ARTICLE

Received 23 Sep 2014 | Accepted 27 Oct 2014 | Published 6 Jan 2015

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms6682

A highly efficient polysulfide mediator for lithium–sulfur batteries

Xiao Liang¹, Connor Hart¹, Quan Pang¹, Arnd Garsuch², Thomas Weiss² & Linda F. Nazar¹

The lithium–sulfur battery is receiving intense interest because its theoretical energy density exceeds that of lithium-ion batteries at much lower cost, but practical applications are still hindered by capacity decay caused by the polysulfide shuttle. Here we report a strategy to entrap polysulfides in the cathode that relies on a chemical process, whereby a host–manganese dioxide nanosheets serve as the prototype—reacts with initially formed lithium polysulfides to form surface-bound intermediates. These function as a redox shuttle to catenate and bind ‘higher’ polysulfides, and convert them on reduction to insoluble lithium sulfide via disproportionation. The sulfur/manganese dioxide nanosheet composite with 75 wt% sulfur exhibits a reversible capacity of 1,300 mA h g^{−1} at moderate rates and a fade rate over 2,000 cycles of 0.036%/cycle, among the best reported to date. We furthermore show that this mechanism extends to graphene oxide and suggest it can be employed more widely.

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1. ²BASF SE, 67056 Ludwigshafen, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.F.N. (email: lfnazar@uwaterloo.ca).

As the development of portable electronics devices, electric vehicles and large-scale energy storage increases, so do the demands for energy storage batteries with high energy density and long service life. At the same time, it is recognized that traditional lithium-ion batteries are approaching their theoretical energy density limits^{1–4}. Lithium–sulfur batteries are one of the most promising candidates to satisfy emerging market demands^{5–7}, as they possess a theoretical capacity and energy density of 1,675 mA h g^{−1} and 2,500 kW kg^{−1}, respectively, superior to current lithium-ion batteries^{8,9}. In addition, they present an inherently low competitive cost due to the high natural abundance of sulfur. These advantages suggest that the lithium–sulfur battery should be capable of energy storage several times greater than conventional lithium-ion batteries, with reduced cost. However, practical applications are currently hindered by several obstacles. These predominantly relate to the insulating nature of sulfur and lithium sulfides, which require addition of conductive additives (hence lowering the active sulfur mass fraction), pronounced capacity fading on cycling and an internal redox shuttle that lowers Coulombic efficiency¹⁰. Over decades, much effort has been expended to try to solve these problems by trapping the polysulfides within the cathode structure. The most popular approaches are to encapsulate sulfur in the pores of carbon materials or a conductive polymer matrix. Meso/microporous carbons^{11–14}, carbon spheres^{15–17}, carbon nanotubes/fibres^{18–20}, polyaniline²¹, polypyrrole^{22,23} and poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)²⁴ sulfur-host cathodes have all had a positive effect on increasing the cycle life of lithium–sulfur cells. However, such architectures and polymer coatings can only partially retain polysulfides and are limited in their function over time, owing to structural changes that arise from the 80% volume change of the sulfur cathode on discharge. Two-dimensional materials such as graphene oxides (GOs) have also been very successfully employed as cathode hosts to increase the performance of the lithium–sulfur battery, where interaction between the oxygen/nitrogen functional groups and sulfur/polysulfides has been suggested^{25–28}. Similarly, binding polysulfides onto hydrophilic metal oxide hosts was shown to significantly aid in maintaining high-capacity retention^{29–31}. More recently, high-surface-area polar metallic oxides have been used as a two-in-one approach to provide both a ‘sulfiphilic’

surface and supply electron transport to effect surface-enhanced redox chemistry³²; or to spatially locate Li₂S deposition and enhance redox³³. Organometallic redox mediators are shown to provide better utilization of Li₂S (ref. 34). Additives such as P₂S₅ also effectively control Li₂S deposition and aid in elimination of the polysulfide shuttle³⁵.

Herein, we present a quite different chemical approach to polysulfide retention in the sulfur cathode, which relies on mediating polysulfide redox through insoluble thiosulfate species in a two-step process. The thiosulfate groups are first created *in situ* by oxidation of initially formed soluble lithium polysulfide (LiPS) species on the surface of ultra-thin MnO₂ nanosheets. As reduction proceeds, the surface thiosulfate groups are proposed to anchor newly formed soluble ‘higher’ polysulfides by catenating them to form polythionates and converting them to insoluble ‘lower’ polysulfides. The polythionate complex formed on the surface is thus best described as a transfer mediator. This process curtails active mass loss during the discharge/charge process and suppresses the polysulfide shuttle to result in high-performance cathodes with high sulfur loading, capable of high-capacity retention of 92% after 200 cycles at a C/5 rate and cycling over 2,000 cycles at 2C with a capacity decay of 0.036% per cycle.

Results

Synthesis and characterization. The MnO₂ nanosheets were prepared by reducing GO with KMnO₄ (ref. 36). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images (Fig. 1a,b) reveal their two-dimensional lamellar structure. The selected area electron diffraction pattern of the MnO₂ nanosheets shows weak diffraction rings characteristic of δ-MnO₂, and the high-resolution TEM image in Fig. 1c exhibits a 0.25-nm lattice spacing corresponding to the (100) planes. X-ray diffraction (Fig. 1d) analysis confirms the MnO₂ nanosheets are monoclinic birnessite, δ-MnO₂ (JCPDS-01-080-1098). Birnessite is a phyllosilicate, a manganese oxide containing predominantly Mn⁴⁺ cations assembled in layers of edge-sharing octahedra. A layer charge deficit arises from the presence of Mn³⁺ cations and/or vacant-layer octahedra and is compensated by the presence of interlayer cations, which are hydrolysable K⁺ in this case. Water molecules are also present between the

Figure 1 | Morphology and characteristics of the MnO₂ nanosheets and S/MnO₂ composite. (a) TEM image of MnO₂ nanosheets and its corresponding selected area electron diffraction pattern, (b) high-resolution TEM image of MnO₂ nanosheets, (c) SEM image of the MnO₂ nanosheets, (d) X-ray diffraction pattern of MnO₂ nanosheets, (e) TEM and (f) SEM images of the S/MnO₂ nanosheets composite. Scale bars, 50 nm (a); 100 nm (b,c,f); 200 nm (e).

sheets of MnO_6 octahedra, coordinated both to the Mn and the K, with some fraction of the former being present as hydroxyl groups³⁷. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, Supplementary Fig. 1a) reveals that the as-prepared MnO_2 nanosheets possess 8 wt% surface-absorbed water and 3 wt% water in the interlayers. Melt diffusion at 155 °C was used to uniformly disperse sulfur onto the surface of the MnO_2 nanosheets^{28–30}. To maximize contact, the nanosheets were first pre-dispersed by sonication before being mixed with sulfur nanoparticles (see Methods) in a 25:75 weight ratio (Supplementary Fig. 2). The TEM and SEM images in Fig. 1e,f show a homogeneous sulfur coating on the nanosheet surface after melt diffusion, as confirmed by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy mapping (Supplementary Fig. 3). The targeted high sulfur loading of 75 wt% was achieved (TGA analysis of 75S/ MnO_2 , Supplementary Fig. 1b).

Electrochemical properties. Electrochemical behaviour evaluated at different current densities for the 75S/ MnO_2 nanocomposite, showed characteristic Li-S voltage profiles (Fig. 2a)^{12,14}. The cells exhibit a capacity of $\sim 1,300 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ at C/20 (discharge/charge of full theoretical capacity in 20 h). At a 20-fold higher current density (a C rate), only a modest drop in capacity to 950 mA h g^{-1} was observed, suggestive of highly efficient kinetics. Most notable is the lack of overpotential in the initial charging process even on the first cycle, indicative of a good Li_2S interface with the MnO_2 nanosheets. Figure 2b shows the cycling stability of the cells at different rates. At C/5, the initial discharge capacity was $1,120 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$, with $1,030 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ being sustained after more than 200 cycles and representing excellent capacity retention of 0.04% per cycle. At higher current densities (1C), the 75S/ MnO_2 composite still delivered exceptional reversible capacity of 800 mA h g^{-1} after 200 cycles. This

indicates that processes such as loss of electrical contact of Li_2S or sulfur with the conductive host caused by volume changes or the ‘shuttle reaction’ caused by the solubilized polysulfides are minimized.

In-situ visual-electrochemical study of 75S/ MnO_2 . To further illustrate the excellent properties discussed above, we examined electrochemical behaviour of 75S/ MnO_2 in an optically transparent Li-S cell, exploiting the very strong colour of LiPSs to probe their interaction with the cathode surface. Recent reports have visually demonstrated the binding of LiPSs with metallic metal oxide sulfur hosts such as Ti_4O_7 (ref. 32) and spatially localized deposition of Li_2S on conductive indium-tin oxide³³. With $\delta\text{-MnO}_2$ —a poor semiconductor—the interaction is based on a very different principle, as detailed in the next section. The cell using 75S/ MnO_2 as the cathode clearly demonstrates the ability of the nanosheet host to control LiPS dissolution. For comparison, results are shown for a S/Ketjen Black (75S/KB) cathode prepared with the same 75% sulfur loading (Fig. 3). Figure 3a reveals that the electrolyte in the 75S/KB cell changed from colourless to bright yellow-green on partial discharge of the cell over 4 h. This point corresponds to the ‘knee’ in the discharge curve at a capacity of 400 mA h g^{-1} (a potential of 2.1 V, see Fig. 2a), where the LiPS concentration is expected to be at a maximum. The characteristic colour of medium chain LiPSs (i.e., $\sim, \text{S}_4^{2-}$) indicates that the polysulfides diffuse out of the cathode and are solubilized in the electrolyte.

At the end of discharge (12 h), the electrolyte is still yellow, showing LiPSs remain in solution. In contrast, in the 75S/ MnO_2 cell the electrolyte exhibits only a faint yellow colour at 4 h. This provides striking visual evidence of very low LiPS content in the electrolyte and demonstrates effective trapping by the MnO_2 . On full discharge, the electrolyte is rendered completely colourless, indicating effective conversion to insoluble reduced species, Li_2S_2 and Li_2S . A test of the polysulfide adsorption by the MnO_2 nanosheets was conducted by electrochemical titration to measure the degree of residual LiPS in solution after contact, confirming the strong binding (Supplementary Fig. 4)³⁸.

XPS study of the interaction of lithium (poly)sulfides and MnO_2 nanosheets. The nature of the interaction of the MnO_2

Figure 2 | Electrochemical performance of S/ MnO_2 . (a) Voltage profiles of S/ MnO_2 nanosheets at current densities ranging from C/20 to 2C. The highlighted circle shows the lack of overpotential. (b) Cycling performance of S/ MnO_2 nanosheets at C/5, C/2, 1C and 2C rates. The cells were subjected to an initial slow conditioning cycle at C/20 to allow complete access of the electrolyte to the active material.

Figure 3 | Visual confirmation of polysulfide entrapment at specific discharge depths. (a) 75S/KB and (b) 75S/ MnO_2 cells. These were both discharged at C/20 between open circuit potential to 1.8 V under identical conditions.

nanosheets with LiPSs was determined from Mn $3p_{3/2}$ and S2p X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis. Only the peak position of the lower binding energy component of the S2p_{3/2}/2p_{1/2} spin orbit doublet is described in the subsequent discussion following convention. The results are summarized in Figs 4 and 5.

Li₂S₄ was employed as the representative polysulfide species to probe the system at a partial state of discharge. Its XPS spectrum (Fig. 4a) shows a 1:1 ratio of the two S2p_{3/2} contributions at 161.7 and 163.1 eV, ascribed to the terminal (S_T^{−1}) and bridging sulfur (S_B⁰) atoms, respectively. This assignment is in accord with the chain structure of Li₂S₄, where the sulfur atoms at both ends have a formal charge of (−1), while those in the middle bear a formal charge of (0)^{32,39}. Figure 4e displays the XPS Mn 2p_{3/2} spectra of δ-MnO₂, which is mainly composed of Mn⁴⁺, with its near-surface enriched in Mn³⁺ relative to the bulk⁴⁰. The MnO₂ nanosheet spectrum is typical of birnessite⁴¹, showing a strong Mn 2p_{3/2} Mn⁴⁺ contribution that appears as a characteristic multiplet with a maximum at 642.5 eV, and three satellite peaks at higher binding energies of 643.7, 644.8 and 645.7 eV. The ratio of the multiplet peaks is in agreement with calculations⁴¹. The Mn 2p_{3/2} contribution at 641.4 eV corresponds to Mn³⁺, which makes up ~4.6% of the MnO₂ nanosheets.

To examine the LiPS–Mn interaction, a suspension of vacuum-dried δ-MnO₂ in ether was added to a solution of yellow-green Li₂S₄ in dimethoxyethane, resulting in immediate discolouration. XPS data were collected on the recovered solid (‘MnO₂–Li₂S₄’). Its S2p spectrum (Fig. 4b) reveals four sulfur environments. Two are the same terminal and bridging S environments as in Li₂S₄. The new significant contribution between 171 and 165 eV can be fit with two sulfur environments. The S2p_{3/2} peak at 167.2 eV is in precise accord with the binding energy of thiosulfate⁴², which

must arise from a surface redox reaction between Li₂S₄ and δ-MnO₂. This peak is from the ‘central’ or S=O sulfur in thiosulfate ([SSO₃]^{2−}), whereas its ‘peripheral’ sulfur lies at 161.5 eV, contributing to the S_T^{−1} from residual Li₂S₄ (thus accounting for the change in ratio of the (S_T^{−1}:S_B⁰) 2p_{3/2} peaks from 1:1 to 2.4:1). The S2p XPS spectrum of sodium thiosulfate is provided for reference (Supplementary Fig. 5a). The other S2p_{3/2} peak at 168.2 eV (ascribed to a polythionate complex in the MnO₂–Li₂S₄ material) will be discussed below. Oxidation of Li₂S₄ to thiosulfate is accompanied by a decrease in the Mn oxidation state, as evident in Fig. 4f. The contribution from Mn³⁺ at 641.4 eV in the Mn 2p_{3/2} XPS spectrum significantly increases (from ~5% to 35%) and two additional Mn 2p_{3/2} peaks arise at lower energy (640.4 and 639.4 eV), readily attributable to Mn²⁺. The overall redox process is summarized in Fig. 4g. The presence of thiosulfate was also proven by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). The FTIR spectrum of Li₂S₄ (Supplementary Fig. 6) shows an S–S band at 482 cm^{−1} (ref. 31), whereas MnO₂ has a strong antisymmetric MnO₆ stretching vibration at 519 cm^{−1} (ref. 43). The FTIR spectrum of MnO₂–Li₂S₄ exhibits a new peak at 670 cm^{−1}, characteristic of S₂O₃^{2−} (ref. 44), and one very broad peak at 503 cm^{−1} midway between the contributions expected from S–S and MnO₂. We attribute this significant shift of both species to a strong interaction at the interface.

To further support the thiosulfate formation mechanism, we investigated the interaction of LiPS with other sulfur hosts—GO (ACS Material, USA) and graphene (ACS Material, single layer). The XPS S2p spectrum of GO–Li₂S₄ shows the same components as the MnO₂–Li₂S₄ (Fig. 4c), which suggests thiosulfate/polythionate surface species are formed here as well. The characteristic peaks are reduced in intensity compared with

Figure 4 | XPS study of the interaction between sulfur species and MnO₂ nanosheets. S2p core spectra of (a) Li₂S₄ showing the terminal and bridging sulfur atoms in the expected 1:1 ratio; (b) MnO₂–Li₂S₄ and (c) GO–Li₂S₄ exhibiting the thiosulfate/polythionate active groups and (d) ‘graphene’–Li₂S₄ showing their absence; the contribution in turquoise is due to sulfite (from the Li₂S₄)³². Mn 2p_{3/2} spectra of (e) MnO₂ nanosheets and (f) MnO₂–Li₂S₄. (g) Schematic showing the oxidation of initially formed polysulphide by δ-MnO₂ to form thiosulfate on the surface, concomitant with the reduction of Mn⁴⁺ to Mn²⁺.

Figure 5 | Ex-situ XPS of S/MnO₂ nanosheets electrodes after discharge to specific states. (a) Discharged to 2.15 V, (b) discharged to 2.15 V and then aged in the cell for 20 h, (c) discharged to 800 mA h g⁻¹ (the middle of the second plateau) and (d) discharged to 1.8 V. All cells were galvanostatically discharged at C/20 with 1 M LiClO₄ in DOL/DME (1:1 vol) as the electrolyte. The trace of sulfate at 170.2 eV is due to momentary air exposure during the XPS sample loading process.

δ -MnO₂, as expected. The XPS C 1s comparison of the GO and GO-Li₂S₄ confirms the chemical interaction of LiPS in GO-Li₂S₄, showing that 20% of the C-O(H) bonds were reduced to C-C (see Supplementary Fig. 7). Regarding the S2p spectrum of graphene-Li₂S₄, it shows two contributions at 161.7 and 163.1 eV, identical to that of Li₂S₄ itself (Fig. 4d). This suggests almost no interaction between graphene and polysulfides. The absence of thiosulfate in graphene-Li₂S₄ implies that the thiosulfate oxygen originates from surface oxy-groups on GO; for MnO₂, these arise from the OH groups that replace some of the O²⁻ in the birnessite structure for charge balance. This additional XPS analysis and insight into thiosulfate formation can explain the origin of the excellent cyclability of the MnO₂ nanosheets and that of GO materials^{25,26}, as discussed in detail below.

XPS analysis was further conducted on 75S/MnO₂ nanosheet electrodes extracted from cells at different discharge states (Fig. 5a–d). When the cell was discharged to 2.15 V, the presence of long-chain polysulfides is evidenced by strong S2p_{3/2} contributions at

161.7 (S_T⁻¹) and 162.9 eV (S_B⁻²) (Fig. 5a)^{32,39}, with their 1:2 ratio suggesting a chain length approximately equal to Li₂S₆ owing to the 2:4 ratio of terminal/bridging sulfur in this LiPS. Elemental sulfur was also detected at this and other intermediate states of discharge, consistent with incomplete reaction and/or the disproportionation of metastable polysulfides such as Li₂S₈ to form Li₂S₆ and sulfur as previously reported⁴⁵. S2p peaks between 166 and 170 eV correspond to two sulfur environments: one at 167.2 eV (thiosulfate) and another at 168.2 eV, which is assigned to a polythionate complex as discussed above. These are the same as observed in the S2p spectrum of MnO₂-Li₂S₄ in Fig. 4b. The thiosulfate peak vanishes when the electrode is aged in the coin cell for 20 h after discharge to 2.15 V; only the peak due to the polythionate complex remains in this region. Thus, transformation of thiosulfate to the polythionate complex is accompanied by the conversion of longer-chain polysulfides to shorter-chain polysulfides (~Li₂S₃ based on the 2:1 ratio of the terminal:bridging sulfur; see Supplementary Fig. 8, for concentrations of each specific component). Exactly the same reaction is also observed between sodium thiosulfate and polysulfides (Li₂S₄), as shown in Supplementary Fig. 5b.

We conclude that S-S from longer-chain polysulfide species reacts with thiosulfate to yield the polythionate complex and shorter polysulfides. Prolonged contact time results in more complete conversion. XPS analysis of the electrode collected at the middle of the second plateau (Fig. 5c; 800 mA h g⁻¹) shows that the sulfur environments are the same as the electrode discharged to 2.15 V. Their different relative intensities represent the further consumption of longer-chain polysulfides accompanied by the formation of shorter-chain polysulfides. After the electrode was fully discharged to 1.8 V, this process is essentially complete (Fig. 5d). The contribution from thiosulfate and the polythionate complex is small, whereas a strong contribution from Li₂S (S2p_{3/2} at 160.2 eV) along with ~20% Li₂S₂ (161.7 eV) indicates almost full reduction of sulfur in the electrode. The minority contribution from Li₂S₂ was assigned based on its very similar binding energy as that of Na₂S₂ (161.8 eV)³², and see Supplementary Fig. 9). On charge, the XPS spectrum (Supplementary Fig. 10) is similar to Fig. 5a, revealing the re-appearance of the polythionate complex along with polysulfides and sulfur, and indicating that the process is reversible.

Discussion

We propose that the insoluble S₂O₃²⁻ that forms on the surface serves as an internal mediator to anchor long-chain polysulfides from solution and trigger conversion to lower polysulfides via the reaction shown below (equation (1)):

In this mechanism, the polysulfide catenates to the thiosulfate by insertion in the S-S single bond to create the polythionate complex (I) and a lower polysulfide (that is, Li₂S₂ or Li₂S) by an internal disproportionation reaction. This can be explained by the attack of the highly nucleophilic thiosulfate on the bridging S(0) in the polysulfides followed by associative nucleophilic substitution. Sulfur is well known to undergo catenation reactions, such as recently proven for the reaction of sulfur with Li₃PS₄ to form polysulfidophosphates, Li₃PS_{4+n} (ref. 46). Elemental sulfur is also susceptible to nucleophilic attack by HS⁻ or SO₃²⁻ in aqueous solution, resulting in the formation of chains of polysulfides or polythionate complexes, respectively. This is known as the 'Wackenroder reaction'⁴⁷. The polythionate

complex could also be formed with only one $-\text{SO}_3$ group (equation (2)):

However, the bi-polythionate complex (I) is preferred due to its known stability (albeit in aqueous media)⁴⁷. Based on the above model, the electrochemistry of the Li-S system can be explained as follows: (1) initial formation of Li_2S_n ($4 \leq n \leq 8$) at the start of discharge gives rise to reaction with MnO_2 , producing thiosulfate at the surface; (2) further reduction produces more polysulfides ($4 < n < 8$) that are immediately catenated to form an intermediate polythionate complex and shorter-chain polysulfides ($n < 3$). The polythionate complex is expected to be poorly soluble, curtailing the polysulfide shuttle. The process occurs progressively on discharge until full conversion to $\text{Li}_2\text{S}_2/\text{Li}_2\text{S}$ is achieved. Deposition of lithium sulfide presumably overlays the signature of polythionate complex and thiosulfate, as XPS only probes < 10 nm of the surface.

To evaluate the efficiency of the thiosulfate mediator, long-term cycling was performed at C/5, C/2 and 2C rates. The two former current densities are suitable for practical applications, while the latter is a benchmark for power utilization. For the C/5 cell (Fig. 6a), galvanostatic cycling for over half a year still results in a capacity of 380 mA h g^{-1} after 1,200 cycles. Similarly good performance was achieved at C/2 (Fig. 6b) over 1,500 cycles. After

2,000 cycles at 2C, 245 mA h g^{-1} useable capacity was still available with good Coulombic efficiency ($> 98.5\%$) and a very low decay rate of 0.036% per cycle. The rate was periodically changed to investigate the specific capacity that can be delivered at lower C-rates. After 1,000 cycles at 2C a discharge capacity of 715 mA h g^{-1} was obtained at C/20, and even after 2,000 cycles at 2C a reversible discharge capacity of 460 mA h g^{-1} was achieved at C/20 (Fig. 6c). The electrochemical robustness extends to cycling at very high C-rates. On switching the C-rate from 3C to C/5, the discharge capacity (985 mA h g^{-1}) was 96.3% of the original capacity (Supplementary Fig. 11). At the high rate of 4C, a stable capacity of 700 mA h g^{-1} was achieved and most of the original capacity was recovered on switching back to a C-rate. Moreover, the overall morphology and structure of the electrode after 1,000 cycles is very similar to that of the original material, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 12. This suggests that the MnO_2 nanosheet host acts to spatially locate and control both $\text{Li}_2\text{S}/\text{Li}_2\text{S}_2$ and sulfur deposition by providing an active interface via the thiosulfate intermediate and demonstrates the excellent stability of this cathode material.

In summary, by the *in-situ* generation of thiosulfate surface species, which react to form an active complex on the surface of MnO_2 nanosheets, we demonstrate that it is possible to cycle a Li-S cell with a capacity decay as low as 0.036% per cycle over 2,000 cycles, using a classic low viscosity dioxolane (DOL)–dimethyl ether (DME) electrolyte and polyvinylidene fluoride binder. We propose that a new, unique mechanism is responsible, whereby an active polythionate complex serves as an anchor and transfer mediator to inhibit active mass (polysulfide) dissolution into the electrolyte and control the deposition of Li_2S_2 or Li_2S . The resultant cells deliver high rechargeable capacity at practical current densities and high sulfur loading. We furthermore note that the thiosulfate mediator is not restricted to support on MnO_2 nanosheets, but is broadly applicable to other support materials and may be also responsible—in whole or in part—for the excellent cycling behaviour observed for GO composites. Unlike previous strategies to trap polysulfides by physical barriers or simple surface interactions, this chemistry is quite efficient. The discovery and understanding of a transfer mediator, which binds polysulfides and promotes stable redox activity, addresses one of the important challenges that face this chemistry. Along with future anticipated improvements in electrolytes and the lithium-negative electrode, this brings the Li-S battery a step closer to practical realization.

Methods

Preparation of the MnO_2 nanosheets. The layered MnO_2 nanosheets were synthesized by a one-step facile method using GO as template. Briefly, 20 mg of single-layer GO (ACS Materials) were dispersed in 100 ml deionized (DI) water by sonication. A well-mixed solution of 10 ml DI water and 160 mg of KMnO_4 (Sigma-Aldrich) was added into the GO suspension and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The mixture was transferred into a thermostatic oven at 80°C for 24 h. The resulting material was washed with DI water.

Preparation of the 75S/ MnO_2 composite. For sulfur loading onto the MnO_2 nanosheets, the nano-sized sulfur was first synthesized by reacting 255 mg $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$ (Sigma-Aldrich) with 278 μl concentrated HCl and 17 mg polyvinylpyrrolidone (Sigma-Aldrich) in 85 ml DI water. The MnO_2 nanosheets and nano-sized sulfur were dispersed in 40 ml DI water separately by sonication before being mixed to obtain a homogenous suspension. The suspension was filtered and then dried at 60°C . The 75S/ MnO_2 nanosheet composite was obtained by heating the mixture at 155°C overnight.

Synthesis of Li_2S_4 . Sulfur (Sigma-Aldrich) and Super-Hydride Solution (Aldrich, 1.0 M lithium triethylborohydride in tetrahydrofuran) were mixed together in a 2.75:1 molar ratio until the sulfur was fully dissolved. The resulting solution was dried under vacuum, resulting in precipitation of a yellow powder. A final wash with toluene was conducted, followed by centrifugation to separate the powder from the supernatant to isolate the Li_2S_4 powder.

Figure 6 | Long-term cycling of 75S/ MnO_2 at variable current densities. Capacity and the Coulombic efficiency at (a) C/5 over 1,200 cycles, (b) C/2 over 1,500 cycles, (c) 2C with periodic slow rate for over 2,000 cycles. The capacities of the C/2 and C/5 cells are quite similar to those shown in Fig. 2, demonstrating the reproducibility of the electrochemistry.

Preparation of $\text{MnO}_2\text{-Li}_2\text{S}_4$. The MnO_2 nanosheets (87 mg; 1 mmol) were dried at 90 °C under vacuum overnight and dispersed in 10 ml DME solution by sonication in a sealed vial. The mixture was transferred to a glovebox and combined with 10 ml of 0.1 M Li_2S_4 in DME. The mixture was stirred for 2 h and the product was centrifuged and dried under vacuum overnight. $\text{GO-Li}_2\text{S}_4$ and graphene- Li_2S_4 samples were prepared by the same procedure.

Preparation of $\text{MnO}_2\text{-Li}_2\text{S}$. 75S/ MnO_2 was mixed with DMF and then cast as a slurry onto a carbon paper current collector. A 2325 coin cell was discharged to 1.8 V at a C/20 rate, using 1 M LiClO_4 in a 1:1 v/v ratio of DOL:DME as the electrolyte with lithium foil as the anode. The cathode was then removed from the coin cell in a glovebox and rinsed with acetonitrile three times before being dried.

Electrochemical measurements. Cathodes were prepared by casting the DMF slurry containing 75S/ MnO_2 nanosheets, 15 wt% Super P carbon and 10 wt % polyvinylidene fluoride onto a carbon paper current collector. Electrochemical studies were carried out using an Arbin cycler in galvanostatic mode, employing electrodes with an average sulfur loading between 0.7 and 1.0 mg cm^{-2} in 2325 coin cells with lithium foil as the anode and Celgard 3501 separator sheets. Cells were operated in a voltage window of 1.8–3.0 V, except for high-rate studies that were conducted between 1.7 and 3.0 V (2C and 3C rates), and between 1.6 and 3.0 V (4C rate), in an electrolyte comprising 1 M LiTFSI in a 1:1 volume of DME:DOL and 2 wt% LiNO_3 . Specific capacity values were calculated with respect to the mass of sulfur. Electrodes for XPS analysis were prepared by discharging coin cells at C/20 in 1 M LiClO_4 (to avoid sulfur contributions from LiTFSI) in a 1:1 v/v ratio of DOL and DME.

Characterization. SEM studies were carried out on a Zeiss Ultra field emission SEM instrument and TEM was performed on a Jeol 2010F TEM/STEM operating at 200 KeV. TGA was used to determine the sulfur content of the material, on a TA Instruments SDT Q600 employing a heating rate of 10 °C/min from room temperature to 500 °C under an air flow. FTIR analysis was performed on a Bruker Tensor 37 spectrometer. For XPS, the samples were sealed in a vial before being quickly transferred to the chamber of a ultra-high vacuum Imaging XPS Microprobe system for analysis (Thermo VG Scientific ESCALab 250). All spectra were fitted with Gaussian–Lorentzian functions and a Shirley-type background using CasaXPS software. $\text{S}2p$ peaks were fit using two equal full-width half maximum $\text{S}2p$ doublets with 2:1 area ratios and splittings of 1.2 eV. The binding energy values were all calibrated using the C 1s peak at 285.0 eV.

References

- Choi, N. S. *et al.* Challenges facing lithium batteries and electrical double-layer capacitors. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51**, 9994–10024 (2012).
- Yoo, H. D., Markevich, E., Salitra, G., Sharon, D. & Aurbach, D. On the challenge of developing advanced technologies for electrochemical energy storage. *Mater. Today* **17**, 110–121 (2014).
- Manthiram, A. Materials challenges and opportunities of lithium ion batteries. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2**, 176–184 (2011).
- Ellis, B., Lee, K. T. & Nazar, L. F. Positive electrode materials for Li-ion and Li-batteries. *Chem. Mater.* **22**, 691–714 (2010).
- Bruce, P. G., Freunberger, S. A., Hardwick, L. J. & Tarascon, J. M. Li–O₂ and Li–S batteries with high energy storage. *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 19–29 (2012).
- Yin, Y. X., Xin, S., Guo, Y. G. & Wan, L. J. Lithium–sulfur batteries: electrochemistry, materials, and prospects. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **52**, 2–18 (2013).
- Evers, S. & Nazar, L. F. New approaches for high energy density lithium–sulfur batteries. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **46**, 1135–1143 (2013).
- Peled, E., Gorenshstein, A., Segal, M. S. & Sternberg, Y. Rechargeable lithium sulfur battery. *J. Power Sources* **26**, 269–271 (1989).
- Yang, Y., Zheng, G. Y. & Cui, Y. Nanostructured sulphur cathodes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **42**, 3018–3032 (2013).
- Mikhaylik, Y. V. & Akridge, J. R. Polysulfide shuttle study in the Li/S battery system. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **151**, A1969–A1976 (2004).
- Ji, X. L., Lee, K. T. & Nazar, L. F. A highly ordered nanostructured carbon–sulphur cathode for lithium–sulphur batteries. *Nat. Mater.* **8**, 500–506 (2009).
- Schuster, J. *et al.* Spherical ordered mesoporous carbon nanoparticles with high porosity for lithium–sulphur batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51**, 3591–3595 (2012).
- He, G., Ji, X. L. & Nazar, L. F. High ‘C’ rate Li–S cathodes: sulphur imbibed bimodal porous carbons. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **4**, 2878–2883 (2011).
- Liang, C. D., Dudney, N. J. & Howe, J. Y. Hierarchically structured sulphur/carbon nanocomposite material for high-energy lithium battery. *Chem. Mater.* **21**, 4724–4730 (2009).
- He, G. *et al.* Tailoring porosity in carbon nanospheres for lithium sulphur battery cathodes. *ACS Nano* **7**, 10920–10930 (2013).
- Jayaprakash, N., Shen, J., Moganty, S. S., Corona, A. & Archer, L. A. Porous hollow carbon@sulphur composites for high-power lithium–sulphur batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50**, 5904–5908 (2011).
- Zhang, B., Qin, X., Li, G. R. & Gao, X. P. Enhancement of long stability of sulphur cathode by encapsulating sulphur into micropores of carbon spheres. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **3**, 1531–1537 (2010).
- Elazari, R., Salitra, G., Garsuch, A., Panchenko, A. & Aurbach, D. Sulphur impregnated activated carbon fiber cloth as a binder-free cathode for rechargeable Li–S batteries. *Adv. Mater.* **23**, 5641–5644 (2011).
- Zheng, G., Yang, Y., Cha, J. J., Hong, S. S. & Cui, Y. Hollow carbon nanofiber encapsulated sulphur cathodes for high specific capacity rechargeable lithium batteries. *Nano Lett.* **11**, 4462–4467 (2011).
- Guo, J., Xu, Y. & Wang, C. Sulphur-impregnated disordered carbon nanotubes cathode for lithium–sulphur batteries. *Nano Lett.* **11**, 4288–4294 (2011).
- Zhou, W. D., Yu, Y. C., Chen, H., DiSalvo, F. J. & Abruña, H. D. Yolk-shell structure of polyaniline coated sulphur for lithium–sulphur batteries. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **135**, 16736–16743 (2013).
- Fu, Y. Z. & Manthiram, A. Orthorhombic bipyramidal sulphur coated with polypyrrole nanolayers as a cathode material for lithium–sulphur batteries. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**, 8910–8915 (2012).
- Liang, X. *et al.* A nano-structured and highly ordered polypyrrole–sulphur cathode for lithium–sulphur batteries. *J. Power Sources* **196**, 6951–6955 (2011).
- Yang, Y. *et al.* Improving the performance of lithium–sulphur batteries by conductive polymer coating. *ACS Nano* **5**, 9187–9193 (2011).
- Ji, L. *et al.* Graphene oxide as a sulphur immobilizer in high performance lithium/sulphur cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 18522–18525 (2011).
- Song, M. K., Zhang, Y. G. & Cairns, E. J. A long-life, high-rate lithium/sulphur cell: a multifaceted approach to enhancing cell performance. *Nano Lett.* **13**, 5891–5899 (2013).
- Zhou, G. M. *et al.* Fibrous hybrid of graphene and sulphur nanocrystals for high-performance lithium–sulphur batteries. *ACS Nano* **7**, 5367–5375 (2013).
- Qiu, Y. C. *et al.* High-rate, ultralong cycle-life lithium/sulfur batteries enabled by nitrogen-doped graphene. *Nano Lett.* **14**, 4821–4827 (2014).
- Seh, Z. W. *et al.* Sulphur TiO₂ yolk-shell nanoarchitecture with internal void space for long-cycle lithium–sulphur batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1331 (2013).
- Ji, X., Evers, S., Black, R. & Nazar, L. F. Stabilizing lithium–sulphur cathodes using polysulphide reservoirs. *Nat. Commun.* **2**, 325 (2011).
- Evers, S., Yim, T. & Nazar, L. F. Understanding the nature of absorption/adsorption in nanoporous polysulfide sorbents for the Li–S battery. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**, 19653–19658 (2012).
- Pang, Q., Kundu, D., Cuisinier, M. & Nazar, L. Surface-enhanced redox chemistry of polysulphides on a metallic and polar host for lithium–sulphur batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4759 (2014).
- Yao, H. B. *et al.* Improving lithium–sulphur batteries through spatial control of sulphur species deposition on a hybrid electrode surface. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3943 (2014).
- Meini, S., Elazari, R., Rosenman, A. & Aurbach, D. The use of redox mediators for enhancing utilization of Li₂S cathodes for advanced Li–S battery systems. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **5**, 915–918 (2014).
- Lin, Z., Liu, Z. C., Fu, W. J., Dudney, N. J. & Liang, C. D. Phosphorous pentasulfide as a novel additive for high-performance lithium–sulfur batteries. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **23**, 1064–1068 (2013).
- Zhao, G. X. *et al.* synthesizing MnO_2 nanosheets from graphene oxide templates for high performance pseudosupercapacitors. *Chem. Sci.* **3**, 433–437 (2012).
- Ma, R. Z., Bando, Y., Zhang, L. Q. & Sasaki, T. Layered MnO_2 nanobelts: hydrothermal synthesis and electrochemical measurements. *Adv. Mater.* **16**, 918–922 (2004).
- Nazar, L. *et al.* Rational design of sulphur host materials for Li–S batteries: correlating lithium polysulphide adsorptivity and self-discharge capacity loss. *Chem. Commun.* doi: 10.1039/C4CC08980D (2014).
- Kartio, I. J., Basilio, C. I. & Yoon, R. H. An XPS study of sphalerite activation by copper. *Langmuir* **14**, 5274–5278 (1998).
- Najdoski, M., Koleva, V., Demiri, S. & Stojkovic, S. A simple chemical method for deposition of electrochromic potassium manganese oxide hydrate thin films. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **47**, 2239–2244 (2012).
- Nesbitt, H. W. & Banerjee, D. Interpretation of XPS Mn(2p) spectra of Mn oxyhydroxides and constraints on the mechanism of MnO_2 precipitation. *Amer. Miner.* **83**, 305–315 (1998).
- Lindberg, B. J. *et al.* Molecular spectroscopy by means of ESCA II. Sulphur compounds. Correlation of electron binding energy with structure. *Phys. Scr.* **1**, 286–298 (1970).
- Julien, C. M., Massot, M. & Poinssignon, C. Lattice vibrations of manganese oxides Part I. Periodic structures. *Spectrochim. Acta A* **60**, 689–700 (2004).
- Miller, F. A. & Wilkins, C. H. Infrared spectra and characteristic frequencies of inorganic ions. *Anal. Chem.* **24**, 1253–1294 (1952).
- Cuisinier, M. *et al.* Sulphur speciation in Li–S batteries determined by operando X-ray absorption spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **4**, 3227–3232 (2013).

46. Lin, Z., Liu, Z. C., Fu, W. J., Dudney, N. J. & Liang, C. D. Lithium polysulfidophosphates: a family of lithium-conducting sulphur-rich compounds for lithium-sulphur batteries. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **125**, 1–5 (2013).
47. Holleman-Wiberg: *Inorganic Chemistry* (eds Wiberg, N.) 514 (Academic Press, 2001).

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the BASF International Scientific Network for Electrochemistry and Batteries. We thank Dr Carmen Andrei, MacMaster University, and the Canadian Centre for Electron Microscopy for help with acquisition of the TEM images, and NSERC for platform funding through a Discovery Grant to LFN.

Author contributions

X.L. and L.F.N. designed this study. X.L. prepared materials and carried out the electrochemical experiments. X.L., C.H. and Q.P. carried out of the XPS investigation.

X.L. carried out data processing and prepared figures. X.L. and L.F.N. wrote the manuscript. T.W. first suggested the proposed mechanism and all of the authors contributed to the scientific discussion.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Liang, X. *et al.* A highly efficient polysulfide mediator for lithium–sulfur batteries. *Nat. Commun.* 6:5682 doi: 10.1038/ncomms6682 (2015).