling large amounts of unreacted sorbent

- How can we reduce this penalty?
- Sorbents have been found to have an increased reactivity following hydration: less unreacted sorbent to be cycled
- Work so far has focused on TGA and low temperature fluidised bed environments: this project aims to look at more realistic conditions in a fluidised bed environment



### **Fluidised Bed Reactor**

- Designed, built and tested for this project
- Small fluidised bed (ID = 21 mm)
- Resistance heated furnace
- Temperature range of up to 1000 °C at ambient pressure
- Capable of cycling between two temperatures to allow carbonation and calcination within same vessel
- Gas and fines vented to atmosphere



### **Experimental Work**

13 Cycles of carbonation and calcination varying calcination temperature



**Creation of spent sorbent:** Standard cycling experiments, but with variation of calcination temperature.  $T_{calc}$  = 840, 900, 950, 1000 °C

Standard cycling experiments:  $15\% \text{ CO}_2$ , atmospheric pressure, 13 cycles of carbonation at 700 °C for 900 s and calcination at 840 °C for 900 s, 4.3 g Havelock limestone (500-710 µm) in 8 mL bed of sand (355-425 µm), flow rate ~ 8 U/U<sub>mf</sub>

**Hydration:** 38 hrs in a humidor at room temperature. Particles of Havelock limestone found to be fully hydrated under these conditions

**Mass measurements:** Sample is carefully weighed before and after each cycling experiment

#### **Results from a Typical Experiment**



Temperature as function of time: bed temperature (—), temperature setpoint (---).  $CO_2$  concentration as a function of time: outlet  $CO_2$  concentration,  $X_{out}$  (—); inlet  $CO_2$  concentration,  $X_{in}$  (…) • Limestone added at 0 s whilst T = 840 °C and particles calcine,  $X_{out}$  increases and a peak is observed before it returns to the value of  $X_{in}$  when calcination reaches completion

• After 900 s, setpoint is switched to T = 700 °C and particles carbonate once a suitable temperature is reached,  $X_{out}$  decreases and a trough is observed - corresponding to the fast carbonation period - before tending towards  $X_{in}$  as the slow carbonation stages kicks in

• After 900 s, setpoint is switched back to the calcination temperature and the cycle continues

- The peaks become smaller as the number of cycles increases corresponding to the degradation of the sorbent
- Carrying capacity for each cycle is calculated as the mean of the molar uptake of  $CO_2$  during carbonation and the molar emission from the following calcination divided by number of moles of CaO in the original sample

#### Imperial College London Reactivation of Sorbents Cycled at Different Calcination Temperatures



Experimental data for  $T_{calc}$  = 840 ( $\blacktriangle$ ), 900 ( $_{\Gamma}$ ), 950 ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and 1000 ( $\times$ ) °C, with fits of data to the Grasa Equation for the cycles before hydration of  $T_{calc}$  = 840 (—) and 950 (---) °C • Before hydration, deactivation rate of limestone is found to increase with increasing  $T_{calc}$ , as one would expect due to conditions becoming more highly sintering

• Limestone cycled with a calcination temperature of 840 °C undergoes the largest reactivation after hydration, with an observed doubling of carrying capacity

• As calcination temperature is increased, the reactivation extent is found to decrease

• The sample cycled at 1000 °C showed no reactivation: after hydration, peaks corresponding to calcination were found to be indecipherable to background noise on the analyser

• The efficacy of hydration as a reactivation strategy is found to be closely linked to the friability of the particles after hydration

#### **Analysis of Attrition**

T <sub>calc</sub>	ΔC (%)	∆m (%)
840	110	36.7
900	89	62.3
950	15	81.1
1000	~ (-100)	94.4

•  $\Delta m$  refers to the mass loss during the whole experiment and  $\Delta C$  refers to the increase in carrying capacity for the first cycle after hydration, when compared to projections from the Grasa equation as applied to the cycles before hydration

• The mass change before hydration was typically 10 % for each calcination temperature studied, so the most significant mass losses were found after hydration

• The results indicate that particles cycled using higher calcination temperatures show increased friability upon hydration

• When carrying capacity is normalised for mass loss during an experiment, similar deactivation curves are observed for each sample - experimental data shown for  $T_{calc} = 840$  ( $\bigstar$ ), 900 ( $\Gamma$ ) and 950 ( $\bigstar$ ) °C

• It is possible to conclude that: for particles large enough to remain within the bed, hydration has reactivated them to the same extent, independent of cycling conditions before hydration



#### **Conclusions**

- A small fluidised bed reactor has been constructed which is capable of cycling between two temperatures
- $\bullet$  Properties of "reactivated" limestone derived sorbent for  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  have been investigated
  - Sorbents cycled under milder conditions show a greater extent of reactivation
  - This is largely due to an decreased friability of the hydrated sorbent, as reactivity of the "reactivated" sorbents normalised for mass losses appear similar independent of cycling conditions before hydration