(Vaish *et al*, 2010), and nucleic acid aptamers. At present, we are using neurochips to identify rare nucleotides isolated from combinatorial libraries consisting of hundreds of billions of candidate sequences based on relative affinities for small-molecule neurotransmitter targets. We have also developed micro- to nanoscale surface patterning techniques (Liao *et al*, 2012) and used high-throughput microfluidics (Liao *et al*, 2013) to create multiplexed neurotransmitter substrates. A significant advantage of multiplexed patterning is the capacity to capture and to sort different neurotransmitter-specific aptamers side-by-side while providing opportunities to determine and to compare *in situ* binding affinities.

The discovery of neurotransmitter aptamers will enable their functional integration into nanometer-diameter field-effect transistor (FET) nanowires, which will function as neurotransmitter recording elements (Figure 1). Devices patterned with aptamer-modified FETs will be used to carry out dynamic *in vivo* monitoring of neurotransmission with response times on the order of milliseconds (or faster) (Kim *et al*, 2015). When combined with appropriate passivation to suppress biofouling, microsensors that detect dopamine with sub-second temporal resolution have been shown to function over months *in vivo* in rats and mice (Clark *et al*, 2010). Thus, neurochips will enable the development of devices that will advance the understanding of the roles of small-molecule neurotransmitters in the complex landscape of brain interneuronal communication and dysfunction. Unraveling the emergent properties of integrated chemical neurotransmission associated with neural circuits using this approach will be advantageous for uncovering processes associated with cognition, emotion, and learning and memory.

FUNDING AND DISCLOSURE

During the past 3 years, AMA has received compensation from Forest Laboratories (Actavis) for work as a

consultant and from the American Chemical Society for work as Associate Editor of ACS Chemical Neuroscience, in addition to income from her primary employer (University of California, Los Angeles). NN declares that, except for graduate student stipends received from the University of California, Los Angeles, no financial support or compensation has been received from any individual or corporate entity over the past three years for research or professional service, and there are no personal financial holdings that could be perceived as constituting a potential conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding from the CalBRAIN Neurotechnology Program and the Shirley and Stefan Hatos Foundation are gratefully acknowledged.

Nako Nakatsuka¹ and Anne M Andrews^{1,2}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, and California NanoSystems Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ²Department of Psychiatry & Biobehavioral Health, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, and Hatos Center for Neuropharmacology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
E-mail: aandrews@mednet.ucla.edu

Andrews AM (2013). The BRAIN initiative: toward a chemical connectome. *ACS Chem Neurosci* 4: 645.

Clark JJ, Sandberg SG, Wanat MJ, Gan JO, Home EA, Hart AS *et al* (2010). Chronic microsensors for longitudinal, subsecond dopamine detection in behaving animals. *Nat Methods* 7: 126–129.

Kim J, Rim YS, Chen H, Cao HH, Nakatsuka N, Hinton HL *et al* (2015). Fabrication of high-performance ultrathin In_2O_3 film field-effect transistors and biosensors using chemical lift-off lithography. *ACS Nano* 9: 4572–4582.

Liao WS, Cao HH, Cheunkar S, Shuster MJ, Altieri SC, Weiss PS *et al* (2013). Small-molecule arrays for sorting G-protein-coupled receptors. *J Phys Chem C* 117: 22362–22368.

Liao WS, Cheunkar S, Cao HH, Bednar HR, Weiss PS, Andrews AM (2012). Subtractive patterning via chemical lift-off lithography. *Science* 337: 1517–1521.

Vaish A, Shuster MJ, Cheunkar S, Singh YS, Weiss PS, Andrews AM (2010). Native serotonin membrane receptors recognize 5-hydroxytryptophan-functionalized substrates: enabling small-molecule recognition. *ACS Chem Neurosci* 1: 495–504.

Neuropsychopharmacology Reviews (2016) 41, 378–379; doi:10.1038/npp.2015.307

Closing the Loop in Deep Brain Stimulation for Psychiatric Disorders: Lessons from Motor Neural Prosthetics

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is a promising technique for modulating circuits underlying mental illnesses, but has not done well in clinical trials (Dougherty *et al*, 2015). Advocates have argued that the trial failures arise from a need to better define the anatomic target for stimulation (Riva-Posse *et al*, 2014). This ignores a larger issue: DBS is an open-loop, static therapy. Patients' disorders, on the other hand, are not static. Symptoms change over hours to days, but DBS programming visits occur every 4–12 weeks. To resolve that mismatch, investigators are now pursuing 'closed-loop' DBS, where the device itself monitors patients' brain activity and self-titrates therapy to a desired endpoint (Figure 1). The challenge, however, is determining what to monitor. Verified neural biomarkers for psychiatric disorders remain elusive. Preliminary data suggest candidate markers (Widge *et al*, 2015), but they are far from the real-time algorithms needed for effective feedback-controlled DBS.

A different neuroscience community has had greater success in 'reading out' the brain: brain-computer interface (BCI) researchers. Their technologies 'decode' movement signals from the cortex, then convey movement goals to assistive devices. Closed-loop DBS researchers seek to do something similar, decoding a patient's emotional state. BCI investigators have uncovered two insights that could assist psychiatry's quest. First, encoding matters—decoding is better with a robust model of how cortical regions encode mental states. This matters for psychiatry, because disorders like depression and post-traumatic stress disorder are heterogeneous. Effective decoding may require identification of discrete

Figure 1. Schematic of closed-loop DBS control. A change in psychiatric symptoms (likely a dimensional construct such as negative mood, over-generalized fear or hyper-arousal) leads to a stereotyped change in neural activity. This is detected by a neural decoding algorithm, which automatically adjusts brain stimulation parameters according to a pre-defined transfer function. The resulting change decreases the symptom level, which stabilizes the system in a homeostatic loop.

circuit-based endophenotypes, analogous to research domain criteria constructs. For instance, preliminary data suggest that DBS response at the ventral striatum target may depend on changes in fronto-cingulate activity evoked by Stroop-like tasks (Widge *et al.*, 2015). This cross-diagnostic approach may be broadly useful in dissecting DBS' mechanisms of action.

Second, neural plasticity can help. A recent surprise from BCI studies is that models are helpful, but not always necessary. A motivated subject can learn to skillfully control a prosthetic limb or an internal neurostimulator, even if the mapping between neural firing and device behavior does not match 'natural' input-output relationships. As the user trains with the BCI, the brain re-maps its firing patterns to match the device's control scheme (Moritz and Fetz, 2011). In effect, the decoded patterns become a readout of the user's intention—what he/she wants the device to do at that moment. For a prosthetic limb, this is an instantaneous motion command. For psychiatry, it would be a stimulator command. For instance, one could place a recording electrode in an area that contains emotion-related signals, then link the amplitude of a DBS intervention to the intention-modulated signals in that area. The patient's signals in the recorded area would then 'tune' the DBS

intervention as needed. We recently showed that rodents can learn to use prefrontal cortex signals in precisely this fashion to activate DBS-like stimulation (Widge and Moritz, 2014). Similar strategies may be useful for modulating fear behaviors in anxiety disorders, using fronto-limbic networks as targets (Besnard and Sahay, 2015).

DBS remains an interesting technique, and closed-loop approaches may make it more useful for a broader group of patients. Despite recent clinical trial failures, the prospects for psychiatric DBS may be brighter than ever.

FUNDING AND DISCLOSURE

AS declares no conflict of interest. ASW is a named inventor on patent applications related to closed-loop techniques in psychiatric brain stimulation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

AS is supported by US National Institutes of Health Biobehavioral Research Awards for Innovative New Scientists (BRAINS) 1-R01MH104175, and the Ellison Medical Foundation New Scholar in Aging, Whitehall Foundation, Inscopix Decode and HSCI Development grants. ASW is supported by the Picower Family Foundation and the U.S. Army Research Office/Defense

Advanced Research Projects Agency under Cooperative Agreement Number W911NF-14-2-0045.

Alik S Widge^{1,2} and Amar Sahay^{1,3,4}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ²Picower Institute for Learning & Memory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA; ³Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA; ⁴Center for Regenerative Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
E-mail: awidge@partners.org or asahay@mgh.harvard.edu

Besnard A, Sahay A (2015). Adult hippocampal neurogenesis, fear generalization, and stress. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**: 24–44.

Dougherty DD, Rezaei AR, Carpenter LL, Howland RH, Bhati MT, O'Reardon JP *et al* (2015). A randomized sham-controlled trial of deep brain stimulation of the ventral capsule/ventral striatum for chronic treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry* **78**: 240–248.

Moritz CT, Fetz EE (2011). Volitional control of single cortical neurons in a brain-machine interface. *J Neural Eng* **8**: 025017.

Riva-Posse P, Choi KS, Holtzheimer PE, McIntyre CC, Gross RE, Chaturvedi A *et al* (2014). Defining critical white matter pathways mediating successful subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry* **76**: 963–969.

Widge AS, Deckersbach T, Eskandar EN, Dougherty DD (2015). Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant psychiatric illnesses: what has gone wrong and what should we do next? *Biol Psychiatry* (doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.06.005; e-pub ahead of print).

Widge AS, Moritz CT (2014). Pre-frontal control of closed-loop limbic neurostimulation by rodents using a brain-computer interface. *J Neural Eng* **11**: 024001.

Neuropsychopharmacology Reviews (2016) **41**, 379–380; doi:10.1038/npp.2015.241

Heteroreceptor Complexes and their Allosteric Receptor–Receptor Interactions as a Novel Biological Principle for Integration of Communication in the CNS: Targets for Drug Development

The receptor–receptor interaction field began with the studies on the