

Why did you decide to pursue applied physics as an undergraduate?

I want to understand how things work - especially in energy generation, because I have always considered myself an environmentalist. While working on my applied-physics degree at Cornell University in Ithaca, New York, I became interested in research that would directly help society to move beyond fossilfuel use. I thought about following in the footsteps of a mentor, Bruce Kusse, and pursuing fusionenergy research related to plasma physics, but I found condensed-matter physics

What did you pursue for your PhD project?

to be more intellectually

stimulating.

My PhD was in theoretical condensed-matter physics, looking at the fundamentals of magnetism in lowtemperature quantum systems. The motivation for my research was intellectual: I'm curious about things. I had this notion from college that, with a solid grounding in physics, I could go on to do anything. This work has no practical application, but there are connections to potentially useful materials. Now that I am trained, I can work more directly on the problem of making energy.

Describe the work recognized by the award.

One of the reasons for doing condensed-matter physics is to understand how order emerges from disorder. My project looked at how a particular form of magnetism arises out of disorder in a regime dominated by quantum dynamics rather than by thermal energy. It is a state of matter on the cusp of being magnetic — one side is ordered, the other side

Rafael Jaramillo received the 2010 Rosalind Franklin Young Investigator Award on 4 May from the Advanced Photon Source Users Organization for his work on the fundamentals of magnetism at low temperatures.

> is disordered — and is the only known system in which the physics of the transition from order to disorder is not obscured by chemical and physical effects such as abrupt phase transitions.



Does this award hold special significance?

It is a chance to recognize my mentors and co-workers, but the special significance is in the award's name. It honours Rosalind Franklin, the X-ray crystallographer who took the images of DNA that led to the discovery of its double-helix structure by **James Watson and Francis** Crick. I thought quite a bit about the dynamics behind that discovery: Watson and Crick clicked marvellously as collaborators, whereas Franklin worked alone. But she later conducted her most productive research, on viruses, once she found a strong collaborator in Aaron Klug. Cooperation was also a factor in our work: I don't think that either my collaborator, Yejun Feng, a physicist at Argonne National Laboratory, Illinois, or I would have accomplished half of what we did without each other.

Why did you change fields and move into solar energy, despite your success?

I could have spent a career following up on those findings. Our techniques were novel and have already led to results in different areas of condensed-matter physics. But I wanted to move on. People who are older and wiser than me say to do something unrelated for one's postdoc. Still, the physics of solar energy is very similar to what I was doing before, and involves concepts and measurement techniques that I used in my PhD. Many condensed-matter workers who are interested in energy move to solar, because it is basically semiconductor physics.

How would you describe your career prospects?

They are good enough in this economic environment, but anyone moving into the energy field is viewed cynically because everyone is now working on energy - often without changing their research focus - as it is flush with funding. I want to do relevant work that is intellectually motivated and has mental freedom. I'll be looking at well-known energy companies and will apply there in a year and a half, but not to the exclusion of academic research. In the longer term, building a company is challenging, but I would love to do it if I had an idea worth developing.

What are your future career goals?

I want to solve the world's energy problems. The research proposal that got me this postdoc is very ambitious. It is to design a widget to lower the cost of solar energy and make it competitive with fossil fuels. I'm working on an obstacle to efficient production of solar technology: how to integrate the charge made by photo cells at the nanoscale into real-world devices. As with most new technologies, it is unlikely to work, but I think we should be ambitious and aggressive because we need energy solutions.

Interview by Virginia Gewin.

IN BRIEF

Tokyo top for science

The University of Tokyo and the National University of Singapore came first and second in the top-200 QS Asian University Rankings for life sciences and biomedicine, released on 13 May. Kyoto University in Japan was third, Seoul National University fourth and Peking University fifth. The same schools were top in natural sciences, with Kyoto second, Peking third and Seoul fifth.Ranking was based on academic reviews, numbers of papers and citations, teaching, employability and the number of international staff and students. QS, a London-based education-services company, began the World University Rankings in 2004 with the Times Higher **Education Supplement.**

Stem-cell grants launched

On 14 May, the non-profit New York Stem Cell Foundation (NYSCF) announced an early-career investigator award programme. A US\$27-million gift from philanthropic organization the Robertson Foundation, New York, will support at least 17 high-risk, high-reward grants of \$1.5 million each over 5 years. NYSCF chief executive Susan Solomon says their mission is to support early-career stemcell scientists, who often have difficulty getting funding to start a lab. The NYSCF will make a request for applications on 17 June, but expects support for more positions to become available later this year from other donors. International investigators may apply, but the research must be done in the United States.

Biotech bounces back

An attendance increase at this year's US **Biotechnology Industry Organization** international convention could signal a stronger outlook for the industry, conference officials speculate. Turnout at the 3-6 May event in Chicago, Illinois, rose by nearly 7% to 15,322. Last year's meeting in Atlanta, Georgia, drew 14,352 attendees, compared with 20,108 in 2008 in San Diego, California. Geographic locales also affect numbers. "[Attendance at] the convention often reflects the environment of the overall biotech industry," says spokeswoman Erin Reese. "There are signs that the industry is rebounding." This year's convention also had 2,125 companies participating in business partnership meetings, up from 1,770 in 2009 and 1,476 in 2006.

513

highest standing possible in the practice of chemical science," she says.

Garnham is looking to the CSci designation to appease the naysayers. First introduced in 2003 but only recently gaining recognition, CSci differs from other schemes in that participants must renew annually by providing proof of continuing career development in the form of training, familiarity with the literature and attendance at scientific conferences. It would also apply to newer professions not yet covered by a royal charter such as biochemists or geneticists. As with other chartered-status programmes, the CSci status can be revoked in the event of unprofessional behaviour such as committing fraud, making false or misleading claims, bullying, stealing or breaching confidentiality. Garnham says that by introducing the CSci scheme, the Science Council wanted to distinguish between those who are clever and knowledgeable about a topic and call themselves scientists and those who not only consider themselves scientists, but also abide by a set of agreed-upon professional standards and competencies.

Even so, in December 2009, Britain's Institute of Physics pulled out of the CSci scheme owing to "a complete lack of interest" from its members, although it has kept the 'chartered physicist' (CPhys) designation, according to John Brindley, director of membership and business at the institute in London. "The introduction of annual revalidation was a factor," says Brindley. When the CSci scheme began in 2003, some 1,300 CPhys title holders took up the offer of becoming designated CSci. Today, only about 475 remain. The potential upside,

however, is that only those scientists dedicated to renewing their status will retain chartered status. So, almost paradoxically, a lack of interest from many could actually raise the credibility of the relative few who choose to work to keep the CSci title, Garnham argues.

Accreditation elsewhere

Although the rest of Europe does not generally subscribe to a chartered-status scheme, two pan-European titles are similar to Britain's chartered title: 'European professional biologist' (EurProBiol), awarded by the Londonbased European Countries Biologists Association; and

'European engineer' (EurIng), awarded by the Brussels-based European Federation of National Engineering Associations. They have the same typical minimum requirements as UK chartered status - a master's degree and three years' professional experience — and have been designed, in

part, to aid the mobility of biologists and engineers between European countries.

In British Columbia, Canada, the government created the College of Applied Biology in 2003 to administer a 'registered professional biologist' (RPBio) certification. Members must have a bachelor's degree in biological sciences, have at least three years' related work experience, and show authorship of one to three peer-reviewed articles.

Similar to chartered status in Britain, the college ensures the competence of members through compulsory rules for professional development, auditing members to ensure that they are regularly undertaking activities such as giving lectures and seminars, publishing papers, reviewing work of other professionals or obtaining other postgraduate qualifications. The college can invoke disciplinary procedures, as with UK chartered status, by removing a member's RPBio title or issuing fines for members who have broken professional conduct rules. "If you want to maintain credibility and stand up to public scrutiny, then you have to be prepared to sign up for the rules of an organization such as the College of Applied Biology," says Linda Michaluk, executive director of the college.

Members say that the designation is becoming increasingly important in most applied-biology professions. Jordan Beblow, a biologist with the environmental consulting company Cambria Gordon in Terrace, British Columbia, says that, without the title, he wouldn't have been able to apply for his position. "I have rarely, if ever, seen any positions advertised that don't state that you have to at least be in the process of getting it,"

says Beblow. The designation's established standards for professional skills and its code of ethics have helped it gain credibility, he says.

"Earning the designation provides an easy checklist that the scientist has met at least minimum standards for the profession," says Farida Bishay, an environmental scientist with Metro Vancouver, a regional water, sewer and park services utility headquartered in Burnaby, British Columbia. Although Bishay says that she didn't need the title to land her current position, she found it essential in her previous role as a consultant.

For now, the RPBio

accreditation is less crucial for academics, says Joyce Boon, chair of the college's credentials committee and a biologist at the University of British Columbia at Okanagan. But Boon deems it to be vital for conferring credibility and establishing benchmarked standards. "Biologists need to be regulated the same way



The RPBio title was a prerequisite for biologist Jordan Beblow's current job at Cambria Gordon.

as foresters, engineers, medical doctors and dentists," she says, adding that the college also routinely receives international applications for membership.

International attention could increase if a new agreement, now in preliminary discussions, is adopted. The college, says Michaluk, is considering creating a reciprocal agreement with the UK Society of Biology and the European Countries Biologists Association. Such an agreement would mean that RPBio-certified Canadian biologists would be recognized as chartered in Europe, and that CBiol-status biologists from Britain and EurProBiol biologists from Europe would be recognized in Canada as registered professionals.

Meanwhile, chartered status remains potentially useful for career advancement in some scientific communities. According to a recent survey of RSC members, says Beard, those with the CChem designation earn on average £4,000 more per year than those without it. In the case of CEng, some employers, including the UK armed forces, require the designation, says David Logan, operations manager for registration and standards at the IET. And the Society of Biology is seeing more members apply for CBiol status, says Jon Kudlick, director of membership, marketing and communications at the society. (The society is still considering endorsing the CSci status.) "It is something more employers want," says Kudlick of CBiol, "especially if it gives their staff recognition for the professional development that they undertake."

Nadya Anscombe is a freelance writer based in Bristol, UK.

Additional reporting from Karen Kaplan, assistant editor of Naturejobs.

Correction

The Q&A with Rafael Jaramillo (Nature 465, 513; 2010) wrongly stated that he earned his PhD in theoretical condensed-matter physics. He is an experimentalist not a theoretician.



"Biologists need

to be regulated

the same way as

dentists."

foresters, engineers,

medical doctors and

— Joyce Boon