ARTICLE

Received 15 Dec 2014 | Accepted 27 Apr 2015 | Published 19 Jun 2015

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms8312

OPEN

Pressure induced metallization with absence of structural transition in layered molybdenum diselenide

Zhao Zhao^{1,*}, Haijun Zhang^{1,2,*}, Hongtao Yuan^{3,4,*}, Shibing Wang^{4,5}, Yu Lin⁵, Qiaoshi Zeng^{6,7}, Gang Xu³, Zhenxian Liu⁸, G.K. Solanki⁹, K.D. Patel⁹, Yi Cui^{3,4}, Harold Y. Hwang^{3,4} & Wendy L. Mao^{4,5}

Layered transition-metal dichalcogenides have emerged as exciting material systems with atomically thin geometries and unique electronic properties. Pressure is a powerful tool for continuously tuning their crystal and electronic structures away from the pristine states. Here, we systematically investigated the pressurized behavior of MoSe₂ up to ~60 GPa using multiple experimental techniques and *ab-initio* calculations. MoSe₂ evolves from an anisotropic two-dimensional layered network to a three-dimensional structure without a structural transition, which is a complete contrast to MoS₂. The role of the chalcogenide anions in stabilizing different layered patterns is underscored by our layer sliding calculations. MoSe₂ possesses highly tunable transport properties under pressure, determined by the gradual narrowing of its band-gap followed by metallization. The continuous tuning of its electronic structure and band-gap in the range of visible light to infrared suggest possible energy-variable optoelectronics applications in pressurized transition-metal dichalcogenides.

¹Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. ²National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures and Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093 China. ³Geballe Laboratory for Advanced Materials, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. ⁴Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Sciences, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA. ⁵Department of Geological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. ⁶HPSynC, Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Argonne, IL 60439, USA. ⁷Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, Shanghai 201203, China. ⁸Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Washington, DC 20015, USA. ⁹Department of Physics, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar, Gujarat 388120, India. * These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.Z. (email: zhaozhao@stanford.edu).

Transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) 2H-MX_2 ($\text{M} = \text{Mo}, \text{W}$, and etc, $\text{X} = \text{S}, \text{Se}$, and Te) have recently attracted intense scientific and engineering interest because of their ease of fabrication and unique electronic structure^{1–16}. TMDs have strong chemical bonding within each X-M-X trilayer and weak van der Waals (vdW) interaction between neighbor trilayers in their crystal structures. This quasi two-dimensional (2D) nature grants TMDs facile three-dimensional (3D) to 2D crossover through methods like exfoliation^{1,2,4,5,8,9,12}. Band structure engineering on TMDs allows ones to explore exotic condensed matter phenomena and develop many potential applications. For example, the modification of their band structures from indirect-band-gap to direct-band-gap provides insights into opto-electronics and valley electronics^{11,14–18}. So far, the electronic structure engineering of TMDs has mainly been achieved through the following experimental methods: (i) applying electrical field to control the spin splitting and freedom of electrons^{14,16}, (ii) utilizing quantum confinement with samples thinning down into monolayers of MX_2 ^{11,15,17,18}, and (iii) employing stress or strain (by bending or stretching the thin films or employing substrates with different lattice constants)^{19,20}, as suggested by calculations^{18,21–26}.

Compared with the three methods mentioned above, high pressure is a powerful way to induce dramatic changes in their crystal structures and electronic structures^{27,28}. This qualifies high pressure as a desirable approach to explore the tunability of TMDs. In particular, the ability to continuously tune the crystal and electronic structures away from the pristine states is crucial to a wide array of applications, e.g., electromechanical devices, energy-variable opto-electronics, and energy-variable photovoltaics. Various pressure-induced electronic evolutions such as semiconductor to metal transitions have been observed in different materials^{29–31}. However, many of these electronic transitions were accompanied by first-order structural transitions. A pressure-induced first-order structural transition, by definition, involves a discontinuous change in the volume (of the unit cell). And the corresponding discontinuity in its electronic structure could limit the energy tunability for potential opto-electronic or photovoltaic applications. To overcome this challenge, we need to discover TMDs with continuous structural and electronic response.

Previous high pressure studies on MoS_2 clearly demonstrated that a first-order structural transition ($2\text{H}_c\text{-MoS}_2$ to $2\text{H}_a\text{-MoS}_2$) occurred close to metallization^{32–36}. This transition may relate to the vdW interactions in between neighbor S-Mo-S trilayers³⁶. To prevent the 2H_c to 2H_a transition, the substitution of chalcogenide anions in MoS_2 is a potential route. Because Se^{2-} has broader electron orbitals than S^{2-} 's that lead to stronger interlayer interactions, MoSe_2 may have totally different high pressure behavior. Experimentally, the structural and electronic behavior of compressed MoSe_2 remains to be fully explored^{37–39}. Interestingly, recent calculations have predicted that MoSe_2 metallizes between 28 to 40 GPa while preserving the 2H_c structure⁴⁰.

In our work, high pressure up to ~ 60 GPa was generated by a diamond anvil cell (DAC). X-ray diffraction (XRD) data and Raman spectra data indicate that MoSe_2 preserves the 2H_c structure without any structural transition. *Ab-initio* calculations for modeling the layer sliding process are presented to understand the contrasting behavior between MoSe_2 and MoS_2 , and further predict the structural stability of MoTe_2 . Infrared (IR) spectra data and temperature-dependent electrical resistivity data demonstrate the highly tunable transport properties of MoSe_2 . Electronically, both experiments and calculations show that pressure strongly modulates its band structure from semiconducting to metallic.

Results

XRD and Raman spectroscopy. The experimental set-up of the DAC is shown in Fig. 1a. Under compression, all XRD peaks for MoSe_2 continuously shift to larger 2θ (smaller d -spacing) and no new peak is observed (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Note 1). Decompression of the sample shows the shifts of all peaks are reversible. All patterns are consistent with the 2H_c structure and representative Rietveld refinements are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 1. The absence of a first-order structural transition is further supported by equation of state (EOS) data in Fig. 1b and normalized cell parameters versus pressure data in Fig. 1c, as neither of them exhibits any discontinuity. To fit the pressure-volume relation, a third-order Birch-Murnaghan (BM) EOS is employed. With unit-cell volume V_0 fixed at the experimental value of $120.8 \text{ \AA}^3$, the fitting yields a bulk modulus $B_0 = 62(1) \text{ GPa}$ and a derivative of bulk modulus $B' = 5.6(1)$. The relatively large value of B' suggests a strong change of volume compressibility under pressure.

At ambient conditions, the structure of $2\text{H}_c\text{-MoSe}_2$ features the X-M-X triple layers linked via vdW forces (Fig. 2a,b)^{41,42}. During the initial compression, cell parameter c is much more compressible than a due to the weak vdW interactions in between Se-Se layers along c (Fig. 1c), while higher pressure allows them to have nearly isotropic contractions. At the highest pressure ~ 60 GPa, a and c reduce by ~ 10 and $\sim 15\%$ respectively. The gradual closure of the vdW gap is suggested by tracking the ratio of Se-Mo layer distance to Se-Se layer distance, where it drops fast at low pressure but decreases much slower at high pressure (Supplementary Fig. 3). In addition, we measured the Raman spectra under pressure (Supplementary Fig. 4 and Supplementary Note 2). See Fig. 1d, the vibrational modes A_{1g} and E_{2g} , and the spacing between them shift successively under pressure. These observations indicate that the crystal structure of MoSe_2 continuously evolves from a quasi 2D structure to an isotropic 3D one without a structural transition.

Structural calculations. Our XRD and Raman results appear to be surprising—At ambient conditions, MoS_2 and MoSe_2 are iso-structural in crystal structures and possess highly similar electronic structures, and it is therefore natural to assume that the 2H_c to 2H_a transition^{32–35} would also occur in MoSe_2 . Nonetheless, 2H_a structure does not fit the XRD patterns of MoSe_2 in the entire pressure region studied in this work. Though bearing highly similar Mo-Se chemical environments and the same space group ($P6_3/\text{mmc}$), 2H_a structure and 2H_c structure have distinct structural topologies. In 2H_a structure Mo occupies a 2b Wyckoff position while in 2H_c structure it occupies a 2c Wyckoff position. Also, the two adjacent units of X-Mo-X triple layers have contrasting stacking patterns in 2H_c and 2H_a (shown in Fig. 2a–d). To seek theoretical support of the structural stability of $2\text{H}_c\text{-MoSe}_2$, we performed two sets of *ab-initio* calculations. We first confirmed that $2\text{H}_c\text{-MoSe}_2$ is more stable than $2\text{H}_a\text{-MoSe}_2$, based on the experimental unit cell at the highest pressure ~ 60 GPa. This is consistent with recent calculations showing the enthalpy difference between 2H_a and 2H_c of MoSe_2 keeps increasing from ambient pressure up to at least 130 GPa ⁴⁰. We then calculated the cell parameters at different volumes based on $2\text{H}_c\text{-MoSe}_2$ and the results agree well with our experimental data (Supplementary Fig. 5 and Supplementary Note 3). Note that the small discrepancy at low pressure comes from the limitations of *ab-initio* calculations in describing the vdW interaction.

In order to understand the contrasting structural behavior between MoS_2 ^{32–35} and MoSe_2 ⁴⁰, and see whether there is a predictable trend in TMDs, we further carried out layer sliding simulations for MoS_2 , MoSe_2 , and MoTe_2 at ~ 20 GPa. The side

Figure 1 | Experimental set up and structural and vibrational responses under pressure. (a) Schematic of the high pressure DAC set up. (b) Pressure-volume data from XRD measurement and the curve represents a third-order BM-EOS fitting. (c) Normalized cell parameters a/a_0 and c/c_0 versus pressure. The error bars given by EXPGUI-GSAS are smaller than the size of the markers. (d) Evolution of vibrational modes A_{1g} and E_{2g} and their difference ($E_{2g} - A_{1g}$) under pressure, measured by Raman spectroscopy.

Figure 2 | Structural details of $2H_c$ - and $2H_a$ - MoX_2 and layer sliding calculations. (a) The side view (projected on ac plane) of $2H_c$ structure in MoS_2 , $MoSe_2$ and $MoTe_2$. X represents S, Se and Te. The red arrows represent one sliding path for the $2H_c$ to $2H_a$ transition, where one unit of X-Mo-X triple layers (marked by a blue box) shifts systematically in ab plane. (b) The top view (projected on ab plane) of $2H_c$ structure. (c) The top view of $2H_a$ structure. (d) The side view of $2H_a$ structure. (e) The total energy of MoS_2 , $MoSe_2$ and $MoTe_2$ as a function of relative sliding from $2H_c$ to $2H_a$. The total energies of $2H_c$ structures are set to be zero as references, marked by a broken line. For MoS_2 , the unit cell volume was fixed at experimental data under 20 GPa³⁵. After electronic relaxation of the atomic positions, the S-Mo-S layer distance of structure was set to be a constant during the layer sliding. The same procedures were performed on $MoSe_2$ under 23 GPa (our experimental data) and $MoTe_2$ under 20 GPa (theoretical data⁴⁰).

and top views of $2H_c$ -type and $2H_a$ -type structures are shown in Fig. 2a–d. The transition from $2H_c$ to $2H_a$ can be realized by systematically shifting half of the atoms (one unit of X-Mo-X triple layers) in a unit cell. As illustrated in Fig. 2a, we defined one sliding path by the red arrows for this transition. For MoS_2 , the unit-cell volume is fixed at the experimental value at 20 GPa³⁵. After initial relaxation of the atomic positions within the $2H_c$ -

type structure, the S-Mo-S layer distances are fixed during the layer sliding. The same procedures are performed for $MoSe_2$ at 23 GPa (using our experimental data) and $MoTe_2$ at 20 GPa (based on previous theoretical data⁴⁰). The total energies of $2H_c$ are set to be zero as the references. Fig. 2e shows the relative energies as a function of the relative layer sliding, i.e., 0 represents $2H_c$ and 1 represents $2H_a$. MoX_2 needs to overcome an energy

barrier in order to undergo the $2H_c$ to $2H_a$ transition. The maximum energy barrier is ~ 0.3 eV for MoSe_2 and ~ 0.5 eV for MoTe_2 , which are significantly higher than ~ 0.15 eV for MoS_2 . More importantly, $2H_a$ - MoSe_2 and $2H_a$ - MoTe_2 bear higher energies than the initial $2H_c$ structures, which would make this transition unfavorable. However, in the case of MoS_2 , the $2H_a$ structure becomes energetically favorable. Note that the X-Mo-X layer distance is fixed in this set of calculations. Realistically in compressed MoS_2 , the S-Mo-S distance and unit cell volume drop during the $2H_c$ to $2H_a$ transition^{32–35}, which allows the total change in enthalpy to be continuous at zero temperature.

IR spectroscopy. The lattice response of MoSe_2 at high pressure will inevitably change its electronic structure, and thus its optical properties which strongly depend on the electronic structure. Our data shows that MoSe_2 undergoes a large electronic evolution where band-gap narrowing is followed by metallization of MoSe_2 . Fig. 3 shows the measured synchrotron IR spectra and its analysis (details are shown in Supplementary Note 4). Below 16.3 GPa, the transmittance spectra (Fig. 3a) look similar, where a transmission window extends from 0.06 to 1.0 eV. At pressure above 20.2 GPa, the 0.3–1.0 eV region develops into a tilted curve and keeps collapsing into lower energy region, indicating the band-gap's narrowing. At above 40.7 GPa to the highest pressure, nearly zero transmission is observed in between 0.15 to 1.0 eV, suggesting the metallization of MoSe_2 . Another way to interpret the IR data is from the viewpoint of the optical density (OD) A_λ (see Supplementary Fig. 6 for the plot of OD versus energy). OD or A_λ is defined as $-\log T$ (T as the transmittance). It can be easily seen from the energy-pressure-OD map in Fig. 3b that a clear change-over of low OD (in semiconducting state) to high OD (in metallic state) occurs between 20 to 35 GPa.

For an indirect-band-gap semiconductor, the absorption coefficient is proportional to the square of the energy difference of the photon energy and band-gap⁴³. Using this empirical model

for semiconductors, we obtained the indirect-band-gap E_g via linear extrapolations of $(h\nu A_\lambda)^{1/2}$ where $h\nu$ is the photon energy. A representative extrapolation is shown in Supplementary Fig. 7. The fitted E_g value at 20.2 GPa is 0.4 eV. From 20.2 to 35.1 GPa, E_g keeps decreasing (see Fig. 3c). From 40.7 GPa to the highest pressure, E_g is nominally zero. We notice that the trend of band-gap decrease at below 41 GPa could not be well described by a linear fitting. The non-linearity is also shown in previous calculations on band-gap's dependence on applied strain²³. The lack of data points and inaccuracies in optical measurement prevent us from determining the best function for the band-gap – pressure relation. However, as a simple approach to guide eyes, we fit the data with a parabolic curve, which yields $E_g = -0.08(2)P + 0.0010(3)P^2$, indirect-band-gap (E_g) in unit of eV and pressure (P) in unit of GPa. The extrapolated band-gap at ambient pressure is 1.6(3) eV, which is in good agreement with previous reports^{18,44,45}.

Electrical resistivity. We also measured the temperature-dependent resistivity up to ~ 42 GPa (Supplementary Note 5 and Supplementary Fig. 8). At low pressures (Fig. 4a), the temperature (T) – resistivity (ρ) curves at below 23.4 GPa exhibit negative $d\rho/dT$ throughout all temperatures, indicating the presence of a semiconducting state. From 27.0 to 37.0 GPa, the high-temperature region shows positive $d\rho/dT$ whereas the low-temperature region has negative $d\rho/dT$ (see Fig. 4b). At above 41.0 GPa, positive $d\rho/dT$ is observed in all temperatures, implying the metallization of MoSe_2 . A comparison of our room temperature resistivity data on MoSe_2 (Fig. 4c) with previous data on MoS_2 shows that there are dissimilar trends in between them^{34,35}. For MoS_2 , the room temperature resistivity dropped strongly at below ~ 15 GPa and then reached a plateau at higher pressure^{34,35}, which was related to a first-order structural transition. In sharp contrast, for MoSe_2 , the decrease of its room temperature resistivity is nearly exponential, fit by $\log(\rho) = 1.9(1) - 0.134(5)P$, resistivity (ρ) in unit of $\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$

Figure 3 | IR transmittance spectra and analysis. (a) Representative IR transmittance spectra at high pressures, numbers show pressures in unit of GPa. The 0.23–0.28 eV region is obscured by diamond phonon absorptions from the DAC. (b) Pressure – energy – optical density (OD) contour, OD is defined as $-\log(T)$ while T is the transmittance. (c) Evolution of band gap under pressure. Circles are extrapolated indirect band gaps and the curve shows a parabolic fit of the band gap versus pressure. The band gap closure is observed at ~ 40 GPa.

Figure 4 | Temperature-dependent resistivity data and analysis. (a) Temperature-resistivity curves at different pressure, numbers show pressures in GPa. (b) Temperature-pressure-resistivity contour map. (c) Room temperature resistivity versus pressure, the line shows a linear fitting of $\log \rho$ versus pressure (equivalent for an exponential fitting of ρ versus pressure).

and pressure (P) in unit of GPa. Pressure allows the room temperature electrical resistivity of MoSe₂ to decrease more than six orders of magnitude from ambient to 41.6 GPa.

Electronic structure. To better understand the electronic evolution of MoSe₂ that determines its highly tunable optical and electrical transport properties, we performed *ab-initio* calculations on the electronic structure of MoSe₂ at four representative pressures. The results undoubtedly demonstrate the pressure-induced band-gap narrowing and metallization. At ambient pressure, seen from Fig. 5a, the band structure is consistent with previous results^{18,44,45}. It has a direct-band-gap E_{K-K} (~ 1.8 eV) at K and an indirect-band-gap (~ 1.3 eV) that locates in between Γ and Γ -K conduction band (CB) valley. The bottom of CB between Γ and K mainly origins from the Mo dx_y and $dx^2 - y^2$ orbitals, and the top of valence bands (VBs) at Γ comes from the Mo dz^2 orbital. Meanwhile, the dx_z and dy_z dominated CBs are further above from the Fermi-level (E_F). Higher pressure results in a strong decrease of its indirect-band-gap and induces large movements of the orbitals towards the E_F . At 23 GPa, shown in Fig. 5b, the indirect-band-gap becomes as small as 0.5 eV. Albert decreasing, the direct-band-gaps remain at large values, e.g., E_{K-K} is ~ 1.4 eV. Remarkably, pressure allows the dx_z and dy_z orbitals to gain more overlap with Se p orbitals and thus largely widen their band dispersions. In comparison, the dx_y and $dx^2 - y^2$ orbitals are less impacted due to smaller overlap with Se p orbitals. As a consequence (see Supplementary Note 6), one dx_z and dy_z dominated CB quickly goes down at K point and forms two CB valley minimum together with the previous dx_y and $dx^2 - y^2$ dominated CB.

Metallic band structures are obtained by further increasing the pressure. For example, seen from Fig. 5c at 41 GPa, there lies density of states across the E_F . The dx_y and $dx^2 - y^2$ dominated CB valley minimum crosses below the E_F , while the other CB

valley minimum is still slightly above the E_F (see Supplementary Fig. 9). At 58 GPa, shown by Fig. 5d, both CB valley minima cross below the E_F . It is worth mentioning that even at as high as 58 GPa, the ‘indirect’ feature of the band structure is still well maintained: although the CBs and VBs overlap in energy range, no direct cross is seen. To be specific, the energy separation at K is as large as ~ 0.6 eV. Meanwhile, the relative shifts of CBs and VBs generate a number of hole pockets (e.g., at Γ and A) and electron pockets (e.g., at K). These pockets may largely affect the low-temperature electrical and optical transport properties of MoSe₂.

Discussions

Our experiments and calculations clearly demonstrate the absence of structural transition in MoSe₂. One empirical understanding of the contrasting behavior in MoS₂^{32–36} and MoSe₂ involves the different localizations of p orbitals among chalcogenide anions S^{2–}, Se^{2–}, and Te^{2–}. The $3p$ orbitals of S^{2–} dominate the electronic structure in MoS₂ while the $4p$ and $5p$ orbitals are primary for MoSe₂ and MoTe₂ correspondingly. $4p$ and $5p$ orbitals are much more delocalized than $3p$ orbitals, which would give rise to strong interaction within the vdW gaps of MoSe₂ and MoTe₂ to prevent this sliding process, vice versa for MoS₂. We can safely conclude that it is easier for 2H_c-MoS₂ to experience a structural transition through sliding in between neighbor S-Mo-S layers, but this does not apply to MoSe₂ or MoTe₂. Beside from the effects of chalcogenides anions, the effects of transition metal cation should also be considered in determining the stabilities of layered structures. For example, recent calculations proposed that the interlayer Mo-Mo d -electron propagation should be considered in determining the stability of layered structures⁴⁰. More importantly, size effect of different transition metal cations is also expected to change the interlayer interactions. Previous studies on WS₂ and WSe₂^{46–48} showed that they experience continuous lattice contractions

Figure 5 | Calculated band structures of $2H_c$ -MoSe₂. (a) Ambient pressure, (b) 23 GPa, (c) 41 GPa, and (d) 58 GPa. Blue dotted line shows the Fermi level (E_F).

under pressure. W^{2+} has broader electron orbitals and may introduce stronger interlayer interactions than Mo^{2+} , which results in the absence of layer sliding in WS_2 . In a more general perspective, stronger interlayer interactions help stabilize the structures of TMDs and are more likely to yield continuous lattice response under extreme environments such as pressure.

Previous studies have reported many electronic transitions such as insulator to metal or semiconductor to metal transitions in the group of binary chalcogenides, see for example, Bi_2X_3 ^{31,49,50}, Sb_2X_3 ^{51–53}, and Ag_2X ^{54–56}. For structures starting with vdW gaps at ambient conditions, the closure of their vdW gaps is generally accompanied or followed by first-order structural transitions where large structural re-constructions or atomic movements take place^{43–48}. However, in the case of MoSe₂, the metallization process does not involve any sudden change in the crystal structure, which allows its electronic structure to be continuously tuned. Through multiple experimental techniques combined with *ab-initio* calculations, we demonstrate that the band-gap of MoSe₂ (in the range of visible to IR region) exhibits a strong dependence on pressure. This may allow MoSe₂, one representative TMD, to be applied in energy-variable opto-electronics and photovoltaics, although the limitation of sample size (0.05 to 0.1 mm) must be taken into account in future investigation.

Compared with others methods of band structure engineering approachable by experiments^{11–18}, pressure is the only way to metalize MoSe₂ and MoS₂^{34,35}. This highlights pressure's dramatic role in tuning the electronic properties of TMDs. Our different aspects of the experimental data show good agreement with the recent calculations on MoSe₂⁴⁰. This further suggests $2H_c$ -MoSe₂ a suitable material with a concise unit cell for testing and improving first-principles calculations that can be probed by experiments. If future experiments, i.e., by applying non-uniaxial compression, are able to break the inversion symmetry of the crystal structure of pressurized MoSe₂, the spin and valley electronics of MoSe₂ would be largely different when we consider the large shifts of the CBs and VBs^{5,12,14,16}. More importantly, the novel scenario of excitonic insulator may be experimentally realizable in MoSe₂⁴⁰, while in MoS₂ the complexity of the layer sliding

structural transition may prevent the formation of this electronic state^{32–36}. For the pressurized metallic MoSe₂, its distinguished 'indirect' band structures and electronics state filled with electron holes and pockets await further exploitation in condensed matters physics, i.e., charge density wave or superconductivity may be found in TMDs at higher pressure^{35,36,40}.

In conclusion, we comprehensively studied the high pressure behavior of MoSe₂ up to ~60 GPa through a series of structural, vibrational, optical, and electrical measurements combined with *ab-initio* calculations. $2H_c$ -MoSe₂ evolves from an anisotropic 2D layered structure to an isotropic 3D one without any sudden structural change under pressure. Our layer sliding calculations highlight the role of the chalcogenide anions in stabilizing either $2H_a$ or $2H_c$ layered patterns. Electronically, MoSe₂ undergoes a semiconductor to metal transition, and correspondingly exhibits highly tunable optical and electrical properties. Upon compression, the 'indirect' feature of its electronic structure is robustly conserved with the appearance of two conduction band minima. The large and continuous tuning of its electronic structure may have potential applications in energy-variable (visible to IR) opto-electronics and photovoltaics.

Methods

Sample growth. High quality stoichiometric MoSe₂ single crystals were grown by direct vapor transport technique^{39,44,57}. Elemental Mo and Se (99.9% purity, purchased from Koch Light Ltd.) of the stoichiometric ratio were sealed in a quartz ampoule at pressure better than 10^{-5} Torr. The ampoule was placed in a two-zone horizontal furnace where the temperatures were slowly raised from room temperature to 1,060 and 1,080 °C for growth zone and source zone respectively. This temperature gradient was then maintained for ~188 h to produce to single crystal platelets of MoSe₂. The shiny and gray crystals have a typical thickness of ~4 μm and area of ~3 mm × 3 mm. The purity and homogeneity are checked by electron microprobe analysis.

High pressure experiments. Single crystals of MoSe₂ were used for the high pressure IR, Raman, and resistivity measurements. Powders of MoSe₂ were grounded from single crystals for the high pressure XRD measurements. Ruby spheres were used for determining pressure for all experiments. Neon was used as the pressure transmitting medium for the XRD and Raman experiments. Angle dispersive XRD experiments were performed at beamline 16-BMD of the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory (ANL). The Rietveld fitting was performed using GSAS-EXPGUI package⁵⁸. The Raman spectra were collected

using a Renishaw inVia micro Raman system with a 514 nm laser excitation line. High-pressure IR measurements were conducted at beamline U2A of the National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS), Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL). A MoSe₂ single crystal was sandwiched between the pressure transmitting medium (KBr) and one side of the culet. Infrared measurements were performed on a Bruker Vertex 80v FT-IR spectrometer coupled to a Hyperion-2000 microscope with a MCT mid-band detector. Fringes in raw IR data were removed by filtering high frequency terms after Fourier transformation. For temperature-dependent four-probe resistivity measurement, cubic BN was used as the insulating layer, and four electrodes were cut from Pt foils. The temperature-dependent sheet resistance of the sample was measured with Van der Pauw geometry by cooling down to 10 K in a liquid helium cryostat. Pressures were measured at room temperature. More details are described in the supplementary information.

Ab-initio calculations. The Vienna *ab-initio* Simulation Package^{59,60} was employed to optimize crystal structures and calculate electronic structures with the framework of local density approximation density functional theory⁶¹. The projector augmented wave⁶² pseudo-potential was used and the kinetic energy cutoff was fixed to 450 eV for all the calculations. For structural calculations in comparison with experiments, the unit cell volume is fixed while the cell parameters and atomic positions are fully relaxed. For band structure calculations, the lattice constants were fixed to be experimental values, and then the atomic positions are fully relaxed. HSE06 hybrid function⁶³ was employed to improve the band structure calculations.

References

- Novoselov, K. S. *et al.* Two-dimensional atomic crystals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **102**, 10451–10453 (2005).
- Mak, K. F., Lee, C., Hone, J., Shan, J. & Heinz, T. F. Atomically Thin MoS₂: A New Direct-Gap Semiconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 136805 (2010).
- Komsa, H.-P. *et al.* Two-Dimensional Transition Metal Dichalcogenides under Electron Irradiation: Defect Production and Doping. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 035503 (2012).
- Tongay, S. *et al.* Thermally driven crossover from indirect toward direct bandgap in 2D semiconductors: MoSe₂ versus MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 5576–5578 (2012).
- Wang, Q. H., Kalantar-Zadeh, K., Kis, A., Coleman, J. N. & Strano, M. S. Electronics and optoelectronics of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **7**, 699–712 (2012).
- Xiao, D., Liu, G.-B., Feng, W., Xu, X. & Yao, W. Coupled Spin and Valley Physics in Monolayers of MoS₂ and Other Group-VI Dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 196802 (2012).
- Han, S. W. *et al.* Controlling Ferromagnetic Easy Axis in a Layered MoS₂ Single Crystal. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 247201 (2013).
- Jin, W. *et al.* Direct Measurement of the Thickness-Dependent Electronic Band Structure of MoS₂ Using Angle-Resolved Photoemission Spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 106801 (2013).
- Li, X., Zhang, F. & Niu, Q. Unconventional Quantum Hall Effect and Tunable Spin Hall Effect in Dirac Materials: Application to an Isolated MoS₂ Trilayer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 066803 (2013).
- Mkhonta, S. K., Elder, K. R. & Huang, Z.-F. Exploring the Complex World of Two-Dimensional Ordering with Three Modes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 035501 (2013).
- Qiu, D. Y., da Jornada, F. H. & Louie, S. G. Optical Spectrum of MoS₂: Many-Body Effects and Diversity of Exciton States. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 216805 (2013).
- Sun, L. *et al.* Spin-Orbit Splitting in Single-Layer MoS₂ Revealed by Triply Resonant Raman Scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 126801 (2013).
- Wang, H., Lu, Z., Xu, S. & Kong, D. Electrochemical tuning of vertically aligned MoS₂ nanofilms and its application in improving hydrogen evolution reaction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 19701–19706 (2013).
- Yuan, H. *et al.* Zeeman-type spin splitting controlled by an electric field. *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 563–569 (2013).
- Zhang, Y., Chang, T., Zhou, B. & Cui, Y. Direct observation of the transition from indirect to direct bandgap in atomically thin epitaxial MoSe₂. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 111–115 (2014).
- Yuan, H. *et al.* Generation and electric control of spin-valley-coupled circular photogalvanic current in WSe₂. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 851–857 (2014).
- Ramasubramanian, A., Naveh, D. & Towe, E. Tunable band gaps in bilayer transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 205325 (2011).
- Yun, W. S., Han, S. W., Hong, S. C., Kim, I. G. & Lee, J. D. Thickness and strain effects on electronic structures of transition metal dichalcogenides: 2H-MX₂ semiconductors (M = Mo, W; X = S, Se, Te). *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 033305 (2012).
- Zhu, C. R. *et al.* Strain tuning of optical emission energy and polarization in monolayer and bilayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 121301(R) (2013).
- Hui, Y. Y. *et al.* Exceptional tunability of band energy in a compressively strained trilayer MoS₂ sheet. *ACS Nano* **7**, 7126–7131 (2013).
- Kaplan-Ashiri, I. *et al.* On the mechanical behavior of WS₂ nanotubes under axial tension and compression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**, 523–528 (2006).
- Johari, P. & Shenoy, V. B. Tuning the Electronic Properties of Semiconducting Transition Metal Dichalcogenides by Applying Mechanical Strains. *ACS Nano* **6**, 5449–5456 (2012).
- Bhattacharyya, S. & Singh, A. K. Semiconductor-metal transition in semiconducting bilayer sheets of transition-metal dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 075454 (2012).
- Peelaers, H. & Van de Walle, C. G. Effects of strain on band structure and effective masses in MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 241401(R) (2012).
- Cheiwchanchamnangij, T., Lambrecht, W. R. L., Song, Y. & Dery, H. Strain effects on the spin-orbit-induced band structure splittings in monolayer MoS₂ and graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 155404 (2013).
- Chang, C.-H., Fan, X., Lin, S.-H. & Kuo, J.-L. Orbital analysis of electronic structure and phonon dispersion in MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂, and WSe₂ monolayers under strain. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 195420 (2013).
- Jayaraman, A. Diamond anvil cell and high-pressure physical investigations. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **55**, 65–108 (1983).
- Mujica, A., Rubio, A., Muñoz, A. & Needs, R. J. High-pressure phases of group-IV, III–V, and II–VI compounds. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 863–912 (2003).
- Arcangeletti, E. *et al.* Evidence of a Pressure-Induced Metallization Process in Monoclinic VO₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 196406 (2007).
- Vilaplana, R. *et al.* High-pressure vibrational and optical study of Bi₂Te₃. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 104112 (2011).
- Segura, A. *et al.* Trapping of three-dimensional electrons and transition to two-dimensional transport in the three-dimensional topological insulator Bi₂Se₃ under high pressure. *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 195139 (2012).
- Aksoy, R. *et al.* X-ray diffraction study of molybdenum disulfide to 38.8 GPa. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **67**, 1914–1917 (2006).
- Livneh, T. & Sterer, E. Resonant Raman scattering at exciton states tuned by pressure and temperature in 2H-MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 195209 (2010).
- Nayak, A. P. *et al.* Pressure-induced semiconducting to metallic transition in multilayered molybdenum disulfide. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3731 (2014).
- Chi, Z.-H. *et al.* Pressure-Induced Metallization of Molybdenum Disulfide. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 036802 (2014).
- Hromádová, L., Martoňák, R. & Tosatti, E. Structure change, layer sliding, and metallization in high-pressure MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 144105 (2013).
- Aksoy, R., Selvi, E. & Ma, Y. X-ray diffraction study of molybdenum diselenide to 35.9 GPa. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **69**, 2138–2140 (2008).
- Sugai, S. & Ueda, T. High-pressure Raman spectroscopy in the layered materials 2H-MoS₂, 2H-MoSe₂, and 2H-MoTe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **26**, 6554–6558 (1982).
- Dave, M., Vaidya, R., Patel, S. G. & Jani, A. R. High pressure effect on MoS₂ and MoSe₂ single crystals grown by CVT method. *Bull. Mater. Sci.* **27**, 213–216 (2004).
- Rifliková, M., Martoňák, R. & Tosatti, E. Pressure-induced gap closing and metallization of MoSe₂ and MoTe₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 035108 (2014).
- James, P. B. & Lavik, M. T. The crystal structure of MoSe₂. *Acta Crystallogr.* **16**, 1183–1183 (1963).
- Wilson, J. A. & Yoffe, A. D. The transition metal dichalcogenides discussion and interpretation of the observed optical, electrical and structural properties. *Adv. Phys.* **18**, 193–335 (1969).
- Fox, M. in *Opt. Prop. Solids* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2010).
- Mahatha, S. K., Patel, K. D. & Menon, K. S. R. Electronic structure investigation of MoS₂ and MoSe₂ using angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy and ab initio band structure studies. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **24**, 475504 (2012).
- Coehoorn, R. *et al.* Electronic structure of MoSe₂, MoS₂, and WSe₂. I. Band-structure calculations and photoelectron spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. B* **35**, 6195 (1987).
- Selvi, E., Aksoy, R., Knudson, R. & Ma, Y. High-pressure X-ray diffraction study of tungsten diselenide. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **69**, 2311–2314 (2008).
- Liu, B. *et al.* Pressure Induced Semiconductor-Semimetal Transition in WSe₂. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **114**, 14251–14254 (2010).
- Bandaru, N. *et al.* Structural stability of WS₂ under high pressure. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **28**, 1450168–1–10 (2014).
- Polian, A. *et al.* Two-dimensional pressure-induced electronic topological transition in Bi₂Te₃. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 113106 (2011).
- Zhang, J. *et al.* Electronic topological transition and semiconductor-to-metal conversion of Bi₂Te₃ under high pressure. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 052102 (2013).
- Gomis, O. *et al.* Lattice dynamics of Sb₂Te₃ at high pressures. *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 174305 (2011).
- Zhao, J. *et al.* Pressure-induced disordered substitution alloy in Sb₂Te₃. *Inorg. Chem.* **50**, 11291–11293 (2011).
- Bera, A. *et al.* Sharp Raman Anomalies and Broken Adiabaticity at a Pressure Induced Transition from Band to Topological Insulator in Sb₂Se₃. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 107401 (2013).

54. Zhang, J. *et al.* Impurity level evolution and majority carrier-type inversion of Ag₂S under extreme compression: Experimental and theoretical approaches. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 082116 (2013).
55. Zhao, Z., Wang, S., Zhang, H. & Mao, W. L. Pressure induced structural transitions and metallization in Ag₂Te. *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 024120 (2013).
56. Zhao, Z. *et al.* Tuning the crystal structure and electronic states of Ag₂Se: Structural transitions and metallization under pressure. *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 180102(R) (2014).
57. Agarwal, M. K., Patel, P. D. & Gupta, S. K. Effect of doping MoSe₂ single crystals with rhenium. *J. Cryst. Growth* **129**, 559–562 (1993).
58. Toby, B. H. XPGUI, a graphical user interface for GSAS. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **34**, 210–213 (2001).
59. Kresse, G. & Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 1758–1775 (1999).
60. Kresse, G. & Hafner, J. Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals. *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 558–561 (1993).
61. Hohenberg, P. & Kohn, W. Inhomogeneous electron gas. *Phys. Rev.* **155**, 864–871 (1964).
62. Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953–17979 (1994).
63. Heyd, J., Scuseria, G. E. & Ernzerhof, M. Hybrid functionals based on a screened Coulomb potential. *J. Chem. Phys.* **124**, 8207–8215 (2006).

Acknowledgements

We thank Y. Xu at Stanford University for useful discussions. We thank S. Tkachev, C. Park, S. Sinogeikin, H. Yan, and Y. Meng at APS for their technical assistance. Z.Z., H.T.Y., Y.L., H.Y.H., Y.C., and W.L.M. are supported by the Department of Energy (DOE), Basic Energy Sciences (BES), Materials Sciences and Engineering Division, under Contract DE-AC02-76SF00515. H.Z. is supported by ARO W911NF-09-1-0508. K.D.P. and G.K.S. are funded by a major research project from University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India. HPCAT operations are supported by DOE-NNSA, DE-NA0001974

and DOE-BES, DE-FG02-99ER45775, with partial instrumentation funding by NSF MRI-1126249. APS is supported by DOE-BES, DE-AC02-06CH11357. U2A is supported by COMPRES under NSF Cooperative Agreement EAR 11-57758 and DOE-NNSA, DE-FC03-03N00144, CDAC. NSLS is supported by DOE-BES, DE-AC02-98CH10886.

Author contributions

Z.Z., H.Z., H.T.Y., and W.L.M. designed the project. G.K.S. and K.D.P. synthesized the samples. Z.Z., H.T.Y., S.W., Y.L., Q.Z., and Z. L. conducted the experiments. H.Z. performed the calculations. Y.C., H.Y.H. and W.L.M. supervised the project and all authors contributed to data discussions. Z.Z., H.Z., and H.T.Y., wrote the paper with inputs from all others.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Zhao, Z. *et al.* Pressure induced metallization with absence of structural transition in layered molybdenum diselenide. *Nat. Commun.* 6:7312 doi: 10.1038/ncomms8312 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>