

## Corrigendum: Flotillin-1 is essential for PKC-triggered endocytosis and membrane microdomain localization of DAT

M Laura Cremona, Heinrich J G Matthies, Kelvin Pau, Erica Bowton, Nicole Speed, Brandon J Lute, Monique Anderson, Namita Sen, Sabrina D Robertson, Roxanne A Vaughan, James E Rothman, Aurelio Galli, Jonathan A Javitch & Ai Yamamoto  
*Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 469–477 (2011); published online 13 March 2011; corrected after print 13 July 2011

In the version of this article initially published, several images were mirror-reversed or switched during preparation of the artwork and one set of images was switched before analysis. In Figure 2c, left panel, +Gö row, +PMA and –PMA were mirror-reversed in both Surface and Total columns. In Figure 3b, top panel, siFlot1, Total and Surface images were switched and +PMA and –PMA were mirror-reversed within both. In Figure 5a, the TfR blot was mirror-reversed, and its quantification in Figure 5e, right panel (pink line) was also reversed. In Figure 5b, the Flot1 and TfR blots were switched. In Figure 6d, top panel, +PMA and –PMA were mirror-reversed in both rows of the Total column and in the S315A row of the Surface column. Finally, in Figure 4f, the IP and Lysate images in the IB DAT row of the IP Flot1 subpanel were switched before analysis and the *P*-value for the modulation by PMA of the interaction between wild-type Flot1 and DAT (0.0148) was incorrect. The correct value is 0.0920. All errors have been corrected in the HTML and PDF versions of the article.

## Corrigendum: Temporary disruption of fear-potentiated startle following PKM $\zeta$ inhibition in the amygdala

Ryan G Parsons & Michael Davis  
*Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 295–296 (2011); published online 23 January 2011; corrected after print 15 August 2011

In the version of this article initially published, the numbers of rats reported in the legend of Figure 3a were transposed with those in the legend of Figure 3b. The correct values are (a) ZIP,  $n = 6$ ; SCR ZIP,  $n = 8$ ; and (b) ZIP and SCR ZIP,  $n = 7$  each. In all cases, the correct numbers were used for the analyses, so the statistics reported remain accurate. The error has been corrected in the HTML and PDF versions of the article.

## Erratum: Lateral habenula neurons signal errors in the prediction of reward information

Ethan S Bromberg-Martin & Okihide Hikosaka  
*Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 1209–1216 (2011); published online 21 August 2011; corrected after print 22 September 2011

In the version of this article initially published, the colored circles and horizontal bars above the  $x$  axis in the top panels of Figure 8, which indicate the median and central 90% range of each distribution, were misaligned. The error has been corrected in the HTML and PDF versions of the article.

## Erratum: A lateralized brain network for visuospatial attention

Michel Thiebaut de Schotten, Flavio Dell'Acqua, Stephanie J Forkel, Andrew Simmons, Francesco Vergani, Declan G M Murphy & Marco Catani  
*Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 1245–1246 (2011); published online 18 September 2011; corrected after print 13 October 2011

In the version of this article initially published, the institute identifier was omitted from the INSERM affiliation of author Michel Thiebaut de Schotten. The correct affiliation should read Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) S 975. The error has been corrected in the HTML and PDF versions of the article.

## Corrigendum: Hemisphere-specific optogenetic stimulation reveals left-right asymmetry of hippocampal plasticity

Michael M Kohl, Olivia A Shipton, Robert M Deacon, J Nicholas P Rawlins, Karl Deisseroth & Ole Paulsen  
*Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 1413–1415 (2011); published online 25 September 2011; corrected after print 13 October 2011

In the version of this article initially published, the schematics, traces and graphs in Figs. 2d and 2e were interchanged. The error has been corrected in the HTML and PDF versions of this article.