ARTICLE

Received 3 Apr 2014 | Accepted 2 Jul 2014 | Published 3 Sep 2014

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms5573

OPEN

PPAR α -UGT axis activation represses intestinal FXR-FGF15 feedback signalling and exacerbates experimental colitis

Xueyan Zhou^{1,2,*}, Lijuan Cao^{1,*}, Changtao Jiang^{3,*}, Yang Xie¹, Xuefang Cheng¹, Kristopher W. Krausz³, Yunpeng Qi³, Lu Sun³, Yatrik M. Shah^{4,5}, Frank J. Gonzalez³, Guangji Wang¹ & Haiping Hao¹

Bile acids play a pivotal role in the pathological development of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). However, the mechanism of bile acid dysregulation in IBD remains unanswered. Here we show that intestinal peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α)-UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs) signalling is an important determinant of bile acid homeostasis. Dextran sulphate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis leads to accumulation of bile acids in inflamed colon tissues via activation of the intestinal peroxisome PPAR α -UGTs pathway. UGTs accelerate the metabolic elimination of bile acids, and thereby decrease their intracellular levels in the small intestine. Reduced intracellular bile acids results in repressed farnesoid X receptor (FXR)-FGF15 signalling, leading to upregulation of hepatic CYP7A1, thus promoting the *de novo* bile acid synthesis. Both knockout of PPAR α and treatment with recombinant FGF19 markedly attenuate DSS-induced colitis. Thus, we propose that intestinal PPAR α -UGTs and downstream FXR-FGF15 signalling play vital roles in control of bile acid homeostasis and the pathological development of colitis.

¹State Key Laboratory of Natural Medicines, Key Lab of Drug Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics, China Pharmaceutical University, Tongjiaxiang 24, Nanjing 21009, China. ²School of Pharmacy, Xuzhou Medical College, Xuzhou 221004, Jiangsu, China. ³Laboratory of Metabolism, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, USA. ⁴Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA. ⁵Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.W. (email: guangjiwang@hotmail.com) or to H.H. (email: hhp_770505@hotmail.com).

Bile acids are involved in nascent bile formation, cholesterol elimination and intestinal absorption of lipids and lipid-soluble molecules. Moreover, bile acids are increasingly recognized as signalling molecules in regulating energy homeostasis, inflammation and liver regeneration^{1–6}. However, bile acids, some of which are toxic and can induce apoptotic and necrotic cell death, play important roles in promoting the development of diseases such as the well-defined cholestatic liver diseases. Thus, the concentration of bile acids must be strictly regulated to ensure a homeostasis state.

Exposure of cells of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract to repeated high levels of bile acids is a vital risk factor for inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and GI cancer^{7–9}. Studies from both experimental animal models and clinical patients indicated that the levels of bile acids are increased in the conditions of IBD and colon cancer. The accumulation of taurocholic acid in the GI tract can aggravate colitis via regulating the compositions of intestinal flora, providing a potential mechanism that explains the high prevalence of IBD and colon cancer in the populations with western lifestyle and diet¹⁰. Moreover, repeated exposure of the colorectal epithelium to high physiological concentrations of bile acids is a major aetiological factor in colorectal carcinogenesis¹¹. Together, these lines of evidences strongly indicate that the long exposure of the GI tract to high levels of bile acids represents an important risk factor in the development of IBD and colon cancer. Thus, it is reasonable to propose that restoration of bile acid homeostasis may represent a useful approach to the therapy of IBD and even the prevention of colon cancer. However, the molecular mechanisms and pathways by which the bile acids are abnormally accumulated in IBD remain largely elusive.

Farnesoid X receptor (FXR) is a master regulator maintaining the homeostasis of bile acids. In response to elevated levels of intracellular bile acids, FXR is activated to induce protective gene expression circuits against bile acid toxicity in the liver and intestine¹². FXR induces small heterodimer partner (SHP) in the liver and FGF19 (FGF15 in rodents) that binds with liver fibroblast growth factor (FGF) receptor 4 (FGFR4) via an endocrine mode after its secretion from the intestine; both signal to inhibit CYP7A1, the rate controlling enzyme in the *de novo* synthesis of bile acids^{13–16}. However, how the fine-tuned machinery is dysregulated and whether it is involved in pathological development of IBD remains to be answered. FXR, as a bile acid-activated transcriptional factor, senses the intracellular level of bile acids and shuts down genes involved in bile acid synthesis, influx and efflux. Thus, the intracellular concentration of bile acids is an important determinant of FXR transcriptional activity. Based on this consideration, we reasoned that it is crucial to clarify the intracellular disposition of bile acids for the better understanding of the role of dysregulated FXR signalling in IBD.

In this study, we show that the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α)-UDP-glucuronosyl-transferases (UGTs) axis reduces the intracellular levels of bile acids in the intestine, which compromises intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling. This dysregulated signalling machinery leads to the continuous activation of liver CYP7A1 and thus the increased *de novo* synthesis of bile acids, and finally the accumulation of bile acids in the inflamed colon tissues. We further reveal that the intestinal PPAR α -UGTs axis upstream of FXR-FGF15 pathway is an important determinant in the pathological development of dextran sulphate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis.

Results

Colitis induces bile acids accumulation in the colon. Chronic DSS-induced murine colitis model was characterized by mucosal

oedema, crypt distortion, a high level of leukocyte and polymorphonuclear infiltration, and dramatically increased expression of proinflammatory cytokines (Supplementary Fig. 1). To assess how the overall bile acid profile was affected, bile acid composition analysis was carried out in different compartments of mice. A panel of bile acids in the liver, small intestine, colon, serum, urine and faeces of normal and DSS mice were detected (Fig. 1). After analysing the individual bile acids in multiple compartments, a previously uncharacterized dysregulation feature of bile acids was identified in colitis. Compared with normal mice, the bile acid pool size was significantly increased in mice of DSS-induced colitis (Fig. 1a), which suggests an enhanced *de novo* synthesis of bile acids. A compartment-specific dysregulation pattern of bile acids was found. In general, the total levels of bile acids were lower in the serum in mice with colitis (Fig. 1b), while higher levels were observed in the gall bladder, small intestine, colon and faeces (Fig. 1c–e). In the small intestine, multiple unconjugated bile acids, including chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA), deoxycholic acid (DCA), cholic acid (CA), ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), HDCA and β -muricholic acid (β -MCA), were decreased while the conjugated bile acids increased in colitis mice (Fig. 1f). Of particular interest, the inflamed colon showed a much higher concentration for most of bile acid species (including conjugates and free bile acids), supporting a specific intracellular accumulation of toxic bile acids species in the inflamed colon tissues.

Hepatic CYP7A1 is upregulated in DSS-induced colitis. The result of increased bile acid pool size suggests an increased *de novo* synthesis of bile acids. The regulation pattern of hepatic CYP7A1, the rate-controlling enzyme in the *de novo* synthesis of bile acids, was thus examined. As expected, the hepatic expression of *Cyp7a1* mRNA and protein content was significantly increased in colitis compared with that in control mice (Fig. 2a,b). In contrast, the hepatic expression of *Cyp8b1*, *Cyp7b1* and *Cyp27a1* mRNAs was not significantly affected (Fig. 2a), consistent with the similar CA levels observed in the liver of the two groups. Little liver damage and inflammation was found in DSS-induced colitis mice (Supplementary Fig. 2a–d). Hepatic *Hnf4 α* and *Lrh-1* were proposed to function as important transcription factors in controlling bile acid synthesis and in the induction of *Cyp7a1* by transactivating *Cyp7a1* promoter activity¹⁷. Unexpectedly, both *Hnf4 α* and *Lrh-1* mRNA expression were markedly decreased in the liver of colitis mice (Supplementary Fig. 2e). These results indicate that the upregulation of hepatic *Cyp7a1* is unlikely attributed to the activation of positive regulating signals in the liver.

Intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling is compromised in colitis.

As intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling is the major determinant of hepatic CYP7A1 (refs 18,19), the possibility that intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling is dysregulated in colitis was explored. No significant change was detected in the ileal *Fxr* mRNA (Fig. 2c) and protein content (Fig. 2g). However, the mRNA expression of FXR target genes, including *Fgf15* and *Shp* (Fig. 2d,e), decreased markedly in colitis mice. Immunohistochemical staining also indicated a significantly decreased protein level of FGF15 in the ileum section (Fig. 2h). Of interest, the expression of *Fgfr4* in the liver of colitis group was also decreased, while β -*Klotho* remained unchanged (Fig. 2f). These data indicate a decrease in the intestinal negative feedback pathway of bile acids synthesis in DSS-induced colitis mice.

Glucuronidation of bile acids is facilitated in colitis. FXR is a ligand-activated transcriptional factor and thus its activation is largely dependent on the intracellular level of its ligands.

Colitis resulted in a significantly decreased level of multiple FXR ligands, including CDCA, DCA and CA, in the small intestine where they function to activate the FXR-FGF15 signalling (Fig. 1f). We thus reasoned that the decreased level of intracellular ligands of FXR in the small intestine might be one of the major mechanisms underlying the compromised FXR-FGF15 signalling in colitis. For this reason, the mechanisms responsible

for the reduced intracellular levels of FXR ligands in the small intestine were investigated.

The expression of transporters responsible for absorption of bile acids was measured in the distal ileum. Both apical and basal transporters, including *Asbt*, *Ost α* and *Ost β* , and the intracellular bile acids binding protein *Ibapb*, remained largely unchanged (Supplementary Fig. 3), suggesting that the reduction of

Figure 1 | DSS-induced colitis disrupts bile acids homeostasis. (a) Bile acid pool analysis. The bile acid pool size was analysed by measuring total bile acids in the whole enterohepatic system, including the liver, gall bladder and the entire small intestine and its contents, and the values were normalized by body weight. (b–e) Total amount of bile acids in individual compartments. (f) Compartmental individual bile acid profiles analysed by a UFLC-Triple-time of flight/MS analysis in the control and colitis mice. Results are mean $\pm$ s.e.m of six mice, $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$ versus normal control, Student's *t*-test. CA, cholic acid; LCA, lithocholic acid; UDCA, ursodeoxycholic acid; CDCA, chenodeoxycholic acid; DCA, deoxycholic acid; MCA, muricholic acid; T, tauro-conjugated species; G, glycine-conjugated species.

Figure 2 | The intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling is compromised in colitis. (a) The hepatic expression of enzymes involved in bile acid biosynthetic pathway. (b) Western blot analysis of CYP7A1 protein content in the liver. (c–e) Expression of *Fxr*, *Fgf15* and *Shp* mRNAs in the distal ileum. (f) Expression of *Fgf4* and β -Klotho mRNAs in the liver. (g) Immunohistochemistry staining and quantitative analysis of FXR protein content in the distal ileum (scale bar, 50 μ m). (h) Immunohistochemistry staining and quantitative analysis of FGF15 protein content in the distal ileum (scale bar, 50 μ m). Results of quantitative analysis values are expressed as mean $\pm$ s.e.m. ($n = 6$) and are plotted as fold change. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ versus normal control, Student's *t*-test.

intracellular FXR ligands is unlikely caused by bile acids malabsorption. In addition to cellular uptake capacity, metabolic elimination is another important determinant of the intracellular levels for both endogenous and exogenous agents. Quantitative analysis of bile acids species showed that the glucuronidation metabolites of bile acids were dramatically enhanced in both the faeces and urine (Fig. 3a,b). We thus checked whether the expression and activity of UGTs in the small intestine are elevated. The hepatic expression of *Ugts* has been previously shown to play an important role in the metabolic elimination of bile acids^{20–22}. However, very little is known about the role of intestinal UGTs in determining the homeostasis of bile acids. UGT1A3 and UGT2B4 were found to be the dominant UGT isoforms involved in the glucuronidation of bile acids

in humans^{20,23}; however, the involvement of several other isoforms, including UGT1A4, UGT2B7, UGT2A1 and UGT2A2, has recently been reported^{24,25}. These findings are in line with the general view about the largely overlapping property of UGTs in metabolism. Moreover, the exact UGT isoforms in the metabolism of bile acids in mice remains elusive. Thus, the major UGT isoforms expressed in the small intestine of mice²⁶ were determined. The mRNA levels for most of the *Ugt* isoforms, including *Ugt1a6*, *Ugt1a7*, *Ugt2b24* and *Ugt2b35*, were significantly upregulated in the small intestine of mice with DSS-induced colitis (Fig. 3c). Consistent with the mRNA data, the enzymatic activities for all the tested substrates in the small intestine of colitis mice were dramatically increased (Fig. 3d). In particular, the intestinal enzymatic activity of CDCA

Figure 3 | Intestinal PPAR α -UGTs axis is overactivated in colitis. (a,b) The quantitative analysis of glucuronidation metabolites of bile acids in faeces and urine. (c,d) Expression and enzyme activity of UGT1A1, UGT1A6, UGT1A7, UGT2B34 and UGT2B35. (e) Expression of *Ppar α* , *Acox1* and *L-Fabp* mRNAs in the small intestine. (f) Immunohistochemistry staining and quantitative analysis of PPAR α protein content in the distal ileum (scale bar, 50 μ m). (g) The concentration of OEA in the intestine contents of colitis mice, analysed by LC-MS. (h) The mRNA expression of *Nape-pld* and *Faah* in the small intestine. Results of mRNA expression are mean $\pm$ s.e.m. of six mice. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 versus normal control, Student's t -test. Results of UGT activity were determined towards various substrates in pooled mice S9 (n = 6); data are the means of triplicate determinations. Bile salts species were analysed by the UFLC-Triple-time of flight/MS analysis (n = 6).

glucuronidation was enhanced by fourfold (Fig. 3d and Supplementary Table 1). This result strongly supports a facilitated metabolic elimination of potential FXR ligands from the intestinal epithelium and thus a significant reduction of intracellular levels of CDCA, CA and DCA, all of which are potent FXR ligands in mice. Moreover, human intestinal S9 fraction possessed a much stronger enzyme activity towards the glucuronidation of CDCA (Supplementary Fig. 4), hinting to a

more important role of intestinal UGTs in regulating the homeostasis of bile acids in humans.

Of interest, the mRNA levels and enzyme activity of some UGTs in the liver were also slightly increased (Supplementary Fig. 5a,b), consistent with the slightly increased glucuronidation products of bile acids in the urine of colitis mice. It was somewhat unexpected to find that the enzymatic expression and activity of multiple UGTs in the colon of colitis mice were also significantly

enhanced (Supplementary Fig. 5c,d), in spite of the dramatic accumulation of multiple bile acids species in the colon tissues. Together, these results suggest that the expression and activity of UGTs and, in particular, in the GI tract are largely enhanced under the condition of colitis, facilitating the metabolic elimination of bile acids.

PPAR α underlies UGTs and bile acid disturbance in colitis.

Previous studies illustrated that PPAR α is an important transcriptional factor in regulating the expression of various UGT enzymes^{20,27} and can also regulate the homeostasis of bile acids by unclear mechanisms. Hence, we assume that PPAR α may play a critical role in mediating the dysregulation of bile acids in colitis. The increased mRNA expression of *Acox1* and *L-Fabp* suggests that the PPAR α signalling pathway in the small intestine was activated in colitis mice (Fig. 3e). Although the ileal expression of *Ppara* mRNA was not significantly changed, immunohistochemical staining showed a slight increase in its protein expression (Fig. 3e,f). It was reported that oleylethanolamide (OEA) was a natural endogenous lipid that activates PPAR α with high potency and exert anorectic actions^{28,29}. Consequently, a prominent rationale is that the activation of PPAR α pathway is dependent on the elevated concentration of its endogenous ligands. To this end, we investigated OEA levels by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry and found a strongly enhanced level in the intestine contents of colitis mice (Fig. 3g). NAPE-PLD (*N*-acyl phosphatidylethanolamine-selective phospholipase D) is responsible for the biosynthesis of OEA, while FAAH (fatty acid amide hydrolase) is involved in its degradation³⁰. Here, the upregulated expression of *Nape-pld* mRNA and the unchanged expression of *Faah* mRNA (Fig. 3h) suggest that the increased level of OEA in colitis mice is likely due to the enhanced biosynthesis. The increased OEA biosynthesis, upregulation of PPAR α typical target genes, together with the upregulated expression of *Ugts* suggest an activated PPAR α -UGTs axis in the intestine of colitis mice.

To ascertain whether the PPAR α activation can regulate intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling and the bile acid homeostasis, normal mice were administered with the PPAR α agonist Wy-14643. Wy-14643 treatment for 1 week significantly upregulated the mRNAs of typical PPAR α target genes *Acox1* and *L-Fabp* in the small intestine (Supplementary Fig. 6a–c) and resulted in a robust enhancement of the intestinal expression of multiple *Ugts* (Fig. 4b). In particular, the enzymatic activity towards the glucuronidation of CDCA was enhanced 21-fold by Wy-14643 treatment (Fig. 4a). These results strongly suggest that the intestinal PPAR α plays a pivotal role in regulating the glucuronidation of bile acids. Next, we determined whether the activation of intestinal PPAR α -UGTs axis could regulate the intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling and, thereafter, bile acids homeostasis. Wy-14643 treatment significantly suppressed ileum expression of *Fgf15* (Fig. 4c) and increased the hepatic expression of *Cyp7a1* (Fig. 4d,e), while the intestinal *Fxr* expression was not significantly affected (Fig. 4c). Notably, the intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling regulated by Wy-14643 was quite similar to that observed in DSS-induced colitis. Thus, we next asked whether the PPAR α activation by Wy-14643 could mimic the alternation of bile acids distribution pattern observed in colitis. The results showed that Wy-14643 treatment reduced levels of bile acids in the small intestine (Fig. 4f) but increased the bile acids levels in the colon and faeces (Supplementary Fig. 7); moreover, the faecal glucuronides of most bile acid species were much higher in the mice with Wy-14643 treatment than that in the control (Supplementary Fig. 6d). This profile largely mimics that

observed under the condition of colitis, except that the colonic accumulation of some bile acids species is less evident than those in colitis. Together, these findings strongly support an important role of intestinal PPAR α activation in compromising the intestinal FXR-FGF15 feedback signalling that leads to a continuous upregulation of CYP7A1 and the enhanced *de-novo* bile acids synthesis.

To further validate the role of PPAR α in regulating intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling, a comparative study between wild-type (WT) mice and *Ppara*-null mice was performed (Supplementary Fig. 8a). In agreement with a recent study³¹, hepatic expression of *Cyp7a1* mRNA in *Ppara*-null mice was much lower than that in WT mice (Fig. 4g). The downregulation of hepatic *Cyp7a1* in *Ppara*-null mice is at least partially due to the increased intestinal upregulation of *Fgf15* (Fig. 4h). In support of a role for PPAR α in regulating intestinal UGTs, the intestinal expression of several *Ugt* genes was significantly lower than in WT mice (Fig. 4i). The enzymatic activity towards the glucuronidation of CDCA in the intestinal S9 fraction of *Ppara*-null mice was lower than that in WT mice (Fig. 4j). Moreover, the faecal glucuronides of most bile acid species were much lower in the *Ppara*-null mice than that in WT mice (Supplementary Fig. 8b).

PPAR α -UGTs axis controls FXR-FGF19 signalling in enterocytes.

To further validate the role of PPAR α -UGTs axis in the regulation of bile acids homeostasis, a cellular pharmacokinetic study of CDCA and its association with FXR-FGF15 (FGF19 in humans) signalling was carried out. In a rat intestinal epithelial cell line IEC-6, treatment with Wy-14643 significantly increased the production of CDCA glucuronide (Supplementary Fig. 9a). Moreover, the intracellular exposure level of CDCA was decreased by Wy-14643 treatment, which was closely associated with a significant reduction in activating *Fxr-Fgf15* ($R^2 = 0.8621$, $P = 0.0003$, for test of close fit) (Supplementary Fig. 9b–d). The experiments conducted in primary murine intestinal epithelial cells (PMIECs) further validated these findings. Both OEA and Wy-14643 treatment significantly promoted the metabolic elimination of CDCA and CA in PMIECs, as judged by decreased intracellular concentrations of CDCA and CA, and increased glucuronides of them (Fig. 5a,b,d,e). Consistently, the expression of FXR target genes *Fgf15* and *Shp* was markedly reduced on OEA and Wy-14643 treatment (Fig. 5c,f), indicating a repressed FXR activation as a result of PPAR α activation.

To provide a translational link to humans, this study was extended to HT29 cells by using OEA and fenofibrate (a specific human PPAR α agonist) treatment. The cellular kinetic profiles clearly indicated that the activation of PPAR α by OEA and fenofibrate dramatically decreased intracellular level of CDCA, while small interfering RNA (siRNA) silencing of *UGT1A3* significantly increased CDCA levels (Supplementary Fig. 10a–d). In addition, treatment of HT29 cells with OEA markedly promoted the production of CDCA glucuronide and reduced the intracellular level of CDCA, leading to decreased expression of *FGF19* (Fig. 5g–i). The results obtained from fenofibrate were quite similar to that observed on OEA treatment (Fig. 5j–l). Moreover, the results from the siRNA silencing of PPAR α study confirmed that fenofibrate elicits its effects in a PPAR α -dependent manner (Supplementary Fig. 10e–g). The siRNA silencing of *UGT1A3* drastically increased the intracellular levels of CDCA and reduced the production of CDCA glucuronide, translating to a twofold enhancement of *FGF19* expression (Fig. 5m–o). Interestingly, PPAR α activation and *Ugt1a3* knock-down could not influence the intracellular level of GW4064, a typical FXR agonist, as well as the activation of the FXR-FGF19 signalling pathway (Fig. 5g–o), because GW4064 is not a

Figure 4 | PPAR α controls intestinal FXR-FGF15 and bile acid homeostasis. (a–f) The mice were treated with Wy-14643 (50 mg kg⁻¹, i.g., once a day) for 1 week. Results are mean $\pm$ s.e.m. of eight mice. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 versus control, Student's t -test. **(a)** The enzymatic activity of UGT isozymes towards the glucuronidation of CDCA. The mice S9 in the distal ileum were a pool of eight individuals. **(b)** The mRNA expression of *Ugt1a1*, *Ugt1a6*, *Ugt1a7*, *Ugt2b34* and *Ugt2b35*. **(c)** The ileum expression of *Fxr* and *Fgf15* in control and Wy-14643-treated mice. **(d,e)** The hepatic expression and protein content of CYP7A1 in control and Wy-14643-treated mice. **(f)** Small intestinal bile acid profiles of control and Wy-14643-treated mice using UFLC-triple-time of flight analysis. **(g–j)** Comparative study in *Ppara*-null and WT mice; results of quantitative analysis values are expressed as mean $\pm$ s.e.m. (n = 6) and are plotted as fold change. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 versus WT, Student's t -test. **(g)** The hepatic expression of *Cyp7a1*, *Fgf15*, *Fgf4* and *Shp* in WT and *Ppara*-null (*Ppara*^{-/-}) mice. **(h)** Expression of *Fgf15*, *Shp* and *Fxr* mRNAs in the ileum. **(i,j)** The mRNA expression and activity of Ugt in control and *Ppara*-null mice.

Figure 5 | PPAR α -UGTs axis controls FXR-FGF15 signalling in enterocytes. (a–f) PMIECs were incubated with 50 μ M of CA or CDCA in the absence or presence of PPAR α agonists. (a–c) The relative levels of glucuronidation metabolites in the media and the intracellular concentration of FXR ligands and the expression of *Fgf15* in PMIECs under treatment with OEA. (d–f) The relative levels of glucuronidation metabolites in the media and the intracellular concentration of FXR ligands, and the expression of *Fgf15* in PMIECs under the treatment of Wy-14643. (g–i) The relative levels of glucuronidation metabolites in the media and intracellular concentrations of FXR ligands, and the expression of *FGF19* in HT29 cells under the treatment of OEA. (j–l) The relative levels of glucuronidation metabolites in the media and the intracellular concentration of FXR ligands, and the expression of *FGF19* in HT29 cells under the treatment of fenofibrate. (m–o) The relative levels of glucuronidation metabolites in the media and intracellular concentration of FXR ligands, and the expression of *FGF19* of control and UGT1A3 siRNA-transfected HT29 cells. Data are the mean $\pm$ s.e.m. of three independent experiments and are plotted as fold change. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01 versus control, Student's t -test.

substrate of UGTs and thus is not glucuronidated in HT29 cells. Together, results from the *in vitro* cellular study provide direct evidence supporting a pivotal role for PPAR α and UGTs in determining the intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling via controlling the intracellular disposition of FXR ligands.

Results obtained from the study in HT29 cells suggest that disruption of the PPAR α -UGTs axis can also compromise the intestinal FXR-FGF19 signalling in humans. To develop a translational link to humans, possible dysregulation of PPAR α -UGTs and FXR-FGF19 signalling in the colon biopsies from IBD

Figure 6 | Wy-14643 exacerbates DSS-induced colitis. (a) Body weight. (b) Colon length. (c) Histological assessment (scale bar, 100 μ m). (d) Ileum expression of *Fxr* and *Fgf15* mRNAs. (e) Hepatic expression of *Fgfr4*, β -Klotho, *Shp* and *Cyp7a1* mRNAs. (f) Bile acid pool analysis. Results of quantitative analysis values are expressed as mean $\pm$ s.e.m. ($n=6$) and are plotted as fold change. * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$ versus DSS, Student's *t*-test.

patients was analysed. In line with data obtained from the DSS-induced colitis mice, the PPAR α target genes, including *ACOX1* and multiple *UGTs*, in particular the *UGT1A3*, were significantly higher, while expression of the FXR target genes, including *FGF19* and *SHP*, were significantly lower than that in the healthy control samples (Supplementary Fig. 11a–c). These results indicate that PPAR α -UGTs signalling is overactivated and may repress the FXR-FGF19 signalling in IBD patients.

PPAR α -FGF15 signalling controls DSS-induced colitis. The present results indicated that the PPAR α -FGF15 signalling has a pivotal role in determining bile acid homeostasis under both physiological conditions and in DSS-induced colitis. To determine whether dysregulation of PPAR α -FGF15 signalling is involved in the pathological development of DSS-induced colitis, mice were treated with PPAR α agonist Wy-14643 alone or together with DSS. Although Wy-14643 alone did not induce any inflammatory change in the colon, it markedly enhanced DSS-induced colitis. In a preliminary study, we found that Wy-14643 together with a relatively low dose of DSS at 2% resulted in a high mortality rate and most of the mice could not survive through the three cycles of DSS treatment. Thus, we designed an experimental protocol of 7 days DSS treatment with 3 days washout to determine the influence of PPAR α activation in DSS-induced colitis. By use of this protocol, DSS alone induced a relatively minor extent of inflammatory damage and less evident upregulation of UGTs (Supplementary Fig. 12c), as compared with the chronic DSS-induced colitis (Fig. 3c). Wy-14643 co-treatment with DSS resulted in a more dramatic decrease in body weight and colon length (Fig. 6a,b), more severe inflammatory damage (Fig. 6c) and higher extent of imbalance between proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines (Supplementary Fig. 12a), when compared with DSS treatment alone. Wy-14643 treatment significantly upregulated the expression of *Ppar α* and its target genes in the ileum, including *Acox-1*, *L-Fabp* and multiple *Ugt*

isoform genes (Supplementary Fig. 12b,c). Consistently, the ileum expression of FXR target genes *Fgf15* and *Shp*, and hepatic expression of *Fgfr4* and *Shp* were further decreased (Fig. 6d,e), leading to more upregulated expression of hepatic *Cyp7a1* and increased bile acid pool size in the Wy-14643 and DSS co-treated mice (Fig. 6e,f).

To further validate the role of PPAR α in colitis, a comparative study of DSS-induced colitis was performed in WT and *Ppara*-null mice. *Ppara*-null mice were less susceptible to chronic DSS-induced colitis, as judged by less decrease in body weight, longer colon length and reduced inflammatory tissue damage, when compared with WT mice (Fig. 7a–c). The ileum expression of PPAR α target gene *L-Fabp* and multiple *Ugt* isoform genes, including *Ugt1a1*, *Ugt1a6* and *Ugt1a7* in the *Ppara*-null mice, was significantly lower than that in the WT littermates (Fig. 7d,e). Consequently, the ileum expression of FXR target genes *Fgf15* and *Shp* was significantly higher, while the hepatic expression of *Cyp7a1* was significantly lower in the *Ppara*-null mice than that in the WT littermates (Fig. 7f,g).

As the reduced ileum secretion of FGF15 is an important determinant in causing dysregulation of bile acids in DSS-induced colitis, the possibility that FGF15 supplementation could ameliorate DSS-induced colitis and restore bile acid homeostasis was investigated. As it was previously reported that recombinant human FGF19 is effective in regulating bile acid homeostasis in mice³², DSS-induced colitis mice were treated with recombinant human FGF19 at a dose of 25 μ g kg⁻¹ intraperitoneally. Chronic treatment of FGF19 markedly attenuated DSS-induced colitis, as revealed by a significant increase in the ability to regain weight, longer colon length and improved inflammatory tissue damage (Fig. 8a–c). Moreover, FGF19 treatment significantly restored hepatic expression of *Fgfr4* and *Shp*, and in particular, the hepatic expression of *Cyp7a1*, and the bile acid pool size returned to normal levels (Fig. 8d–g). Together, these results suggest that reduced secretion of FGF15 is an important factor in facilitating pathological development of DSS-induced colitis and

Figure 7 | *Pparα*-null mice are less susceptible to DSS-induced colitis. (a) Body weight. (b) Colon length. (c) Haematoxylin and eosin staining of colon tissues (scale bar, 100 μ m). (d) The mRNA expression of *Pparα* and *L-Fabp* in the ileum and liver of WT control and *Pparα*-null (*Pparα* $-/-$) mice. (e) The mRNA expression of *Ugts* in WT and *Pparα* $-/-$ mice. (f) The mRNA expression of *Fgf15*, *Shp* and *Fxr* in the ileum. (g) The hepatic expression of *Cyp7a1*, β -Klotho, *Fgf4* and *Shp* in WT and *Pparα* $-/-$ mice. Results of quantitative analysis values are expressed as mean $\pm$ s.e.m. ($n = 6$) and are plotted as fold change. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ versus WT, Student's *t*-test.

that the chronic FGF19 treatment at a low dose may be a promising strategy for therapy of colitis.

Discussion

The current study demonstrated that chronic DSS-induced colitis activates intestinal PPAR α -UGTs axis promoting the metabolic elimination of bile acids, endogenous ligands of FXR, in the small intestine. The reduced intracellular level of endogenous FXR ligands results in a repressed activation of intestinal FXR-FGF15 feedback signalling that leads to the upregulation of hepatic CYP7A1 and thereby increasing *de novo* synthesis of bile acids under conditions of DSS-induced colitis. Our results indicate that the overactivation of the PPAR α -UGT axis and consequently the compromised intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling are key

determinants in the pathological development of colitis in the DSS-induced model.

Increased faecal excretion of bile acids is a well-defined pattern in IBD; however, the potential altered disposition of bile acids in other compartments in IBD is less known and controversial in previous studies^{33,34}. Increased faecal excretion but decreased serum levels of bile acids were observed in experimental colitis mice, which conformed to earlier observations in both experimental colitis and IBD patients^{35,36}. The levels of bile acids accumulated in the inflamed colon tissues were found dramatically increased, supporting a potential role for toxic bile acids in potentiating the pathological development of colitis. Although the bile acid pool size increased, it was of interest to note that multiple unconjugated bile acids significantly decreased in the small intestine. The decreased intracellular levels of

Figure 8 | FGF19 treatment protects against DSS-induced colitis in mice. DSS-induced chronic colitis mice were treated with recombinant FGF19 at a dose of $25 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ per day for the first 14 days per DSS cycle. **(a)** Body weight recorded during the first DSS cycle. **(b)** Colon length. **(c)** Haematoxylin and eosin staining of colon tissues (scale bar, $100 \mu\text{m}$). **(d)** Hepatic *Fgfr4*, β -*klotho*, *Shp* and *Fxr* mRNA expression. **(e)** Hepatic *Cyp7a1* mRNA expression. **(f)** Hepatic levels of CYP7A1 protein. **(g)** Bile acid pool analysis. Results of quantitative analysis values are mean $\pm$ s.e.m. of eight mice. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ versus DSS, Student's *t*-test.

multiple FXR ligands, including CDCA, CA and DCA, in the ileum represent a major mechanism underlying the repressed FXR-FGF15 feedback signalling pathway in colitis. Moreover, the hepatic expression of *Fgfr4* was reduced in colitis, which may be related to the reduced FGF15 levels in view that the FGF19 treatment could significantly restore the hepatic expression of *Fgfr4*. The reduced circulating FGF15 levels and decreased hepatic expression of its receptor *Fgfr4* may work together to upregulate CYP7A1. It was of interest to note that the intracellular accumulation of T β MCA, a recently identified endogenous FXR antagonist^{37,38}, in the ileum is significantly increased in colitis mice. Thus, the possibility cannot be excluded that the increased level of FXR antagonist T β MCA, in addition to a decrease in FXR agonists, may also contribute to compromised ileal FXR-FGF15 signalling in colitis mice. Nevertheless, these findings suggest a facilitated faecal elimination and an increased hepatic *de novo* synthesis of bile acids in DSS-induced colitis. Such a dysregulation of bile acids in DSS-induced colitis is quite similar to that observed from the use of bile acids sequestrant,

which promotes the faecal elimination of bile acids and upregulates the hepatic expression of *Cyp7a1*. Of particular interest, a recent study suggested a specific enrichment of bile acids in the colon with colestilan treatment³⁹. It seems that the facilitated faecal elimination of bile acids may be able to promote the delivery of bile acids to the distal part of the gut. The inflamed colon tissues characterized with damaged epithelium are thus readily to enrich bile acids species.

As the faecal excretion of unconjugated bile acids is even higher in colitis mice than that in the normal control, the reduced intracellular unconjugated bile acids in the small intestine may not be explained by the reduced deconjugation activity in the colitis mice. Instead, these results strongly indicate that the glucuronidation of bile acids in colitis mice is drastically increased, which may explain the reduced intracellular levels of unconjugated bile acids. Unlike taurine- and glycine-conjugated bile acids, glucuronidated bile acids cannot be reabsorbed and may represent an important detoxification mechanism under the condition of abnormal bile acids accumulation²¹. Previous studies

Figure 9 | PPAR α -UGTs axis regulates bile acid homeostasis in colitis. In the ileum, the activation of PPAR α due to the increased levels of OEA upregulates Ugt, which facilitates the metabolic elimination of bile acid endogenous ligands for FXR. The reduced intracellular level of FXR ligands in the ileum compromises FXR-FGF19/15 signalling and represses the hepatic expression of CYP7A1, promoting *de-novo* synthesis of bile acids. The PPAR α -UGTs axis is functionally overactivated in colitis and represents a major mechanism in bile acids homeostasis disruption. The increased delivery of bile acids to the inflamed colon where the mucosa integrity is damaged by inflammation results in an abnormal accumulation of toxic bile acids and thereby facilitates the pathological development of colitis.

suggested that the hepatic glucuronidation of bile acids may be part of a negative feedback mechanism by which bile acids limit their biological activity and control their intracellular level to avoid a pathophysiological accumulation^{23,40}. The present data suggest that the intestinal glucuronidation of bile acids is also an important factor in maintaining the bile acid homeostasis via controlling the intracellular levels of endogenous FXR ligands and consequently the ileal FXR-FGF15 signalling. Although it remains still a concern about the exact UGT isoforms involved in the glucuronidation of bile acids in mice, it is reasonable to speculate that multiple UGT isoforms may be involved, considering that multiple UGT isoforms, including UGT1A3, UGT2B4, UGT2B7, UGT2A1 and UGT2A2, were found responsible for bile acid glucuronidation in human beings^{20,24,25}.

Previous studies about the effect of PPAR α activation in regulating CYP7A1 and bile acids homeostasis are controversial. Some studies reported that activation of PPAR α by fibrates could repress the expression of Cyp7a1 (refs 41,42), which was presumed to be a potential mechanism underlying the side effects of fibrates in causing gall stones in humans. However, other studies indicated that the activation of PPAR α by endogenous agonist, such as fatty acid metabolites, could promote Cyp7a1 transcriptional activity⁴³ and that the PPAR α -specific agonist Wy-14643 increased the bile acid synthesis in mice⁴⁴. However, none of the previous studies considered the potential influence of PPAR α activation in regulating CYP7A1 via the intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling. The current finding that increased OEA, an endogenous PPAR α ligand, and the resultant upregulation of typical PPAR α target genes and genes encoding some of the UGTs in the small intestine, indicate an activated PPAR α -UGTs axis in colitis mice. Oral administration of the PPAR α agonist Wy-14643 largely mimicked the characteristic patterns observed in colitis, including the enhanced UGT expression and activity, repressed intestinal FXR-FGF15 signalling, upregulated hepatic CYP7A1 expression and even the compartmental disposition patterns of bile acids. Moreover,

the intestinal FXR-FGF15 feedback signalling was found significantly promoted in the Ppar α -null mice. In PMIECs, PPAR α activation by Wy-14643 or OEA led to repressed FXR-FGF15 signalling activated by CDCA or CA, an effect that was dependent on the expression and activity of UGTs. Notably, the activation of PPAR α by OEA or fenofibrate in the HT29 cell lines led to quite similar findings to that observed in the rodent intestinal cell model. The analysis of colon biopsies further reveals that activation of the PPAR α -UGTs axis and repression of FXR-FGF19 signalling also occurs in IBD patients. Moreover, chronic treatment with fenofibrate for three months markedly reduced the serum levels of FGF19 (ref. 45), supporting an important role of PPAR α activation in regulating FXR-FGF19 signalling in humans. Together, these results provide a translational link of the findings from the DSS-induced colitis mice to the pathological development of IBD in humans.

Several previous reports suggest a protective effect of PPAR α activation against the pathological development of experimental colitis^{46–49}, which are contradictory to the present findings. Herein, a comprehensive evidence is provided that the intestinal PPAR α is already overactivated in the chronic DSS-induced colitis model, and that the treatment with PPAR α agonist could markedly promote, whereas the knockout of PPAR α could significantly retard, the pathological development of DSS-induced colitis. Moreover, the chronic treatment with recombinant FGF19 could significantly attenuate DSS-induced colitis. The discrepancy between the present results and the previous reports may be explained by the use of different animal models and experimental protocols. Notably, previous studies were performed either in acute colitis animal models or in the interleukin-10-deficient mice that spontaneously develop colitis. The protective effects of PPAR α activation observed with these mouse models may be explained by the anti-inflammatory effects of PPAR α activation. In contrast, the present study showed that prolonged activation of PPAR α in the DSS-induced chronic colitis model may actually aggravate the pathological development of colitis via disrupting

bile acid homeostasis. Previous studies revealed that in a 2,4,6-trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid-induced acute colitis model, most of the UGTs were downregulated⁵⁰. Moreover, the present study revealed that the upregulation of UGTs in the acute DSS colitis-treated mice is less evident than that in the chronic DSS models. These results suggest that the PPAR α -UGTs dysregulation patterns may vary between acute and chronic colitis animal models. However, even in the acute DSS-induced colitis, the prolonged treatment (10 days) with Wy-14643 drastically exacerbated the pathological development of colitis (Fig. 6a–f). Together, our results support a pivotal role of prolonged PPAR α activation and the resultant bile acids dysregulation in the pathological development of chronic DSS-induced colitis.

In summary, the current study indicates that the intestinal PPAR α -UGT axis plays a pivotal role in regulating FXR-FGF15 (FGF19) signalling and bile acid homeostasis via controlling the intracellular levels of FXR ligands. Under conditions of DSS-induced chronic colitis, the prolonged activation of intestinal PPAR α -UGT axis promotes the intracellular metabolic elimination of endogenous FXR ligands in the small intestine, which decouples the intestinal FXR-FGF15 feedback signalling from the hepatic CYP7A1 expression and bile acids *de novo* synthesis (Fig. 9). Results collected from the present study suggest that restoration of OEA-PPAR α -UGT axis and FXR-FGF15 signalling may be of benefits to restore bile acid homeostasis in the colitis model and thus retard pathological development of colitis. Taken together, these results suggest that the regulation of PPAR α -UGT axis and the supplementation of recombinant FGF19 may represent promising strategy for the therapy of colitis.

Methods

Materials. OEA was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA); DSS (molecular weight = 36,000–50,000 kDa) was obtained from MP Biochemical (Santa Ana, CA). MPA O-glucuronide and naloxone 3- β -D-glucuronide were obtained from Toronto Research Chemicals Inc. (ON, Canada). β -MCA, UDCA, HDCA, G-LCA, G-UDCA, G-DCA, T- β -MCA, T-UDCA, T-HDCA and T-LCA were purchased from Steraloids Inc. (Newport, RI). α -MCA, CA, DCA, CDCA, lithocholic acid (LCA), G-CDCA, G-CA, T-CDCA, T-DCA, T-CA, dehydrocholic acid and propranolol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). The anti-UGT1A, anti-FXR, anti-FGF15 and anti-CYP7A1 antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. The anti-PPAR α antibody was from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). The anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) antibody was purchased from SunShine Biotechnology (Nanjing, China). The secondary antibodies and recombinant human FGF19 was obtained from Bioworld Technology (St Louis Park, MN). Other reagents, unless mentioned, were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. RNA extracts of colon biopsies from 8 healthy humans and 13 ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease patients were previously described⁵¹. The ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease samples were collected from consented patients and approved by the University of Michigan IRB committee (approval number HUM00042210).

Animals and treatments. Specific pathogen free male C57BL/6 mice (8 weeks old, 20 g) were obtained from Academy of Military Medical Sciences, China. Animals were housed in an air-conditioned room (25 °C) under a 12-h light/dark cycle for 1 week before experiments and allowed water and standard chow *ad libitum*. Mice were randomly classified into different groups according to body weights in all the experiments. The animal studies were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of China Pharmaceutical University and have been carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Ppar α -null mice and WT mice on a C57BL/6N genetic background were raised and maintained in the National Cancer Institute; handling was in accordance with an animal study protocol approved by the National Cancer Institute Animal Care and Use Committee. Colitis was induced using the method described before with little modification⁵². In brief, colitis was induced by administration of 2.5% (w/v) DSS in drinking water for 7 days and a 14-day washout with drinking water for 3 cycles. Faecal and urine excretion of bile acid was determined in stools collected over 24 h in metabolic cages. Mice were killed at the indicated time points after induction of experimental colitis. Blood was collected from the post-ocular vein. Liver, small intestine, colon and intestine content were harvested and the small intestine was divided into three equal segments in ascending order. To determine the total bile acid content, tissues were removed from 4-h-fasted mice. All tissues for bile acid and RNA analysis were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in –80 °C until further processed.

For the PPAR α activation study, male, 8-week-old mice were administered Wy-14643 (50 mg kg^{–1} per day) or vehicle (0.5% CMC-Na) by intragastric gavage at 0800 h each day for 1 week. Mice were killed 24 h after the last dose, and the organs were collected and stored at –80 °C until analysis. To determine the role of PPAR α activation in the pathological development of colitis, mice were randomly divided into three groups, including Wy-14643 group (Wy-14643 containing diet, ~50 mg kg^{–1} per day), DSS group (2% in drinking water) and DSS + Wy-14643 group. DSS were treated for 7 days and then replaced with drinking water for 3 days. The mice were killed on the day 10 for experimental determinations. To determine the influence of FGF19 in DSS-induced colitis, male, 8-week-old mice were treated with or without 25 μ g kg^{–1} of FGF19 intraperitoneally (at 1800 h, once a day on the first 14 days of each DSS cycle) throughout the three cycles of DSS treatment (2% DSS in drinking water for 7 days, a 14-day washout with drinking water in each cycle).

Cell culture and treatment. The rat intestinal epithelial cell line IEC-6 was obtained from the goldamethyst Pharm and Bio-tech Co. Ltd (Beijing, China). Human colon carcinoma cell line HT29 was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, USA). IEC-6 cells were grown in DMEM (GIBCO, Gland Island, New York) supplemented with 0.1 U ml^{–1} bovine insulin and 1 $\times$ MEM nonessential amino acids (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). HT-29 cells were cultured in McCoy's 5A medium (GIBCO). The PMIECs were isolated using chelation method as previously described⁵³. Briefly, ileum was dissected from C57BL/6 mice and thoroughly washed with sterilized PBS. Ileum was cut into 1-mm pieces followed by incubation in the chelation buffer at room temperature that allowed the isolation of single epithelial cells. The isolated epithelial cells were suspended in advanced DMEM/F12 (Invitrogen) containing recombinant human epidermal growth factor 5 ng ml^{–1}, insulin 5 μ g ml^{–1}, transferrin 5 μ g ml^{–1}, epinephrine 1.0 μ M, hydrocortisone 5 μ g ml^{–1}, heparin sulphate 0.75 U ml^{–1} and ascorbic acid 50 μ g ml^{–1}. All media were supplemented with 10 U ml^{–1} penicillin, 10 μ g ml^{–1} streptomycin and 10% FCS. HT29 cells were maintained in culture for 7 days to allow differentiation into mature enterocyte-like cells.

CDCA (50 μ M), CA (50 μ M) or GW4064 (5 μ M) were used as natural or synthetic FXR agonists. OEA (50 μ M), Wy-14643 (75 μ M) and fenofibrate (50 μ M) were used as murine or human-specific PPAR α agonists. HT29 cells were transfected with control siRNA or siRNA targeting UGT1A3 (Invitrogen) using RNAiMAX transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Two days later, the cells were harvested for western blot analysis to confirm the efficiency of siRNA knockdown.

For glucuronidation assays, the cells were treated with OEA, Wy-14643 or fenofibrate for 24 h and subsequently incubated in the presence of CA, CDCA or GW4064 for another 24 h. Media was then recovered for subsequent determination of metabolite. Cells were lysed by three freeze-thaw cycles for CA or CDCA determination. The quantification of CA, CDCA and glucuronidation metabolites were performed by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)^{20,54}. The chromatographic system consisted of a Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) LC system performed on API4000 (AB Sciex, Foster City, CA, USA). Analytes were separated with a ZORBAX Eclipse Plus C18 column (2.1 $\times$ 150 mm, 3.5 μ m) (Agilent Technologies, USA). The mobile phase consisted of solvent A 2.6 mmol l^{–1} ammonium acetate in water and solvent B acetonitrile. A gradient elution of the mobile phase was performed: initial 20% B for 2 min, linear gradient 20–80% B from 2 to 6 min and hold at 80% B for 3.5 min, then quickly returned to initial 20% B in 1.5 min and maintained for a further 2 min for column balance. Dehydrocholic acid was used as the internal standard (IS), and relative levels of Glu-CA and Glu-CDCA were expressed as peak area, normalized by dividing peak area of the IS. All experiments were conducted in triplicates.

Assessment of mucosal damage and biochemical analysis. Tissue sections (5 μ m) were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Histopathological scoring was performed by an experienced pathologist blinded to the experimental conditions, using an established semiquantitative score ranging from 0 to 6 based on infiltration of inflammatory cells and epithelial damage.

Immunohistochemistry. Tissue specimens were fixed in 10% formalin for 12–24 h, dehydrated and paraffin embedded. Standard immunohistochemical procedures were performed. Tissue sections were incubated with the primary antibody (rabbit polyclonal anti-FXR, 1:100; goat polyclonal anti-FGF15, 1:100; rabbit polyclonal anti-PPAR α , 1:200) for 2 h at room temperature, followed by 30 min incubation with the pre-diluted horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:400). For negative controls, 1% non-immune serum in PBS replaced the primary antibodies. The immunohistochemistry staining of FXR, FGF15 and PPAR α was scored by measuring the integrated optical density of at least three visions of each slice using Image pro-plus 6.0 software. Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ s.e.m. of six mice.

Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR. Total tissue and cell RNA extraction were performed using the RNAiso Plus reagent (TaKaRa Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Complementary DNA was generated from 500 ng total RNA using SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase

(Invitrogen). Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR analysis was carried out using SYBR green PCR mastermix and analysed on a MyIQ real-time PCR cycler (BioRad, Veenendaal, The Netherlands). Values were normalized to GAPDH. Sequences for primers and siRNA are listed in Supplementary Tables 2–5.

Western blot analysis. Tissue extracts were prepared, subjected to SDS–PAGE using 8% gradient (Bis-Tris Midi Gel, Invitrogen) polyacrylamide gels and analysed by immunoblotting with antibody to CYP7A1 (1:500). Blots were stripped and reprobed with antibody to GAPDH (1:2,000) to normalize for protein load. Protein expression was quantified by densitometry using a Microtek ScanMaker i900 and FujiFilm Multiguage 3 software, and expression data were normalized to levels of the GAPDH loading control.

UGT activity detection. Tissues from six to eight mice were pooled and homogenized to make 20% homogenates in the 10 mM phosphate buffer containing 5 mM EDTA. The homogenates were subjected to centrifugation at 9,000g for 20 min to isolate pooled post-mitochondrial fractions. The protein concentrations of the S9 were determined with BCA protein assay kit. All the S9 were stored at -80°C before use⁵⁵. The UGT activities were determined towards various substrates in pooled mice S9. A typical incubation mixture contained 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), 10 mM MgCl_2 , $25\text{ }\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ alamethicin, UDPGA, S9 and a selective substrate, including estradiol, CDCA, 4-MU, MPA or naloxone. S9 fractions were preincubated with alamethicin for 30 min at 4°C . The concentrations of estradiol, CDCA, 4-MU, MPA and naloxone were 20, 50, 100, 200 and $20\text{ }\mu\text{M}$, respectively, all of which were the concentrations below apparent K_m values^{20,56}. Other experimental conditions were the same as those described before^{20,56}. The enzymatic activity was determined by HPLC and LC-MS analysis of the produced metabolites of the respective substrate^{20,56,57}. Incubation conditions are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Quantification of bile acids in mice. The abundance of bile acids across multiple tissue compartments was assayed. Bile acids were extracted in 70% ethanol at 55°C for 4 h, and bile salt species were analysed by the ultra-fast liquid chromatography (UFLC)-Triple-time of flight/MS analysis^{58,59}. The alcoholic extracts were subjected to further solid-phase extraction using solid-phase extraction technique (UCT-CLEAN UP C18, Oasis-HLB and Oasis-MAX cartridges), and then the organic solvent was evaporated by Speed-Vac (Thermo Savant, Waltham, MA). The residue was reconstituted in $100\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ methanol by vortexing. The chromatographic system consisted of a Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan) UFLC system performed on AB SCIEX Triple TOF 5,600 mass spectrometer equipped with an atmospheric pressure chemical ionization probe in a Turbo V ion source (AB Sciex). The chromatographic separation was carried out on a ZORBAX Eclipse Plus C18 column ($2.1 \times 150\text{ mm}$, $3.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) (Agilent Technologies) and protected by a SecurityGuard (Phenomenex Inc., CA, USA). The mobile phase (delivered at 0.2 ml min^{-1}) consisted of (A) 2.6 mmol l^{-1} ammonium acetate in water (adjusted to pH 6.8 with ammonium hydroxide) and (B) acetonitrile. The MS analysis was performed in a full negative scan mode from m/z 50 to 1,000 and the respective pseudomolecular ion $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^{-}$ for each bile acid species was extracted for quantification under extracted ion chromatogram mode. The information of fragment ions produced at Q3 was used to ascertain the identity of bile acid species. The retention time, pseudomolecular ions and fragment ions for all the bile acids species are shown in Supplementary Table 6. Analyst 1.4.2 software (AB Sciex) was used for system control, PeakView software for data exploration and quantification data were acquired with MultiQuant software 2.0.

Quantification of OEA. The extraction, purification and quantification of OEA were performed as described previously⁶⁰. Intestinal contents were dounce-homogenized and extracted with chloroform/methanol/Tris-HCl 50 mmol l^{-1} pH 7.5 (2:1:1, vol/vol) containing IS (propranolol, 10 ng ml^{-1}). The lipid-containing organic phase was dried down, weighed, and prepurified by open-bed chromatography on silica gel. The prepurified lipids were analyzed by liquid chromatography–atmospheric pressure chemical ionization–mass spectrometry by using a Shimadzu high-performance liquid chromatography apparatus (LC-10ADVP) coupled to a Shimadzu (LCMS-2010) quadrupole mass spectrometry via a Shimadzu atmospheric pressure chemical ionization interface. The chromatographic separation was achieved on an Agilent C18 column ($150 \times 2.1\text{ mm}$, $3.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) (Agilent Technologies, USA), protected by an SecurityGuard (Phenomenex Inc. CA, USA). The mobile phase comprising 0.1% formic-acid solution (A) and acetonitrile (B) was delivered at a flow rate of 0.25 ml min^{-1} using a gradient program set as: initial 30% B for 2 min, linear gradient 30–90% B from 3 to 5.5 min and to 95% B until 10 min, and then returned back to initial 30% in 2 min and maintained for a further 3 min for column balance. Selective ion monitoring was performed in the positive mode for the determination of pseudomolecular ions of OEA at m/z 326.2 and the IS propranolol at m/z 260.1. The amounts of OEA in tissues are expressed as micrograms per gram of wet tissue weight.

Statistical analysis. All experimental data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ s.e.m. as indicated in the figure legends. Differences among multiple groups were tested using one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett's *post-hoc* comparisons. Differences between two groups were tested by the Student's *t*-test. Differences were considered significant if $P < 0.05$ and have been marked with asterisks in the graph accordingly.

References

- Lefebvre, P., Cariou, B., Lien, F., Kuipers, F. & Stals, B. Role of bile acids and bile acid receptors in metabolic regulation. *Physiol. Rev.* **89**, 147–191 (2009).
- Potthoff, M. J. *et al.* FGF15/19 regulates hepatic glucose metabolism by inhibiting the CREB-PGC-1 α pathway. *Cell Metab.* **13**, 729–738 (2011).
- Watanabe, M. *et al.* Bile acids induce energy expenditure by promoting intracellular thyroid hormone activation. *Nature* **439**, 484–489 (2006).
- Prawitt, J. *et al.* Farnesoid X receptor deficiency improves glucose homeostasis in mouse models of obesity. *Diabetes* **60**, 1861–1871 (2011).
- Pols, T. W., Noriega, L. G., Nomura, M., Auwerx, J. & Schoonjans, K. The bile acid membrane receptor TGR5 as an emerging target in metabolism and inflammation. *J. Hepatol.* **54**, 1263–1272 (2011).
- Huang, W. *et al.* Nuclear receptor-dependent bile acid signaling is required for normal liver regeneration. *Science* **312**, 233–236 (2006).
- Willett, W. The search for the causes of breast and colon cancer. *Nature* **338**, 389–394 (1989).
- Yang, K. *et al.* Dietary induction of colonic tumors in a mouse model of sporadic colon cancer. *Cancer Res.* **68**, 7803–7810 (2008).
- Payne, C. M. *et al.* Deoxycholate induces mitochondrial oxidative stress and activates NF- κ B through multiple mechanisms in HCT-116 colon epithelial cells. *Carcinogenesis* **28**, 215–222 (2007).
- Devkota, S. *et al.* Dietary-fat-induced taurocholic acid promotes pathobiont expansion and colitis in IL10 $^{-/-}$ mice. *Nature* **487**, 104–108 (2012).
- Jansen, P. L. Endogenous bile acids as carcinogens. *J. Hepatol.* **47**, 434–435 (2007).
- Sinal, C. J. *et al.* Targeted disruption of the nuclear receptor FXR/BAR impairs bile acid and lipid homeostasis. *Cell* **102**, 731–744 (2000).
- Modica, S. *et al.* Selective activation of nuclear bile acid receptor FXR in the intestine protects mice against cholestasis. *Gastroenterology* **142**, 355–365 e1–4 (2012).
- Arrese, M. & Karpen, S. J. New horizons in the regulation of bile acid and lipid homeostasis: critical role of the nuclear receptor FXR as an intracellular bile acid sensor. *Gut* **49**, 465–466 (2001).
- Inagaki, T. *et al.* Fibroblast growth factor 15 functions as an enterohepatic signal to regulate bile acid homeostasis. *Cell Metab.* **2**, 217–225 (2005).
- Matsubara, T., Li, F. & Gonzalez, F. J. FXR signaling in the enterohepatic system. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* **368**, 17–29 (2013).
- Crestani, M., Sadeghpour, A., Stroup, D., Galli, G. & Chiang, J. Y. Transcriptional activation of the cholesterol 7 α -hydroxylase gene (CYP7A) by nuclear hormone receptors. *J. Lipid Res.* **39**, 2192–2200 (1998).
- Kong, B. *et al.* Mechanism of tissue-specific farnesoid X receptor in suppressing the expression of genes in bile-acid synthesis in mice. *Hepatology* **56**, 1034–1043 (2012).
- Chiang, J. Y. Bile acids: regulation of synthesis. *J. Lipid Res.* **50**, 1955–1966 (2009).
- Trottier, J. *et al.* Human UDP-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT)1A3 enzyme conjugates chenodeoxycholic acid in the liver. *Hepatology* **44**, 1158–1170 (2006).
- Verreault, M. *et al.* Regulation of endobiotics glucuronidation by ligand-activated transcription factors: physiological function and therapeutic potential. *Drug Metab. Rev.* **42**, 110–122 (2010).
- Barbier, O., Trottier, J., Kaeding, J., Caron, P. & Verreault, M. Lipid-activated transcription factors control bile acid glucuronidation. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* **326**, 3–8 (2009).
- Barbier, O. *et al.* FXR induces the UGT2B4 enzyme in hepatocytes: a potential mechanism of negative feedback control of FXR activity. *Gastroenterology* **124**, 1926–1940 (2003).
- Perreault, M. *et al.* The Human UDP-glucuronosyltransferase UGT2A1 and UGT2A2 enzymes are highly active in bile acid glucuronidation. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **41**, 1616–1620 (2013).
- Trottier, J. *et al.* Profiling serum bile acid glucuronides in humans: gender divergences, genetic determinants, and response to fenofibrate. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* **94**, 533–543 (2013).
- Buckley, D. B. & Klaassen, C. D. Induction of mouse UDP-glucuronosyltransferase mRNA expression in liver and intestine by activators of aryl-hydrocarbon receptor, constitutive androstane receptor, pregnane X receptor, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α , and nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **37**, 847–856 (2009).
- Barbier, O. *et al.* Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α induces hepatic expression of the human bile acid glucuronidating UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2B4 enzyme. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 32852–32860 (2003).
- Fu, J. *et al.* Oleylethanolamide regulates feeding and body weight through activation of the nuclear receptor PPAR- α . *Nature* **425**, 90–93 (2003).

29. Izzo, A. A. *et al.* Basal and fasting/refeeding-regulated tissue levels of endogenous PPAR- α ligands in Zucker rats. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **18**, 55–62 (2010).
30. Matias, I. *et al.* Role and regulation of acylethanolamides in energy balance: focus on adipocytes and beta-cells. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **152**, 676–690 (2007).
31. Li, F., Patterson, A. D., Krausz, K. W., Tanaka, N. & Gonzalez, F. J. Metabolomics reveals an essential role for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α in bile acid homeostasis. *J. Lipid Res.* **53**, 1625–1635 (2012).
32. Holt, J. A. *et al.* Definition of a novel growth factor-dependent signal cascade for the suppression of bile acid biosynthesis. *Genes Dev.* **17**, 1581–1591 (2003).
33. Dikopoulos, N. *et al.* Bile synthesis in rat models of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Eur. J. Clin. Invest.* **37**, 222–230 (2007).
34. Lapidus, A. & Einarsson, C. Bile composition in patients with ileal resection due to Crohn's disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **4**, 89–94 (1998).
35. Gnewuch, C. *et al.* Serum bile acid profiling reflects enterohepatic detoxification state and intestinal barrier function in inflammatory bowel disease. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **15**, 3134–3141 (2009).
36. Duboc, H. *et al.* Connecting dysbiosis, bile-acid dysmetabolism and gut inflammation in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Gut* **62**, 531–539 (2013).
37. Sayin, S. I. *et al.* Gut microbiota regulates bile acid metabolism by reducing the levels of tauro-beta-muricholic acid, a naturally occurring FXR antagonist. *Cell Metab.* **17**, 225–235 (2013).
38. Li, F. *et al.* Microbiome remodelling leads to inhibition of intestinal farnesoid X receptor signalling and decreased obesity. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2384 (2013).
39. Harach, T. *et al.* TGR5 potentiates GLP-1 secretion in response to anionic exchange resins. *Sci. Rep.* **2**, 430 (2012).
40. Li, Y. Q., Prentice, D. A., Howard, M. L., Mashford, M. L. & Desmond, P. V. Bilirubin and bile acids may modulate their own metabolism via regulating uridine diphosphate-glucuronosyltransferase expression in the rat. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **15**, 865–870 (2000).
41. Gbaguidi, G. F. & Agellon, L. B. The inhibition of the human cholesterol 7 α -hydroxylase gene (CYP7A1) promoter by fibrates in cultured cells is mediated via the liver x receptor α and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α heterodimer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, 1113–1121 (2004).
42. Marrapodi, M. & Chiang, J. Y. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) and agonist inhibit cholesterol 7 α -hydroxylase gene (CYP7A1) transcription. *J. Lipid Res.* **41**, 514–520 (2000).
43. Cheema, S. K. & Agellon, L. B. The murine and human cholesterol 7 α -hydroxylase gene promoters are differentially responsive to regulation by fatty acids mediated via peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α . *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 12530–12536 (2000).
44. Gyamfi, M. A. & Wan, Y. J. Mechanisms of resistance of hepatocyte retinoid X receptor α -null mice to WY-14,643-induced hepatocyte proliferation and cholestasis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 9321–9330 (2009).
45. Mraz, M. *et al.* Serum concentrations of fibroblast growth factor 19 in patients with obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus: the influence of acute hyperinsulinemia, very-low calorie diet and PPAR- α agonist treatment. *Physiol. Res.* **60**, 627–636 (2011).
46. Cuzzocrea, S. *et al.* Role of endogenous and exogenous ligands for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors α (PPAR- α) in the development of inflammatory bowel disease in mice. *Lab. Invest.* **84**, 1643–1654 (2004).
47. Tanaka, T. *et al.* Ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors α and γ inhibit chemically induced colitis and formation of aberrant crypt foci in rats. *Cancer Res.* **61**, 2424–2428 (2001).
48. Lee, J. W. *et al.* Fenofibrate represses interleukin-17 and interferon- γ expression and improves colitis in interleukin-10-deficient mice. *Gastroenterology* **133**, 108–123 (2007).
49. Azuma, Y. T. *et al.* PPAR α contributes to colonic protection in mice with DSS-induced colitis. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **10**, 1261–1267 (2010).
50. Zhou, X. *et al.* Disturbance of hepatic and intestinal UDP-glucuronosyltransferase in rats with trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced colitis. *Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet.* **28**, 305–313 (2013).
51. Xue, X. *et al.* Endothelial PAS domain protein 1 activates the inflammatory response in the intestinal epithelium to promote colitis in mice. *Gastroenterology* **145**, 831–841 (2013).
52. Okayasu, I. *et al.* A novel method in the induction of reliable experimental acute and chronic ulcerative colitis in mice. *Gastroenterology* **98**, 694–702 (1990).
53. Ramonaite, R. *et al.* Influence of NADPH oxidase on inflammatory response in primary intestinal epithelial cells in patients with ulcerative colitis. *BMC Gastroenterol.* **13**, 159 (2013).
54. Caron, P. *et al.* Enzymatic production of bile Acid glucuronides used as analytical standards for liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analyses. *Mol. Pharm.* **3**, 293–302 (2006).
55. Wang, Q. *et al.* Regioselective glucuronidation of tanshinone iia after quinone reduction: identification of human UDP-glucuronosyltransferases, species differences, and interaction potential. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **38**, 1132–1140.
56. Shiratani, H., Katoh, M., Nakajima, M. & Yokoi, T. Species differences in UDP-glucuronosyltransferase activities in mice and rats. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **36**, 1745–1752 (2008).
57. Jiang, H. *et al.* Development and validation of a sensitive LC/MS/MS method for the simultaneous determination of naloxone and its metabolites in mouse plasma. *J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.* **879**, 2663–2668 (2011).
58. Kim, I. *et al.* Differential regulation of bile acid homeostasis by the farnesoid X receptor in liver and intestine. *J. Lipid Res.* **48**, 2664–2672 (2007).
59. Inoue, Y., Yu, A. M., Inoue, J. & Gonzalez, F. J. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 α is a central regulator of bile acid conjugation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 2480–2489 (2004).
60. Izzo, A. A. *et al.* Basal and fasting/refeeding-regulated tissue levels of endogenous PPAR- α ligands in Zucker rats. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **18**, 55–62 (2010).

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grants 91029746, 81325025 and 81273586); the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu province, China (grant BK2012026); and the National Institutes of Health (grant CA148828 to Y.M.S.).

Author contributions

H.H., X.Z., G.W. and F.J.G. designed the study; X.Z., L.C., C.J., H.H., Y.X., X.C., K.W.K., Y.Q., Y.M.S. and L.S. performed experiments; X.Z., C.J., Y.X., L.C. and H.H. collected and analysed data; H.H., X.Z., L.C., G.W. and F.J.G. wrote the manuscript. X.Z., L.C. and C.J. contributed equally to this work.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Zhou, X. *et al.* PPAR α -UGT axis activation represses intestinal FXR-FGF15 feedback signalling and exacerbates experimental colitis. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4573 doi: 10.1038/ncomms5573 (2014).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>