

Prevalence and Patterns of Microarray Data Sharing Heather A. Piwowar, Wendy W. Chapman

Background

- Sharing research data is
- a cornerstone of science
- Prevalence of data sharing is not well understood
- The most comprehensive method for measuring occurrences of public data sharing is manual curation
- Our preliminary manual curation of 100 papers:
 - 30% of investigators publicly share their full microarray datasets:
 - 70% in NCBI's Gene Expression

What proportion of microarray datasets are shared in public databases?

About 20% of microarray studies had links from publicly available databases

- Extracted research articles with MeSH terms for both "Gene Expression Profiling" and "Oligonucleotide Array Sequence Analysis"
- published in 2006
- Searched GEO and ArrayExpress for links to these PubMed IDs to determine which articles had been credited as an originating data source

Proportion of microarray articles with links from databases

versity of Pittsk

DBMI



- Omnibus (GEO)
- 20% at EBI's ArrayExpress
- 10% in smaller databases or lab or publisher websites.
- Manual curation is extremely time consuming
- Here we perform larger-scale automated estimates

Limitations

- Prevalence depends on study selection criteria
- Some of the retrieved papers only reuse data, and thus are not relevant to the question of sharing. This may confound our results, but we estimate the effect is small.

Conclusions

- Natural language processing techniques could be helpful for curation based on full-text data sharing statements
- Our automated approach yielded conservative estimates of 20% sharing within GEO and ArrayExpress
- Prevalence patterns suggest several

- 2503 articles
- 440 (18%) had links from either GEO or ArrayExpress:
 - 70% had links from GEO
 - 30% had links from ArrayExpress
 - Overlapping 12% from both

Does sharing data correlate with other factors?

Prevalence varies with time, publishing decisions, study topic and subjects, and funding source

- Prevalence of database links is 2.5x higher in 2006 than 2002.
- Studies with free full text at PubMed are 2.1x more likely to have links
- Studies published in a core clinical journal are 1.4x likely to have links as those published elsewhere
- Studies with human data were less likely to have a link when the trial was related to cancer (OR=0.8), but otherwise no different than studies on other species
- Studies funded by NIH were 2.0x more likely to have links than studies not funded by the NIH
- Increased number of funding sources correlates with a higher prevalence of sharing

Odds ratios of studies with links from GEO or ArrayExpress

Published in 2006 vs 2002



inspire additional investigations into data sharing behavior, and in turn the development of effective policies and tools to facilitate this important aspect of scientific research.

Acknowledgments HAP funded by NLM Training Grant

For more information: heather.piwowar@alumni.pitt.edu Poster will be available at Nature Precedings.

Published with free full text We hope these preliminary results will^{Published} in a core clinical journal Humans Cancer Humans when cancer=TRUE Humans when cancer=FALSE Funded by NIH Funded by US Govt Non-NIH

Funded by Non-US Govt

Funded by 1 source vs none

Funded by 2 sources vs none

Funded by 3 sources vs none



Department of Biomedical Informatics

University of Pittsburgh