

On the endosomal function and gene nomenclature of human SPE-39

To the Editor:

It was a great satisfaction to see our findings on the endosomal function of SPE-39, a Vps33b-interacting protein, recapitulated in a recent study by Cullinane *et al.*¹, as we previously identified a phylogenetically conserved function for SPE-39 in the endocytic route^{2,3}. However, we think that the journal missed an important opportunity to respect existing gene nomenclature and failed to record the availability of our published antibody resource.

In a 2003 study², we discovered *Caenorhabditis elegans spe-39*, described the phenotypic consequences of *spe-39* loss of function and showed that it had human and *Drosophila* orthologs, *C14ORF133* (*FLJ12707*) and *CG18112*. Further work in *Drosophila* suggested that there was an interaction between Vps33b and SPE-39 orthologs^{4,5}, but the functional importance of this interaction was not determined. Subsequently, we defined the function of the human *C14ORF133* gene product³. First, we showed that human and *C. elegans* SPE-39 interact with Vps33b and form a complex with the hexameric HOPS complex³. Second, we showed that human SPE-39 (*C14ORF133*) is required for trafficking from early stages of the endocytic pathway

(Rab11 and Rab5 compartments) toward lysosomes both in human and in several cell types in *C. elegans*³.

The paper you published¹ stated “As no anti-VIPAR antibody was available, we used transfections of epitope-tagged...”. This statement was incorrect as published because we had already produced a monoclonal antibody against residues 406–493 of human SPE-39 (the conceptual translation product of *C14ORF133*) and it was used for experiments described in our paper³. We have made this antibody available to the scientific community according to US National Institutes of Health and editorial guidelines. The use of this antibody enables experiments that detect endogenous proteins without the need for transgenic constructs that may not faithfully reproduce physiological levels of expression.

Finally, we believe that renaming *C14ORF133* as “VIPAR” makes it difficult to follow the relationships between orthologous genes as well as the chain of published evidence for the function of the human gene and its product. Studies in model organisms have contributed many names for new genes that have been kept when orthologs were later discovered in humans. For example, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutants that affect

vacuolar assembly were named vps mutants to indicate defective vacuolar protein sorting⁶. Later identified orthologs of yeast vps33 in *Drosophila*, mouse and human adopted the yeast name. We therefore favor the use of human SPE-39 as standard nomenclature. This name change would reestablish the historically and scientifically justified connection of SPE-39 (*C14ORF133*) to the research articles in which it was first described.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Steven W L'Hernault¹ & Victor Faundez²

¹Department of Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA. ²Department of Cell Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA. Correspondence should be addressed to S.W.L'H. (bioslh@emory.edu) or V.F. (faundez@cellbio.emory.edu).

1. Cullinane, A.R. *et al.* *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 303–312 (2010).
2. Zhu, G.D. & L'Hernault, S.W. *Genetics* **165**, 145–157 (2003).
3. Zhu, G.D. *et al.* *Mol. Biol. Cell* **20**, 1223–1240 (2009).
4. Pulipparacharuvil, S. *et al.* *J. Cell Sci.* **118**, 3663–3673 (2005).
5. Giot, L. *et al.* *Science* **302**, 1727–1736 (2003).
6. Rothman, J.H., Yamashiro, C.T., Kane, P.M. & Stevens, T.H. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **14**, 347–350 (1989).