Linkage Analysis of Fifty-Seven Microsatellite Loci to Bipolar Disorder

Pablo V. Gejman, M.D., Maria Martinez, Ph.D., Qiuhe Cao, M.D., Eitan Friedman, M.D., Wade H. Berrettini, M.D., Ph.D., Lynn R. Goldin, Ph.D., Panayiota Koroulakis, Chris Ames, Melissa A. Lerman, and Elliot S. Gershon, M.D.

The authors' goal was to screen for genetic linkage with highly informative deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) incrosatellite markers on a series of moderately sized North American bipolar disorder (BP) pedigrees. These BP pedigrees were genotyped with 57 short tandemment polymorphic systems (microsatellites) that were exymatically amplified from genomic DNA. We did not for significant evidence for genetic linkage. We found

EY WORDS: Mapping; Bipolar illness; Microsatellites; Genetic linkage simulations; GNAS1; Human X-linked GABA-A receptor α3-subunit gene

A body of epidemiologic studies implies that there is agenetic component that confers susceptibility to bipobr disorder (BP) (Nurnberger et al. 1986). However, exmination of the distribution of illness in families shows that it is improbable that a single defective gene competely determines whether a person shows the disease phenotype, because the mode of genetic transmission of BP does not follow simple Mendelian rules. Several plausible theories explaining the pathophysiology of IP have been proposed, but without full support from eperimental evidence, and it may be argued that the isolated LOD scores greater than 2 on chromosome 1 at two loci in individual pedigrees. Simulation studies for multiple analyses under the assumptions of linkage and nonlinkage were performed. The simulations show that LOD scores greater than 2 could be expected even when linkage is absent. Significance levels need to be considered carefully in systematic linkage studies. [Neuropsychopharmacology 9:31–40, 1993]

field lacks solid candidate genes (Nurnberger et al. 1986). In this context, identification of susceptibility genes by the use of genetic linkage analysis strategies that do not require an a priori knowledge of the pathophysiology of BP become an attractive research alternative. Furthermore, genetic linkage methods can detect a susceptibility gene when the exact manner of transmission is not known (Clerget-Darpoux et al. 1986). The effects of misspecification of genetic parameters in pairwise analysis is generally modest: linkage is detected but the recombination fraction is biased (Clerget-Darpoux et al. 1986). The magnitude of bias will depend upon the true and the assumed values of the genetic parameters.

Berrettini et al. (1991a) described a series of 21 pedigrees with BP. Genetic linkage of illness in this pedigree series has been examined in previously published papers for 107 markers on chromosomes 1, 10q, 11q, 13, 15, and 17 (Berrettini et al. 1991b), for 24 markers on chromosome 5 in 14 of these pedigrees (Detera-Wadleigh et al. 1992), and for five markers on Xq27-28 in a subset of families in which segregation of BP is consistent with X-chromosome transmission (Berrettini et al. 1990; Gejman et al. 1990), with classic restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers used for

From the Clinical Neurogenetics Branch, National Institute of Mental Huith (PVG, QC, LRG, PK, CA, MAL, ESG), Bethesda, Maryland; Fateriologie Génétique, Institut National de la Santé et de la Redurche Medicale (MM), Paris, France; Department of Clinical Genetics, Karolinska Hospital (EF), Stockholm, Sweden; and Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, Jefferson Medical Calege, Thomas Jefferson University (WHB) Philadelphia, Pennsyltria.

Address correspondence to: Pablo V. Gejman, M.D., CNG/NIMH, 1930218, Bethesda, Maryland 20892.

Revived August 27, 1992; revised January 4, 1993; accepted January 2, 1993.

nearly all loci. Here we report linkage analyses of 57 additional markers, all microsatellites, to BP.

The power of this or any pedigree series to detect linkage, when it exists, is a function of the pedigree structures, the complexity of genetic transmission, the proportion of cases linked, and the informativeness of the marker loci. Informativeness refers to the genetic variation of the markers. It is commonly measured by the frequency of heterozygotes at a locus and expressed in terms of heterozygosity. High heterozygosity values (greater than 70%) permit a more complete genetic analysis of the affected families because the segregation of the disease and marker can be analyzed in a larger number of meioses. Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) markers with high heterozygosity are specially useful when the number of pedigrees that can be studied is limited, as is often the case.

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) allows inexpensive and rapid genotyping of a large number of individuals with minimum effort. Polymerase chain reaction is used to enzymatically amplify marker loci with high-average heterozygosity, dispersed throughout the genome. These have recently become available through the discovery of dinucleotide tandem repeats (microsatellites) of the form $(dC-dA)_n \cdot (dG-dT)_n$ (Weber and May 1989). These sequences display highly variable numbers of dinucleotide repeats, which show up on denaturing polyacrylamide gels as length polymorphisms.

The recent availability of microsatellite markers, which might reasonably be expected to be randomly dispersed, offer an opportunity to add significantly to the number of markers mapped in this series of pedigrees. We decided to exploit the opportunity as a "first pass" with later incorporation of data into systematic chromosomal scanning for susceptibility loci when this is appropriate, such as when gaps in the known genetic map can be filled with these markers. In published papers, linkage analysis to microsatellites located in chromosomes not yet scanned can be considered a "first pass."

Genetic linkage in this pedigree series has been previously examined systematically using mapped markers on several chromosomes. Linkage analyses to microsatellites located in these chromosomal regions should be considered a "second pass" that can provide more information at particular loci or fill in gaps in the genetic maps. An example of a successful "second pass" tactic is the detection of linkage between a microsatellite locus (D4S171) and facioscapulohumeral dystrophy disease in the distal long arm of chromosome 4 after a large portion of the genome had been excluded by using traditional DNA markers (Wijmenga et al. 1990). Linkage had not been previously detected because no markers were available in the region of linkage.

METHODS

We have studied a series of moderately sized North American pedigrees, whose structures, ascertainment, diagnostic procedures, extension rules, and expected LOD scores in linkage analyses are described elsewhere (Berrettini et al. 1991a). When a LOD score appeared positive (see below), we added the "right extension" (Kelsoe et al. 1990) of the Old Order Amish BP pedigree 110 for additional information.

Affection Status Models

The following are affection status models of who is ill or well (Berrettini et al. 1991a). *Model 1*: Either Bipolar I, Bipolar II with major depression, or schizoaffective disorder. *Model 2*: Model 1 plus recurrent (more than 1 episode) unipolar disorder. *Model 3*: Model 2 plus any of the following; nonrecurrent (one episode) unipolar; suicide; cyclothymic personality; Bipolar II with minor depression; unspecified functional psychosis, suicide, hypomania, anorexia, bulimia, other psychiatric disorder (hospitalized), and schizophrenia. Persons with affective disorders associated with brain dysfunction, including cerebrovascular accident or brain tumor, are considered as phenotype unknown.

For linkage analysis, persons considered affected only under model 3 were classified as unaffected when linkage calculations were performed for models 1 and 2, and persons considered affected only under model 2 were classified as unaffected for the calculations for model 1. However, because the precise inheritance of the BP phenotype is not known with certainty, the affection classification models used by us should be considered educated working hypotheses founded in epidemiologic data. When LOD scores suggested possible genetic linkage, a variation of these models was followed: the phenotype of persons considered affected under a less stringent model was classified as unknown in the calculations.

DNA Amplification and Electrophoresis

Polymerase chain reaction was performed in a total volume of 15 μ l using approximately 0.15 μ g of genomic DNA, 200 μ mol each of adenosine triphosphate, guano sine triphosphate, and thymidine triphosphate, 2.5 μ mol of cytidine triphosphate, 0.03 μ Ci of cytidine triphosphate (3000 Ci/mmol), 5 pmd of each primer, 50 mmol KCl, 10 mmol Tris (pH 8.3), 1.5 mmol MgCl₂, 0.01% gelatin, 0.25 mmol spermidine, and 0.10 unit of Taq polymerase (Perkin Elme Cetus). Typically, two sets of primers were included in each reaction (multiplexing). In a few experiments only one set of PCR primers was used. Samples were

werlaid with 20 µl of mineral oil and were processed through 20 temperature cycles (denaturation, annealing, and extension) consisting of 50 seconds at 92°C, Seconds at 52°C, and 90 seconds at 72°C. In the last orde, the extension step was lengthened to 4 minutes. Mymerase chain reaction was performed in microtiter plates in a Techne thermocycler (MW2). Polymersechain reaction aliquots were electrophoresed on 6% b 8% denaturing polyacrylamide DNA sequencing gds. Gel size standards were dideoxy sequencing ladders (M13mp18 template). Gels were fixed, dried, and utoradiographed for 1 to 4 days. Oligonucleotide pimers were synthesized on a DNA synthesizer (Cydone Plus, Milligen/Biosearch, Millipore).

Genotyping and Linkage Analysis

Members of 19 families in the pedigree series had am-**Med** DNA arranged on a gel in a fixed order by famy. Each autoradiogram was uniquely identified with reprinted labels. The bands were read independently y two persons, and the readings recorded on the indiated column on two separate sheets. We carefully ex**mined the quality of each autoradiogram generated** with every experiment before deciding to include it in **be** database of genotypes. If this first level of scrutiny **ws** successfully passed, the two separate readings were entered into a computer database that detects the pesence of differences between the entries. Resolution **d**inconsistencies was done by reinspecting the auindiograms, and in instances where they remained **m**solved, the genotypes (of individuals, sibships, or **imilies at a given locus) were considered unknown.** Menever a sample of genomic DNA was found to sys**matically** give genotypes inconsistent with the rest **(the family**, this batch was discarded and another one we obtained.

A subset of seven families in which the segregatim of BP is consistent with X-chromosome, transmistim (0016, 0024, 0065, 0068, 0278, and 0643) was typed with the human X-linked gamma-aminobutyric acid-A temptor α 3-subunit gene (see, Gejman et al. 1990; Berstini et al. 1990 for description of families and absence of male-to-male transmission).

Linkage analyses were performed with the LINK-AGE package version 5.03 (Lathrop et al. 1985). The sumed genetic transmission model of disease was daminant with a susceptibility allele frequency of 0.01. Retrance values varied according to age: six suscep-Oilty classes were considered. Penetrances for disease gre carriers varied from 17% to 85%. It could be arged that other genetic models should be examined becase the mode of transmission of BP is unknown. Fambes in our sample were selected because they have a bre number of affecteds in successive generations. Thus, the assumption of the dominant mode of inheritance seems reasonable when screening markers for linkage. Other models may be analyzed in a future publication. The penetrance for noncarriers was set to vary from 0.001 to 0.01. This implies that the assumed rate of phenocopies increases with age and was different from the penetrances previously assumed (Berrettini et al. 1991a). At young ages, the rate of phenocopies is 22%, but at older ages the rate of phenocopies increases up to 37%. Our model, with some particular family structures that include affected individuals located in the higher phenocopy penetrances class, would lead to less extreme LOD scores than previously calculated (Berrettini et al. 1991b). We assumed equal recombination in males and females for the initial analysis.

LOD score calculations were done under the three affection status models, with recombination fractions (θ) from 0 to 0.3. In a pedigree series such as ours, where heterogeneity may be present, we examined the total LOD score in pairwise analysis, followed by examination of the individual LOD scores in each pedigree.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the chromosomal location and the polymorphism information content (PIC) of microsatellites used in these analyses and the total LOD scores under homogeneity at each locus ($\theta = 0$, $\theta = 0.10$, and $\theta = 0.20$).

Although all individuals had DNA amplified, not all persons yielded technically acceptable genotypes. An average of 261.2 individual genotypes (81% of individuals) per microsatellite system with a standard deviation of 67.6 were entered into the data base. A strict criterion for selecting data to be included in the calculations accounts for the individuals who were not typed (see section on genotyping and linkage analysis). Twelve loci had more than 300 individuals genotyped (19 families typed per locus). Less than 100 individuals have been typed with one microsatellite system, D8S87 (87 individuals typed in six families). Between 110 and 150 individuals were typed at seven loci (D17S250, D19S47, D19S48, D2S72, D22S156, D4S171, and D4S74). We have excluded genetic linkage under homogeneity at most of the loci at a distance of 10 to 20 cM.

We computed the power to detect linkage under heterogeneity using the program SIMLINK (Smith 1963; Ploughman and Boehnke 1989) for three different sample sizes corresponding to the number of individuals actually genotyped in three representative experiments. We assumed the same genetic parameters as those used for the pairwise analyses and that half of the families had an illness gene linked to a marker. For a marker with PIC = 0.7 and θ = 0.01, the power

					LOD Scores		
Locus Name	Chromosome	Regional Localization	PIC or Heterozygosity	Affection Status Model	$\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{Reco} \\ \mathbf{\theta} = 0 \end{array}$	mbination F $\theta = 0.10$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{raction} \\ \theta = 0.20 \end{array}$
D1S103	1		0.78	1	- 15.70	-3.98	- 1.15
D1S104	1	1q21-q23	0.66	2 1	-15.62 -6.44	-1.93 -1.34	0.83 0.02
	1			2	-15.01	-3.80	- 1.05
CRP	1	1q21-q23	0.53	1 2	-15.39 -20.12	-5.13 -4.53	-0.86 -0.34
D1S117	1	1q23-q25	0.77	1	-10.41	-3.79	- 1.32
D2S72	2		0.71	2 1	-24.42 -9.15	-8.68 -3.66	- 3.53 - 1.74
	3			2	-7.99	-2.76 -3.39	-1.21 -1.04
D3S196			0.68	1 2	-13.23 -16.21	-3.91	- 1.20
D3S240	3		0.30	1 2	-1.58 -2.71	-0.50 -1.10	0.10 -0.31
GLUT2	3	3q26.1-q26.3		1	-14.35	-4.53	-1.54
D4S174	4		0.86	2 1	-17.45 -5.93	-5.42 - 1.08	-2.22 -0.02
				2	-9.00	-0.97	0.70
FABP2	4	4q28-q31	64%	1 2	- 15.73 - 15.84	-5.89 -4.41	-2.90 -1.57
D5S108	5		0.45	1	-3.09	0.03	0.56
D5S117	5		0.62	2 1	-6.25 -8.10	-0.74 -4.71	0.24 -2.32
				2	- 15.53	-9.08	- 4.39
D5S118	5		0.48	1 2	-9.82 -16.53	-2.26 -4.10	-0.32 -1.03
D5S119	5		0.50	1	-8.95	-3.06	- 1.38
D5S107	5	5q11.2-q13.3	0.78	2 1	- 14.33 - 11.01	-4.83 -3.80	-1.81 -1.63
	F		0.85	2 1	-20.33	-6.23	-2.73 -3.81
CFS1R	5	5q33.3-34		2	-27.00 -36.33	-8.96 -12.65	-5.60
D6S87	6		0.53	1 2	-9.16 -18.84	-1.81 -5.16	- 0.31 - 1.67
D7S435	7		0.53	1	- 16.25	-5.32	- 2.46
D8S87	8	8p12	0.71	2 1	-22.70 -3.38	-5.55 -1.48	-1.95 -0.33
		-		2	-4.96	- 1.97	-0.33
D8S84	8	8q12-q13	0.58	1 2	- 18.05 - 20.75	-6.07 -6.23	- 2.60 - 2.21
D9S43	9		0.74	1	-9.09	-1.60	- 0.06
ASS	9	9q34	64%	2 1	- 14.82 - 20.82	-4.80 -6.28	- 2.05 - 2.28
D10589	10	•	0.71	2 1	-32.59 -14.41	-9.37 -3.61	- 3.72 - 1.24
				2	-22.34	-5.97	-2.18
D11S419	11		0.43	1 2	-6.31 -8.92	-1.37 -2.76	-0.10 -0.99
D11S35	11	11q 22	0.79	1	-13.30	-3.71	- 1.30
CD3D	11	11q23	0.69	2 1	- 10.89 - 16.22	-1.63 -5.56	-0.03 -2.11
		-		2	-19.18	-5.74	-1.99
D11S420	11	11q23.3-q24	0.66	1 2	- 14.62 - 19.15	-5.25 -6.49	-2.39 -2.93
D12S43	12		0.71	1	- 13.83 - 20.39	-5.87 -7.71	- 3.08 - 3.91
PLA2	12		0.73	2 1	- 18.65	-5.70	- 2.17
IGF1	12	12q22-q24.1	0.53	2 1	-24.90 -8.15	-7.80 -2.97	- 2.92 - 1.51
		12922-927.1		2	-9.42	-2.62	-1.04
D13S71	13		0.67	1 2	- 13.83 - 20.39	-5.87 -7.71	- 3.08 - 3.91
FLT1	13	13q12	0.49	1	-5.39	-0.83	0.05
				2	-7.40	-0.55	0.49

Table 1. Assumed Genetic Model of Disease*

					LOD Scores		
Locus Name	Chromosome	Regional Localization	PIC or Heterozygosity	Affection Status Model	$\begin{array}{r} \text{Reco}\\ \theta = 0 \end{array}$	mbination F $\theta = 0.10$	Fraction θ = 0.20
D14S43	14	14q24.3	0.72	1	-9.95	-3.22	-1.33
	_			2	- 10.78	-3.42	-1.49
D 15S87	15		0.85	1	- 13.01	-4.12	-1.68
D16S26 0	16		0.42	2 1	- 17.23	-6.10 -2.91	-2.29
0105200	10		0.43	2	-7.53 -9.97	-2.91 -3.00	-1.36 -1.34
D16S261	16		0.66	1	- 12.21	-3.15	-0.49
			0100	2	- 15.15	-4.14	-1.21
D16S265	16		0.75	1	-10.04	-3.78	-1.29
				2	- 18.32	-4.68	-1.48
D16S266	16		0.54	1	- 10.69	-2.94	-0.67
DICOCT	10		0.47	2	- 15.24	-5.05	-1.88
D16S 267	16		0.47	1 2	-12.73	-5.30 -5.73	-2.67
D17S25 0	17	17q11.2-q12	0.82	2 1	- 16.17 - 15.55	-5.73 -5.56	-2.57 -2.62
01152.0	17	17911.2-912	0.02	2	- 13.03 - 13.03	-4.43	-2.02
MPO	17	17q21-23	0.45	1	- 14.33	-4.70	-1.85
				2	-18.73	-7.19	-3.26
D18S35	18	18q	0.65	1	-12.44	-4.05	-1.43
		•		2	- 17.39	-4.97	-1.66
D1954 8	19		0.42	1	-4.61	-0.93	-0.13
B10	10	10 10 10 1	a = a	2	-7.21	-1.83	-0.54
D1954 9	19	19q12-q13.1	0.79	1	-20.64	-7.34	-3.37
D195 75	19	19q12-q13.1	0.61	2 1	-31.04 -12.21	- 10.71 - 4.75	-5.04 -2.1
0193/3	19	19412-413.1	0.01	2	-22.78	-4.75	-2.1 -3.5
APOC2	19	19q12-q13.2	0.79	1	-10.63	-4.91	-2.35
			0,	2	-19.72	-7.18	-3.4
D 19547	19	19q13.1	0.69	1	-5.01	-2.33	-1.22
		1		2	-16.03	-4.55	-1.88
D205 32E	20		43%	1	-4.24	-1.84	-0.73
	• •			2	- 10.31	-2.83	-1.91
D20 527	20	20p12	0.64	1	-12.60	-2.5	-0.56
CLAC1	20	20-12 2	E00/	2	-12.25	-3.29	-1.14
GNAS1	20	20q13.3	58%	1 2	- 10.52 - 11.93	-3.74 -4.31	-1.37 -1.55
D2 15172	21	21q11.2	0.58	1	-21.52	-6.90	-1.55 -2.54
		-1911.2	0.00	2	-26.09	-7.39	-2.34
D21 S13E	21	21q11.2	0.69	1	-11.01	-3.80	-1.43
		-		2	- 16.06	-4.46	-1.39
D21 5156	21	21q22.3	0.79	1	- 17.19	-3.53	-0.46
•				2	-27.27	-3.92	-2.13
D 21S168	21	21q22.3	0.73	1	-8.50	-2.14	-0.61
MC154	22		0.64	2	-14.68	-5.97	-2.84
022 \$156	22		0.64	1 2	-4.44 - 6.34	-2.28 -2.65	-1.27 -1.54
9 5	22		0.57	1	- 0.34 - 10.69	-2.65 -3.04	-1.54 -0.78
-	<u>~</u>		0.07	2	-14.40	-4.02	-1.2
GABA-A	х	Xq28	0.29	1	-8.16	-2.16	-0.75
		•		2	- 10.86	-1.59	-0.85

 Table 1. (continued)

*Dominant transmission, susceptibility allele frequency of 0.01, variable age of onset, with a maximum penetrance value of 85% for induals age 50 carrying either one or two susceptibility alleles, and 1% maximum penetrance for those who do not.

We assume equal recombinations in males and females for the initial analysis. θ is recombination fraction. Heterozygosity is expressed **5** a percentage.

Monsatellite mapping information and informativeness was obtained from: Buckle et al. 1989; Decker et al. 1992; Dracopoli et al. 1991; Man et al. 1991; Granqvist et al. 1991; Guo et al. 1990a,b; Hazan et al. 1992; Hicks et al. 1991; Kwiatkowski et al. 1992; Lewis et al. M. Litt et al. 1990; Luo et al. 1990; Martinez and Goldin 1990; Mills et al. (in press); Patel et al. 1991; Patterson et al. 1990; Polymeropou-Det al. 1990a,b; 1991a-c; Sharma and Litt 1991; Sharma et al. 1991a,b; Wang and Weber (1992); Weber and May 1989; 1990a-g; Weber Mal 1990a-q; Wilkie et al. 1992; Yamada et al. 1991; Yuille et al. 1990.

 $\mathbf{CP} = C$ -reactive protein gene; GLUT2 = human liver/islet glucose transporter gene; FABP2 = human intestinal fatty acid binding bin gene; CFS1R = human c-fms proto-oncogene for the CFS-1 receptor; ASS = human argininosuccinate synthetase gene; CD3D binan gene encoding the delta subunit of the CD3 T-cell receptor complex; PLA2 = human pancreatic phospholipase A-2 gene; IGF1 bindin-like growth factor 1 gene; FLT1 = human fms-related tyrosine kinase gene; MPO = light and heavy chains of myeloperoxidase for the gene; APOC2 = apolipoprotein CII gene; GNAS1 = human Gs-alpha subunit gene; SIS = human c-sis proto-oncogene; MA-A = human X-linked GABA-A receptor α 3-subunit gene; PIC = polymorphism information content. to detect linkage for sample sizes of genotyped individuals of 194, 244, and 305 is 69%, 80%, and 90%, respectively. As the recombination fraction increases or the proportion of linked families decreases, the power to detect linkage is lower.

The highest maximum LOD scores for the whole series of pedigrees were at D1S103 with affection status model 2 at 0.3 recombination fraction (1.19); LOD scores are negative with models 1 and 3.

For this locus, D1S103, as shown in Table 2, family

1482 at $\theta = 0$ had Z_{max} (maximum LOD score) = 1.99 and 2.39 under models 1 and 2, respectively. These were the highest LOD scores for an individual family in the pedigree series. Other positive LOD scores in individual pedigrees on chromosome 1q were noted: pedigree 1505, locus D1S117, $Z_{max} = 2.1$ and 0.52 under models 1 and 2, respectively; family 1512, locus CRP, $Z_{max} =$ 0.17 and 1.58 under models 1 and 2. Other analyses of loci on the long arm of chromosome 1 did not reveal similar positive scores (Table 3). Our two-point scores

Table 2. LOD Scores for Locus D1S103 Affection Status Models 1 and 2

		Recombination Fraction							
Family No.	0.0	0.01	0.05	0.1	0.15	0.2	0.3	0.4	
Model 1									
16	-1.32	-1.24	-0.96	-0.69	-0.49	-0.34	-0.14	-0.03	
48	-1.73	-1.32	-0.78	-0.49	-0.32	-0.20	-0.07	- 0.02	
65	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.01	0. 00	
68	-1.69	-1.41	-0.90	-0.58	-0.38	-0.24	-0.08	- 0.01	
92	-1.49	-1.16	-0.69	-0.45	-0.32	-0.24	-0.13	-0. 07	
137	-2.70	-2.26	-1.45	-0.95	-0.64	-0.43	-0.17	-0.04	
278	-2.51	-2.28	-1.63	-1.20	-0.94	-0.76	-0.48	-0.23	
441	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	-0.00	-0.02	-0.02	-0.01	
488	0.75	0.73	0.64	0.53	0.42	0.33	0.17	0. 0 6	
643	-0.41	-0.40	-0.35	-0.29	-0.24	-0.18	-0.09	-0.02	
1442	-0.90	-0.86	-0.58	-0.27	-0.07	0.04	0.10	0.04	
1482	1.99	1.94	1.76	1.54	1.31	1.08	0.63	0.24	
1483	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	
1484	0.55	0.67	0.86	0.87	0.82	0.73	0.50	0.26	
1505	-1.12	-0.88	-0.49	-0.29	-0.18	-0.11	-0.04	-0.01	
1512	-2.73	-2.12	-1.37	-0.94	-0.66	-0.46	-0.19	-0.05	
1520	-1.11	-0.78	-0.29	-0.04	0.09	0.14	0.14	0. 06	
1536	-1.68	-1.56	-1.22	-0.93	-0.73	-0.58	-0.35	-0.18	
9000	0.13	0.15	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.14	0.08	0.02	
Total	-15.82	- 12.67	-7.17	-3.94	-2.14	-1.06	-0.12	0 .00	
Model 2									
16	-1.32	-1.24	-0.96	-0.69	-0.49	-0.34	-0.14	-0.03	
48	-2.15	-1.82	-1.06	-0.60	-0.34	-0.18	-0.03	0.01	
65	-1.46	-0.54	-0.10	0.09	0.14	0.15	0.10	0.03	
68	-0.61	-0.36	0.03	0.19	0.25	0.24	0.15	0.05	
92	-3.28	-2.80	-2.23	-1.83	-1.46	-1.12	-0.58	-0.23	
137	-2.70	-2.26	-1.45	-0.95	-0.64	-0.43	-0.17	-0.0	
278	-1.11	-1.00	-0.54	-0.19	0.01	0.13	0.21	0.15	
441	0.42	0.41	0.38	0.33	0.26	0.20	0.07	0.00	
448	0.75	0.73	0.64	0.53	0.42	0.33	0.17	0.06	
643	-1.82	-1.64	-1.19	-0.84	-0.60	-0.41	-0.17	-0.0	
1442	-1.46	-1.10	-0.58	-0.27	-0.08	0.02	0.08	0.03	
1482	2.39	2.34	2.15	1.90	1.64	1.39	0.86	0.36	
1483	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	
1484	0.42	0.55	0.75	0.78	0.73	0.65	0.46	0.24	
1505	-1.28	-1.21	-0.79	-0.44	-0.25	-0.14	-0.04	-0.01	
1512	-1.43	-0.35	0.22	0.38	0.40	0.37	0.22	0. 06	
1520	0.36	0.40	0.51	0.55	0.54	0.50	0.32	0.11	
1536	- 1.77	-1.72	-1.42	-1.08	-0.83	-0.64	-0.39	-0.19	
9000	0.97	0.96	0.87	0.76	0.65	0.53	0.31	0.13	
Total	- 15.02	- 10.72	-4.73	- 1.35	0.41	1.28	1.47	0.70	

Pairwise Analysis of BP and D1S103.

Pedigree 9000 is Old Order Amish 110 right extension (Kelsoe et al. 1990).

See Table 1 legend for genetic parameters.

		nkage 0.5)	Linkage (θ = 0.01)		
LOD Scores	F1505	F1482	F1505	F1482	
Model 1					
Average Zmax	0.20	0.35	1.21	1.92	
$P(Z_{max} > 2)$	0.35%	0.7%	22.3%	43.8%	
$P(Z_{max} > 3)$	0.15%	0.5%	0.0%	20.4%	
Model 2					
Average Zmax	0.25	0.40	1.36	2.53	
$P(Z_{max} > 2)$	0.4%	0.4%	28.2%	61.0%	
$P(Z_{max} > 3)$	0.0%	0.25%	0.0%	36.0%	

Table 3. Simulation of Maximum Values ofLOD Score with Multiple Analyses

Frequency of maximum LOD score (Z_{max}) for families 1482 and 1505 under disease Model 1 and 2, when there is no linkage ($\theta = 0.01$) based on 2000 replicates.

between all markers on 1q in our data are consistent with the published map order as described by Dracopoli et al. (1991) (results not shown).

When penetrance was decreased to 50% for locus D1S103, the Z_{max} for all the families was 0.37 at $\theta =$ 0.30 for model 1 and 1.32 at $\theta = 0.25$ for model 2. In the same analysis, family 1482 at $\theta = 0$ had $Z_{max} = 1.77$ and 2.34 under models 1 and 2, respectively.

In some analyses at this locus, individuals affected **under** a lower classification model (model 1 is highest **and**model 3 is lowest) were considered unknown. The Z_{ext} for all the families was 0.001 at $\theta = 0.40$ and 1.32 **z** $\theta = 0.25$ under models 1 and 2, respectively.

We have also analyzed the Old Order Amish pedigree 110 with D1S103 and D1S117. LOD scores were sightly positive at D1S103 under model 1 and model 2 and negative at D1S117 (see Table 2). When linkage analysis of the Amish pedigree is added the maximum LOD score (under homogeneity) for the whole series of pedigrees at D1S103 is 1.47 at $\theta = 0.30$ recombination fraction.

Genetic heterogeneity at D1S103 was tested using the admixture test (one-sided test and type I error of **5**). As previously described by Martinez and Goldin (1990), we have modified the MLINK program (V5.03) to maximize the LOD score as a function of θ and of the proportion of linked families (heterogeneity rate), c. Analysis of linkage under heterogeneity (Amish pedigne not included) revealed a maximum LOD score of 138 at $\theta = 0.10$, $\alpha = 0.35$ (heterogeneity test $X_1^2 = 2.35$, $\mathbf{v} = 0.063$), affection status model 2, and a maximum LOD score of 0.75 at $\theta = 0$, $\alpha = 0.10$, (heterogeneity tet $X_1^2 = 3.45$, p = .031), affection status model 1. Genetic heterogeneity is thus (weakly) supported, but Fidence for genetic linkage is not significant.

For families 1482 and 1505, we estimated the frepency of positive maximum LOD scores greater than that could arise by chance alone and when there is

a true tight linkage between the marker and the trait locus. Using the SLINK program (Ott 1989; Weeks et al. 1990), 2000 replicates of each family were simulated under disease models 1 and 2. We have considered a marker locus with four alleles equally frequent either unlinked ($\theta = 0.5$) or tightly linked ($\theta = 0.01$) to the disease locus and maximized the individual pedigree LOD scores (Table 3). We have investigated the possibility that multiple linkage analyses would inflate the LOD scores (Clerget-Darpoux et al. 1990; Weeks et al. 1990). Thompson (1984) derived the equivalence of multiple analyses from the significance level of the data with a single linkage test. In a report such as this one, we can consider that 20 to 50 independent markers would provide information in these two families. Assuming that these analyses are independent and that there is no disease locus linked to the tested markers for family 1482, the probability of observing a LOD score greater than 2 varies from 13% to 30% (disease model 1) and from 8% to 18% (disease model 2). For family 1505, this probability varies from 7% to 16% (disease model 1) and from 8% to 18% (disease model 2). Thus, when no disease locus exists, there is still a considerable probability of observing at least one LOD score greater than 2 when this many independent analyses are performed.

DISCUSSION

The problems of detecting a single locus for susceptibility in the psychiatric disorders include conditions of complex inheritance, which may also be present in numerous inherited common diseases. These include variable penetrance (by which is meant that people may have the disease genetic vulnerability but not themselves be ill), genetic linkage heterogeneity, oligogenic inheritance, and density and informativeness of the human genetic map. Nonetheless, linkage may be detectable under conditions of complex inheritance that are compatible with reasonable assumptions based on the observed familial recurrence risks in BP (Goldin et al. 1991).

Polymorphism information content is defined as the probability that an offspring will be informative at a given marker locus. Polymorphism information content values range from 0 (absence of heterozygosity) to 1 (informative in any given meiosis); one can think of a rough numerical equivalence of PIC and of average heterozygosity. Most of the classic RFLP markers consist of biallelic systems that have low PIC values. Dinucleotide repeats generate allele systems formed by more than two alleles (systems of more than 10 alleles are not infrequent) and have high PIC values. Currently, some microsatellite systems fill gaps in the existing genetic map, thus improving its informativeness and resolution (Decker et al. 1992; Dracopoli et al. 1991; Hazan et al. 1992; Kwiatkowski et al. 1992; Lewis et al. 1990; Mills et al. [in press]; Wang and Weber 1992; Wang et al. [unpublished data]; Wilkie et al. 1992).

In this paper, a "second pass" with microsatellites generated some isolated positive LOD scores in chromosome 1q. Although LOD scores obtained at locus D1S103 seemed encouraging at first, they could have arisen by chance, given the number of linkage tests performed. Furthermore, in the previous published analysis of this region in these pedigrees (Berrettini et al. 1991b), the nearby markers did not suggest linkage. However, it is worth mentioning that positive LOD scores on chromosome 1q had been previously reported in the Old Order Amish pedigree 110 at loci in the same area (Pakstis et al. 1991).

Among the markers studied here, there are two possible candidate genes, Gs-alpha subunit-1 and gamma-aminobutyric acid-A (which is in a region previously analyzed [Berrettini et al. 1990]). Our results do not support a causative relationship between these loci and BP.

The availability of a large number of microsatellite systems evenly spanning the human genome, their informativeness, the rapid creation of genetic maps based on them, and the feasibility of multiplexing should make these systems the core of psychiatric genetic mapping in the upcoming years.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported in part by a grant from Anita Kaskel Roe to the Foundation for Advanced Education in the Sciences. We would like to thank Juliet Guroff and Diane Kazuba for their assistance with the database and Robin Ingraham for her secretarial assistance. We also would like to thank Dr. James Weber for providing information on microsatellite systems.

REFERENCES

- Berrettini WH, Goldin LR, Gelernter J, Gejman P, Gershon ES, Detera-Wadleigh S (1990): X-chromosome markers and manic-depressive illness: Rejection of linkage to Xq28 in nine bipolar pedigrees. Arch Gen Psychiatry 47: 336-373
- Berrettini WH, Goldin LR, Martinez MM, MaxwellME, Smith AL, Guroff JJ, Kazuba DM, Nurnberger JI, Hamovit J, Simmons-Alling S, Muniec D, Choi H, York C, Robb AS, Gershon ES (1991a): A bipolar pedigree series for genomic mapping of disease genes: Diagnostic and analytic considerations. Psychiatric Genet 2:125–160
- Berrettini WH, Detera-Wadleigh SD, Goldin LR, Martinez M, Hsieh W-T, Hoehe M, Choi H, Muniec D, Ferraro TN, Guroff J, Kazuba D, Harris N, Kron E, Nurnberger JI Jr, Alexander RC, Gershon ES (1991b): Genomic screening for genes predisposing to bipolar disease. Psychiatric Genet 2:191–208

- Buckle VJ, Fujita N, Ryder-Cook AS, Derry JMJ, Barnard PJ, Lebo RV, Schofield PR, SeeburgPH, Bateson AN, Darlison MG, Barnard EA (1989): Chromosomal localization of GABA-A receptor subunit genes: Relationship to human genetic disease. Neuron 3:647–654
- Clerget-Darpoux F, Bonaiti-Pellie C, Hochez J (1986): Effects of misspecifying genetic parameters in lod score analysis. Biometrics 42:393–399
- Clerget-Darpoux F, Babron MC, Bonaiti-Pellie C (1990): Assessing the effect of multiple linkage tests in complex diseases. Genet Epidemiol 7:245–254
- Decker RA, Moore J, Ponder B, Weber JL (1992): Linkage mapping of human chromosome 10 microsatellite polymorphisms. Genomics 12:604–606
- Detera-Wadleigh SD, Berrettini WH, Goldin LR, Martinez M, Hsieh W-T, Hoehe MR, Encio IJ, Coffman D, Rollins DY, Muniec D, Choi H, Guroff J, Wiesch D, Thai N, Gershon ES (1992): A systematic search for a bipolar predisposing locus on chromosome 5. Neuropsychopharmacology 6:219-229
- Dracopoli NC, O'Connell P, Elsner TI, Lalouel J-M, WhiteRL, Buetow KH, Nishimura DY, Murray JC, Helms C, Mishra SK, Donis-Keller H, Hall JM, Lee MK, King M-C, Attwood J, Morton NE, Robson EB, Mahtani M, Willard HF, Royle NJ, Patel I, Jeffreys AJ, Verga V, Jenkins T, Weber JL, Mitchell AL, Bale AE (1991): The CEPH¹ consortium linkage map of human chromosome 1. Genomics 9:686–700
- Gejman PV, Detera-Wadleigh S, Martinez M-M, Berrettini WH, Goldin LR, Gelernter J, Hsieh W-T, Gershon ES (1990): Manic depressive illness not linked to factor IX region in an independent series of pedigrees. Genomics 8:648-655
- Gejman PV, Weinstein LS, Martinez M, Spiegel AM, CaoQ, Hsieh W-T, Hoehe MR, Gershon ES (1991): Genetic map ping of the Gs-alpha subunit gene (GNAS1) to the distal long arm of chromosome 20 using a polymorphism detected by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. Genomics 9:782–783
- Goldin LR, Martinez MM, Gershon ES (1991): Sampling strategies for linkage studies. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 240:182–187
- Granqvist M, Xiang K, Seino M, Bell GI (1991): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism in Gs-subunit gene (GNAS1) on chromosome 20. Nucl Acids Res 19:4569 (Abstract)
- Guo Z, Sharma V, Litt M (1990a): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D21S13E locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:7470 (Abstract)
- Guo Z, Sharma V, Patterson D, Litt M (1990b): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D21S168 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:5924 (Abstract)
- Hazan J, Dubay C, Pankowiak M-P, Becuwe N, Weissenbach J (1992): A genetic linkage map of human chromosome 20 composed entirely of microsatellite markers. Genomics 12:183–189
- Hicks AA, Johnson KJ, Barnard EA, Darlison MG (1991): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism in the human X-linked GABA A receptor alpha3-subunit gene. Nucl Acids Re 19:4016 (Abstract)
- Kelsoe JR, Ginns EI, Egeland JA, Gerhard DS, Goldstein AM, Bale SJ, Pauls DL, Long RT, Kidd KK, Conte G, Hour

man DE, Paul SM (1990): Re-evaluation of the linkage relationship between chromosome 11p loci and the gene for bipolar affective disorder in the Old Order Amish. Nature 342:238–243

- Kwiatkowski DJ, Henske EP, Weimer K, Ozelius L, Gusella JF, Haines J (1992): Construction of a GT polymorphism map of human 9q. Genomics 12:229-240
- Lathrop GM, Lalouel JM, Julier C, Ott J (1985): Multilocus linkage analysis in humans: Detection of linkage and estimation of recombination. Am J Hum Genet 37:382–498
- Lewis JG, Weber JL, Petersen MB, Slaugenhaupt SA, Kwitek A, May PE, Warren AC, Chakravarti A, Antonarakis SE (1990): Linkage mapping of the highly informative DNA marker D21S156 to human chromosome 21 using a polymorphic GT dinucleotide repeat. Genomics 8: 400-402
- Litt M, Sharma V, Luty JA (1990): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D11S35 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:5921 (Abstract)
- Luo Y, Evans GA, Litt M (1990): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D11S420 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:7470 (Abstract)
- Martinez MM, Goldin LR (1990): Power of the linkage test for a heterogeneous disorder due to two independent inherited causes: A simulation study. Genet Epidemiol 7:219-230
- KA, Buetow KH, Xu Y (1992): Genetic and physical maps of human chromosome 4 based on dinucleotide repeats. Genomics (in press)
- Numberger JI Jr, Goldin LR, Gershon ES (1986): Genetics of psychiatric disorders. In Winokur G, Clayton P (eds), The Medical Basis of Psychiatry. Philadelphia, WB Saunders, pp 486-521
- **Dt J** (1989): Computer-simulation methods in human linkage analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86:4175-4178
- Rissis AJ, Kidd JR, Castiglione CM, Kidd KK (1991): Status of the search for a major genetic locus for affective disorder in the Old Order Amish. Hum Genet 87:475-483
- Piel P, Lo YMD, Bell GI, Turner RC, Wainscoat JS (1991): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the human GLUT2 locus. Nucl Acids Res 19:4017 (Abstract)
- Puterson H, Mitchell PJ, Cooper CS, Stratton MR (1990): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the SIS locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:5917 (Abstract)
- Anghman LM, Boehnke M (1989): Estimating the power of a proposed linkage study for a complex genetic trait. Am J Hum Genet 44:543–551
- Hymeropoulos MH, Rath DS, Xiao H, Merril CR (1990a): Trinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the human intestinal fatty acid binding protein gene (FABP2). Nucl Acids Res 18:7198 (Abstract)
- Cymeropoulos MH, Rath DS, Xiao H, Merril CR (1990b): Trinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the human pancreatic phospholipase A-2 gene (PLA2). Nucl Acids Res 18:7468 (Abstract)
- **Cymeropo**ulos MH, Rath DS, Xiao H, Merril CR (1991a): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the human fmsrelated tyrosine kinase gene (FLT1). Nucl Acids Res 19:2803 (Abstract)

Etymeropoulos MH, Xiao H, Rath DS, Merril CR (1991b):

Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the human c-fms proto-oncogene for the CFS-1 receptor (CFS1R). Nucl Acids Res 19:1160 (Abstract)

- Polymeropoulos MH, Xiao H, Rath DS (1991c): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the human gene of the light and heavy chains of myeloperoxidase glycoprotein (MPO). Nucl Acids Res 19:1961 (Abstract)
- Sharma V, Litt M (1991): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D1S117 locus. Nucl Acids Res 19:1168 (Abstract)
- Sharma V, Allen L, Magenis RE, Litt M (1991a): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D21S172 locus. Nucl Acids Res 19:1169 (Abstract)
- Sharma V, Smith K, Allen L, Magenis RE, Litt M (1991b): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D14S43 locus. Nucl Acids Res 19:1722 (Abstract)
- Smith CAB (1963): Testing for heterogeneity of recombination fraction values in human genetics. Ann Hum Genet 27:175-182
- Thompson EA (1984): Interpretation of LOD scores with a set of marker loci. Genet Epidemiol 1:357-362
- Wang Z, Weber JL (1992): Continuous linkage map of human chromosome 14 short tandem repeat polymorphisms. Genomics 13:532-536
- Weber JL, May PE (1989): Abundant class of human DNA polymorphisms which can be typed using the polymerase chain reaction. Am J Hum Genet 44:388-396
- Weber JL, May PE (1990a): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D2S72 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:2200 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, May PE (1990b): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D3S240 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:2201 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, May PE (1990c): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D20S27 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:2202 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, May PE (1990d): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D9S43 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:2203 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, May PE (1990e): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D10S89 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4637 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, May PE (1990f): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D22S156 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4639 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, May PE (1990g): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D18S35 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:6465 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kappel C, May PE, Kwitek AE (1990a): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D19S75 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4639 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990b): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D1S103 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:2199 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990c): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D1S104 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:2835 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990d): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphisms at the D16S260, D16S261, D16S265, D16S266, and D16S267 loci. Nucl Acids Res 18:4034 (Abstract
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990e): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphisms at the D5S107, D5S108, D5S111, D5S117 and D5S118 loci. Nucl Acids Res 18:4035 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990f): Dinucleotide repeat

polymorphisms at the D11S419 and CD3D loci. Nucl Acids Res 18:4036 (Abstract)

- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990g): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphisms at the 7S435 and D7S440 loci. Nucl Acids Res 18:4039 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990h): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the CRP locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4635 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990i): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D6S87 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4636 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990j): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D13S71 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4638 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE (1990k): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D15S87 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4640 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE, Killary AM (1990l): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D4S174 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4636 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE, Patterson D, Drabkin H (1990m): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphisms at the D8S85, D8S87, and D8S88 loci. Nucl Acids Res 18:4038 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE, Polymeropoulos M (1990n): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D12S43 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4637 (Abstract)

- Weber JL, Kwitek AE, May PE, Wallace MR, Collins FS, Ledbetter DH (1990o): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphisms at the D17S250 and D17S261 loci. Nucl Acids Res 18:4640 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, May PE, Kappel C (1990p): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D19S49 locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:1927 (Abstract)
- Weber JL, May PE, Patterson D, Drabkin H, Killary AM (1990q): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism at the D351% locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:4635 (Abstract)
- Weeks DE, Ott J, Lathrop GM (1990): SLINK: A general simulation program for linkage analysis. Am J Hum Gener 47:A204 (Abstract)
- Wijmenga C, Frants RR, Brouwer OF, Moerer P, Weber JL, Padberg GW (1990): Location of facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy gene on chromosome 4. Lancet 336:651-653
- Wilkie PJ, Krizman DB, Weber JL (1992): Linkage map of human chromosome 9 microsatellite polymorphisms. Genomics 12:607-609
- Yamada Y, Xiang K-S, Bell GI, Seino S, Nishi M (1991): Dinucleotide repeat polymorphism in a gene on chro mosome 20 encoding a G-protein coupled receptor (D20S32E). Nucl Acids Res 19:2519 (Abstract)
- Yuille MAR, Hampson RM, Harris RM, Affara NA (1990): CA repeat polymorphism at the ASS locus. Nucl Acids Res 18:7472 (Abstract)