Differential Roles of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ Neuronal Nicotinic Receptors in Nicotine- and Cocaine-Conditioned Reward in Mice

Sarah S Sanjakdar¹, Pretal P Maldoon¹, Michael J Marks², Darlene H Brunzell¹, Uwe Maskos³, J Michael McIntosh⁴, M Scott Bowers^{1,5} and M Imad Damaj^{*,1}

¹Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA; ²Institute for Behavioral Genetics and Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA; ³Département de Neurosciences, Institut Pasteur, Unité Neurobiologie intégrative des systèmes cholinergiques, CNRS, Paris, France; ⁴George E. Wahlen Veterans Affairs Medical Center, and Departments of Psychiatry and Biology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA; ⁵Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

Mesolimbic $\alpha 6^*$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) are thought to have an important role in nicotine behavioral effects. However, little is known about the role of the various $\alpha 6^*$ -nAChRs subtypes in the rewarding effects of nicotine. In this report, we investigated and compared the role of $\alpha 6^*$ -nAChRs subtypes and their neuro-anatomical locus in nicotine and cocaine reward-like effects in the conditioned place preference (CPP) paradigm, using pharmacological antagonism of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs and genetic deletion of the $\alpha 6$ or $\alpha 4$ subunits in mice. We found that $\alpha 6$ KO mice exhibited a rightward shift in the nicotine dose–response curve compared with WT littermates but that $\alpha 4$ KO failed to show nicotine preference, suggesting that $\alpha 6\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ -nAChRs are involved. Furthermore, $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in nucleus accumbens were found to have an important role in nicotine-conditioned reward as the intra-accumbal injection of the selective $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A], blocked nicotine CPP. In contrast to nicotine, $\alpha 6$ KO failed to condition to cocaine, but cocaine CPP in the $\alpha 4$ KO was preserved. Intriguingly, α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A], blocked cocaine conditioning in $\alpha 4$ KO mice, implicating $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in cocaine reward. Importantly, these effects did not generalize as $\alpha 6$ KO showed both a conditioned place aversion to lithium chloride as well as CPP to palatable food. Finally, dopamine uptake was not different between the $\alpha 6$ KO or WT mice. These data illustrate that the subjective rewarding effects of both nicotine and cocaine may be mediated by mesolimbic $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs and that antagonists of these receptor subtypes may exhibit therapeutic potential.

Neuropsychopharmacology (2015) **40**, 350–360; doi:10.1038/npp.2014.177; published online 13 August 2014

INTRODUCTION

Reward is a component of addiction that motivates repeated drug-taking behavior and that intensifies stimulus drug associations (Di Chiara, 1999). Tobacco dependence remains the leading preventable cause of death worldwide. The current FDA-approved anti-smoking agents have only been modestly effective in maintaining abstinence and often cause undesirable side effects. There is a need for treatments with improved effectiveness and tolerability. Nicotine, a major psychoactive ingredient in tobacco, acts on a variety of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) in the mammalian brain, including $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ (*denotes the

presence of other subunits in the receptor composition) nAChRs subtypes. $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ -nAChRs, which comprise the most widely expressed high-affinity subtypes, have a major role in modulating the behavioral effects of nicotine. For that reason, identification of relevant $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nAChR subtypes with a more restricted distribution in the brain is essential to finding more effective treatments for smoking cessation.

This study targeted $\alpha 4^*$ and $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs, because these receptors are co-expressed with the $\beta 2$ subunit, and $\beta 2^*$ -nAChRs are known to be crucial for nicotine reinforcement and reward (Maskos *et al*, 2005; Picciotto *et al*, 1998; Walters *et al*, 2006). Intriguingly, $\beta 2^*$ has also been shown to have a role in cocaine reward (Zachariou *et al*, 2001). Most DA terminals express a variety of nicotinic receptors, with the $\beta 2$ subunit identified as the common subunit expressed (Salminen *et al*, 2007; Zoli *et al*, 2002). However, implications of the variety of nAChR subtypes expressed on DA terminals are not yet fully understood. Recent studies have shown that $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs are expressed in catecholaminergic nuclei in midbrain regions thought to mediate drug reward and reinforcement in rodents and have a major

*Correspondence: Dr MI Damaj, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Virginia Commonwealth University, 1220 E. Broad Street, Molecular Medicine Research Building, Box 980613, Richmond, VA 23298-0613, USA. Tel: +1 804 828 1676, Fax: +1 804 828 2117, E-mail: mdamaj@vcu.edu

Received 27 December 2013; revised 28 June 2014; accepted 1 July 2014; accepted article preview online 18 July 2014

role in presynaptic DA release (Grady *et al*, 2002; Whiteaker *et al*, 2000; Jackson *et al*, 2009; Pons *et al*, 2008; Brunzell *et al*, 2010). Of equal relevance, $\alpha 4 \beta 2^*$ -nAChRs are highly expressed in the midbrain (Klink *et al*, 2001). The $\alpha 4^*$ nicotinic receptor subtype has been shown to be sufficient (Tapper *et al*, 2004) and necessary (McGranahan *et al*, 2011; Pons *et al*, 2008) for nicotine reward and reinforcement as well as nicotine-induced DA release in rodents (Marubio *et al*, 2003; Salminen *et al*, 2007; Grady *et al*, 2007; Drenan *et al*, 2010).

Several studies in rodents and humans have revealed the involvement of nicotinic mechanisms in cocaine dependence. For example, Horger *et al* (1992) observed increased cocaine self-administration by rats that were preexposed to nicotine. Levine *et al* (2011) recently observed that nicotine pretreatment increased cocaine locomotor sensitization and conditioned place preference (CPP) in mice. Similarly, the nonselective nicotinic antagonist mecamylamine decreased cocaine self-administration in rats (Levin *et al*, 2000; Blokhina *et al*, 2005) and reduced cue-induced cocaine craving in cocaine-dependent and cigarette smoking humans (Reid *et al*, 1998). In addition, dihydro-beta-erythroidine (DH β E) ($\beta 2^*$ nAChR antagonist), but not methyllycaconitine ($\alpha 7^*$ nAChR antagonist), microinjected into the VTA prevented cocaine locomotor sensitization (Champtiaux *et al*, 2006). Mice that received mecamylamine and mice null for the $\beta 2$ nicotinic subunit displayed decreased place preference for cocaine compared with wild-type (WT) littermates (Zachariou *et al*, 2001). Interestingly, psychostimulants enhance release of acetylcholine (ACh) in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and increase responsiveness of cholinergic neurons during acute and repeated drug exposure (Fiserová *et al*, 1999; Nestby *et al*, 1997). In humans, Budney *et al* (1993) reported comorbidity of cigarette smoking in cocaine addicts. In addition, Sees and Clark (1993) reported that patients found that mentholated cigarettes prolonged the hedonic state induced by cocaine and alleviated cravings in the absence of cocaine. Moreover, administering a 2.5-mg tablet dose of mecamylamine to patients reduced reports of cocaine craving (Reid *et al*, 1999).

Because little is known about the role of $\alpha 6 \beta 2^*$ or $\alpha 4 \beta 2^*$ in the subjective rewarding effects of cocaine, we sought to investigate and compare the role of these nAChR subtypes in the acquisition and expression of nicotine and cocaine reward using the CPP paradigm. We set out to characterize the nicotinic subtype ($\alpha 6 \beta 2^*$, $\alpha 4 \alpha 6 \beta 2^*$, and/or $\alpha 4 \beta 2^*$), and the contribution of the nucleus accumbens (NAc) to both nicotine and cocaine reward-like effects using pharmacological antagonism of $\alpha 6 \beta 2^*$ nAChRs and genetic deletion of the $\alpha 6$ or $\alpha 4$ subunits in mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Male C57BL/6J (B6) 8-weeks-old mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Animals were 10–12 weeks of age at the start of the experiments and were group-housed (three to five per cage and received cage enrichment) under a 12-h light/dark cycle in a 21 °C humidity-controlled AAALAC-approved animal care facility with *ad libitum* access to food and water. Experiments were

performed during the light cycle and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Virginia Commonwealth University.

For studies involving genetically modified mice, B6 provided the background strain for our $\alpha 6$ and $\alpha 4$ knockout (KO) and WT mice. Healthy viable mice null for the $\alpha 6$ nicotinic subunit were provided by Dr Uwe Maskos at Institut Pasteur (Paris, France) (Champtiaux *et al*, 2002). Viable mice null for the $\alpha 4$ subunit were provided by Dr Henry Lester at the California Institute of Technology, with the permission of Dr John Drago (Ross *et al*, 2000). Mutant and WT mice were obtained from crossing heterozygous (HET) mice. HET mice were back-crossed onto C57BL/6J background for at least 0 generations and were 10–12-weeks old at the beginning of testing.

Drugs

Lithium chloride (LiCl), DH β E, and (–)-nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt ((–)-1-methyl-2-(3-pyridyl)pyrrolidine (+)-bitartrate salt) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Mo). Cocaine HCl was obtained from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (Bethesda, MD, USA). All drugs were dissolved in physiological saline (0.9% sodium chloride) and administered at a volume of 10 ml/kg body weight. Nicotine, LiCl, and DH β E were administered subcutaneously (s.c.), and cocaine was administered intraperitoneally (i.p.). Doses are expressed as the free base of the drug. To study the role of the $\alpha 6^*$ nAChR in nicotine and cocaine reward, we used α -conotoxin MII [H9A;L15A], a highly selective $\alpha 6^*$ nicotinic antagonist. It is an analog of α -conotoxin MII that has a high selectivity for $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs. For example, α -conotoxin MII [H9A;L15A] has up to a 2020-fold selectivity for $\alpha 6^*$ vs $\alpha 3^*$, with little or no activity at other nAChRs ($\alpha 2 \beta 2$, $\alpha 2 \beta 4$, $\alpha 3 \beta 4$, $\alpha 4 \beta 2$, $\alpha 4 \beta 4$, and $\alpha 7$) (McIntosh *et al*, 2004). α -Conotoxin MII [H9A;L15A] was dissolved in small aliquots of saline and stored in an –18 to –20 °C freezer until use. The toxin was administered to each animal centrally at the following sites: lateral ventricles, nucleus accumbens, or septum. The doses used in our studies were calculated based on affinity and the potency of the compound at $\alpha 6^*$ -nAChRs (McIntosh *et al*, 2004).

Nicotine and Cocaine CPP Test

Nicotine and cocaine CPP were conducted using an unbiased design as previously described by Kota *et al* (2007). Mice were handled for 3 days before initiation of CPP testing. Briefly, place conditioning chambers consisted of two distinct compartments separated by a smaller intermediate compartment with openings that allowed access to either side of the chamber. On day 1, animals were confined to the intermediate compartment for a 5-min habituation period and then allowed to move freely between compartments for 15 min. Time spent in each compartment was recorded. These data were used to separate the animals into groups of approximately equal bias. Days 2–4 were conditioning days in which the saline group received saline in both compartments (20 min) and the drug groups received nicotine or cocaine in one compartment and saline in the opposite compartment. Control groups received saline in both compartments. On day 5, a drug-free test day,

mice were allowed to move freely among the CPP chamber, and time spent in each side was recorded. Data were expressed as the time spent on the drug-paired side postconditioning minus time spent on the drug-paired side preconditioning.

For food-induced CPP, Kraft Classic Philadelphia Cheesecake (Deerfield, IL) was used to induce place preference in mice. For that, one extra day of conditioning was required in order to obtain significant place preference scores. Briefly, mice underwent preconditioning on day 1 and were divided into groups of equal bias, as described above, and were then immediately introduced to cheesecake (aka palatable food chow) for the next 4–6 h. The conditioning days followed the same experimental design as described in the paragraph above, but mice received cheesecake (or standard chow pellet) instead of drug (or saline), there was one extra day of conditioning (days 2–5), and each conditioning session lasted for 40 min. Control groups received the standard chow pellet in both compartments.

Lithium Chloride Induced Condition Place Aversion (CPA)

Following the same CPP paradigm as described above, mice were conditioned on days 2–4 during which the saline group received saline in both compartments and the drug groups received LiCl (150 mg/kg i.p.) in one compartment and saline in the opposite compartment. Drug-paired compartments were randomized among all groups. On day 5, animals were confined to the intermediate compartment for a 5-min habituation period, and then they were allowed to move freely between compartments for 15 min.

Intracranial Cannula Implantation and Infusions for Studies with α -Conotoxin MII [H9A;L15A]

For cannulation surgeries, mice were anesthetized with 45 mg/kg sodium pentobarbital (i.p.). Once a mouse was ready for surgery, an incision was made to expose the skull. Using the stereotaxic apparatus, the head was leveled, and a site of cannula implantation was found with the following coordinates for the lateral ventricle: -0.6 mm AP; $+1.3$ mm ML, with respect to bregma, and -2.1 mm DV from the skull's surface, the following coordinates for the NAc: $+1.25$ mm AP; ± 0.75 mm ML, with respect to bregma, and -4.3 mm DV from the skull's surface, and the following coordinates for intra-septal injections: 0 mm ± 0 AP; ± 0 mm ML, with respect to bregma, and -3.0 mm DV from the skull's surface. A guide cannula was adhered to the skull using dental glue, which was then reinforced with dental cement. The cannulas used in our studies were 26 gauge, with an 8-mm pedestal height for the bilateral NAc cannulas and a 5-mm pedestal height for cannulas used in the lateral ventricle and septum. These cannulas fit 33 gauge internal cannulas for injections. A dummy cannula was inserted to maintain integrity of the guide. After completion of surgeries, animals were returned to clean home cages and were allowed to recover for 5 days before behavioral testing. At the end of the experiment, each brain was collected to evaluate accurate cannula placement.

Lateral ventricle infusions. During the 3 conditioning days of the CPP procedure, before both morning and afternoon conditioning sessions, mice received unilateral infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9AL15A], or saline, 5 min before systemic injection of the psychoactive drug of interest or saline. Infusions were conducted using a microinfusion pump at a rate of $1.5 \mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ (for 2 min total, $3 \mu\text{l}$ total volume) through a sterile 33-gauge internal cannula extending 0.1 mm beyond the guide, which is attached to a Hamilton syringe via PE50 tubing.

NAc infusions. Before both morning and afternoon conditioning sessions, mice received bilateral infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9AL15A] or saline. Infusions were done using a micro-infusion pump at a rate of $1 \mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ for 30 s ($0.5 \mu\text{l}$ total volume) in a similar fashion to lateral ventricle infusions (described above).

Intra-septal infusions. During the 3 conditioning days of the CPP procedure, before both morning and afternoon conditioning sessions, mice received unilateral infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9AL15A], or saline, 5 min before systemic injection of the psychoactive drug of interest or saline. Infusions were carried out using an internal cannula connected to a micro-infusion pump via Hamilton syringe and PE50 tubing. Drug (or saline) was infused at a rate of $1 \mu\text{l}/\text{min}$ for 30 s.

Histology

To assess accurate cannula placement, methylene blue dye was injected centrally, followed by cervical dislocation, decapitation, and harvesting of the brain. Whole brain tissue was then fixed in a formalin/formaldehyde solution for 48 h before being sliced at thickness of 50 – $60 \mu\text{m}$ in a cryostat. Tissue slices were then stained with Nissl using a sequence of steps involving decreasing concentrations of ethanol in distilled water to hydrate tissue slices, followed by staining with cresyl violet, and then dehydrating the tissue slices using increasing concentrations of ethanol followed by clearing in xylene. Each site of injection was then reconstructed and marked on a worksheet of mouse brain coronal slice image for assessment.

Inhibition of [^3H]-Dopamine Uptake by Cocaine

In order to demonstrate that deletion of $\alpha 6$ nicotinic subunit did not change the overall activity of dopamine uptake inhibition nor its sensitivity to cocaine, we measured the inhibition of [^3H]-dopamine uptake by cocaine in $\alpha 6$ WT and KO mice. Crude synaptosomes were prepared from striata dissected from WT or $\alpha 6$ KO mice using by centrifugation of samples homogenized in 0.32 M sucrose containing 10 mM HEPES ($\text{pH} = 7.5$) at $10\,000$ g for 20 min. The resulting pellet was suspended in isotonic incubation buffer (NaCl 128 mM; KCl 2.4 mM; CaCl_2 3.2 mM; MgSO_4 1.2 mM; HEPES 25 mM; glucose 10 mM; ascorbic acid 1 mM; pargyline 1 mM; $\text{pH} = 7.5$), and the protein was measured using the Lowry assay. Uptake was initiated by the addition of [^3H]-dopamine (final concentration $0.075 \mu\text{M}$, specific activity 13 Ci/mmol) to the synaptosomal suspension. After a 5-min incubation at 22°C , uptake was terminated by

filtration through glass fiber filters (top filter MFS Type B (Micro Filtration Systems) bottom filter Type A/E (Pall Life Sciences)) that had been treated with 0.5% polyethylenimine using an Inotech Cell Harvester. Samples were subsequently washed four times with ice-cold buffer (incubation buffer minus glucose, ascorbic acid, and pargyline). Washed filters were transferred to a 96-well counting plate, 150 μ l of Optiphase Supermix scintillation cocktail (Perkin-Elmer) was added to each well, and radioactivity was measured at 50% efficiency with a Trilux Microbeta scintillation counter (Perkin-Elmer). Blanks were determined by including 100 μ M nomifensine. Cocaine inhibition was assessed by including one of the following concentrations of cocaine: 10, 30, 100, 300, 1, 3, 10, or 30 μ M. Dopamine uptake was calculated as pmol/mg protein/5 min. Maximal specific dopamine uptake was measured for each sample, and IC₅₀ values for cocaine inhibition were calculated for each curve using the following equation: Uptake at each [cocaine] = Control dopamine uptake/(1 + [cocaine]/IC₅₀).

Statistical Analyses

All CPP results were expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEM. Statistical analyses of all CPP studies were performed with an analysis of variance test (ANOVA) followed by a *post-hoc* analysis with Student–Newman–Keuls test when appropriate. *p*-Values of <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. Maximal dopamine uptake and IC₅₀ values for cocaine inhibition for WT and $\alpha 6$ null mutant mice were compared by *t*-test. All data were graphed, and statistical analyses performed using the GraphPad Prism version 5.00 (GraphPad Software; San Diego, CA).

RESULTS

Nicotine Place Preference in $\alpha 6$ and $\alpha 4$ KO Mice

Male $\alpha 6$ KO mice and WT counterparts were conditioned with 0.25, 0.5, or 1 mg/kg nicotine (s.c.) for 3 days. Nicotine displayed a typical inverted U-shape curve CPP response in the WT mice (Figure 1a). Although the dose of 0.5 mg/kg nicotine (s.c.) induced significant CPP in WT mice ($F_{(7, 63)} = 4.803$; $p = 0.0003$), it failed to produce a CPP response in $\alpha 6$ KO mice. Interestingly, the higher dose of 1 mg/kg nicotine resulted in preference scores in $\alpha 6$ KO mice that were significantly higher than $\alpha 6$ WT littermates.

Subsequently, we assessed the effect of DH β E, a selective $\beta 2^*$ nAChRs antagonist, on place preference induced by 1 mg/kg nicotine in $\alpha 6$ KO mice to determine receptor subtype involvement (Figure 1b). A total of 2 mg/kg DH β E (s.c.) administered 5 min before 1 mg/kg nicotine injection on conditioning days resulted in a significant attenuation of nicotine place preference in $\alpha 6$ KO mice compared with the $\alpha 6$ KO group of mice that received saline pretreatment before nicotine exposure ($F_{(7, 72)} = 6.005$; $p = 0.0003$). Together these results suggest that higher doses of nicotine are possibly mediated by non- $\alpha 6$ $\beta 2^*$ -containing nAChR subtypes.

We then investigated the possible involvement of $\alpha 4$ nicotinic subunits in nicotine place preference using $\alpha 4$

mutant mice. $\alpha 4$ KO and $\alpha 4$ WT male mice were conditioned with 0.25, 0.5, or 1 mg/kg nicotine (s.c.) (Figure 1c). The dose of 0.5 mg/kg nicotine (s.c.) induced significant CPP in $\alpha 4$ WT mice, ($F_{(7, 45)} = 4.328$; $p = 0.0014$) compared with saline control and $\alpha 4$ KO mice. This was not due to a shift in the curve, as lower (0.25 mg/kg) and higher (1 mg/kg) doses of nicotine did not induce any place preference in $\alpha 4$ KO mice.

The Effect of α -Conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] on the Acquisition of Nicotine CPP

To confirm the role of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in nicotine-conditioned reward, we evaluated the effect of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A], a selective $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ antagonist, on the acquisition of nicotine (0.5 mg/kg) place preference (Figure 2a). Mice centrally infused with α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (i.c.v.) exhibited an attenuation of place preference scores for nicotine in a dose-related manner. More specifically, the group that received 12 pmol α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (i.c.v.) had significantly lower nicotine preference compared with the nicotine group that received only saline infusions (i.c.v.) ($F_{(4, 27)} = 7.526$; $p = 0.0010$). These results suggest a critical role for brain $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in the acquisition of nicotine preference.

Subsequently, we examined the effect of intra-accumbal α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] infusions (0.3 and 3 pmol) on the acquisition of nicotine (0.5 mg/kg) place preference (Figure 2b). The nicotine group that received intra-accumbal saline infusions showed significant place preference compared with the nicotine group that received intra-accumbal infusions of 3 pmol α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] ($F_{(4, 35)} = 7.38$; $p = 0.0003$), which had a significantly lower place preference scores. Although we have not directly measured the spread of the drug in our experiment, Figure 2d suggest that infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] were local to the NAc and did not diffuse to nearby structures. Guide cannula further prevented α -CTX MII from traveling up the infusion cannula into the overlying brain structures. Furthermore, this is supported by earlier work and the properties of the antagonist/ligand itself. α -Conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] is a peptide rather than a small molecule. Peptides are large, sticky molecules that exhibit limited diffusion characteristics in the brain and are also rapidly degraded. Importantly, the diffusion characteristics of an iodinated and similar conotoxin peptide that blocks $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ has already been performed in the brain. In these studies, Brunzell *et al* (2010) found that diffusion of 1 μ l peptide was restricted to the rat medial nucleus accumbens shell. In our studies, 0.5 μ l was microinjected into the nucleus accumbens. Taken together, these data suggest that peptide diffusion out of the nucleus accumbens was minimal. These results suggest that NAc $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs are important for the acquisition of nicotine place preference.

To assess specificity of NAc $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ blockade, we performed a neuroanatomical control by investigating the effect of intra-septal α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] infusions on nicotine place preference as these infusions were of equal distance from the ventricles as the intra-accumbal infusions. As shown in Figure 2c, we observed no effect of intra-septal infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] on nicotine-induced place preference (Figure 2c), as mice

Figure 1 (a) Nicotine place preference in $\alpha 6$ WT and KO mice. Mice were conditioned with saline or nicotine at 0.25, 0.5, or 1 mg/kg, s.c. Place preference scores for nicotine 0.5 mg/kg in $\alpha 6$ WT mice and nicotine 1 mg/kg in $\alpha 6$ KO mice were significantly greater than all other treatment and genotype groups (* $p < 0.05$ compared with respective saline control group; * $p < 0.05$ compared with correspondent WT or KO vehicle group). Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 8–12 mice. (b) DH β E blockade of nicotine-induced place preference in $\alpha 6$ KO mice. $\alpha 6$ KO mice failed to show significant preference for 1 mg/kg nicotine when given a preinjection of 2 mg/kg DH β E (s.c.) (* $p < 0.01$ compared with correspondent WT or KO vehicle group). Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 8–12 mice. (c) Nicotine place preference in $\alpha 4$ WT and KO mice. Mice were conditioned with saline or different doses of nicotine (0.25, 0.5, and 1 mg/kg, s.c.). Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 8–12 mice. * $p < 0.05$ compared with saline groups; # $p < 0.05$ compared with $\alpha 4$ WT 0.5 mg/kg nicotine; ^ $p < 0.05$ compared with $\alpha 4$ WT 0.5 mg/kg nicotine.

receiving either 3 pmol α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] or saline infusions into the septum during conditioning days for nicotine displayed significant place preference for nicotine ($F_{(3,19)} = 15.45$; $p < 0.0001$).

Cocaine Place Preference in $\alpha 6$ KO and $\alpha 4$ KO Mice

Previous work has shown that $\beta 2^*$ nAChRs are involved in cocaine place preference (Zachariou *et al*, 2001). As the $\beta 2$ subunit is often co-expressed with $\alpha 6$ and $\alpha 4$ subunits, we examined the involvement of $\alpha 6^*$ and $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs in cocaine reward. To do this, we examined the capacity of 5, 10, or 20 mg/kg cocaine (i.p.) to induce CPP in $\alpha 6$ KO, HET, and WT male mice (Figure 3). There was a main effect of genotype ($F_{(4, 32)} = 5.826$; $p = 0.0030$). Although WT mice displayed significant cocaine place preference in a dose-related manner, the effect was abolished in $\alpha 6$ KO littermates. The $\alpha 6$ HET mice also showed a decrease in cocaine preference scores but were only significantly different from the WT mice at the dose of 10 mg/kg of cocaine. These results indicate that the $\alpha 6$ nicotinic subunit has an important role in cocaine-induced place preference in mice. In a separate group of animals, $\alpha 6$ KO mice did not show a significant difference in acute cocaine-induced (10 mg/kg, i.p.) increase of locomotor activity (total number of interrupts) compared with WT mice over 60 min (WT saline = 1017 ± 109 , WT cocaine = 2876 ± 190 ; KO saline = 1198 ± 139 , KO cocaine = 2886 ± 455).

The role of $\alpha 4$ nicotinic subunit in cocaine CPP was investigated in the $\alpha 4$ KO and WT mice. These mice were

conditioned with 5, 10, or 20 mg/kg cocaine (i.p.) for 3 days (Figure 4a). In contrast to nicotine-induced CPP, no genotypic effect on cocaine preference was observed; both $\alpha 4$ KO and WT mice displayed significant place preference for cocaine at all doses ($F_{(9, 48)} = 3.04$; $p = 0.0076$). These results suggest that $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs are not essential to cocaine place preference.

Next, we tested the effect of i.c.v. infusion of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] on cocaine CPP in $\alpha 4$ KO and WT mice to confirm the role of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in these mice (Figure 4b). α -Conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (12 pmol) resulted in significantly attenuated cocaine (20 mg/kg) place preference scores in both $\alpha 4$ KO and WT mice ($F_{(5,23)} = 6.506$; $p = 0.0013$) when compared with the nicotine groups that received saline infusions. These results confirm the role of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in cocaine place preference and also suggests that $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs are the main receptor subtypes mediating the effects of cocaine, which does not appear to require the $\alpha 4$ subunit.

The Effect of α -Conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] on the Acquisition of Cocaine Place Preference

Figure 5a illustrates the effect of i.c.v. infusion of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (12 and 24 pmol) on the acquisition of cocaine place preference. Mice that received saline infusions into the lateral ventricle followed by 20 mg/kg cocaine displayed significant place preference for cocaine on test day. On the other hand, mice that were infused with α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (i.c.v.) had significantly

Figure 2 (a) Unilateral infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] into the lateral ventricle on nicotine conditioning days resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in the acquisition of nicotine place preference. The saline–nicotine 0.5 mg/kg group had significantly higher place preference for nicotine compared with the saline control groups (** $p < 0.01$ compared with the saline groups) and compared with the 12-pmol α -conotoxin MII–nicotine 0.5 mg/kg group (# $p < 0.001$ compared with saline–nicotine 0.5 mg/kg group). Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 10–12 mice. (b) Intra-accumbal infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] on nicotine conditioning days resulted in dose-dependent decrease in the acquisition of nicotine place preference. The saline–nicotine 0.5 mg/kg group had a significantly high place preference scores for nicotine compared with the 3-pmol α -conotoxin MII–nicotine 0.5 mg/kg group (* $p < 0.001$ compared with saline groups, and # $p < 0.01$ compared with the saline–nicotine 0.5 mg/kg group). Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 10–12 mice. (c) Intra-septal infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] had no effect on the acquisition of nicotine place preference. Both the saline–nicotine 0.5 mg/kg and 3-pmol α -conotoxin MII–nicotine 0.5 mg/kg groups had significant place preference scores for nicotine (* $p < 0.0001$ compared with the saline groups). (d) Schematic of injection sites. Intra-accumbal and intra-septal microinjection sites are illustrated (left panel, NAc; right panel, Septum).

Figure 3 $\alpha 6$ KO mice show significantly decreased place preference for cocaine compared with $\alpha 6$ WT mice. Mice were conditioned with saline or cocaine at 5, 10, and 20 mg/kg, i.p. in $\alpha 6$ WT, Het, and KO mice. Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 8–12 mice. * $p < 0.05$ compared with the saline group.

attenuated place preference scores on test day ($F_{(4,35)} = 9.619$; $p < 0.0001$). Indeed, mice that received infusions of 12 or 24 pmol α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (i.c.v.) on conditioning days had significantly decreased acquisition of cocaine place preference compared with the cocaine group that received only saline infusions. These results suggest a critical role of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in the reward-like effects of cocaine.

Subsequently, α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (3 and 12 pmol) was infused into the NAc on cocaine (20 mg/kg) conditioning days to assess its effect on the acquisition of cocaine place preference (Figure 5b). The cocaine group that received intra-accumbal infusions of 3 or 12 pmol α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] had significantly lower place preference scores compared with the cocaine groups that received saline infusions ($F_{(4, 30)} = 9.14$; $p < 0.0001$). Although place preference scores of mice administered α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] were significantly lower than the cocaine group that received saline, these scores were also significantly greater than the control groups (veh-veh or veh-12 pmol). Therefore, there appears to be a significant but partial reduction for cocaine preference that is mediated by $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in NAc, suggesting that $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in other brain regions or other substrates are also contributing to cocaine CPP in mice.

Finally, to confirm the specificity of the effect of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] administration in NAc, we assessed the effect of intra-septal infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (12 pmol) (Figure 5c) on cocaine (20 mg/kg) place preference. Mice that received either saline or 12 pmol α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] infusions during conditioning days for cocaine displayed significant place preference scores compared with the control groups ($F_{(3,17)} = 76.49$; $p < 0.0001$). These results illustrate that

Figure 4 (a) Cocaine place preference was induced by various doses of cocaine in $\alpha 4$ KO and WT mice. Mice were conditioned with saline or cocaine at 5, 10, and 20 mg/kg, i.p. Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 8–12 mice. * $p < 0.05$ compared with the saline groups. (b) α -Conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] infusions into the lateral ventricle resulted in a decrease in the acquisition of cocaine place preference in $\alpha 4$ KO and WT mice. Both $\alpha 4$ KO and $\alpha 4$ WT saline–cocaine 20 mg/kg groups had significant place preference scores compared with the saline control groups (* $p < 0.05$ compared with the saline groups) and compared with both the $\alpha 4$ KO and WT 12-pmol MII–cocaine 20 mg/kg groups (# $p < 0.05$ compared with the $\alpha 4$ WT saline–cocaine 20 mg/kg group; \$ $p < 0.05$ compared with the $\alpha 4$ KO saline–cocaine 20 mg/kg group). Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 10–12 mice.

α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] infused 0.5 mm away from the ventricles does not spread to the ventricles, confirming our observations in the NAc.

Lithium Chloride-Induced Conditioned Place Aversion in $\alpha 6$ KO and $\alpha 6$ WT Mice

To rule out the possibility of a generalized impairment in learning or memory required for the acquisition or performance of CPP, we examined the associative process in place conditioning (memory recollection) not specific to reward, such as memory specific to aversion, with LiCl induced place aversion in $\alpha 6$ KO and $\alpha 6$ WT mice. Both $\alpha 6$ KO and $\alpha 6$ WT displayed an avoidance of the context that was associated with 150 mg/kg LiCl ($F_{(3,35)} = 3.447$; $p = 0.028$) (Figure 6a). Similar results were observed with i.c.v. α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (24 pmol/mouse) in the LiCl-induced CPA in WT mice (data not shown).

Food-Conditioned Preference in $\alpha 6$ KO and $\alpha 6$ WT Mice

We assessed palatable food-induced CPP in $\alpha 6$ KO and $\alpha 6$ WT mice (Figure 6b). After 4 days of conditioning, both $\alpha 6$ KO and $\alpha 6$ WT displayed similar place preference scores for the context associated with palatable food ($F_{(3,18)} = 3.620$; $p = 0.0381$). Similar results were observed with i.c.v. α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (24 pmol/mouse) on food-induced CPP in WT mice (data not shown).

Inhibition of Striatal [3H]Dopamine Synaptosomal Uptake by Cocaine in $\alpha 6$ KO and WT Mice

As cocaine inhibition of dopamine reuptake processes in the striatal region has a primary and an important role in reward and addiction (Kalivas, 2007), we determined the potency of cocaine at inhibiting this major cocaine target protein in native striatal synaptosomes prepared from $\alpha 6$ KO and WT mice. Dopamine uptake in the two genotypes was compared across saturation curves, as well as cocaine competition curves in the striatum. Comparison of total dopamine uptake between $\alpha 6$ KO and WT mice indicated no significant difference between the two genotypes (WT = 6.84 ± 0.94 and KO = 6.32 ± 0.70 pmol/mg/protein/5 min; $t(6) = 0.38$, NS) (Figure 7). In addition, as seen from the concentration–response curves for cocaine inhibition of striatal [3H]dopamine synaptosomal uptake in both $\alpha 6$ KO and WT mice (Figure 7), little difference was observed in cocaine potency on dopamine uptake inhibition between the two genotypes. Indeed, the average IC_{50} values for cocaine at inhibiting uptake of [3H]dopamine uptake in $\alpha 6$ WT and KO mice were 0.50 ± 0.14 and 0.69 ± 0.13 μ M, respectively ($t(6) = 0.99$, NS).

DISCUSSION

Our overall results showed a critical role for $\alpha 6\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in the NAc in nicotine-conditioned reward in mice. Furthermore, we provide the first evidence for an important role of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nicotinic receptor subtypes in the rewarding effects of cocaine in the mouse CPP test. These findings are consistent with the high expression of $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs subtypes in midbrain catecholaminergic nuclei that can regulate dopamine release (Grady et al, 2002; Whiteaker et al, 2000) and mediate nicotine reward and reinforcement in rodents (Pons et al, 2008; Jackson et al, 2009; Brunzell et al, 2010; Gotti et al, 2010; Drenan et al, 2008).

$\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs Subtypes in Nicotine CPP

In CPP experiments, the magnitude of nicotine preference achieved differed between the $\alpha 6$ WT and KO mice following drug conditioning. Although the dose of 0.5 mg/kg nicotine induced significant preference in WT mice, it failed to produce a CPP response in $\alpha 6$ KO mice. These data are complementary to those observed with nicotine i.v. self-administration where $\alpha 6$ KO mice failed to self-administer nicotine when compared with WT littermates (Pons et al, 2008). However, the highest dose of nicotine (1 mg/kg) induced a significant preference in the $\alpha 6$ KO mice that was blocked by DH β E, a selective $\beta 2^*$ nAChR antagonist. Although this residual nicotinic response could be due to issues related to the use of KO mice, the DH β E results

Figure 5 (a) Unilateral infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] into the lateral ventricle resulted in a decrease in the acquisition of cocaine place preference. The saline–cocaine 20 mg/kg group had significant place preference scores compared with saline control groups ($***p < 0.001$ compared with the saline groups) and compared with the 12-pmol α -conotoxin MII–cocaine 20 mg/kg and 24-pmol α -conotoxin MII–cocaine 20 mg/kg groups ($\#p < 0.01$ compared with the saline–cocaine 20 mg/kg group). Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 10–12 mice. (b) Intra-accumbal infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] resulted in a decrease in the acquisition of cocaine place preference. The saline–cocaine 20 mg/kg group had significant place preference scores compared with the 3-pmol α -conotoxin MII–cocaine 20 mg/kg and 12-pmol α -conotoxin MII–cocaine 20 mg/kg groups ($***p < 0.001$ compared with the saline groups; $**p < 0.01$ compared with the saline groups; $\#p < 0.05$ compared with saline–cocaine 20 mg/kg group). Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 10–12 mice. (c) Intra-septal infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] had no effect on the acquisition of cocaine place preference. Both saline–cocaine 20 mg/kg and 12-pmol α -conotoxin MII–cocaine 20 mg/kg groups demonstrated significant place preference scores ($*p < 0.0001$ compared with the saline groups). (d) Schematic of injection sites. Intra-accumbal and intra-septal microinjection sites are illustrated (left panel, NAc; right panel, Septum).

suggest that place preference for the high dose of nicotine in $\alpha 6$ KO mice is mediated by $\beta 2^*$ nAChRs not containing $\alpha 6$ subunits. This is consistent with the necessity of $\beta 2^*$ nAChRs for nicotine reward and reinforcement in the CPP and self-administration procedures in rodents (Corrigall *et al*, 1994; Picciotto *et al*, 1998; Maskos *et al*, 2005; Pons *et al*, 2008; Walters *et al*, 2006). A similar phenotype was observed with nicotine-induced CPP at high doses in the $\alpha 5$ KO mouse (Jackson *et al*, 2010). Although $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 6$ are co-expression in the substantia nigra and VTA, no direct evidence suggests co-assembly of these two subunits. Recent studies indicate possible functional interaction between $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 6$ since with the genetic deletion of $\alpha 5$, there is an increase in α -conotoxinII-sensitive responses (dopamine release) (Salminen *et al*, 2007; Grady *et al*, 2007).

Our KO data suggest that $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs mediate nicotine place preference, and this mediation was confirmed by that fact that intra-ventricular infusions of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in nicotine place preference (Table 1). Furthermore, the $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChR antagonist given into the NAc completely blocked the development of nicotine CPP. Collectively, our results showing that $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in the NAc have a critical role in nicotine-conditioned reward concur with several studies including that by Brunzell *et al* (2010) who observed that inhibiting $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in the NAc shell significantly

Table 1 Lack of Blockade of a High dose of Nicotine-Induced Place Preference in $\alpha 6$ KO Mice by α -Conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A]

Treatment	$\alpha 6$ WT	$\alpha 6$ KO
Vehicle	13 $\pm$ 6	8 $\pm$ 4
Nicotine (1 mg/kg)	35 $\pm$ 8	155 $\pm$ 10*
MI [H9A; L15A] (12 pmol)	–7 $\pm$ 5	4 $\pm$ 3
MI [H9A; L15A] (12 pmol) + nicotine (1 mg/kg)	12 $\pm$ 6	146 $\pm$ 13*

$\alpha 6$ KO mice showed significant preference for 1 mg/kg nicotine when given a preinjection of 12 pmol of α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] (i.c.v.) ($*P < 0.01$ compared with the correspondent WT or KO vehicle group). Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs for 8–12 mice.

reduced motivation to self-administer nicotine, and those of Exley *et al* (2008; 2011) who observed that $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs responses dominate in the NAc. However, others studies showed that the VTA is the primary site for nicotine reinforcing effects (Pons *et al*, 2008; Gotti *et al*, 2010). Differences in the parameters under which these studies were conducted such as route of administration, self-administration procedures or species may explain the discrepancy between the results.

Mice lacking the $\alpha 4$ nAChR subunit did not exhibit nicotine CPP at any of the doses tested. These results

Figure 6 (a) Both $\alpha 6$ KO and WT mice displayed conditioned place aversion induced by 150mg/kg of LiCl (i.p.). (b) $\alpha 6$ KO and $\alpha 6$ WT displayed similar place preference scores for the context associated with the appetitive food stimulus. Results are expressed as mean preference scores $\pm$ SEMs.

Figure 7 Cocaine competitively inhibited the uptake of [³H]-dopamine into crude synaptosomes prepared from either striata of either $\alpha 6$ WT or $\alpha 6$ KO mice. Each point represents the mean $\pm$ SEM of data from four separate experiments. Curves were calculated as described in the Methods section.

support previous reports suggesting that $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs are necessary for nicotine reward, reinforcement, and striatal DA release (Marubio *et al*, 2003; Tapper *et al*, 2004;

Salminen *et al*, 2007; Pons *et al*, 2008; Exley *et al*, 2011; McGranahan *et al*, 2011). In contrast to our results, Cahir *et al*, (2011) reported that $\alpha 4$ KO and WT mice showed similar nicotine CPP at 0.5 mg/kg. Although the two studies used the same $\alpha 4$ KO and WT progenitors (Ross *et al*, 2000) and they were back-crossed to C57BL6 mice for at least 10 generations, the C57BL6 substrain used for back-crossing in the Cahir *et al* (2011) study was not reported. This is an important distinction, as critical behavioral differences between the various C57BL6 substrains (in particular with C57BL6/J, the substrain used in our studies) have been reported (Mulligan *et al*, 2008; Mekada *et al*, 2009; Matsuo *et al*, 2010). Furthermore, the Cahir *et al* (2011) study used a different route of administration of nicotine (i.p. vs s.c.), performed a biased design where initial baseline preference scores were not included when calculating final preference scores on test day, and did not include a saline control.

Collectively, our results suggest a critical role for $\alpha 4\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in nicotine reward using the CPP procedure. $\alpha 4\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs display the greatest sensitivity to nicotine ($EC_{50} = 230$ nM), with high affinity for nicotine and ACh binding (Salminen *et al*, 2007). Enhanced nicotine induced DA release in the $\alpha 6$ KO mice and was reduced when the $\alpha 4$ subunit was removed from their system, indicating that $\alpha 4\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs are key players in the cholinergic control of DA neurotransmission. Finally, both the $\alpha 4$ and the $\alpha 6$ subunits were necessary to maintain nicotine-sensitive cholinergic regulation of DA release in the NAc (Exley *et al*, 2011).

$\alpha 6^*$ -, but not $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs, are Critical for Cocaine CPP

Using our CPP procedure, we found a genotype-dependent effect, where cocaine preference was reduced in $\alpha 6$ HET mice (which express half the amount of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs) but eliminated in $\alpha 6$ KO mice compared with $\alpha 6$ WT littermates. Our results with striatal [³H]dopamine synaptosomal uptake studies showed that the reduction of cocaine CPP was not due to an alteration of the dopamine transporter (DAT) functional activity in the $\alpha 6$ KO mice. The DAT is the primary target of cocaine. Furthermore, the involvement of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs was confirmed by the blockade of cocaine CPP with α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A] given i.c.v. These results are not surprising as previous studies have shown that nicotinic agonists and antagonists modulate cocaine reward, reinforcement, and sensitization (Champtiaux *et al*, 2006; Horger *et al*, 1992; Levine *et al*, 2011; Reid *et al*, 1998; Reid *et al*, 1999; Zachariou *et al*, 2001; Zanetti *et al*, 2007). Our results expand on a previous study implicating a role for $\beta 2$ in cocaine place preference (Zachariou *et al*, 2001) by suggesting that $\alpha 6$ is the subunit co-expressed in the nicotinic subtype that is mediating the reward like effects of cocaine. Indeed, we found that both $\alpha 4$ KO and WT mice displayed similar and significant dose-dependent place preference scores for cocaine, suggesting that $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs are not required for cocaine reward in the place preference test. Our conclusion is confirmed by the fact that the $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs selective antagonist, α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A], mediated a similar decrease in cocaine place preference in both $\alpha 4$ KO and WT mice. Similarly, McGranahan *et al* (2011) reported that, while $\alpha 4^*$ nAChRs specifically on dopaminergic neurons were necessary for nicotine place

preference, they were not required for cocaine place preference.

When we targeted the NAc for inhibition by α -conotoxin MII [H9A; L15A], we observed a significant but partial reduction for cocaine preference that was mediated by $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs. Our results implicating NAc $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in cocaine reward can be explained by the mechanisms underlying the reports of psychostimulants both enhancing the release of ACh in the NAc and increasing responsiveness of cholinergic neurons during acute and repeated drug exposure (Nestby *et al*, 1997). The partial reduction of cocaine-conditioned reward by the $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ antagonist suggests the involvement of other brain regions/substrates in cocaine CPP. Indeed the pedunculopontine tegmentum (PPTg) and laterodorsal tegmentum (LDTg) fibers supply heavy cholinergic input to the mesolimbic system that is robustly involved in excitation of DA neurons (Lanca *et al*, 2000). $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nAChRs located on GABAergic terminals and DA cell bodies in midbrain and $\alpha 4^*$ and $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs on dopaminergic terminals in midbrain neurons are all capable of responding to PPTg/LDTg-derived ACh (Calabresi *et al*, 1998). In the mesolimbic system, $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nAChRs are expressed in cell bodies and axon terminals of midbrain and striatal DA and GABA neurons. In contrast, $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChR expression is predominantly restricted to DA cell bodies and axon terminals and are therefore more exclusively involved in mediating DA neurotransmission when targeted in the whole system. A possible mechanism explaining our cocaine results would be that interfering with the cocaine-induced PPTg/LDTg excitation and cholinergic activation of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs on DA neurons in the mesolimbic system by inhibiting or removing the $\alpha 6$ subunit ultimately results in the disruption of an important neuronal signal involved in the attainment of the reward-like effect of cocaine.

Lithium-Conditioned Place Avoidance and Food Reward are not Altered by Pharmacological or Genetic Manipulations of $\alpha 6^*$ nAChRs

Our results with lithium-induced CPA show that lack of the $\alpha 6$ subunit decreased nicotine and cocaine place preference without having an effect on overall memory as indicated by the ability of the mice to associate the context paired with the aversive properties of lithium and recall this memory on the test day of CPP. Similarly, palatable food induced similar place preference profiles in $\alpha 6$ KO mice and WT littermates, suggesting that inactivation of the $\alpha 6$ subunit does not result in a general decrease in reward specifically pertaining to the natural incentive for food. Although unlikely, it is possible that genetic $\alpha 6$ ablation may have potentiated the aversive effects of nicotine and nicotine, which could explain the decrease in the CPP responses of these two drugs.

In summary, our results showed a critical role for $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nAChR in nicotine reward, but only $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ were found to be required for cocaine reward-like effects in the CPP test. Given the neuroanatomical distribution of $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs on catecholaminergic neurons and our behavioral assessments of this receptor subtype, targeting $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ nAChRs may be a valuable approach for treating nicotine and cocaine addiction.

FUNDING AND DISCLOSURE

This research was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse DA-019377 (to MID), P30 DA15663 (to MJM), DA003194 (to MJM) and National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM48677 and GM103801) (to JMM). The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We greatly appreciate the technical assistance of Tie Han and Cindy Evans.

REFERENCES

- Blokhina EA, Kashkin VA, Zvartau EE, Danysz W, Bessalov AY (2005). Effects of nicotinic and NMDA receptor channel blockers on intravenous cocaine and nicotine self-administration in mice. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 15: 219–225.
- Brunzell DH, Boschen KE, Hendrick ES, Beardsley PM, McIntosh JM (2010). Alpha-conotoxin MII-sensitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the nucleus accumbens shell regulate progressive ratio responding maintained by nicotine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35: 665–673.
- Budney AJ, Higgins ST, Hughes JR, Bickel WK (1993). Nicotine and caffeine use in cocaine-dependent individuals. *Subst Abuse* 5: 117–130.
- Cahir E, Pillidge K, Drago J, Lawrence AJ (2011). The necessity of $\alpha 4^*$ nicotinic receptors in nicotine-driven behaviors: dissociation between reinforcing and motor effects of nicotine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36: 1505–1517.
- Calabresi P, Lacey MG, North RA (1998). Nicotinic excitation of rat ventral tegmental neurones *in vitro* studied by intracellular recording. *Br J Pharmacol* 98: 135–140.
- Champtiaux N, Han ZY, Bessis A, Rossi FM, Zoli M, Marubio L *et al* (2002). Distribution and pharmacology of alpha 6-containing nicotinic acetylcholine receptors analyzed with mutant mice. *J Neurosci* 22: 1208–1217.
- Champtiaux N, Kalivas PW, Bardo MT (2006). Contribution of dihydro-beta-erythroidine sensitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the ventral tegmental area to cocaine-induced behavioral sensitization in rats. *Behav Brain Res* 168: 120–126.
- Corrigall WA, Coen KM, Adamson KL (1994). Self-administered nicotine activates the mesolimbic dopamine system through the ventral tegmental area. *Brain Res* 653: 278–284.
- Di Chiara G (1999). Drug addiction as dopamine-dependent associative learning disorder. *Eur J Pharmacol* 375: 13–30.
- Drenan RM, Grady SR, Whiteaker P, McClure-Begley T, McKinney S, Miwa JM *et al* (2008). *In vivo* activation of midbrain dopamine neurons via sensitized, high-affinity $\alpha 6$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Neuron* 60: 123–136.
- Drenan RM, Grady SR, Steele AD, McKinney S, Patzlaff NE, McIntosh JM *et al* (2010). Cholinergic modulation of locomotion and striatal dopamine release is mediated by alpha6alpha4* nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *J Neurosci* 30: 9877–9889.
- Exley R, Clements MA, Hartung H, McIntosh JM, Cragg SJ, Exley R *et al* (2008). Alpha6-containing nicotinic acetylcholine receptors dominate the nicotine control of dopamine neurotransmission in nucleus accumbens. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 33: 2158–2166.
- Exley R, Maubourguet N, David V, Eddine R, Evrard A, Pons S *et al* (2011). Distinct contributions of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit alpha4 and subunit alpha6 to the reinforcing effects of nicotine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108: 7577–7582.
- Fiserová M, Consolo S, Kršiak M, Fiserová M, Consolo S, Kršiak M (1999). Chronic morphine induces long-lasting changes in acetylcholine release in rat nucleus accumbens core

- and shell: an *in vivo* microdialysis study. *Psychopharmacology* 142: 85–94.
- Gotti C, Guiducci S, Tedesco V, Corbioli S, Zanetti L, Moretti M *et al* (2010). Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the mesolimbic pathway: primary role of ventral tegmental area $\alpha 6\beta 2^*$ receptors in mediating systemic nicotine effects on dopamine release, locomotion, and reinforcement. *J Neurosci* 30: 5311–5325.
- Grady SR, Salminen O, Lavery DC, Whiteaker P, McIntosh JM, Collins AC *et al* (2007). The subtypes of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors on dopaminergic terminals of mouse striatum. *Biochem Pharmacol* 74: 1235–1246.
- Grady SR, Murphy KL, Cao J, Marks MJ, McIntosh JM, Collins AC (2002). Characterization of nicotinic agonist-induced [3H] dopamine release from synaptosomes prepared from four mouse brain regions. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 301: 651–660.
- Horger B, Giles M, Schenk S, Horger B, Giles A, Schenk M *et al* (1992). Preexposure to amphetamine and nicotine predisposes rats to self-administer a low dose of cocaine. *Psychopharmacology* 107: 271–276.
- Jackson KJ, Marks MJ, Vann RE, Chen X, Gamage TF, Warner JA *et al* (2010). Role of $\alpha 5$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in pharmacological and behavioral effects of nicotine in mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 334: 137–146.
- Jackson KJ, McIntosh JM, Brunzell DH, Sanjakdar SS, Damaj MI (2009). The role of $\alpha 6$ -containing nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in nicotine reward and withdrawal. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 331: 547.
- Kalivas PW (2007). Neurobiology of cocaine addiction: implications for new pharmacotherapy. *Am J Addict* 16: 71–78.
- Klink R, d'Exaerde A, Zoli M, Changeux JP (2001). Molecular and physiological diversity of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the midbrain dopaminergic nuclei. *J Neurosci* 21: 1452–1463.
- Kota D, Martin BR, Robinson SE, Damaj MI (2007). Nicotine dependence and reward differ between adolescent and adult male mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 322: 399–407.
- Lanca AJ, Adamson KL, Coen KM, Chow BL, Corrigan WA (2000). The pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus and the role of cholinergic neurons in nicotine self-administration in the rat: a correlative neuroanatomical and behavioral study. *Neuroscience* 96: 735–742.
- Levin ED, Mead T, Rezvani AH, Rose JE, Gallivan C, Gross R (2000). The nicotinic antagonist mecamylamine preferentially inhibits cocaine vs. food self-administration in rats. *Physiol Behav* 71: 565–570.
- Levine A, Huang Y, Drisaldi B, EA Jr Griffin, Pollak DD, Xu S *et al* (2011). Molecular mechanism for a gateway drug: epigenetic changes initiated by nicotine prime gene expression by cocaine. *Sci Transl Med* 3: 107ra109.
- Marubio LM, Gardier AM, Durier S, David D, Klink R, Arroyo-Jimenez M *et al* (2003). Effects of nicotine in the dopaminergic system of mice lacking the $\alpha 4$ subunit of neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Eur J Neurosci* 17: 1329–1337.
- Maskos U, Molles BE, Pons S, Besson M, Guiard BP, Guilloux J *et al* (2005). Nicotine reinforcement and cognition restored by targeted expression of nicotinic receptors. *Nature* 436: 103–107.
- Matsuo N, Takao K, Nakanishi K, Yamasaki N, Tanda K, Miyakawa T (2010). Behavioral profiles of three C57BL/6 substrains. *Front Behav Neurosci* 4: 29.
- McGranahan TM, Patzlaff NE, Grady SR, Heinemann SF, Booker TK (2011). $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptors on dopaminergic neurons mediate nicotine reward and anxiety relief. *J Neurosci* 31: 10891–10902.
- McIntosh JM, Azam L, Staheli S, Dowell C, Lindstrom JM, Kuryatov A *et al* (2004). Analogs of α -conotoxin MII are selective for $\alpha 6$ -containing nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Mol Pharmacol* 65: 944–952.
- Mekada K, Abe K, Murakami A, Nakamura S, Nakata H, Moriaki K *et al* (2009). Genetic differences among C57BL/6 substrains. *Exp Anim* 58: 141–149.
- Mulligan MK, Ponomarev I, Boehm SL 2nd, Owen JA, Levin PS, Berman AE *et al* (2008). Alcohol trait and transcriptional genomic analysis of C57BL/6 substrains. *Genes Brain Behav* 7: 677–689.
- Nestby P, Vanderschuren Louk MJJ, De Vries TJ, Hogenboom F, Wardeh G, Mulder AH *et al* (1997). Ethanol, like psychostimulants and morphine, causes long-lasting hyperreactivity of dopamine and acetylcholine neurons of rat nucleus accumbens: possible role in behavioural sensitization. *Psychopharmacology* 133: 69–76.
- Picciotto MR, Zoli M, Rimondini R, Léna C, Marubio LM, Pich EM *et al* (1998). Acetylcholine receptors containing the $\beta 2$ subunit are involved in the reinforcing properties of nicotine. *Nature* 391: 173–177.
- Pons S, Fattore L, Cossu G, Tolu S, Porcu E, McIntosh JM *et al* (2008). Crucial role of $\alpha 4$ and $\alpha 6$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits from ventral tegmental area in systemic nicotine self-administration. *J Neurosci* 28: 12318–12327.
- Reid MS, Mickalian JD, Delucchi KL, Hall SM, Berger SP (1998). An acute dose of nicotine enhances cue-induced cocaine craving. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 49: 95–104.
- Reid MS, Mickalian JD, Delucchi KL, Berger SP (1999). A nicotine antagonist, mecamylamine, reduces cue-induced cocaine craving in cocaine-dependent subjects. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 20: 297–307.
- Ross SA, Wong JY, Clifford JJ, Kinsella A, Massalas JS, Horne MK *et al* (2000). Phenotypic characterization of an $\alpha 4$ neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit knock-out mouse. *J Neurosci* 20: 6431–6441.
- Salminen O, Drapeau JA, McIntosh JM, Collins AC, Marks MJ, Grady SR (2007). Pharmacology of α -conotoxin MII-sensitive subtypes of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors isolated by breeding of null mutant mice. *Mol Pharmacol* 71: 1563–1571.
- Sees KL, Clark HW (1993). When to begin smoking cessation in substance abusers. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 10: 189–195.
- Tapper AR, McKinney SL, Nashmi R, Schwarz J, Deshpande P, Labarca C *et al* (2004). Nicotine activation of $\alpha 4^*$ receptors: sufficient for reward, tolerance, and sensitization. *Science* 306: 1029–1032.
- Walters CL, Brown S, Changeux JP, Martin B, Damaj MI (2006). The $\beta 2$ but not $\alpha 7$ subunit of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor is required for nicotine-conditioned place preference in mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 184: 339–344.
- Whiteaker PM, McIntosh JM, Luo S, Collins AC, Marks MJ (2000). 125I- α -conotoxin MII identifies a novel nicotinic acetylcholine receptor population in mouse brain. *Mol Pharmacol* 57: 913–925.
- Zachariou V, Caldarone BJ, Weathers-Lowin A, George TP, Elsworth JD, Roth RH *et al* (2001). Nicotine receptor inactivation decreases sensitivity to cocaine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 24: 576–589.
- Zanetti L, Picciotto M, Zoli M (2007). Differential effects of nicotinic antagonists perfused into the nucleus accumbens or the ventral tegmental area on cocaine-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens of mice. *Psychopharmacology* 190: 189–199.
- Zoli M, Moretti M, Zanardi A, McIntosh JM, Clementi F, Gotti C (2002). Identification of the nicotinic receptor subtypes expressed on dopaminergic terminals in the rat striatum. *J Neurosci* 22: 8785–8789.