

extension curves obtained from such an experiment, the unfolding event appears as a signature single sawtooth, reflecting the sudden increase in extension and decrease in tension that occurs at the moment of unfolding.

After recording more than 1,500 force-extension curves for hairpin A at various pulling speeds, and nearly 3,000 for hairpin B, Gupta *et al.* analysed their non-equilibrium data using the method proposed by Hummer and Szabo<sup>5</sup>. The resulting free-energy profiles nicely match those obtained from the earlier equilibrium method, providing direct validation of the non-equilibrium approach.

An important issue that arises with both methods is that the extension and force signals reflect not just the unfolding dynamics of the hairpin, but also the noisy fluctuations of the long DNA handles, which blur the free-energy profile. Here, deconvolution techniques, of the sort applied in signal analysis and image processing, can be used to tease out the

profile of the hairpin itself. Interestingly, Hummer and Szabo have recently revisited the problem of extracting equilibrium free-energy landscapes from non-equilibrium single-molecule experiments, and have proposed an alternative method based directly on deconvolution<sup>7</sup>.

Gupta and colleagues also report measurements on a riboswitch aptamer, a short strand of RNA that adopts partially folded intermediate structures along the way from the folded to the unfolded state. As with the DNA hairpins, the landscape reconstructed using the non-equilibrium approach agrees with that obtained from equilibrium data. However, neither profile resolves the intermediate structures. It is not clear whether this reflects the inherent difficulties of reconstructing a free-energy landscape of sufficiently high resolution, or whether, simply, the extension is not the optimal reaction coordinate for revealing the details of the intermediate states.

Although these experiments rely on observations of the extension and the

force, it will be interesting to see what new observables can be added to the single-molecule toolkit as the techniques continue to advance. It will no doubt be equally interesting to follow the theoretical work that incorporates these new data signals into the analysis of single-molecule experiments. □

*Christopher Jarzynski is at the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and the Institute for Physical Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA. e-mail: cjarzyns@umd.edu*

#### References

1. Gupta, A. N. *et al.* *Nature Phys.* **7**, 631–634 (2011).
2. Liphardt, J., Dumont, S., Smith, S. B., Tinoco, I. Jr & Bustamante, C. *Science* **296**, 1832–1835 (2002).
3. Harris, N. C., Song, Y. & Kiang, C.-H. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 068101 (2007).
4. Janke, M. *et al.* *Nature Nanotechnol.* **4**, 225–229 (2009).
5. Hummer G. & Szabo, A. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **98**, 3658–3661 (2001).
6. Woodside, M. T. *et al.* *Science* **314**, 1001–1004 (2006).
7. Hummer G. & Szabo, A. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 21441–21446 (2010).

## PLANETARY SCIENCE

# The Trojan is out there

In 1772, Joseph-Louis Lagrange calculated that a small body could be trapped in the orbit of a larger one, if it were positioned either 60° ahead of or 60° behind the larger object. Those positions, now bearing the symbols  $L_4$  and  $L_5$ , are two of the series of five so-called Lagrange points in space (the others were defined earlier by Leonhard Euler). At a Lagrange point, any small body — say, a satellite — if subject only to gravity is stationary with respect to two larger bodies — such as a planet and the Sun.

It wasn't until 1906 that the first object trapped at  $L_4$  or  $L_5$  was found: Max Wolf discovered 588 Achilles, an asteroid at  $L_4$  in the Sun-Jupiter system. And only now — M. Connors *et al.* *Nature* **475**, 481–483 (2011) — has Earth been shown to have a similar 'Trojan' asteroid of its own.

Following Wolf's discovery, more asteroids were found at both  $L_4$  and  $L_5$  around Jupiter, and they are now more than four thousand in number. By convention, and hence the origin of the term 'Trojan asteroid', each is named after a hero of the Trojan War from Greek mythology (a scene of which appears on the Greek vase, pictured); heroes of the Greek camp are clustered at  $L_4$  (Agamemnon, Odysseus,



© ANTIMENES PAINTER, THE BRIDGEMAN ART LIBRARY

Ajax, Menelaus) and those of Troy at  $L_5$  (Priamus, Troilus, and so on) — although two early discoveries, the Greek Patroclus and Trojan Hektor, were in fact assigned to the wrong camps.

Trojan asteroids have since been identified at Mars and Neptune. Two of

Saturn's moons, Tethys and Dione, have Trojan moons. But no Trojans had ever been seen in Earth's orbit: as seen from Earth, they would dwell in the daylight sky, making detection difficult. However, in data from NASA's Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE), backed up by further ground-based observations, Martin Connors and colleagues have found an object that fits the bill.

The asteroid, somewhat less romantically named as 2010 TK<sub>7</sub>, is several hundred metres in diameter and is librating about  $L_4$ , 60° ahead of Earth in its orbit. Its motion is typical of a Trojan asteroid, and its orbit stable over at least 10,000 years. However, the chaos of its motion is such that attempts to map its motion far into the future or the past are not accurate. Connors *et al.* have run simulations with varying parameters to investigate the possibilities — including that of 'jumping' to another Lagrange point, as the Jupiter Trojan 1868 Thersites is thought to have done. More will be learned through further observations, but its dynamics are such that the origin and ultimate fate of 2010 TK<sub>7</sub> will probably never be known.

ALISON WRIGHT